Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-725-SC1, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



HESSD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Evaluating and improving modeled turbulent heat fluxes across the North American Great Lakes" by Umarporn Charusombat et al.

Z. Tan

zeli.tan@pnnl.gov

Received and published: 17 January 2018

Dear Editor and Authors,

I'd like to leave some comments on this interesting study. In my opinion, its most obvious weakness is to use the satellite-derived water surface temperature to simulate sensible and latent heat fluxes. As water temperature is a sensitive variable for these simulations, the use of satellite-derived data for site-level applications may introduce large uncertainties, especially during the bad weather seasons when more data become unreliable. Second, the use of daily water temperature and half-hourly meteorological variables simultaneously may also introduce additional errors, for example,

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



during deep water mixing when energy balance is fast approached.

Also, the authors mention that the flux algorithm (Z98L) of the WRF model is adapted from the CLM 4.5 where Z98L assumes that the roughness length scale of momentum, z0, is a constant 0.001 m and the roughness length scales of momentum, temperature and humidity are the same. Actually, in the lake model of CLM4.5 (CLM-CLISSS), z0 is updated dynamically and three roughness length scales are not equal (for frozen lakes, z0 is fixed). Please check with Subin et al. (2012) for accuracy.

Z. M.Subin, W. J.Riley and D.Mironov (2012), An improved lake model for climate simulations: Model structure, evaluation, and sensitivity analyses in CESM1, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 4, M02001, doi:10.1029/2011MS000072.

Best regards

Zeli Tan, Ph.D Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-725, 2018.

HESSD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

