



Opinion paper: Linking Darcy's equation to the linear reservoir. 1 2

- 3 Hubert H.G. Savenije
- 4 Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

5 6 Abstract

7 In groundwater hydrology, two simple linear equations exist describing the relation

- 8 between groundwater flow and the gradient driving it: Darcy's equation and the linear
- 9 reservoir. Both equations are empirical and straightforward, but work at different
- 10 scales: Darcy's equation at the laboratory scale and the linear reservoir at the

11 watershed scale. Although at first sight they appear similar, it is not trivial to upscale

Darcy's equation to the watershed scale without detailed knowledge of the structure or 12

13 shape of the underlying aquifers. This paper shows that these two equations,

combined by the water balance, are indeed identical provided there is equal resistance 14

15 in space for water entering the subsurface network. This implies that groundwater

16 systems make use of an efficient drainage network, a mostly invisible pattern that has

17 evolved over geological time scales. This drainage network provides equally

18 distributed resistance for water to exit the system, connecting the active groundwater

19 body to the stream, much like a leaf is organized to provide all stomata access to

20 moisture at equal resistance. As a result, the "residence time" of the linear reservoir

- 21 appears to be inversely proportional to Darcy's "conductance", the proportionality 22 being the product of the porosity and the resistance to entering the drainage network.
- 23 The main question remaining is which physical law lies behind pattern formation in
- 24 groundwater systems, evolving in a way that resistance to drainage is constant in

25 space. But that is a physical question that is equally relevant for understanding the

26 hydraulic properties of leaf veins in plants or of blood veins in animals.

27 28

29 **1. Introduction**

30 One of the more fundamental questions in hydrology is how to explain system 31 behaviour manifest at catchment scale from fundamental processes observed at 32 laboratory scale. Although scaling issues occur in virtually all earth sciences, what 33 distinguishes hydrology from related disciplines, such as hydraulics and atmospheric 34 science, is that hydrology seeks to describe water flowing through a landscape that 35 has unknown or difficult-to-observe structural characteristics. Unlike in river 36 hydraulics or atmospheric circulation, where answers can be find in finer grid 3-D 37 integration of equations describing fluid mechanics, in hydrology this cannot be done 38 without knowing the properties of the medium through which the water flows. The 39 subsurface is not only heterogeneous, it is also virtually impossible to observe. We 40 may be able to observe its behaviour and maybe its properties, but not its exact 41 structure. Groundwater is not a continuous homogeneous fluid flowing between well-42 defined boundaries (as in open channel hydraulics), but rather a fluid flowing through 43 a medium with largely unknown properties. In other words, the boundary conditions 44 of the flow are uncertain or unknown. As a result, hydrological models need to rely on 45 effective, often scale-dependent, parameters, which in most cases require calibration 46 to facilitate an adequate representation of the catchment. These calibration efforts 47 typically lead to considerable model uncertainty and, hence, to unreliable predictions. 48 49 But fortunately, there is good news as well. The structure of the medium through

50 which the water flows is not random or arbitrary; it has predictable properties that





- 51 have emerged by the interaction between the fluid and the substrate. This structure
- 52 manifests itself in the veins of vegetation, in infiltration patterns in the soil, and in
- 53 drainage networks in river basins, emerging at a wide variety of temporal scales.
- 54 Patterns in vegetation and preferential infiltration in a soil can appear at relatively
- 55 short, i.e. human, time scales, but surface and subsurface drainage patterns,
- 56 particularly groundwater drainage patterns, evolve at geological time scales.
- 57
- 58 There is a debate on which physical law lies behind pattern formation. Scientist agree
- 59 that it has something to do with the second law of thermodynamics, but what it
- 60 exactly is, is still debated. Terms in use are: maximum entropy production, maximum
- 61 power and minimum energy production (e.g. Rodriguez-Iturbe et al., 1992, 2011;
- Kleidon et al., 2013; Zehe et al., 2013; Westhoff et al., 2016) and the existence of a 62
- "constructal law" (Bejan, 2015). However, this paper is not about the process that 63
- 64 creates patterns, but rather on using the fact that such patterns exist in groundwater 65 drainage as the means of connecting laboratory to catchment scale.
- 66

67 How to connect laboratory scale to system scale?

68 Dooge (1986) was one of the first to emphasize that hydrology behaves as a complex

- 69 system with some form of organisation. Hydrologists have been surprised that in very 70 heterogeneous and complex landscapes a relatively simple empirical law, such as the
- 71 linear reservoir, can manifest itself. Why is there simplicity in a highly complex and
- 72
- heterogeneous system such as a catchment?
- 73

74 The analogy with veins in leaves, or in the human body, immediately comes to mind. 75 Watersheds and catchments look like leaves. In a leaf, due to some organising 76 principle, the stomata, which take CO₂ from the air and combine it with water to

77 produce hydrocarbons, require access to a supply network of water and access to a

- 78 drainage network that transports the hydrocarbons to the plant. Such networks are 79 similar to the arteries and veins in our body where oxygen-rich blood enters the cells,
- 80 and oxygen-poor blood is returned. The property of veins and arteries is 'obviously'
- that all stomata in the leaf, and cells in our body, have 'equal' access to water or 81
- 82 oxygen-rich blood and can evacuate the products and residuals, respectively. Having
- 83 equal access to a source or to a drain implies experiencing the same resistance to the
- 84 hydraulic gradient. If a human cell has too high a resistance to the pressure exercised 85 by the heart, then it is likely to die off. Likewise, too low resistance could lead to cell
- 86 failure/erosion. As a result, the network evolves to an optimal distribution of
- 87 resistance to the hydraulic gradient.
- 88

89 In a similar way, drainage networks have developed on the land surface of the Earth. 90 Images from space show a wide variety of networks, looking like fractals. Rodriguez-91 Iturbe and Rinaldo (2001) connected these patterns to minimum energy expenditure, 92 while Kleidon and Renner (2013) showed that such patterns are components of larger 93 Earth system functioning at maximum power, whereby the drainage system indeed

- 94 functions at minimum energy expenditure.
- 95
- 96 In general, we see that patterns emerge wherever a liquid flows through a medium,
- 97 provided there is sufficient gradient to build or erode such patterns. Similar patterns
- 98 must also be present in the substrate through which groundwater flows, which are
- 99 generally not considered in groundwater hydrology. If such patterns were absent, then





100 the groundwater system would be the only natural body without patterns, which is not 101 very likely.

102

This paper is an opinion paper. The author does not provide proof of concept. It is
 purely meant to open up a debate on how the linear drainage of groundwater from a
 hillslope can be connected to Darcy's law.

106

107 **2. The linear reservoir**

108 At catchment scale, the emergent behaviour of the groundwater system is the linear 109 reservoir. Figure 1 shows a hydrograph of the Ourthe Occidentale in the Ardennes, 110 which on a semi-log paper shows clear linear recession behaviour, overlain by short 111 and fast rainfall responses by rapid subsurface flow, infiltration excess overland flow, 112 or saturation overland flow. This behaviour is very common in first order streams, and 113 even in higher order streams. In water resources management it is well know that 114 recession curves of stream hydrographs can be described by exponential functions, 115 which is congruent with the linear reservoir of groundwater depletion. It follows from 116 the combination of the water balance with the linear reservoir concept. During the 117 recession period there appears to be a disconnect between the root zone system that 118 interacts with the atmosphere and the groundwater that drains towards the stream 119 network. These two separate "water worlds" are well described by Brooks et al. 120 (2009) and by McDonnell (2014) and are substantiated by different isotopic 121 signatures. As a result, we see that during recession only the groundwater reservoir is

122 active.



Figure 1. During the recession period, The Ourthe has a time scale of 4419 hours of the groundwater, acting
as a linear reservoir.

126 The water balance during the recession period can thus be described by:

127 $\frac{\mathrm{d}S_g}{\mathrm{d}t} = -Q_g$

where S_g [L³] is the active groundwater storage and Q_g [L³T⁻¹] is the discharge of

- 129 groundwater to the stream network.
- 130

131 The linear reservoir concept assumes a direct proportionality between the active (is

132 dynamic) storage of groundwater and the groundwater flowing towards the drainage

133 network:





134
$$Q_g = \frac{S_g}{K}$$

- 135 where *K* [T] is the system time scale, or the average residence time in active storage.
- 136 Combination with the water balance leads to:
- 137 $Q_g = Q_0 \exp(-t/K)$
- 138 which is the exponential recession with the system time scale *K*. So the exponential
- 139 recession, which we observe at the outfall of natural catchments, is congruent with the
- 140 linear reservoir concept. But how does this relate to Darcy's law, which applies at
- 141 laboratory scale?
- 142
- 143 **3. Upscaling Darcy's law**
- 144
- 145 Darcy's law reads:
- 146 $\overline{v} = -k \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}x}$
- 147 where \overline{v} is the discharge per unit area or filter velocity [LT⁻¹], k is the conductance
- 148 $[LT^{-1}], \varphi$ is the hydraulic head [L] and x [L] is the distance along the stream line. In a
- drainage network, these streamlines generally form semi-circles, perpendicular to the
- lines of equipotential, draining almost vertically downward from the point of recharge
- and subsequently upward when seeping to the open drain (see Figure 2 for a
- 152 conceptual sketch).



- 153 154 155
 - Figure 2. Conceptual sketch of an unconfined freatic groundwater body draining towards a surface drain.
 H is the head of the freatic water table with respect to the nearest open water.
- 156 Darcy's law appears to work fine in regions with modest slopes, where one can
- assume layers to exist with conductivities representative for the sediment properties of
- 158 the layer. In such relatively flat areas, upscaling from the laboratory scale to a region





159

160

161

162 solely on water levels (hydraulic head) and seldom on flow velocities or flows, 163 leading to equifinality in the determination of spatially variable k values. 164 165 In more strongly sloping areas, however, the subsurface is organised and cannot be 166 assumed to consist of layers with relatively homogeneous properties. Under the 167 influence of a stronger hydraulic gradient, drainage patterns occur in the substrate 168 more or less following the hydraulic gradient along the streamlines. This happens 169 everywhere in nature where water flows through an erodible or soluble material. An 170 initial disturbance leads to the evolution of a drainage network that facilitates the 171 transport of water through the erodible material. The erosion can be physical 172 (breaking up and transporting particles) but can also be chemical (minerals going into 173 solution). The latter is the dominant process in groundwater flow. The precipitation 174 that enters the groundwater system through preferential infiltration (Brooks et al. 175 2009; McDonnell, 2014) is low in mineral composition and hence aggressive to the 176 substrate. The minerals that we find in the stream during low flow (when the river is 177 fed by groundwater) are the erosion products of the drainage network being 178 developed. In the mineral composition of the stream we can see pattern formation at 179 work and derive the rate at which this happens. 180 181 In contrast to the physical drainage structures that we can see on the surface (e.g. river 182 networks, seepage zones on beaches, etc.), sub-surface drainage structures are hard to 183 observe. But they are there. On hillslopes, individual preferential sub-surface flow 184 channels have been observed in trenches, but complete networks are hard to observe 185 without destroying the entire network. 186 187 The hypothesis is that under the ground a drainage system evolves that facilitates the 188 transport of water to the surface drainage network in the most efficient manner. As 189 was demonstrated by Kleidon et al. (2013) an optimal drainage network maximizes 190 the power of the sediment flux, which involves maximum dissipation in the part of the 191 catchment where erosion takes place and minimum energy expenditure in the 192 drainage network. This finding is in line with the findings of Rodriguez-Iturbe and 193 Rinaldo (1997, p.253), who found that minimum energy expenditure defines the 194 structure of surface drainage. Although a surface drainage network has 2-D 195 characteristics on a planar view, the groundwater system has a clear 3-D drainage 196 structure. So we can build on the analogy with a fractal-like 2-D structure of a leaf or 197 a river drainage network, but it is not the same. 198 199 Fractal networks can be described by width functions that determine the average 200 distance of a point to the network. Let's call this distance W. Let's now picture a 201 cross-section over a catchment with an unconfined freatic groundwater body draining 202 towards an open water drain (see Figure 2 for a conceptual sketch). At a certain 203 infinitesimal area dA of the catchment, the drainage distance to the sub-surface 204 network is W. The head difference to the open drain is H. Darcy's equation then 205 becomes: $\overline{v} = k \frac{H}{W} = \frac{H}{r_o}$ 206

with well-defined layer structure appears to work rather well. This is clear from the

hydraulic heads. However, such regional groundwater models are generally calibrated

many groundwater models, such as MODFLOW, that do well at representing





where r_g [T] is the resistance against drainage. This way of expressing the resistance is similar to the aerodynamic resistance and the stomatal resistance of the Penman-Monteith equation. It is the resistance of the flux to a difference in head. So, instead of assuming a constant width to the drainage network, we assume a constant resistance to flow. This is in fact the purpose of veins in systems like leaves or body tissues, such as lungs or brains or muscles. The veins make sure that the resistance of

213 liquids to reach stomata in the leaf, or cells in living tissue, is optimal and equal

throughout the organ. But also in innate material, where gravity and erosive powers

215 have been at work for millenia, the system is evolving towards an equally distributed

216 resistance to drainage, much in line with the minimum expenditure theory of

217 Rodriguez-Iturbe and Rinaldo (1997).

218

219 Building on Darcy's equation, an infinitesimal area dA of a catchment drains:

220 $dQ_g = \overline{v} dA$

Interestingly, this drainage (recharge to the groundwater) is downward, so that we can assume that d*A* lies in the horizontal plane. If we integrate this over the area of the

catchment that drains on the outfall, and assuming a constant resistance, we obtain:

$$Q_g = \int_A \overline{v} \, \mathrm{d}A = \frac{1}{r_g} \int_A H \, \mathrm{d}A = \frac{S_g}{r_g n}$$

224 $P_{g,A}$ $P_{g,A}$ $P_{g,N}$ 225 where *n* [-] is the average porosity of the active groundwater body (which is the 226 groundwater body above the drainage level). We see that the areal integral of the head 227 *H* equals the volume of saturated substrate above the level of the drain. Multiplied by 228 the porosity, this volume equals the amount of groundwater stored above the drainage 229 level, which equals the active storage of groundwater *S*_g. Comparison with the linear 230 reservoir provides the following connection between the system time scale *K*, the 231 resistance r_g and the average porosity *n*:

$$K = \frac{nW}{k} = r_g n$$

As a result, we have been able to connect the "residence time" of the linear reservoir to the key properties of Darcy's equation, being the porosity, the conductance and the distance to the sub-surface drainage structure, or better, to the porosity and the resistance to drainage. This resistance to drainage will evolve over time, as the fractal structure expands. However, at a human time scale, this expansion may be considered to be so slow that the system can be assumed to be static.

239

240 4. Discussion and conclusion

241 In groundwater flow, connecting the laboratory scale to the system scale requires 242 knowledge on the structure, shape and composition of the medium that connects the 243 recharge interface to the drain. Here we have assumed that, much like we see in a 244 homogenous medium, the flow pattern follows streamlines perpendicular to the lines 245 of equal head, forming semicircle-like streamlines. This implies that recharge is 246 essentially vertical and that integration of Darcy's law over the cross-section of a 247 stream tube takes place in the horizontal plane, and not in a plain perpendicular to the 248 gradient of the hillslope. 249 250 The second assumption is that, over time, patterns have evolved along these

streamlines by erosion of the substrate. It is then shown that if the resistance to flow

between the recharge interface and the drainage network is constant over the area of

drainage, that the linear reservoir equation follows from integration. This constant





254 resistance to the hydraulic gradient is similar to what we see in leaves or body tissue. 255 The reason why this property evolves over time is still to be investigated, but it is 256 likely that the reason should be sought, in some way or another, in the second law of 257 thermodynamics. 258 259 This paper does not provide an explanation for the fact that in recharge systems 260 groundwater drains as a linear reservoir. In fact, it raises more fundamental questions: 261 What causes the resistance to entering the drainage network to be constant? What is 262 the process of drainage pattern formation? If the sub-surface forms fractal-like 263 structures, then which formation process lies behind it? And, more practically, what 264 does this imply for groundwater modelling? 265 266 We know from common practice that in mildly sloping areas, groundwater models 267 that spatially integrate Darcy's equation are quite well capable of simulating piezometric heads. We also know that predicting the transport of pollutants in such 268 269 systems is much less straightforward, requiring the assumption of dual porosities 270 (which are in fact patterns). In more strongly sloping areas, such numerical models 271 are much less efficient to describe groundwater flow. This can, of course, be blamed 272 on the heterogeneity of the substrate, but one could also ask oneself the question if 273 Darcy's equation is the right law to be used at this scale. If under the stronger gradient 274 of a hillslope preferential flow patterns have developed, then we should take the 275 properties of these patterns into account. Fortunately, nature is kind and helpful. It has 276 provided us with the linear reservoir that we can use as an alternative for a highly 277 complex numerical model that has difficulty to reflect the dual porosity of patterns 278 that we cannot observe directly, but of which we can see its simple signature: the 279 linear reservoir with exponential recession. 280 281 282 References 283 Bejan, A. (2015). Constructal law: optimization as design evolution. Journal of Heat 284 Transfer, 137(6), 061003. 285 286 Brooks, R., Barnard, R., Coulombe, R., McDonnell, J.J. (2010) Ecohydrologic 287 separation of water between trees and streams in a Mediterranean climate. Nat 288 Geosci, 2010, 3:100-104. doi: 10.1038/NGEO722. 289 290 Dooge, J. C. (1986). Looking for hydrologic laws. Water Resources Research, 291 22(9S). 292 293 Kleidon, A., Zehe, E., Ehret, U., & Scherer, U. (2013). Thermodynamics, maximum 294 power, and the dynamics of preferential river flow structures at the continental scale. 295 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17(1), 225. 296 297 McDonnell, J. J. (2014). The two water worlds hypothesis: Ecohydrological 298 separation of water between streams and trees?. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: 299 Water, 1(4), 323-329. 300 301 Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., Caylor, K. K., & Rinaldo, A. (2011). Metabolic principles of 302 river basin organization. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(29),

303 11751-11755.





304

- 305 Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., Rinaldo, A., Rigon, R., Bras, R. L., Ijjasz-Vasquez, E., &
- 306 Marani, A. (1992). Fractal structures as least energy patterns: The case of river
- 307 networks. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *19*(9), 889-892.
- 308
- 309 Rodríguez-Iturbe, I., & Rinaldo, A. (2001). Fractal river basins: chance and self-
- 310 organization. Cambridge University Press.
- 311
- 312 Westhoff, M., Zehe, E., Archambeau, P., & Dewals, B. (2016). Does the Budyko
- 313 curve reflect a maximum-power state of hydrological systems? A backward analysis.
- 314 *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 20(1), 479-486.
- 315
- 316 Zehe, E., Blume, T., Kleidon, A., Ehret, U., Scherer, U., & Westhoff, M. (2013). A
- 317 thermodynamic approach to link self-organization, preferential flow and rainfall-
- 318 runoff behaviour. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17, 4297-4322.
- 319
- 320
- 321