

Interactive comment on “ERA-5 and ERA-Interim driven ISBA land surface model simulations: Which one performs better?” by Clement Albergel et al.

Clement Albergel et al.

clement.albergel@meteo.fr

Received and published: 1 June 2018

Dear Wolfgang Wagner, many thanks for reviewing the manuscript and for highlighting its relevance and interest. Your comments and suggestion led to an improved version of the manuscript, in particular with respect to section 4.

Responses are structured as follow: (1) 1.X: comments from Reviewer 1, (2) Response to 1.X: author’s response and author’s changes in manuscript when any. For sake of clarity, line and page numbering from the first submission is used.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

C1

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



<https://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/hess-2018-117/hess-2018-117-AC1-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2018-117>, 2018.

HESSD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

