Hydrology and
Earth System
Sciences

Discussions

Open Access

EGU

1 **Economic impacts of drought risks for water utilities through**

2 **Severity-Duration-Frequency framework under climate change**

3 **scenarios**

4 Diego A. Guzmám[1-2], Guilherme S. Mohor[1], Denise Taffarello[1] and Eduardo M. Mendiondo[1]

5 [1] Department of Hydraulics and Sanitation - Sao Carlos School of Engineering, University of Sao Paulo - Sao

6 Carlos, SP, 13566-590, Brazil

7 [2] Department of Civil Engineering, Pontificia Bolivariana University, Bucaramanga, STD, 681007, Colombia

8

9 *Correspondence to*: Diego A. Guzman (daga2040@hotmail.com)

10 **Abstract**

11 Climate variability and increasing water demands prioritize the need to implement planning

12 strategies for urban water security in the long and medium term. However, actions to manage

13 the drought risk impacts entail great complexity, such as the calculation of economic losses

14 derived from the combination of severity, duration and frequency under uncertainties in the

15 climate projections. Thus, new approaches of risk aversion are needed, as an integrated

16 framework for resilience gap assessment, for water utilities to cope with droughts, thereby

17 linking drivers of climate, hydrology and human demands. This paper aims to present the

18 economic impacts of risk aversion for water utilities through a framework linking severity,

19 duration and frequency (SDF) of droughts under climate change scenarios. This new model

20 framework addresses the opportunity cost that represent the preparedness for risk aversion to

21 cope with potential future impacts of droughts, involving a set of options for planning of

22 water resources, under different demands and climate projections. The methodology

23 integrates the hydrological simulation procedures, under radiative climate forcing scenarios

24 RCP 4.5 and 8.5, from a regional climate model Eta-INPE, with time horizons of 2007-2040,

25 2041-2070, and 2071-2099, linked to Water Evaluation and Planning system (WEAP)

26 hydrologic model and under stationary and non-stationary water supply demand assumptions.

27 The model framework is applied to the Cantareira Water Supply System for Sao Paulo

28 Metropolitan Region, Brazil, with severe vulnerability to droughts. By using hydrological

29 simulations with WEAP, driven by Eta-INPE Regional Climatic Model base line scenarios

30 (1962-2005), were characterized the SDF curves. On the one hand, water tariff price

31 associated to calibrated and modelled scenarios constitute supply/demand proxies of the water

32 warranty time delimited by drought duration. Then, profit loss analysis scenarios are assessed

Hydrology and
Earth System
Sciences
Open Access
Discussions
EGU

33   for the regional water utility. On the other hand, for drought resilience gap, results show water

34   utility profit losses per period between 1.3% and 10.3% of the regional GDP in 2016.

35   Although future economic impacts vary in a same order, non-stationary demand trends

36   impose larger differences in the drought resilience gap, when the future securitization are

37   linked to regional climate outputs.

38   **Key Words:** Climate change, Water Security, Severity-Duration-Frequency curves,

39   Economic profit losses

1. Introduction

41   Climate change, population growth and uncontrolled urban/industrial development make

42   society more dependent on water (Montanari et al., 2013). The complex interaction between

43   meteorological, terrestrial and socio-economic water distribution schemes are the main factors

44   that define droughts (Lloyd-hughes, 2013; Van Loon et al., 2016a, 2016b; Wada et al., 2013).

45   Thus, to face a prospective drought scenario, with the demand as a determinant anthropogenic

46   factor requires society to rethink the way forward, mainly to reduce its vulnerability by

47   mobilizing more water for its use, by expanding and making use of alternative sources or by

48   regulating its demand (Falkenmark and Lannerstad, 2004; Kunreuther et al., 2013; Wanders

49   and Wada, 2015).

50   In terms of drought, a hydrological drought is defined as a negative anomaly in surface and

51   subsurface water levels (Van Loon, 2015; Wanders et al., 2017). These negative anomalies on

52   the surface, related to a level of water demand can cause water systems to collapse and trigger

53   strong socioeconomic impacts or the so-called socioeconomic drought (Mehran et al., 2015).

54   Droughts may not be as apparent as floods, but have proven to be one of the most complex

55   risks due to their slow development, strong and long lasting impacts such as broad geographic

56   coverage (Bressers and Bressers, 2016; Frick et al., 1990; Smakhtin and Schipper, 2008; Van

57   Lanen et al., 2013). Furthermore, various studies have shown that more severe and prolonged

58   droughts are expected for the future, leading to greater economic consequences,

59   environmental degradation and loss of human lives (Asadieh and Krakauer, 2017; Balbus,

60   2017; Berman et al., 2013; Freire-González et al., 2017; Prudhomme et al., 2014; Shi et al.,

61   2015; Stahl et al., 2016; Touma et al., 2015). Therefore, it is essential to create appropriate

62   expectations about their potential impacts, aiming to mitigate catastrophes, reduce the risks of

63   damage and build a more resilient community (Bachmair et al., 2016; Mishra and Singh,

64   2010; Nam et al., 2015).

65   The need for a broader perspective in terms of comprehending and managing the impacts of

66   drought requires actions to integrate their states or categories (Hao and Singh, 2015; Van

67   Loon, 2015). This implies in studying droughts, understanding their propagation from

68   meteorological phenomena, underground-surface dynamics and alterations of anthropogenic

69   origin such as storage (Huang et al., 2017; Van Loon et al., 2016b; Wong et al., 2013).

70   However, the most visible impacts on the water supply, energy generation, transport,

71   recreation and water quality are strongly related to hydrological drought and not directly to

72   meteorological drought (Van Lanen et al., 2016). Thus, we in this work addresses

73   hydrological droughts as the main driver of direct economic impacts when water demand

74   exceeds supply (Bressers and Bressers, 2016).

75   The availability of water supply new sources every day are more scarce, so the demand

76   regulation is a strategy that is being considered by the supply companies to guarantee

77   reliability during the drought (Zeff and Characklis, 2013). Among the demand control

78   strategies are price-based policies ones, these seek to change the user's consumption pattern

79   based on economic penalties or incentives (Millerd, 1984). However, the implementation of

80   these strategies entails a great complexity in their planning and a high risk of utility losses for

81   the water company.

82   The São Paulo Metropolitan Region (SPMR) located in the south east of Brazil, which has

83   approximately 20 million inhabitants, is an important economic center in Latin America that

84   influences approximately 12% of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in Brazil (Haddad and

85   Teixeira, 2015). During the (2013-2015) period, the population of the SPMR experienced a

86   significant reduction in water resources availability and decrease in the water supply

87   (Coutinho et al., 2015; Nobre and Marengo, 2016; Taffarello et al., 2016b). Consequently, the

88   2013-2015 water deficit had socioeconomic impacts such as widespread social protests,

89   increases in food prices and energy tariffs in homes, industries and commerce (Hanbury,

90   2015). The Federation of Industries of the State of Sao Paulo (FIESP) estimated that 60,000

91   establishments, which represent almost 60% of the state's industrial GDP, are affected by a

92   lack of water (Marengo et al., 2015). In addition, from 2014 to 2015, the Sao Paulo State

93   Water Utility Company (SABESP) recorded an average annual liquid net income reduction of

94   approximately 75% compared to 2016, leading to a major financial crisis in the company

95   (GESP, 2016). Thus, as long as there are no systematic and detailed studies on the impact of

96   drought on the regional economy, shaping financial planning policies is a complex and

97   uncertain task that must be reinforced. Based on the severity and duration of the water deficit,

98   this article aims to assess the economic impacts of drought risks for water utilities through

99   integrating a severity-duration-frequency framework under climate change scenarios. Also,

100   this paper describes an academic exercise to manage drought financial planning for the

101   SPMR, considering the perspective of the economic impact on the Sao Paulo Water Utility

102   company.

103   The sections of this article outline interconnected methods and criteria, explained as follows.

104   In Section 2, the text describes the study area (see Figure 1) and water crisis

105   contextualization. Section 3 outlines the methodological approach starting with the

106   hydrological modeling, characterization of the droughts using the threshold level method, the

107   formulation of the SDF curves of the system and subsequently the links climatic, hydrological

108   and economic aspects of the methodology (Figure 2). In Section 4, the results obtained are

109   shown as financial drought planning scenarios. Finally, in Section 5, the discussion and

110   conclusions are presented regarding the proposed approach.

2. Study area and water crisis contextualization.

112   The Cantareira Water Supply System, hereafter referred to as the Cantareira System, is

113   located in the South-East of Brazil between the states of Sao Paulo and Minas Gerais (-46.9 to

114   -45.7 longitude and -22.5 -23.5 latitude). The regional climate is classified as subtropical –

115   sub-humid, with a maximum annual average temperature of 25 °C and a minimum annual

116   average of 15 °C (Blain, 2010; Rodríguez-Lado et al., 2007). On the other hand, the rainfall in

117   the Southeast of Brazil presents an annual cycle, with maximum rainfall from December to

118   February (summer) and minimum rainfall from June to August (winter). The rainy season in

119   the Cantareira System generally begins at the end of September and ends in March. In this

120   period, on average 72% of the rainfall in the region is accumulated (Marengo et al., 2015). In

121   hydrological terms, 2265 km^2 of drainage area into the system historically generates an annual

122   mean tributary discharge of 38.74 m^3/s, where the Jaguarí tributary contributes approximately

123   46%. Structurally, the system consists of the damming and interconnection of five basins with

124   a useful total storage volume of 988.8 hm^3, arranged to transfer water from the Piracicaba

125   River Basin to the Upper Tietê Basin (Fig. 1). Thus, the system had been configured to supply

126   water to about 8.8 million people in the SPMR before the last acute crisis in 2013-2015 (De

127   Andrade, 2016; Marengo et al., 2015; Nobre et al., 2016; Nobre and Marengo, 2016;

128   PCJ/Comitês, 2016, 2006).

129   Previously in the SPMR, some water shortages were recorded. The first one was during 1953-

130   1954, then from 1962 to 1963 (Nobre et al., 2016), which apparently motivated the

131 construction of the Cantareira system and the latest one was from 2000 to 2001 (Cavalcanti

132 and Kousky, 2001). Thus, the system, designed to supply the increasing demand for water in

133 the SPMR, began its partial operation in 1974 and its construction was completed in 1981

134 with a 30-year permit to transfer up to 35 m^3/s according to a periodic technical report (Mohor

135 and Mendiondo, 2017; Taffarello et al., 2016a). Cantareira System is currently administered

136 by SABESP, which mainly operates the water network in the SPRM, and with Government of

137 the State of Sao Paulo as its main shareholder.

138 However, various studies have identified changes in trends in rainfall and temperature

139 extremes, showing an increase in the intensity and frequency of days with heavy rainfall and

140 longer duration of hot dry periods between rainfall events in South America and southeastern

141 Brazil (Chou et al., 2014b; Dufek and Ambrizzi, 2008; Haylock et al., 2006; J. A. Marengo et

142 al., 2009; Jose A. Marengo et al., 2009a, 2009b; Nobre et al., 2011; Zuffo, 2015). Although

143 historically, the SPRM study area is not affected by droughts of the same order of Northeast

144 Brazil, the SPRM is progressively becoming vulnerable to water shortages. Therefore, during

145 the recent period of the acute crisis 2013/2015, SABESP were taken reactive measures, to

146 control the consumption in the SPMR, such as (Marengo et al., 2015): Programmed water cut-

147 offs; Bonuses and penalties to reduce and increase consumption, respectively; Extraordinary

148 increases of water tariff cost; Network pressure reduction; Water use from the reservoirs´

149 dead volume; Social awareness campaigns to inform people about shortages; Water

150 distributed by tankers in the most critical areas of the city to provide the Basic Water

151 Requirement (BWR) for human needs. Nevertheless, according to SABESP, there is currently

152 a gradual system recovery, which enables the reestablishment of pre-crisis supply levels

153 (SABESP, 2016a).

154

155 **3. Methodology**

156 The methodology was followed in three modules that are summarized in Figure 2. In the first

157 module, the hydrological simulation was approached by the Water Evaluation and Planning

158 tool (WEAP) (Yates et al., 2005a). The model was calibrated and validated, based on the

159 available historical hydrometeorological information (2004-2015) for the study area. Then,

160 from the calibrated hydrological model and the RCM Eta-INPE historical periods datasets, the

161 base discharge scenarios were estimated. In the second module, in the TLM approach, the

162 "threshold" had to be defined according to stationary and non-stationary assumptions of water

163 demand in the SPMR. Afterwards by analyzing the duration series and extreme deficits

164 through GEV (Generalized Extreme Value) distribution, the Severity-Duration-Frequency

Hydrology and
Earth System
Sciences
Discussions

165   curves (SDF) were developed (J. H. Sung and Chung, 2014). To complete the second module,

166   the average water price is defined per each cubic meter of deficit, as a function of the supply

167   warranty time during the hydrological drought events, to configure the baseline analysis

168   scenarios. The final module evaluates through the baselines scenarios the Water Utility

169   Company economic profit losses, under the hydrological model WEAP output datasets,

170   driven by the Eta-INPE, RCPs and (2007-2040, 2041-2070, 2071-2099) scenarios, previously

171   processed by the TLM approach. It should be clarified that, for the analysis under the non-

172   stationary assumption, the growth of water consumption is represented in each projection time

173   step, that is, to 2005-2040 correspond 31 m^3/s, to 2041-2070 correspond 38 m^3/s and to the

174   period 2071-2099 correspond 43m^3/s.

175   The results of the methodology of Figure 2 can be seen as the opportunity cost, which would

176   represent appropriate financial planning, considering the anticipation of drought events by

177   implementing adaptation measures, supported economically by the forecast of the potential

178   impacts. These impacts are shown as a set of potential scenarios involving climate

179   uncertainty, human triggering factors and the prediction of extreme theory (Baumgärtner and

180   Strunz, 2014; Wanders and Wada, 2015). Thus, the approach seeks to provide a planning

181   water-security support analysis in areas highly dependent on surface water resources.

182   As a complement to Figure 2, the main variables that induce the change scenarios for this

183   study are shown in Table 1.

184   **3.1. Climate and hydrological modeling**

185   Currently the RCM Eta-INPE (Brazilian National Institute for Space Research) plays an

186   important role in providing information for local impact studies in Brazil and other areas in

187   South America (Chou et al., 2014b). In order to assess the uncertainties of climate change

188   impacts, the simulation results of the Eta-INPE model were used in this paper. The model is

189   nested within the GCMs MIROC5 and HADGEM2-ES, forcing by two greenhouse gas

190   concentration scenarios (RCPs) 8.5 and 4.5 [W/m^2] used in AR5 (IPCC 5th Assessment

191   Report); with a horizontal grid size resolution of 20 km x 20 km and up to 38 vertical levels

192   through 30 years of time slices (periods) distributed as follows: 1961-2005 (as the baseline

193   period), 2007-2040, 2041-2070 and 2071-2099 (Chou et al., 2014a, 2014b; Prudhomme et al.,

194   2014). The climate projections of the Eta-INPE model was used to drive the WEAP Rainfall

195   Runoff Model-soil moisture method (World Bank, 2017; Yates et al., 2005a). The WEAP,

196   developed by the Stockholm Environment Institute US Center, is an integrated water resource

Hydrology and
Earth System
Sciences
Discussions

197 planning tool used to develop and assess scenarios that explore physical changes (natural or

198 anthropogenic) and has been widely used in various basins throughout the world (Bhave et al.,

199 2014; Esteve et al., 2015; Groves et al., 2008; Howells et al., 2013; Mousavi and Anzab,

200 2017; Psomas et al., 2016; Purkey et al., 2008; Vicuna and Dracup, 2007; Vicuña et al., 2011;

201 Yates et al., 2005b). Climate-driven models, such as WEAP provide dynamic tools by

202 incorporating hydroclimatological variables to analyze, in this case, a one-dimensional, quasi

203 physical water balance model, which depicts the hydrologic response through the surface

204 runoff, infiltration, evapotranspiration (Penman-Monteith equation), interflow, percolation

205 and base flow processes (Forni et al., 2016).

206 The hydrological model comprises 16 sub-basins with a spatial resolution ranging from 67 to

207 272 km^2 (see Table A-1 in supplementary material - section A), which defines the natural

208 discharge produced by the Cantareira System. The observed hydrologic data (discharge and

209 rainfall) were taken from HIDROWEB (the National Water Agency database [ANA]),

210 SABESP and the São Paulo state Water and Electricity Department [DAEE]. A network of 52

211 rain gauge stations and 11 discharge gauge stations were configured, with inputs and outputs

212 by a monthly time-step. On the other hand, the meteorological data from 14 gauge stations

213 (temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and cloudiness fraction) were taken from the

214 National Institute of Meteorology and Center for Weather Forecasting and Climate Research

215 (CPTEC) databases. For the basin characterization, we adopted the soil map from (De

216 Oliveira et al., 1999) (1:500,000) and the land use map of 2010 from (Molin et al., 2015)

217 (1:60,000).

218 The WEAP model was calibrated using an automatic PEST tool module (Doherty and Skahill,

219 2006; Seong et al., 2015; Skahill et al., 2009; Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI), 2016)

220 and manual techniques on a monthly basis. In the modeling process, a two-year warm-up

221 period from 2004 to 2005 was established, for the calibration period from January 2006 to

222 December 2010 and from January 2011 to August 2015 as the validation period. During this

223 process, the following variables were calibrated: Kc (Crop Coefficient), SWC (Soil Water

224 Capacity), DWC (Deep Water Capacity), RZC (Root Zone Conductivity) and PFD

225 (Preferential Flow Direction). The chosen performance criteria, widely used in hydrologic

226 applications, were the Volumetric Error Percent Bias (PBIAS), Standard Deviation Ratio

227 (SDR), Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE), NSE of the logarithmic of discharges (NSELog)

228 which is more sensitive to low-flows, Coefficient of determination (R^2) and Volumetric

229 Efficiency (VE) criterion (Muleta, 2012).

230   The calibration and validation procedure of the hydrological model was carried out from

231   upstream to downstream streams with historical discharge information (refers to the reservoirs

232   inflows) from collected from ANA-HYDROWEB (www.ana.gov.br). Cantareira's reservoirs

233   were set up as a single Equivalent System (ES), where the specific water demands are adapted

234   (ANA and DAEE, 2004; PCJ/Comitês, 2006). This ES can be expressed as follows:

235   $ES_{Cantareira} = \sum_i^n QN_i - \sum_i^n WD_i$ Equation 1.

236   where $ES_{Cantareira}$ is the available water for withdrawal from the system, QN is the natural

237   discharge from the reservoir i and WD is the specific water demand in each reservoir (such as

238   the Piracicaba River demand).

239   It is worth noting the sub-basins areas are smaller than each cell of the adopted climate model

240   (400 km²). Therefore, in order to adjust the dataset, the projections of the Eta-INPE scenarios

241   had to be adapted from/to the original location of the gauge station, and corrected according

242   to the observed historical climate conditions. The climate projections from Eta-HadGEM2-ES

243   and Eta-MIROC5 under RCP 4.5 and 8.5 scenarios were used in the hydrologic model to

244   evaluate the impacts and climate uncertainty in the discharge regime. The results can be seen

245   in supplementary material – section B (Fig. B-1) and are represented as future time slices of

246   30 years approximately: 2007-2040, 2041-2070 and 2071-2099, under the intermediary

247   (pessimistic in this study) and optimistic RCP scenarios (IPCC, 2014).

248   **3.2. SDF curve development**

249   Following the flowchart of Figure 2, the Threshold Level Method (TLM) is traditionally used

250   to estimate hydrological drought events from continuous discharge time series. TLM was

251   originally called 'Crossing Theory Techniques" and it is also referred to as run-sum analysis

252   (Hisdal et al., 2004; Nordin and Rosbjerg, 1970; Şen, 2015). Usually different values are used

253   to define the threshold in hydrological drought analysis by the TLM approach (Tosunoglu and

254   Kisi, 2016). In this study, two demand scenarios, approached as "threshold levels", were used

255   in the mean daily-monthly discharge data. Initially, a stationary demand of 31 m³/s was

256   defined as the historical average demand and another non-stationary demand of 31 to 42 m³/s

257   over time was defined as a hypothesis representative of the population growth in the SPRM

258   (see Figure 3). These water demand values are consistent with the ANA/DAEE, 2004 study,

259   according to the record and projection scenarios of the population growth of the IBGE[1].

260

---

[1] Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics: http://www.ibge.gov.br/home/

261   Based on the time series of "severity" (or deficit, in m^3) and duration (days) in the Cantareira

262   System, obtained from the hydrological modeling of the historical scenarios from the Eta-

263   INPE model (1962-2005), the SDF curves were constructed. To estimate the return periods of

264   drought events of a particular severity and duration, the block maxima GEV frequency

265   analysis distribution was used. In this case, the GEV distribution is useful because it provides

266   an expression that includes all three types of extreme value distributions (Tung et al., 2006).

267

268   In various studies addressing SDF curve development, the GEV distribution was consistent

269   with the data sets of extremes, where distributions that use three parameters were required to

270   express the upper tail data (J H Sung and Chung, 2014; Svensson et al., 2016; Todisco et al.,

271   2013; Zaidman et al., 2003). On the other hand, it is suggested that for other durations of

272   drought, other probability distribution functions can be explored (Dalezios et al., 2000;

273   Razmkhah, 2016). However, in this study we took advantage of the versatility of the GEV

274   distribution, considering its flexibility to fit a set of data through the expressions:

275    $$F(x) = exp\left[-\left\{1 + \xi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right\}^{1/\xi}\right] \quad \xi \neq 0 \qquad \text{Equation 2.}$$

276    $$F(x) = exp\left[-exp\left(-\frac{x-\mu}{\alpha}\right)\right] \qquad \xi = 0 \qquad \text{Equation 3.}$$

277   where the cumulative distribution function $F(x)$ depends on μ as a location parameter, α as a

278   scale parameter and ξ as a shape parameter. Therefore, if, $\mu + \alpha/\xi \leq x \leq \infty$ for $\xi < 0$ is a Type

279   III (Weibull), $-\infty \leq x \leq \infty$ for $\xi = 0$ is a Type I (Gumbel), and $-\infty \leq x \leq \mu + \alpha/\xi$ for $\xi > 0$ is a

280   Type II (Frechét) distribution (Stedinger et al., 1993).

281   In order to fill a considerable number of events per interval, droughts were classified into four

282   time intervals 31, 90, 180 and up to 365 days. Thus, considering the adoption of the GEV

283   distribution, the model parameters ξ, α and μ for cumulative durations defined and return

284   periods of 2, 10 and 100 years were estimated using the Method of Maximum Likelihood

285   Estimator (MLE).The SDF curves of the hydrological drought in the Cantareira System are

286   shown in Figure 4. In addition, the adjusted parameters table and the diagnostic plots QQ-plot

287   and Return Level vs. Return Period for the GEV distribution can be seen in the supplementary

288   material as sections C and D.

289

3.3. Water price and Hydrological drought relationship

According to the flowchart of Figure 2, drought can be addressed as a somewhat unusual economic phenomenon in that it affects both supply (the source) and demand (users), especially in systems dependent on water from a single source (Moncur, 1987). As expected, episodes of water scarcity pose technical, legal, social and economic problems for managers of urban water systems. Traditionally to overcome these episodes, reservoirs play a key role in water supply and demand management, providing security against hydrological extremes (Mehran et al., 2015). However, when the water deficit intensifies, the structural measures are not enough and they must be accompanied by contingency measures.

In recent years, the Cantareira System played an important role to guarantee the water supply in the SPMR. Figure 5 shows the TLM analysis with a constant threshold under two discharge scenarios, a) monthly natural discharge and b) regulated discharge, where the regulated discharge is represented by the annual average aggregation of monthly natural discharges. Thus, without the reservoirs, i.e. withdrawals dependent on the instantaneous inflow, the average accumulated deficit over these 17 years would be 225% greater. Considering this assumption, the analysis showed two hydrological drought periods in 2000-2003 and 2010-2015 (Figure 5b); one with a lower and another with a higher deficit, respectively. While for the period from 2004 to 2009, a series of smaller droughts in both magnitude and frequency could be overcome by the reservoir system. On the other hand, in 2010-2015, the accumulated deficit, under the regulated scenario, would exceed the useful storage in 70%; while for the period 2000-2003, the accumulated deficit only reached 43% of the system's useful storage capacity. Therefore, it is clear that over a long period of deficit or strong multi-year droughts, the system of storage could be accompanied by contingency complementary measures.

Urban drought management programs incur costs that must be assumed to overcome the water crisis with equity (Molinos-Senante and Donoso, 2016). SABESP in the SPMR, for example, through price-based policies controlled the consumption rates of water users when the hydrological deficit scenarios were presented in the Cantareira System (Millerd, 1984, SABESP, 1996, Iglesias and Blanco, 2008), see Figure 6. Thus, during the 2014/2015 drought in SPRM, reactive economic contingencies were implemented, such as increased water tariff costs, extra fees and price incentives, which had a detrimental effect on the company's profit margin, which provides the water resource. (GESP, 2016). Although the relationship between the Water Deficit and the tariff Adjustment Rate show a relatively low Pearson correlation

322 coefficient "r_{xy}" of 0.398, this may be useful given the lack of information regarding drought

323 and its economic impacts on the study area.

324 In Brazil, each state-owned sanitation company has its own water charging policy, where the

325 vast majority use block tariffs as a pricing policy, including SABESP (De Andrade Filho et

326 al., 2015; Mesquita and Ruiz, 2013; Ruijs et al., 2008). In Sao Paulo State, the tariff policy

327 system is regulated by Decree 41.446/96, also for services provided by SABESP. For the

328 water tariff setting, several factors are taken into account, such as service costs, debtors

329 forecast, expenses amortization, environmental and climatic conditions, quantity consumed,

330 sectors and economic condition of the user (SABESP, 1996). These sectors are divided into

331 residential, industrial, commercial or public, and the value that is charged for the service is

332 always progressive. In other words, there is a standard minimum consumption with a fixed

333 value and, based on that, such factors vary the consumption ranges (SABESP, 2016b). From

334 the total water withdrawn from the Cantareira System, urban use is predominant in SPRM,

335 where approximately 49% of the total is for household needs, 31% for industrial needs and

336 20% for irrigation (Consórcio/PCJ, 2013). In this study, we consider the water-withdrawal for

337 domestic and industrial use in the SPMR, because the direct dependence of these sectors on

338 the SABESP water supply network, as well as the supply priority that these sectors have

339 according to Brazilian law.

340 The water price formation study is not part of this work as it entails a complex

341 microeconomic analysis, due to the diversity of variables in the process (Garrido, 2005).

342 Additionally, the financial exposure does not always exhibit a strong correlation with weather

343 indices (Zeff and Characklis, 2013). Therefore, in order to establish a water appraisal for the

344 economic analysis, an empirical relationship between the water tariff and its availability

345 according to the drought duration was developed. For this, the TLM analysis here presented

346 was performed from the monthly discharge series during from 2000 to 2016 (Figure 6a),

347 aiming to associate the resulting information with the previously obtained SDF curves. Thus,

348 the top part of Figure 7 shows the drought duration and the annual tariff adjustment with a

349 Pearson correlation coefficient "r_{xy}" of 0.402 between them, while the lower part represents

350 the volume deficit for each drought duration. Based on Figure 7, it can be observed that from

351 greater drought durations and deficits, there is expected an increase in the water tariff for the

352 following period. On the contrary, the smaller deficits are overcome with the water stored in

353 the system and the increase in tariffs is a consequence of the annual Consumer Price Index

354 (CPI) and other tariff updates according to the law.

355 According to the relationship established between the drought duration and the tariff
356 adjustments, assigning the average water price for this study requires some additional
357 assumptions explained as follows: (i) based on the current average rates for the domestic and
358 industrial sectors that range from US$ 2.27 to US$ 4.48 per m^3, respectively (SABESP,
359 2016c), an average price was established for the analysis of US$ 3.38 per m^3, assuming that
360 this value is given considering normal supply conditions, (ii) from the four intervals of
361 drought duration considered for the SDF curve construction and the water tariff adjustments
362 of the analyzed period (min. 3.15% to max. 18.9%, see Figure E-1 in supplementary material
363 - section E), the water prices were established as a function of the drought duration by the
364 "supply warranty time percentage" as shown in Table 2.

365 Based on the assumptions shown in Table 2, the demand curve for the Cantareira System was
366 constructed as a function of the supply warranty time percentage (Figure 8). In this demand
367 curve, the reservoir network is considered to ensure water supply and provides resilience
368 during droughts of smaller magnitudes and duration. Overall, the curve represents the
369 inelastic behavior of the Price Elasticity of Demand (PED); showing closer intervals as water
370 supplies are reduced due to drought and higher prices imposed to try to reduce demands.
371 Hence a successful price-based rationing policy, requires a progressive increase if the demand
372 becomes predominantly inelastic (Mays and Tung, 2002), as the proposed hypothesis
373 establishes in this case. More studies of price elasticity and water scarcity can be found in
374 (Freire-González et al., 2017; Mansur and Olmstead, 2012; Ruijs et al., 2008).

375 From the drought events studied, i.e in 2000/2001(Cavalcanti and Kousky, 2001), in
376 2014/2015 (Nobre et al., 2016), which significantly affected the water supply, the TLM
377 analysis showed the interdependence between annual events (Figure 6b). Consequently, the
378 main impacts derived from water supply problems in the SPRM appear to be related to multi-
379 year drought events and medium to high severity such as the recent event. Therefore, based
380 on the 2000/2016 drought severity-duration-rate adjustment scenarios, three water supply
381 warranty scenarios were established (see Figure 8): 100% water availability, water availability
382 with storage dependency and water deficit with extra fees and other savings measures as a
383 good management practice to prevent strong impacts.

384 Thus, the baseline scenarios were configured to estimate the projections of the loss of
385 economic profits in the water utility company, due to the financial cost of the drought periods.
386 These scenarios are represented by the Severity-Duration-Impact curves, which are shown in

Hydrology and
Earth System
Sciences
Discussions

Open Access

387   Figure 9, under different recurrence events, climate projections and demand variability

388   scenarios. Each pair of lines in Figures 9 a. b. (continuous and dashed) show the range of

389   uncertainty associated with the considered change variables.

390   The final step of the methodology (see Figure 2) calculated the impacts in terms of the

391   drought financial planning through the management horizons (2007-2040, 2041-2070 and

392   2071-2099). This calculation was carried out for the cumulative drought duration periods

393   greater than 180 days, considering that from this duration, the supply begins to show

394   important dependence of the Cantareira reservoir System.

395   4. Results and discussions

396   The results section will be divided into: (i) hydrological modeling, (ii)   SDF curves and (iii)

397   economic results under climate changes.

398   4.1. Hydrological modeling

399   The hydrological model structure performed in monthly time steps, calibrated and validated

400   following a manually and automatic procedure. To improve the calibration procedure,

401   multiple statistical evaluation criteria were used, aiming to reduce the specific bias of any of

402   these, given the characteristics of the modeled series (Kumarasamy and Belmont, 2017). The

403   performance criteria of calibration and validation periods are shown in Table 3. The colors in

404   the Table 3 represent the classifications suggested by (Moriasi et al., 2007) and are as follows:

405   green for "very good" (NSE > 0.75; PBIAS < ±10%; RSR < 0.50), yellow for "good or

406   satisfactory" (0.75 > NSE > 0.5; ±10% < PBIAS < ±25%; 0.50 < RSR < 0.60), red for

407   "unsatisfactory" (NSE < 0.5; PBIAS > ±25%; RSR > 0.70).   Moreover, the correlation

408   coefficient (R^2) and the VE criterion values close to 1.0 mean that the prediction dispersion is

409   equal to that of the observation (Krause and Boyle, 2005; Muleta, 2012). Additionally, the

410   hydrographs for calibration and validation periods are shown in Figure 10. It is important to

411   note that in the validation period (2011-2015), part of the recent drought event was simulated.

412   Individual watershed hydrological modelling performance ratings are presented in

413   supplementary material - section A, Table A-1; also several statistical criteria were considered

414   to evaluate the calibration process, where each criterion covers a different aspect of the

415   resulting hydrograph. This is important because analyzing multiple statistics can provide an

416   overall view of the model based on a comprehensive set of indexes on the parameters

417   representing the statistics of the mean and extreme values of the hydrograph (Moriasi et al.,

418   2007). Five basins were modeled within the Jaguarí-Jacareí sub-system (Sub B-F28, B-F23,

419   B-F25, Jaguarí and Jacareí). This sub-system represents approximately 46% of the total

420   available water and showed the best modelling performance statistics, compared to the other

421   subsystems.

4.2. SDF curves

423   Using the traditional frequency analysis, the severity-duration-frequency curves for two

424   threshold levels and two RCMs discharge outputs were developed as shown in Fig. 4. For the

425   SDF curves configuration, the Generalized Extreme Values (GEV) function was used. Thus,

426   from the SDF results it can be observed that:

427   According to the fit data set (supplementary material - section C), the shape parameter (ξ)

428   varies with the drought duration, therefore for a drought interval of more than 180 days, the

429   Probability Distribution Function (PDF) Type I presents a better fit, even for the two

430   proposed demand scenarios. On the other hand, droughts with duration intervals of less than

431   90 days, under stationary and non-stationary demand scenarios, had a better fit to FDP Type

432   III (see Tables D-1 to D-4 in supplementary material - section D). Moreover, the fit diagnostic

433   plots "Empirical quantile vs Model quantile" (QQ-plot) and "Return level vs Return period"

434   (RR-plot) show the relationship between the model, the data fit and prediction capacity

435   (supplementary material - section C). Thus, in terms of the quantiles, the QQ-plot shows the

436   data trend to follow the model line in most cases. While the predictive capacity of the model,

437   represented by the RR-plot, shows a decrease as the return period increases.

4.3. Economic impacts under climate change

439   Based on the methodological approach (see Figure 2), the potential economic impacts were

440   calculated, produced by hydrological droughts greater than 180 days. These impacts are

441   presented considering the climate, demand, time and recurrence scenarios. Thus, the net

442   present value (NPV) of the economic detriment to the water utility company and the

443   percentage difference (Dif. %) between the demand scenarios are shown in Tables 4, 5 and 6

444   for each period.

445

446   From the results in Tables 4, 5 and 6, it can be observed that the economic impact is higher

447   for higher return periods as well as the step of stationary demand to non-stationary demand, as

448   expected. In addition, it is not possible to observe a differentiated trend in the results, when

449   they are forced by two different radiative scenarios over time. However, the scenarios nested

450   within HadGEM-ES, on average, presented lower values or with less economic impact, when

451   compared to the nested scenarios within MIROC5. Overall, the loss of economic profit from

452   2041 to 2070 showed lower values compared to the other two periods analyzed, probably due

453   to a more optimistic climate scenario in terms of surface water availability.

454   In Figure 11a, the box plot shows the dispersion of the economic impacts grouped under each

455   climate model by time periods. Results related to the MIROC5 model present a greater

456   dispersion than those related to the HadGEM-ES model. In this case, the upper extreme

457   values are related to the MIROC5 model, while the lower extreme values are similarly

458   distributed between the models. On the other hand (Figure 11b), the difference, in percentage,

459   related to the MIROC5 model show higher magnitudes and more stable differences over time

460   than those related to the HadGEM-ES model, denoting an impact-driven differentiation

461   between climatic models. Moreover, it can be observed in Figure 11 that, in response to the

462   growing projected demand, it will be expected an increase, in terms of the average percentage

463   of differences, for different time periods and for both climatic models.

464   In general, these results show the high complexity of the SPRM's drought risk and the

465   fragility of local GDP heavily dependent on water for their development. In the specific

466   impacts on the company's economy, the results showed losses per period between US$ 7929

467   and US$ 64582 million; these values, compared to the Gross Domestic Product (GPD),

468   represent an amount of between 1.3% and 10.3% of the last GDP in the state of São Paulo in

469   2016. As a consequence, the direct economic impacts on the water utility company, added to

470   other inherent problems to water shortage, can lead to a financial crisis with serious

471   repercussions in local economies.

472   **5. Conclusions and recommendations**

473   This paper developed a methodology with application to assess economic impacts of drought

474   risks for water utilities through a framework under climate change scenarios. The SDF

475   framework has linked climate, hydrology and economy factors, using Sao Paulo Metropolitan

476   Region dependence on the Cantareira Water Supply System, Brazil. In this paper, we consider

477   these results preliminary, but with valuable information for a water utility interested in the

478   drought risk losses. Thus, the expected profit loss over the long-term would serve as the initial

479   estimate for financial contingency arrangements as insurance schemes, or community

480   contingency funds. In general, the SDF framework here developed can be proposed as a

481   planning tool to mitigating drought-related revenue losses as well as being useful for

482   development of water resource securitization strategy in sectors that depend on water to

483   sustain their economies.

Methodologically, first we characterized the hydrological droughts through the SDF curves, from the hydrological modeling by the baseline period of the RCM. Second, the SDF was coupled with a local water demand development based on the supply warranty time percentage during the drought events. Under these assumptions, an empirical drought economic impact curve was setup, representing the Water Utility Company profit losses due to the impossibility of supplying demand during hydrological drought periods. Additionally, our results could elicit further implications for drought risk reduction and management.

On the one hand, this SDF framework could help analyzing the impacts from key drivers, like climate, land use and water withdrawal rates in complex or recurrent drought patterns. Also, this SDF framework could couple interdisciplinary studies, with better relationships towards the nexus of water security, energy security and food security. Thus, we recommend future research of SDF framework linked to: Palmer's drought indices (Rossato et al., 2017), model-based framework to disaster management (Horita et al., 2017), ecosystem-based assessment for water security modeling (Taffarello et al., 2017), effectiveness of drought securitization under climate change scenarios (Mohor and Mendiondo, 2017). Moreover, SDF framework is capable of integrating actions towards: dynamic price incentive programs related to wise human-water co-evolution patterns, water-sensitive programs under deep cultural features, socio-hydrological observatories for water security, feasibility analysis of the economic impacts of implementing new technologies for water economy and flow measurement, leakage control, detecting and legalizing illegal connections and water reuse, among others. Furthermore, dissimilarities pointed from climate scenarios (see i.e. Figure 11) would suggest a set of possibilities to face the uncertainty. For instance, that SDF framework would guide the decision-making of water utility profits to cope with economic impacts of drought risks in long and medium term.

For further studies, it should be considered: that despite having achieved an acceptable performance, the inclusion of more gauge stations could not only improve calibration performance but also cover a larger sample space of events, increasing the confidence of projections. On the other hand, in order to have a methodological comparative standard, more regional studies of SDF curves need to be implemented, considering the spatialized analysis and broader statistics methods. Finally, it is a fact that the reliability of SDF curve estimates depends on the quality and extent of the records used, or in this case, the capacity of regional climate models to reproduce the observed distribution of extreme events.

516 **Acknowledgments**

524

525 **References**

526 ANA, DAEE. Subsídios para a Análise do Pedido de Outorga do Sistema Cantareira e Para a

527   Definição das Condições de Operação dos seus Reservatórios. Estado de São Paulo,

528   2004.

529 Asadieh, B., Krakauer, N.Y. Global change in flood and drought intensities under climate

530   change in the 21st century. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 1–22, 2017.

531 Bachmair, S., Svensson, C., Hannaford, J., Barker, L.J., Stahl, K. A quantitative analysis to

532   objectively appraise drought indicators and model drought impacts. Hydrol. Earth Syst.

533   Sci. 20, 2589–2609. doi:10.5194/hess-20-2589-2016, 2016.

534 Balbus, J. Understanding drought's impacts on human health. Lancet Planet. Heal. 1, e12.

535   doi:10.1016/S2542-5196(17)30008-6, 2017.

536 Baumgärtner, S., Strunz, S. The economic insurance value of ecosystem resilience. Ecol.

537   Econ. 101, 21–32. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.02.012, 2014.

538 Berman, J.D., Ebisu, K., Peng, R.D., Dominici, F., Bell, M.L. Articles Drought and the risk of

539   hospital admissions and mortality in older adults in western USA from 2000 to 2013 : a

540   retrospective study. Lancet Planet Heal. 1, e17–e25. doi:10.1016/S2542-5196(17)30002-

541   5, 2013.

542 Bhave, A.G., Mishra, A., Raghuwanshi, N.S. A combined bottom-up and top-down approach

543   for assessment of climate change adaptation options. J. Hydrol. 518, 150–161.

544   doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.08.039, 2014.

545 Blain, G.C., 2010. Precipitação pluvial e temperatura do ar no Estado de São Paulo:

546 periodicidades , probabilidades associadas , tendências e variações climáticas.
547   Universidade de São Paulo.

548 Bressers, H., Bressers, N. Governance for Drought Resilience Land and Water Drought
549   Management in Europe. springer Open, AG Switzerland. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-29671-
550   5, 2016.

551 Cavalcanti, I.F.A., Kousky, V.E. Drought in Brazil During Summer and fall 2001 and
552   Associated Atmospheric Circulation Features. Rev. Climanálise 1, 1–10, 2001.

553 Chou, S.C., Lyra, A., Mourão, C., Dereczynski, C., Pilotto, I, Gomes, J., Bustamante, J.,
554   Tavares, P., Silva, A., Rodrigues, D., Campos, D., Chagas, D., Sueiro, G., Siqueira, G.,
555   Marengo, J. Assessment of Climate Change over South America under RCP 4.5 and 8.5
556   Downscaling Scenarios. Am. J. Clim. Chang. 3, 512–527. doi:10.4236/ajcc.2014.35043,
557   2014a.

558 Chou, S.C., Lyra, A., Mourão, C., Dereczynski, C., Pilotto, I, Gomes, J., Bustamante, J.,
559   Tavares, P., Silva, A., Rodrigues, D., Campos, D., Chagas, D., Sueiro, G., Siqueira, G.,
560   Nobre, P., Marengo, J. Evaluation of the Eta Simulations Nested in Three Global
561   Climate Models. Am. J. Clim. Chang. 3, 438–454. doi:10.4236/ajcc.2014.35039, 2014b.

562 Consórcio/PCJ. Sistema Cantareira : Um Mar de Desafios. Compilado de Textos, Informações
563   e Subsídios voltados a Renovação da Outorga do Sistema Cantareira. Sao Paulo, 2013.

564 Coutinho, R.M., Kraenkel, R.A., Prado, P.I. Catastrophic Regime Shift in Water Reservoirs
565   and São Paulo Water Supply Crisis. PLoS One 1–14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138278,
566   2015.

567 Dalezios, N.R., Loukas, A., Vasiliades, L. Severity-duration-frequency analysis of droughts
568   and wet periods in Greece. Hydrol. Sci. J. 45. doi:10.1080/02626660009492375, 2000.

569 De Andrade, C. Managing Water (In) security in Brazil- Lessons from a Megacity,
570   International Development. London, 2016.

571 De Andrade Filho, M., Ortiz, J., de Oliveira, M. Water Pricing in Brazil: Successes, Failures,
572   and New Approaches, in: Dinar, A., Pochat, V., Albiac-Murilo, J., Farolfi, S., Saleth, R.
573   (Eds.), Water Pricing Experiences and Innovations. Springer International Publishing
574   Switzerland, Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London, p. 485.
575   doi:10.1007/978-3-319-16465-6, 2015.

576   De Oliveira, J.B., Camrago, M., Rossi, M., Filho, B.C. Mapa Pedológico do Estado de São
577   Paulo, 1 Edition. ed. Embrapa, IAC, Campinas, 1999.

578   Doherty, J., Skahill, B.E. An advanced regularization methodology for use in watershed
579   model calibration. J. Hydrol. 564–577. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.11.058, 2006.

580   Dufek, A.S., Ambrizzi, T. Precipitation variability in São Paulo State, Brazil. Theor. Appl.
581   Climatol. 93, 167–178. doi:10.1007/s00704-007-0348-7, 2008.

582   Esteve, P., Varela-Ortega, C., Blanco-Gutiérrez, I., Downing, T.E. A hydro-economic model
583   for the assessment of climate change impacts and adaptation in irrigated agriculture.
584   Ecol. Econ. 120, 49–58. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.09.017, 2015.

585   Falkenmark, M., Lannerstad, M. Consumptive water use to feed humanity – curing a blind
586   spot. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss. 1, 7–40. doi:10.5194/hessd-1-7-2004, 2004.

587   Forni, L.G., Medellín-Azuara, J., Tansey, M., Young, C., Purkey, D., Howitt, R. Integrating
588   complex economic and hydrologic planning models_ An application for drought under
589   climate change analysis. Water Resour. Econ. 16, 15–27. doi:10.1016/j.wre.2016.10.002,
590   2016.

591   Freire-González, J., Decker, C., Hall, J.W. The Economic Impacts of Droughts: A Framework
592   for Analysis. Ecol. Econ. 132, 196–204. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.11.005, 2017.

593   Frick, D.M., Bode, D., Salas, J.D. Effect of Drought on Urban Water Supplies. I: Drought
594   Ananlysis. J. Hydraul. Eng. 116, 733–753, 1990.

595   Garrido, R. Price Setting for Water Use Charges in Brazil Price Setting for Water Use
596   Charges   in   Brazil.   Int.   J.   Water   Resour.   Dev.   21,   99–117.
597   doi:10.1080/0790062042000316839, 2005.

598   GESP. Relatório da Administração 2016 - Companhia de Saneamento Básico do Estado de
599   São Paulo - SABESP. Sao Paulo, 2016.

600   Groves, D.G., Yates, D., Tebaldi, C. Developing and applying uncertain global climate
601   change projections for regional water management planning. Water Resour. Res. 44, 1–
602   16. doi:10.1029/2008WR006964, 2008.

603   Haddad, E.A., Teixeira, E. Economic impacts of natural disasters in megacities: The case of
604   floods   in   Sao   Paulo,   Brazil.   Habitat   Int.   45,   106–113.

605   doi:10.1016/j.habitatint.2014.06.023, 2015.

606   Hanbury, S. "Brazil's Worst Drought in History Prompts Protests and Blackouts", in The
607   Guardian, 23 January 2015. Available at: http://www.theguardian.com/world/2015/
608   Jan/23/brazil-worst-drought-history. Accessed on: 28/2/15, 2015.

609   Hao, Z., Singh, V.P. Drought characterization from a multivariate perspective : A review. J.
610   Hydrol. 527, 668–678. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.05.031, 2015.

611   Haylock, M.R., Peterson, T.C., Alves, L.M., Ambrizzi, T., Anuncia????o, Y.M.T., Baez, J.,
612   Barros, V.R., Berlato, M.A., Bidegain, M., Coronel, G., Corradi, V., Garcia, V.J.,
613   Grimm, A.M., Karoly, D., Marengo, J.A., Marino, M.B., Moncunill, D.F., Nechet, D.,
614   Quintana, J., Rebello, E., Rusticucci, M., Santos, J.L., Trebejo, I., Vincent, L.A. Trends
615   in total and extreme South American rainfall in 1960-2000 and links with sea surface
616   temperature. J. Clim. 19, 1490–1512. doi:10.1175/JCLI3695.1, 2006.

617   Hisdal, H., Tallaksen, L.M., Clausen, B., Peters, E., Gustard, A. Hydrological Drought
618   Characteristics, in: Tallaksen, L.M., Van Lanen, H.A.J. (Eds.), Hydrological Drought:
619   Processes and Estimation Methods for Streamflow and Groundwater. Elsevier:
620   Developments in Water Science, Oslo, Norway, p. 449, 2004.

621   Horita, F.E.A., de Albuquerque, J.P., Marchezini, V., Mendiondo, E.M. Bridging the gap
622   between decision-making and emerging big data sources: An application of a model-
623   based framework to disaster management in Brazil. Decis. Support Syst. 97, 12–22.
624   doi:10.1016/j.dss.2017.03.001, 2017.

625   Howells, M., Hermann, S., Welsch, M., Bazilian, M., Segerström, R., Alfstad, T., Gielen, D.,
626   Rogner, H., Fischer, G., Velthuizen, H. Van, Wiberg, D., Young, C., Roehrl, R.A.,
627   Mueller, A., Steduto, P. energy and water strategies. Nat. Publ. Gr. 3, 621–626.
628   doi:10.1038/nclimate1789, 2013.

629   Huang, S., Li, P., Huang, Q., Leng, G., Hou, B., Ma, L. The propagation from meteorological
630   to hydrological drought and its potential influence factors. J. Hydrol. 547, 184–195.
631   doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.01.041, 2017.

632   Iglesias, E., Blanco, M. New directions in water resources management:The role of water
633   pricing policies. Water Resoeurces Researach. Vol. 44, W06417,
634   doi:10.1029/2006WR005708, 2008.

635   IPCC. Climate Change 2014 Synthesis Report Summary Chapter for Policymakers, 2014..

636   Krause, P., Boyle, D.P. Comparison of different efficiency criteria for hydrological model
637   assessment. Adv. Geosci. 5, 89–97. doi:10.5194/adgeo-5-89-2005, 2005.

638   Kumarasamy, K., Belmont, P. Multiple domain evaluation of watershed hydrology models.
639   Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss. 1–32. doi:10.5194/hess-2017-121, 2017.

640   Kunreuther, H., Heal, G., Allen, M., Edenhofer, O., Field, C.B., Yohe, G. Risk management
641   and climate change. Nat. Clim. Chang. 3, 447–450. doi:10.1038/nclimate1740, 2013.

642   Lloyd-hughes, B. The impracticality of a universal drought definition. Theor. Appl. Climatol.
643   117, 6007–611. doi:10.1007/s00704-013-1025-7, 2013.

644   Mansur, E.T., Olmstead, S.M. The value of scarce water : Measuring the inefficiency of
645   municipal regulations. J. Urban Econ. 71, 332–346. doi:10.1016/j.jue.2011.11.003, 2012.

646   Marengo, J.A., Ambrizzi, T., da Rocha, R.P., Alves, L.M., Cuadra, S. V., Valverde, M.C.,
647   Torres, R.R., Santos, D.C., Ferraz, S.E.T. Future change of climate in South America in
648   the late twenty-first century: Intercomparison of scenarios from three regional climate
649   models. Clim. Dyn. 35, 1089–1113. doi:10.1007/s00382-009-0721-6, 2009a.

650   Marengo, J.A., Jones, R., Alves, L.M., Vlaverde, M.C. Future change of temperature and
651   precipitation extremes in South America as derived from the PRECIS regional climate
652   modeling system. Int. J. Climatol. 4. doi:10.1002/joc.1863, 2009.

653   Marengo, J.A., Rusticucci, M., Penalba, O., Renom, M. An intercomparison of observed and
654   simulated extreme rainfall and temperature events during the last half of the twentieth
655   century: Part 2: Historical trends. Clim. Change 98, 509–529. doi:10.1007/s10584-009-
656   9743-7, 2009b.

657   Marengo, J.A., Nobre, C.A., Seluchi, M., Cuartas, A., Alves, L.M., Mendiondo, E.M.,
658   Obregón, G., Sampaio, G. A seca e a crise hídrica de 2014-2015 em São Paulo. Rev.
659   USP 116, 31–44. doi:10.11606/issn.2316-9036.v0i106p31-44, 2015.

660   Mays, L.W., Tung, Y.-K. Economics for Hydrosystems, in: Hydrosystemas Engineering and
661   Management. McGraw-Hill, pp. 23–50, 2002.

662   Mehran, A., Mazdiyasni, O., Aghakouchak, A. A hybrid framework for assessing
663   socioeconomic drought: Linking climate variability, local resilience, and demand. J.

664   Geophys. Reasearch Atmos. 7520–7533. doi:10.1002/2015JD023147.Received, 2015.

665   Mesquita, A.M., Ruiz, R.M. A financial economic model for urban water pricing in Brazil.
666   Urban Water J. 9006, 85–96. doi:10.1080/1573062X.2012.699073, 2013.

667   Millerd, F.W. The Role of Pricing in Managing the Demand for Water. Can. Water Resour. J.
668   / Rev. Can. des ressources hydriques 9, 7–16. doi:10.4296/cwrj0903007, 1984.

669   Mishra, A.K., Singh, V.P. A review of drought concepts. J. Hydrol. 391, 202–216.
670   doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.07.012, 2010.

671   Mohor, G.S., Mendiondo, E.M. Economic indicators of hydrologic drought insurance under
672   water demand and climate change scenarios in a Brazilian context. Ecol. Econ. 140, 66–
673   78. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2017.04.014, 2017.

674   Molin, P.G., Tomas, F., Souza, D., Molin, P.G., Tomas, F., Souza, D., Sampaio, J.V.,
675   Aparecida, A. Mapeamento de uso e cobertura do solo da bacia do rio Piracicaba , SP :
676   Anos 1990 , 2000 e 2010. Sao Paulo, 2015.

677   Molinos-Senante, M., Donoso, G. Water scarcity and affordability in urbanwater pricing: A
678   case study of Chile. Utilities Policy. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2016.04.014, 2016.

679   Moncur, J.E.T. Urban water pricing and drought management. Water Resour. Res. 23, 393–
680   398. doi:10.1029/WR023i003p00393, 1987.

681   Montanari, A., Young, G., Savenije, H.H.G., Hughes, D., Wagener, T., Ren, L.L.,
682   Koutsoyiannis, D., Cudennec, C., Toth, E., Grimaldi, S., Blöschl, G., Sivapalan, M.,
683   Beven, K., Gupta, H., Hipsey, M., Schaefli, B., Arheimer, B., Boegh, E., Schymanski,
684   S.J., Di Baldassarre, G., Yu, B., Hubert, P., Huang, Y., Schumann, A., Post, D.A.,
685   Srinivasan, V., Harman, C., Thompson, S., Rogger, M., Viglione, A., McMillan, H.,
686   Characklis, G., Pang, Z., Belyaev, V. "Panta Rhei—Everything Flows": Change in
687   hydrology and society—The IAHS Scientific Decade 2013–2022. Hydrol. Sci. J. 58,
688   1256–1275. doi:10.1080/02626667.2013.809088, 2013.

689   Moriasi, D.N., Arnold, J.G., Van Liew, M.W., Binger, R.L., Harmel, R.D., Veith, T.L. Model
690   evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations.
691   Trans. ASABE 50, 885–900. doi:10.13031/2013.23153, 2007.

692   Mousavi, S.J., Anzab, N.R. Multi-Objective Optimization-Simulation for Reliability-Based
693   Inter-Basin Water Allocation. Water Resour. Manag. doi:10.1007/s11269-017-1678-6,

694   2017.

695   Muleta, M.K. Model Performance Sensitivity to Objective Function during Automated
696   Calibrations. J. Hydrol. Eng. 17, 756–767. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000497,
697   2012.

698   Nam, W.-H., Hayes, M.J., Svoboda, M.D., Tadesse, T., Wilhite, D.A. Drought hazard
699   assessment in the context of climate change for South Korea. Agric. Water Manag. 160,
700   106–117. doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2015.06.029, 2015.

701   Nobre, C.A., Marengo, J.A. Water crises and megacities in Brazil: Meteorological context of
702   the São Paulo drought of 2014-2015 (No. Posted on October 17, 2016 in Climate
703   Change, Environment, Urban Water, Water Security), Global Water Forum, 2016..

704   Nobre, C.A., Marengo, J.A., Seluchi, M.E., Cuartas, A., Alves, L.M. Some Characteristics
705   and Impacts of the Drought and Water Crisis in Southeastern Brazil during 2014 and
706   2015. J. od Water Resour. Prot. 8, 252–262. doi:10.4236/jwarp.2016.82022, 2016.

707   Nobre, C.A., Young, A.F., Marengo, J.A., Nobre, A.D., Ojima, R. Vulnerabilidades Das
708   Megacidades Brasileiras Às Mudanças Climáticas : Região Metropolitana, 2011.

709   Nordin, C.F., Rosbjerg, D.M. Applications of Crossing Theory in Hydrology. Int. Assoc. Sci.
710   Hydrol. 6024, 27–43. doi:10.1080/02626667009493929, 1970.

711   PCJ/Comitês. Relatório da situação dos recursos hídricos 2016 UGRHI 05 - Bacias
712   hidrográficas dos rios Piracicaba , Capivari e Jundiaí ano base – 2015, 2016.

713   PCJ/Comitês. Fundamentos da Cobrança pelo Uso dos Recursos Hídricos nas Bacias PCJ.
714   Sao Paulo, 2006.

715   Prudhomme, C., Giuntoli, I., Robinson, E.L., Clark, D.B., Arnell, N.W., Dankers, R., Fekete,
716   B.M., Franssen, W., Gerten, D., Gosling, S.N., Hagemann, S., Hannah, D.M.
717   Hydrological droughts in the 21st century , hotspots and uncertainties from a global
718   multimodel ensemble experiment. PNAS 111. doi:10.1073/pnas.1222473110, 2014.

719   Psomas, A., Panagopoulos, Y., Konsta, D., Mimikou, M. Designing water efficiency
720   measures in a catchment in Greece using WEAP and SWAT models. Procedia Eng. 162,
721   269–276. doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2016.11.058, 2016.

722   Purkey, D.R., Joyce, B., Vicuna, S., Hanemann, M.W., Dale, L.L., Yates, D., Dracup, J.A.

723   Robust analysis of future climate change impacts on water for agriculture and other
724   sectors : a case study in the Sacramento Valley. Clim. Chang. 87, 109–122.
725   doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9375-8, 2008.

726   Razmkhah, H. Preparing stream flow drought severity-duration-frequency curves using
727   threshold level method. Arab. J. Geosci. 9, 1–10. doi:10.1007/s12517-016-2528-1, 2016.

728   Rodríguez-Lado, L., Sparovek, G., Vidal-Torrado, P., Dourado-Neto, D., Macías-Vázquez, F.
729   Modelling Air Temperature for the State of Sao Paulo, Brazil. Sci. Agric. 64, 460–467,
730   2007.

731   Rossato, L., Marengo, J.A., Angelis, C.F. De, Bassi, L., Pires, M. Impact of soil moisture over
732   Palmer Drought Severity Index and its future projections in Brazil. Brazlian J. Water
733   Resour. 22, 2017.

734   Ruijs, A., Zimmermann, A., Berg, M. Van Den, Paulo, S. Demand and distributional effects
735   of water pricing policies. Ecol. Econ. 6, 506–516. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2007.10.015,
736   2008.

737   SABESP. DECRETO Nº 41.446 de 16 Dezembro de 1996 (Regulamento do sistema tarifário
738   dos serviços prestados pela Companhia de Saneamento Básico do Estado de São Paulo -
739   SABESP), 1996.

740   SABESP, C. de S.B. do E. de S.P. Relatório de Sustentabilidade 2016, 2016a.

741   SABESP, C. de S.B. do E. de S.P. Informações Financeiras e Operacionais / Tabela com os
742   últimos reajustes. Sabesp Site.
743   http://www.sabesp.com.br/CalandraWeb/CalandraRedirect/?temp=4&proj=investidoresn
744   ovo&pub=T&db=&docid=9AA0FF2088FBF0A8832570DF006DE413&docidPai=AB82
745   F8DBCD12AE488325768C0052105E&pai=filho10 (accessed 8.10.17), 2016b.

746   Şen, Z. Applied Drought Modeling , Prediction , and Mitigation. Elsevier, 2015.

747   Seong, C., Her, Y., Benham, B.L. Automatic Calibration Tool for Hydrologic Simulation
748   Program-FORTRAN Using a Shuffled Complex Evolution Algorithm. Water 503–527.
749   doi:10.3390/w7020503, 2015.

750   Shi, P., Wang, J., Xu, W., Ye, T., Yang, S., Liu, L., Fang, W., Liu, K., Li, N., Wang, M.
751   World Atlas of Natural Disaster Risk. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-45430-5_17, 2015.

752 Skahill, B.E., Baggett, J.S., Frankenstein, S., Downer, C.W. Environmental Modelling &
753   Software More efficient PEST compatible model independent model calibration.
754   Environ. Model. Softw. 24, 517–529. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2008.09.011, 2009.

755 Smakhtin, V.U., Schipper, E.L.F. Droughts: The impact of semantics and perceptions. Water
756   Policy 10, 131–143. doi:10.2166/wp.2008.036, 2008.

757 Stahl, K., Kohn, I., Blauhut, V., Urquijo, J., De Stefano, L., Acacio, V., Dias, S., Stagge, J.H.,
758   Tallaksen, L.M., Kampragou, E., Van Loon, A.F., Barker, L.J., Melsen, L.A., Bifulco,
759   C., Musolino, D., De Carli, A., Massarutto, A., Assimacopoulos, D., Van Lanen, H.A.J.
760   Impacts of European drought events: Insights from an international database of text-
761   based reports. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. 16, 801–819. doi:10.5194/nhess-16-801-
762   2016, 2016.

763 Stedinger, J.R., Vogel, R.M., Foufoula-Georgio, E. Frequency Analysis of Extreme Events,
764   in: Maidment, D.R. (Ed.), Handbook of Hydrology. McGraw-Hill, New York, p. 1,
765   1993.

766 Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI). Tutorial Water Evaluation And Planning System
767   (WEAP), 2016..

768 Sung, J.H., Chung, E. Development of streamflow drought severity – duration – frequency
769   curves using the threshold level method. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 3341–3351.
770   doi:10.5194/hess-18-3341-2014, 2014.

771 Svensson, C., Hannaford, J., Prosdocimi, I. Statistical distributions formonthly aggregations
772   of precipitation and streamflow in drought indicator applications. Water Resour. Manag.
773   999–1018. doi:10.1002/2016WR019276.Received, 2016.

774 Taffarello, D., Guimarães, J., de Sousa Lombardi, R.K., do Carmo Calijuri, M., Mendiondo,
775   E.M. Hydrologic Monitoring Plan of the Brazilian Water Producer/PCJ Project. J.
776   Environ. Prot. (Irvine,. Calif). 7, 1956–1970. doi:10.4236/jep.2016.712152, 2016a.

777 Taffarello, D., Samprogna Mohor, G., do Carmo Calijuri, M., Mendiondo, E.M. Field
778   investigations of the 2013–14 drought through quali-quantitative freshwater monitoring
779   at the headwaters of the Cantareira System, Brazil. Water Int. 8060, 1–25.
780   doi:10.1080/02508060.2016.1188352, 2016b.

781 Taffarello, D., Srinivasan, R., Mohor, G.S., B, J.L. Modelling freshwater quality scenarios

with ecosystem-based adaptation in the headwaters of the Cantareira system , Brazil. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discussion, 2017.

Todisco, F., Mannocchi, F., Vergni, L. Severity – duration – frequency curves in the mitigation of drought impact : an agricultural case study. Nat. Hazards 1863–1881. doi:10.1007/s11069-012-0446-4, 2013.

Tosunoglu, F., Kisi, O., 2016. Joint modelling of annual maximum drought severity and corresponding duration. J. Hydrol. 543, 406–422. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.10.018, 2016.

Touma, D., Ashfaq, M., Nayak, M.A., Kao, S., Diffenbaugh, N.S. A multi-model and multi-index evaluation of drought characteristics in the 21st century. J. Hydrol. 526, 196–207. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.12.011, 2015.

Tung, Y., Yen, B.-C., Melching, C. Hydrosystems Engineering Reliability Assessment and Risk Analysis, 1 ed. ed. McGraw-Hill. doi:DOI: 10.1036/0071451587, 2006.

Van Lanen, H.A.J., Laaha, G., Kingston, D.G., Gauster, T., Ionita, M., Vidal, J.P., Vlnas, R., Tallaksen, L.M., Stahl, K., Hannaford, J., Delus, C., Fendekova, M., Mediero, L., Prudhomme, C., Rets, E., Romanowicz, R.J., Gailliez, S., Wong, W.K., Adler, M.J., Blauhut, V., Caillouet, L., Chelcea, S., Frolova, N., Gudmundsson, L., Hanel, M., Haslinger, K., Kireeva, M., Osuch, M., Sauquet, E., Stagge, J.H., Van Loon, A.F. Hydrology needed to manage droughts: the 2015 European case. Hydrol. Process. 30, 3097–3104. doi:10.1002/hyp.10838, 2016.

Van Lanen, H.A.J., Wanders, N., Tallaksen, L.M., Van Loon, A.F., Van Lanen, C.H.A.J. Hydrological drought across the world : impact of climate and physical catchment structure. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 1715–1732. doi:10.5194/hess-17-1715-2013, 2013.

Van Loon, A.F. Hydrological drought explained. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Water 2, 359–392. doi:10.1002/wat2.1085, 2015.

Van Loon, A.F., Gleeson, T., Clark, J., Van Dijk, A.I.J.M., Stahl, K., Hannaford, J., Di Baldassarre, G., Teuling, A.J., Tallaksen, L.M., Uijlenhoet, R., Hannah, D.M., Sheffield, J., Svoboda, M., Verbeiren, B., Wagener, T., Rangecroft, S., Wanders, N., Van Lanen, H.A.J. Drought in the Anthropocene. Nat. Geosci. 9, 89–91. doi:10.1038/ngeo2646, 2016a.

812   Van Loon, A.F., Stahl, K., Di Baldassarre, G., Clark, J., Rangecroft, S., Wanders, N.,
813   Gleeson, T., Van Dijk, A.I.J.M., Tallaksen, L.M., Hannaford, J., Uijlenhoet, R., Teuling,
814   A.J., Hannah, D.M., Sheffield, J., Svoboda, M., Verbeiren, B., Wagener, T., Van Lanen,
815   H.A.J. Drought in a human-modified world: Reframing drought definitions,
816   understanding, and analysis approaches. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 20, 3631–3650.
817   doi:10.5194/hess-20-3631-2016, 2016b.

818   Vicuña, S., Dracup, J.A. The evolution of climate change impact studies on hydrology and
819   water resources in California. Clim. Change 82, 327–350. doi:10.1007/s10584-006-
820   9207-2, 2007.

821   Vicuña, S., Garreaud, R.D., Mcphee, J. Climate change impacts on the hydrology of a
822   snowmelt driven basin in semiarid Chile. Clim. Chang. 105, 469–488.
823   doi:10.1007/s10584-010-9888-4, 2011.

824   Wada, Y., Van Beek, L.P.H., Wanders, N., Bierkens, M.F.P. Human water consumption
825   intensifies hydrological drought worldwide. Environ. Res. Lett. 8, 34036.
826   doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034036, 2013.

827   Wanders, N., Van Loon, A.F., Van Lanen, H.A.J. Frequently used drought indices reflect
828   different drought conditions on global scale. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 1–16, 2017.

829   Wanders, N., Wada, Y. Human and climate impacts on the 21st century hydrological drought.
830   J. Hydrol. 526, 208–220. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.10.047, 2015.

831   Wong, G., Van Lanen, H.A.J., Torfs, P.J.J.F. Probabilistic analysis of hydrological drought
832   characteristics using meteorological drought Probabilistic analysis of hydrological
833   drought characteristics using. Hydrol. Sci. J. 58, 253–270.
834   doi:10.1080/02626667.2012.753147, 2013.

835   World Bank. Lesotho WEAP Manual. World Bank Washington, DC License: Creative
836   Commons Attribution CC BY 3.0 IGO. doi:10.1596/26026, 2017.

837   Yates, D., Purkey, D., Sieber, J., Huber-Lee, A., Galbraith, H. WEAP21—A Demand-,
838   Priority-, and Preference-Driven Water Planning Model Part 1: Model Characterisitics.
839   Water Int. 30, 501–512. doi:10.1080/02508060508691894, 2005a.

840   Yates, D., Purkey, D., Sieber, J., Huber-lee, A., Galbraith, H., Yates, D., Iwra, M., Institute-
841   boston, S.E. WEAP21 – A Demand- , Priority- , and Preference-Driven Water Planning

842   Model Part 2 : Aiding Freshwater Ecosystem Service Evaluation. Water Int. 30, 37–41.
843   doi:10.1080/02508060508691894, 2005b.

844 Zaidman, M.D., Keller, V., Young, A.R., Cadman, D. Flow-duration-frequency behaviour of
845   British rivers based on annual minima data. J. Hydrol. 277, 195–213.
846   doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00089-1, 2003.

847 Zeff, H.B., Characklis, G.W. Managing water utility financial risks through third-party index
848   insurance contracts. Water Resour. Res. 49, 4939–4951. doi:10.1002/wrcr.20364, 2013.

849 Zuffo, A.C. Aprendizados das crises da água: O que faremos com eles? Lessons learnt from
850   water crises: What can we do about them? Apresentação em Mesa Redon. no XXI Simp.
851   Bras. Recur. Hídricos. Brasília 22-27. http://eventos.abrh.org.br/xxisbrh/programacao-
852   mr.php (accessed 8.1.17), 2015.

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868   **Tables.**

869   **Table 1.** Description of variables

Scenarios' variables	Description
RCM Scenarios	Eta-Model nested in the GCMs: · MIROC5 · HADGEM2-ES
RCP Scenarios [W/m²]	Forcing by two greenhouse gas concentration scenarios: · 4.5 as optimistic scenario · 8.5 as pessimistic scenario
RMSP Water Demand Scenarios [m³/s]	· Stationary Demand (SD) 31 m³/s · Non-Stationary Demand (NSD) 31 to 42 m³/s
Return period analysis Scenarios [Rp]	Rp = {2, 10, 100} years; drought severity (deficit m³) and duration (days) scenarios.

870

871   **Table 2.** Main assumptions for establishing the tariff water price according to the drought duration.

Drought Duration Interval (days)	Water Tariff Adjustment adopted (%)	Average price (US$/ m³)	Scenario of Supply warranty for SPRM	Supply warranty time percentage (%)*
(0, 31)	0	3.38	100% water availability	1
(0, 90)	6	3.58	100% water availability	0.34
(0, 180)	10	3.71	Water availability with storage dependency	0.17
> 365	17	3.95	Water deficit (multi-year droughts)	0.084

872   *** As [100% Supply warranty time during 31 days / Analysis Scenario of Supply warranty time (days)]**

873

874   **Table 3.** The Cantareira Equivalent System (ES) performance criteria for Calibration-Validation periods. *Cal.

875   =Calibration period and Val. =Validation period. The calibration and validation performance criteria for each

876   basin in the system can be found in the "Complementary Material" - supplementary material - section A. – Table

877   A-1.

Cantareira Equivalent System	Area (km²)	VE		NSE		NSE_Log		RSR		R²		PBIAS (%)	
		Cal.	Val.	Cal.	Val.	Cal.	Val.	Cal.	Val.	Cal.	Val.	Cal.	Val.
	2265.0	0.91	0.80	0.95	0.90	0.94	0.74	0.21	0.38	0.96	0.92	-3.40	-12.36

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888 **Table 4.** Economic profit loss projection scenario for the period 2007-2040 (x10^6 US$)

RCM scenario	RCP scenario	Demand scenario	2007-2040					
			Rp$_2$	Dif.%	Rp$_{10}$	Dif.%	Rp$_{100}$	Dif.%
Eta-MIROC5	4.5	SD	13818	17.13	19696	27.18	22965	32.61
		NSD	16674		27049		34079	
	8.5	SD	19953	16.73	28443	26.82	33035	32.54
		NSD	23961		38865		48971	
Eta-HADGEM	4.5	SD	14713	8.80	25254	13.36	32242	14.61
		NSD	16132		29146		37758	
	8.5	SD	13667	8.62	23440	13.15	29761	14.54
		NSD	14956		26990		34825	

889 **Note: SD: stationary and NSD: non-stationarity**

890

891 **Table 5.** Economic profit loss projection scenario for the period 2041-2070 (x10^6 US$)

RCM scenario	RCP scenario	Demand scenario	2041-2070					
			Rp$_2$	Dif.%	Rp$_{10}$	Dif.%	Rp$_{100}$	Dif.%
Eta-MIROC5	4.5	SD	10168	50.28	14487	56.34	16788	59.84
		NSD	20453		33178		41799	
	8.5	SD	8733	61.61	12498	66.09	14378	69.06
		NSD	22747		36855		46476	
Eta-HADGEM	4.5	SD	10232	30.44	17550	33.91	22316	34.98
		NSD	14710		26555		34321	
	8.5	SD	8544	36.24	14645	39.41	18594	40.26
		NSD	13399		24170		31125	

892 **Note: SD: stationary and NSD: non-stationarity**

893

894 **Table 6.** Economic profit loss projection scenario for the period 2007-2040 (x10^6 US$)

RCM scenario	RCP scenario	Demand scenario	2071-2099					
			Rp$_2$	Dif.%	Rp$_{10}$	Dif.%	Rp$_{100}$	Dif.%
Eta-MIROC5	4.5	SD	14698	53.45	20956	59.20	24237	62.47
		NSD	31575		51367		64582	
	8.5	SD	7929	60.23	11338	64.93	13017	68.04
		NSD	19938		32332		40734	
Eta-HADGEM	4.5	SD	8508	49.19	14569	51.80	18459	52.81
		NSD	16743		30225		39116	
	8.5	SD	16553	22.40	28392	26.31	36213	27.39
		NSD	21329		38532		49873	

895 **Note: SD: stationary and NSD: non-stationarity**

896

897

898

899 **Figures.**

Cantareira Water Supply System


900

901 **Figure 1.** System structure composition and catchment areas: Jaguarí-Jacareí, Cachoeira, Atibainha and Paiva

902 Castro watersheds.

903

Figure 2. Methodology flowchart and main inputs.

904

905

906

907

908

909

Figure 3. TLM Evaluation from historical discharge WEAP-Eta scenarios, under Stationary (SD) and Non-Stationary Demand (NSD) assumptions as the "threshold level": a. 31 m^3/s and Eta-MIROC5. b. 31 m^3/s and Eta-HadGEM. c. 31 to 42 m^3/s and Eta-MIROC5. d. 31 to 42 m^3/s and Eta-HadGEM.

Figure 4. SDF curves under stationary and non-stationary demand assumptions and historical discharge WEAP-Eta scenarios: a. (SD) 31 m³/s and Eta-MIROC5. b. (SD) 31 m³/s and Eta-HadGEM. c. (NSD) 31 to 42 m³/s and Eta-MIROC5. d. (NSD) 31 to 42 m³/s and Eta-HadGEM.

939

Figure 5. TLM analysis under two discharge scenarios, 2000-2016 period. a) Monthly average discharge and b)

Annual average discharge.

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

Figure 6. Co-evolution of the drought deficit and price adjustment rates (SABESP – Cantareira System) during 2000-2016 period. Note: deficits defined from TLM analysis under a demand threshold of 31 m3/s and annual average discharge

953

Figure 7. Empirical relationship between Cantareira System drought duration "blue-bar in days" [derived from monthly average discharge analysis], Cantareira System drought deficit "red-bar in 10^6-m³" [assessed from monthly average discharge analysis] and annual price adjustment rates under variate hydrological conditions in percentage.

959

960

961

962 **Figure 8.** Cantareira System demand curve based on the supply warranty time percentage

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

Figure 9. Severity-Duration-Impact curves. Sector **a.** Severity-Duration-Frequency-Profit Loss under the historical *Eta-MIROC5* scenario. Sector **b.** Severity-Duration-Frequency-Profit Loss under the historical *Eta-HadGEM* scenario. Note: *SD* and *NSD* are the stationary or non-stationary demands, respectively; "*VD*" is the volume deficit, under return period of 2, 10 and 100 years; *% of year* is the drought event duration in relation to one year.

992

Figure 10. WEAP Hydrographs, Calibration period (2006-2010) and Validation period (2011-2015)

994

Figure 11. Box plots with impacts and relative differences between climate change scenarios. Sector a:
Economic impacts under time periods of climate change scenarios. Sector b: Percentage difference between the
demand scenarios under time periods of climate change scenarios.