# **REPLIES TO COMMENTS**

# Reply to the Editor

5 We thank the Editor for reviewing our manuscript and providing constructive, actionable feedback. Below we provide our responses to concerns raised during the 'Minor Revision' stage.

**Comment:** As you can see from the reviewer's response, one of them still thinks that the paper would benefit from condensing it and restructuring it a bit. I would recommend that you shorten it further, especially the abstract, and attend to some other minor corrections. I will then deem

10 it further, especially the abstract, and attend to some other minor corrections. I will then deem this paper ready for submission.

# Reply:

- 15 We have further reduced the length of the manuscript (~1 page less text) in response to Editor and Reviewer #2 comments.
  - 1) We have addressed the abstract length, condensing by 25%, while retaining pertinent methodological and results detail.
- 20 2) Changes to the structure of the data and methods section have been made to more concisely and neatly present the data utilized for the statistical streamflow forecast models.

The minor corrections, including date and unit discrepancies, have been made compliant with HESS standards.

# LIST OF RELEVANT CHANGES MADE TO MANUSCRIPT (Refer to "Manuscript\_HESS\_ markedup")

- 30
- 1. P1, L8-25: Abstract consolidation.
  - 2. P8-13, L157-244: Considerable reorganization of data and methods presentation. Specifically, the language pertaining to the collection and post-processing of data is extracted from the description of the statistical modelling approaches and presented in a more concise way in Section 2.1.1.
- 3. P12, L227-235: Removal of basic explanatory language pertaining to principal component regression.

1

# Evaluation of model-based seasonal streamflow and water allocation forecasts for the Elqui Valley, Chile

Justin Delorit<sup>1</sup>, Edmundo Cristian Gonzalez Ortuya<sup>2</sup>, Paul Block<sup>1</sup>

40 <sup>1</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, 53706, United States <sup>2</sup>Department of Industrial and Civil Engineering, University of La Serena, La Serena, 1700000, Chile

Correspondence to: Justin Delorit (delorit@wisc.edu)

#### Abstract.

In many semi-arid regions, multisectoral demands often stress available water supplies. Such is the case in the Elqui River

- 45 valley of northern Chile, which draws on a limited capacity reservoir to allocate to 25,000 water rights. Delayed infrastructure investment forces water managers to address demand-based allocation strategies, particularly in dry years, which are realized through reductions in the volume associated with each water right. Skillful season-ahead streamflow forecasts have the potential to inform managers with an indication of future conditions to guide reservoir allocations. This work evaluates season-ahead statistical prediction models of October-January (growing season) streamflow at multiple lead times associated with
- 50 manager and user decision points, and links predictions with a reservoir allocation tool. Skillful results (streamflow forecasts outperform climatology) are produced for short lead-times (1 September: RPSS = 0.31, categorical hit skill score = 61%). At longer lead-times, climatological skill exceeds forecast skill, due to fewer observations of precipitation. However, coupling the 1 September statistical forecast model with a sea surface temperature phase and strength statistical model allows for equally skillful categorical streamflow forecasts to be produced for a 1 May lead, triggered for 60% of years (1950-2015), suggesting
- 55 forecasts need not be strictly deterministic to be useful for water rights holders; an early (1 May) categorical indication of expected conditions are reinforced with a deterministic forecast (1 September) as more observations of local variables become available. The reservoir allocation model is skillful at the 1 September lead (categorical hit skill score = 53%); skill improves to 79% when categorical allocation prediction certainty exceeds 80%. This result implies that allocation efficiency may improve when forecasts are integrated into reservoir decision frameworks. The methods applied here advance the
- 60 <u>understanding of the mechanisms and timing responsible for moisture transport to the Elqui Valley, and provide a unique application of streamflow forecasting in the prediction of water right allocations.</u>

In many semi-arid regions, agriculture, energy, municipal, and environmental demands often stress available water supplies.
 Such is the case in the Elqui River valley of northern Chile, which draws on a limited capacity reservoir and annually variable
 snowmelt. With infrastructure investments often deferred or delayed, water managers are forced to address demand based
 allocation strategies, particularly challenging in dry years. This is often realized through a reduction in the volume associated
 with each water right, applied across all water rights holders. Skillful season-ahead streamflow forecasts have the potential to
 inform managers with an indication of likely future conditions upon which to set the annual water right volume and thereby

guide reservoir allocations. This work evaluates season ahead statistical prediction models of October January (austral

- 70 growing season) streamflow at multiple lead times associated with manager and user decision points, and links predictions with a simple reservoir allocation tool. Skillful results (forecasts outperforming climatology) are produced for short lead times (September 1<sup>st</sup>; RPSS = 0.31, categorical hit skill score = 61%), with years of Above-Normal (high) and Below-Normal (low) streamflow predicted 82% and 64% of the time, respectively. At longer lead times, climatological skill exceeds forecast skill, largely due to fewer observations of precipitation. Coupling the September 1st statistical forecast model with a Niño 3.4 region
- sea surface temperature phase and strength statistical model, however, allows for equally skillful categorical streamflow 75 forecasts to be produced from a May 1st lead, triggered for 60% of the years in the period 1950-2015. Forecasts may not need to be strictly deterministic to be useful for water rights holders; early (May) categorical indication of expected conditions are reinforced with a revised deterministic forecast (September) as more observations of local variables (e.g. precipitation) become available. The reservoir allocation model is skillful at the September 1<sup>st</sup> lead (categorical hit skill score = 53%); this skill
- 80 improves to 79% when the model predicts the observed allocation category with at least 80% certainty. This result has broader implications, suggesting that in water rights managed basins, allocation efficiency might improve through the integration of forecasts as part of a reservoir decision framework. The methods applied here advance the understanding of the mechanisms and timing responsible for moisture transport to the Elqui Valley, and provide a unique application of streamflow forecasting in the prediction of per water right allocations. Both have the potential to inform water right holder decisions.

#### 85 1 Introduction.

The sustainability of many water systems is challenged by current climate variability, and may come under additional stress with changes in future climate and user demands. Concerns over increasing water scarcity have prompted progressive governments, institutions, water resource managers, and end-users to adopt a wide variety of conservation policies, typically targeting supply augmentation or demand reduction at the basin or jurisdictional boundary scale (Tanaka et al., 2006). These 90 decisions, which are ideally informed by a variety of models, are inherently uncertain across time-scales, and produce numerous risks stemming from human activity and hydroclimatic variability/change (Narula and Lall, 2009). Advanced hydroclimatic information is often attractive to progressive water managers to support management and planning of water systems (Barsugli et al., 2012). At the seasonal scale, a skillful streamflow forecast may allow more efficient water allocation and predictable tradeoffs between flows for energy, irrigation, municipalities, environmental services, etc. Such forecasts 95 often provide the ability to prepare for anticipated conditions, not simply react to existing conditions, potentially reducing climate-related risks and offering opportunities (Helmuth et al., 2007). This may be especially informative in years with extreme conditions (floods, droughts.) Further motiviation stems from evidence that addressing climate variability as part of water development is key for stabilizing and improving country economies (Brown and Lall, 2006).

- 100 While improvements in seasonal climate forecast skill and advocacy for integration into risk reduction strategies are well documented (Barnston et al., 1994; Block, 2011; Block et al., 2009; Dee et al., 2011; Hansen et al., 2004; Mason and Stephenson, 2008), demonstrated use of forecasts in current water allocation and policy strategies is limited (Barnston et al., 1994; Christensen et al., 2004; Hamlet et al., 2002; Sankarasubramanian et al., 2009; Stakhiv, 1998). This is partially attributable to the wide-spread use of static operational policies, which may be based on average streamflow or the drought of
- record, and established with minimal to no accounting of uncertainty, thus limiting water system flexibility (You and Cai, 105 2008). Effectively translating emerging climate information into hydrology to support adaptable water resources decisionmaking, and ultimately policy, warrants further study.
- The water system in the semi-arid Elqui Valley in north-central Chile's IV<sup>th</sup> Region (Fig. 1) is contending with increasing levels of water stress and demand, coupled with insufficient investment in infrastructure, taxing its ability to sufficiently meet 110 multiple water uses and maintain environmental quality. The Valley footprint is relatively small (< 10,000 square kilometers), but boasts elevation changes ranging from sea level in the west to nearly 5,000 meters in the east along the Andes, in the span of less than 150 kilometers. The Atacama Desert lies just to the north. The Valley is fed from a retreating glacier to serve its 600,000 inhabitants, and is very narrow, with vineyards and plantations covering the floor and increasingly moving up the
- 115 Valley sides; forty three percent of the region's surface land area is devoted to agricultural activities (Cepeda and Lopez-Cortes, 2004). Agricultural exports, particularly grapes, fruits, and avocados, dominate the Valley's economy (Young et al., 2009), and are maintained by an extensive irrigation channel system latticing the Valley, which diverts water from the main Elqui River. The Puclaro reservoir is the dominant storage facility in the Valley, with a holding capacity of 200 million-eubic meters m<sup>3</sup> (Fig. 1.) The reservoir provides irrigation for about 21,000 hectares of the Elqui Valley, as well as small-scale 120 hydropower (5.6 MW capacity) and being a popular tourist destination, particularly for sailing and windsurfing (Cepeda and

Lopez-Cortes, 2004).

<sup>4</sup> 



Figure 1: Location of Elqui River Valley, Chile

Chile uses a market-oriented approach to water allocation, guided by its Water Code of 1981 (G Donoso, 2006). The intent is 125 to allow for optimal allocation and efficiency through a politically neutral mechanism via permanent trades or leasing (Olmstead, 2010; Wheeler et al., 2013). Rights are granted through the national water authority (Dirección General de Aguas, hereafter DGA), while supervision, reservoir management, and issuance of annual per right allocation is left to the privatelyheld, local water authority, Junta de Vigilancia del Rio Elqui (JVRE.) Water rights along the Elqui River are fully allocated, with 25,000 total rights valued at 1 liter per second <u>L</u>  $s^{-1}$  each. In years with above normal precipitation and snowpack, this 130 value can be attained, however near normal and below normal precipitation years typically require a reduction in per right allocation, on the order of 0.5 liters per second  $Ls^{-1}$ . Prolonged periods of drought (2009-2015) have resulted in allocations as low as 0.2 liters per secondL s<sup>-1</sup> (JVRE, personal communication.) All water rights are of equal standing; no prioritization or junior/senior status exists. Thus, right holders above and below Puclaro are guaranteed equal per right-allocations as their counterparts downstream; under the current framework, surplus supply cannot be allocated to users downstream of the reservoir once the annual per right allocation has been officially issued, to guarantee equality. Approximately 92% of water 135 rights are held by farmers, with half of those held by a small minority engaged in large-scale viticulture. Municipalities and the mining industry share the balance of water rights. Meeting targets for renewable energy through hydropower, ecosystem services, specifically minimum instream flows, and reservoir storage are also important competing, non-consumptive or nonwater right holding priorities.

140

The decision framework driving water allocation and market activity in the Valley is complex and involves many actors. For the water year October to September, the local water authority initially projects the annual per right allocation in the preceding May and officially sets it in September. Water rights holders (users) thus have two decision points, May and September, to evaluate their allocation and weigh the need to supplement through market activity (trade or lease.) This setting serves as an impetus for developing a framework to advance streamflow and water allocation forecasts at those decision points to better

145

#### 1.1 Elqui Hydro-climate Characteristics.

guide decision-making across the Valley.

The Elqui Valley is one of the most sensitive areas to water variability in all of South America, given its dryland ecosystem nature, susceptible to even small changes in the water cycle (Santibañez et al. 1992; N Kalthoff et al. 2006). The climate of the region is affected by three major factors that lead to its semi-arid nature: the southeast Pacific anticyclone, the cold Humboldt current along the Pacific coast, and the eastern longitudinal barrier created by the Andes mountains (Kalthoff et al., 2002). The majority of precipitation is frontal in nature, falling in the austral winter (May-August, MJJA) as rain in the Valley and snow in the mountains; this leaves the remaining months extremely dry (Fig. 2;(Aceituno, 1988). Annual rainfall totals approach 90mm on average and express a high degree of variability (Young et al., 2009). The El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is well known to have a role in this variability, with positive precipitation anomalies during El Niño events, and below normal precipitation mostly associated with La Niña conditions (Fig. 3: (Aceituno, 1988; Falvey and Garreaud, 2007; Garreaud

(ENSO) is well known to have a role in this variability, with positive precipitation anomalies during El Niño events, and below normal precipitation mostly associated with La Niña conditions (Fig. 3; (Aceituno, 1988; Falvey and Garreaud, 2007; Garreaud et al., 2009; Montecinos and Aceituno, 2003). For Vicuña, a city located in approximately the center of the Valley, between 1950-2000, El Niño years produced average annual precipitation of 134mm, compared with 68 mm during La Niña years – a stark difference (Young et al., 2009).



Figure 2: Annual cycle of average precipitation and streamflow (1950-2015)



Figure 3: Total annual precipitation (dashed), streamflow (solid) & May-August Niño 3.4 sea-surface temperature anomalies (bars)

170

The Elqui River is predominantly fed through snowmelt over the October - January (ONDJ) season, dictating the agricultural calendar. Historical rates of average streamflow over this season, however, indicate enormous interannual variability, ranging from 2.2 - 89 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> <del>cubic meters per second</del> at the Algarrobal station (Fig. 3; Santibañez et al. 1992), commonly considered as a surrogate for inflow to the Puclaro Reservoir (Fig. 1.) Recognizing that variable precipitation effects streamflow and subsequently water right allocation values, this research tests two hypotheses as a means of addressing the unique climate conditions of the Elqui Valley, which may be applied more broadly to water rights managed basins with limited water resources:

1)

2)

- Skillful season-ahead streamflow forecasts can be produced for existing water right allocation decision points. Skillful streamflow forecasts coupled with reservoir allocation decision tools can improve allocation efficiency.
- 175

#### 2 Modelling Framework and Performance Metrics.

Historically, water managers in the Elqui Valley have subjectively considered simple analog prediction models for ONDJ streamflow at Algarrobal, conditioned on the multivariate ENSO index (MEI), for allocation decisions and reservoir operations, with limited success (JVRE, *personal communication*.) Previous efforts to evaluate hydro-climate forecast skill

- 180 for the Elqui River have considered leads consistent with the current water rights forecast structure; a preliminary <u>1</u> May allocation forecast and <u>1</u> September allocation issuance (Robertson et al., 2014; Verbist et al., 2010). Roberston et al. (2014) report a significant increase in forecast skill, comparing September to May, but suggest further investigation to more fully understand forecast skill with increasing lead time.
  - This recommendation is addressed by building a modelling framework to evaluate potential improvement in predicting ONDJ streamflow at multiple lead times, starting with a 1-month lead (<u>1</u> September <sup>1</sup><sup>st</sup>) and increasing at monthly intervals (i.e. <u>1</u> August <sup>1</sup><sup>st</sup>, <u>1</u> July-<sup>1</sup><sup>st</sup>, etc.) to <u>1</u> May-<sup>1</sup><sup>st</sup>, when the first water allocation forecast is preliminarily issued. Both statistical and dynamical prediction approaches are explored. Subsequently, the ability to effectively predict water rights allocations is investigated by coupling streamflow predictions with a reservoir allocation model.

#### 190 2.1 Statistical Streamflow Prediction Models

#### 2.1.1 Data and Predictor Selection

Statistical forecast methods rely on identification of spatiotemporal patterns in historical data (Chambers et al., 1971). Observations of streamflow at Algarrobal (monthly, 1948-present), valley-wide precipitation stations (daily, 1950-present), and snow-water equivalent (daily, 1950-2009) are each readily available through the Chilean DGA. One of DGA's primary 195 functions as regulator of surface water resources for the Chilean Government is to collect, validate, and perform quality control of hydrologic measurements. Open source data obtained through DGA is considered as having met DGA quality standards. A suite of potential predictor variables areis evaluated which have been shown to influence either streamflow or precipitation, including global predictors: sea surface temperatures (SST), specifically in the Niño 1.2 and Niño 3.4 regions, sea level pressure (SLP), geopotential height, vector (also referred to as wind vectors),-and-meridional winds, and the Multivariate 200 ENSO Index (MEI) and local predictors: precipitation, snow-water equivalent, and soil moisture, and the Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI), which combines several equatorial Pacific atmospheric and oceanic anomalies (Montecinos and Aceituno, 2003; Wolter and Timlin, 1993). Global predictors, except MEI, are obtained at a 2.5 x 2.5 degree grid resolution from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Climate Diagnostics Center (NOAA-CDC), which are based upon the National Center for Environmental Prediction-National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP-NCAR) reanalysis data, available 205 from 1949 to the present (Kalnay et al., 1996). Bimonthly MEI data is available from NOAA's Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL) (Wolter and Timlin, 1993, 1998). Local predictors, Observations of streamflow at Algarrobal (monthly,

1948-present), valley-wide precipitation stations (daily, 1950-present), and snow-water equivalent (daily, 1950-2009) are each

readily available through the Chilean DGA. One of DGA's primary functions as regulator of surface water resources for the Chilean Government is to collect, validate, and perform quality control of hydrologic measurements. Soil moisture data is

210

Chilean Government is to collect, validate, and perform quality control of hydrologic measurements. Soil moisture data is obtained from NOAA's Climate Prediction Center's (CPC) global monthly soil moisture dataset, at 0.5 x 0.5 degree grid resolution, which is available from 1948 to the present (Huang et al., 1996; Kalnay et al., 1996; Saha et al., 2013). These variables can illustrate the mechanisms controlling moisture transport to the basin, and subsequent inter-annual variability in streamflow available through DGA at Algarrobal (monthly, 1948-present). For example, in the ten lowest ONDJ streamflow years (dry), vector winds follow a weak, dissociated pattern in the preceding season, which indicates that moisture transport from the Pacific Ocean is inefficient (Fig. 4(a.)) In the ten highest ONDJ streamflow years (wet), vector winds are anomalously strong, and follow a coherent clockwise pattern off the coast of Chile, which suggests more efficient moisture transport is possible from the Pacific Ocean to the Elqui Valley (Fig. 4(b.))









#### Figure 4: (a) Composite May-August (MJJA) vector wind anomaly preceding ten lowest October-January (ONDJ) streamflow years, (b) same as (a) for ten highest ONDJ streamflow years, (c) correlation of MJJA sea-surface temperature anomaly with ONDJ streamflow (1950-2015)

To identify potential predictors, eEach variable is correlated with ONDJ streamflow at lead times consistent with those discussed above (Fig. 5; not all variables shown.). Regions (gridded data sets) with statistically significant correlations in 225 locations that have the potential to affect moisture transport (Table 1) are spatially averaged and retained for further evaluation. Spatial averaging is warranted when T the first principal component (PC) and spatial average from the gridded variable region are highly correlated, representing the dominant signal in the gridded field, correlated with the spatial average of the gridded variable region can identify if the signal is spatially homogenous (representative) across the region. If the first PC does not correlate well with the spatial average, the heterogeneity of the dataset is likely important, and adopting the spatial average as 230 a predictor may be insufficient. For example, the spatial average of SSTs (Fig. 4 (c.)), a potentially significant predictor of streamflow for the Elqui River, correlates highly (>0.9) with the first PC of the gridded SST data. This region of SSTs is closely aligned with the quintessential ENSO pattern in the equatorial Pacific Ocean, and is evident when correlating the entire ONDJ streamflow record with SST anomalies in the preceding MJJA, which suggests ENSO, in general, plays some role in explaining streamflow variability within the Elqui Valley (Fig. 4(c.)) Having identified SSTs across this region as spatially 235 homogenous, and consistent with the Niño 3.4 region, Thus, we select the Niño 3.4 Index as a potential predictor of streamflow, in lieu of the SST region initially identified (Fig. 4(c.)), as it is well-known, well understood, and well-studied. SST, SLP, geopotential height, meridional and vector winds are obtained at a 2.5 x 2.5 degree grid resolution from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Climate Diagnostics Center (NOAA CDC), which are based upon the National Center for Environmental Prediction National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP NCAR) reanalysis data, available from 1949 to 240 the present (Kalnay et al., 1996). Soil moisture data is obtained from NOAA's Climate Prediction Center's (CPC) global monthly soil moisture dataset, at 0.5 x 0.5 degree grid resolution, which is available from 1948 to the present (Huang et al., 1996; Kalnay et al., 1996; Saha et al., 2013). MEI data is available from NOAA's Earth System Research Laboratory (ESRL) bimonthly as the first unrotated principal component of six spatially filtered variables in the tropical Pacific (Wolter and Timlin, 1993, 1998).

245



Figure 5: Temporal correlations of October-January streamflow and potential predictors: (a) precipitation, (b) Niño 3.4 sea surface temperatures, (c) soil moisture

Table 1: List of potential predictors (bold predictors retained for statistical model)

		MJJA Pearson's
Potential Predictors	Location	Streamflow
	Local	
Precipitation	18 Stations (Valley Wide)	+0.80
Soil Moisture	29°- 30° S, 70°-71° W	+0.55
Snow-water equivalent	1 Station (La Laguna)	+0.68
	Global	
Geopotential Height (800 mb)	45°- 60° S, 100°-120° W	+0.43
Meridional Wind	0°-5° S, 160°- 180° W	+0.37
Multivariate ENSO Index	Tropical Pacific Anomaly	+0.35
Sea Level Pressure	60°- 70° S, 100°-120° W	+0.22
Sea Surface Temperatures (Niño 1.2)	0°-10° S, 80°- 90° W	+0.40
Sea Surface Temperatures (Niño 3.4)	5°N-5° S, 120°-180° W	+0.49
Vector Wind	20°- 60° S, 120°-180° W	+0.47

260

#### 2.1.2 Statistical Modelling Approaches

Principal component regression (PCR) (Lins, 1985) is commonly applied in forecasting to decompose space-time fields, which reduces both dimensionality and multicollinearity of a set of variables. PCR is a two step process, the first of which identifies modes of dataset variability iteratively, by identifying the direction which maximizes the variance explained in the data. The
result is a set of principal components (PC) representing the variance in the predictors, with PCs ordered by the amount of variance explained. PCs with eigenvalues greater than one are retained, following Kaiser's rule; (Zwick and Velicer, 1986). A leave-one-out cross validated hindcast is undertaken to produce a deterministic prediction of expected streamflow for each year (1950-2015) (Block and Rajagopalan, 2007). A prediction distribution is generated using prediction. In this work, the hindcast fit to a normal distribution with a mean of zero, and added to the deterministic hindcast prediction. In this work, the median and upper 80<sup>th</sup> percentile hindcasted flows from the ranked outputs are analysed as a conservative estimate of streamflow to simulate potential risk aversion on the part of a reservoir manager. Hereafter the statistical principal component regression approach is referred to as Stat-PCR.

275

In a separate statistical approach, a streamflow prediction model based on ENSO phase and strength (Stat-P&S) is developed to provide categorical predictions of ONDJ streamflow. The strength of an El Niño or La Niña event relates to the degree of SST deviations from the long-term mean; uUsing the Niño 3.4 Index, NOAA has established weak (+/- 0.25° C), moderate (+/- 0.75° C), and strong (+/- 1.0° C) categorical thresholds as a means of describing ENSO phase and strength (CPC, 2016). Recent research has illustrated a potential relationship between streamflow and ENSO phase and strength (Zimmerman et al.,

2016). In a separate statistical approach, a streamflow prediction model based on ENSO phase and strength (Stat-P&S) is

- 280 developed to provide categorical predictions of ONDJ streamflow. To qualify for prediction using Stat-P&S, at least one month during a selected Niño 3.4 Index window must be at least moderate in strength for a given phase,  $\geq +0.75^{\circ}$ C (El Niño) or  $\leq -$ 0.75°C (La Niña), and are categorically predicted as Above Normal (A; highest 33% of long-term streamflow observations) or Below Normal (B; lowest 33% of long-term streamflow observations) ONDJ streamflow, respectively. Window selection determines hindcast date, and may fall prior to or during a phenomenon known as the Spring Barrier, when SSTs in equatorial
- 285 Pacific generally reset, losing predictive strength (Webster and Hoyos, 2010). However, the effects of moderate and strong ENSO events have some tendency to persist (Balmaseda et al., 1995). When values from the Niño 3.4 Index fail to exceed +/-0.5°C, ONDJ streamflow is predicted to fall into the Normal (N; middle 33% of long-term streamflow observations) category. For years where the Niño 3.4 Index values are (+0.5°C, +0.75°C) or (-0.5°C, -0.75°C), the Stat-P&S model does not issue a forecast. For these ranges, neither the magnitude (not weak or moderate as defined by NOAA) nor persistence of SST

290

observations allow for production of skillful categorical streamflow forecasts. For years in which SSTs fall within these ranges at forecast leads prior to the Spring Barrier, strength and phase are subject to rapid transition, and categorical forecasts are typically not skillful.

#### 2.2 Dynamical Climate Model Informed Statistical Streamflow Prediction Model.2.2.1 Data and Predictor Selection

General Circulation Models (GCM) and Regional Climate Models (RCM) are physically-based, three dimensional representations of gridded atmospheric, oceanic and land surface processes, with typical spatial resolutions at or below 20 295 kilometer resolution (Fowler and Ekström, 2009; Kendon et al., 2014). The relatively coarse resolution of GCMs often limits predictive ability for smaller scale weather and climate phenomena, including precipitation (Bosilovich et al., 2008). Outputs from each GCM are unique, and based on individualized parameterization schemes, initial conditions, data assimilation processes, etc. However, Cconsidering the National American Multi-Model Ensemble (NMME;) (CPC, 2012) suite of models, 300 (Verbist et al., 2010) demonstrate skillful prediction of North Central Chile precipitation based on equatorial Pacific SSTs in the ENSO region using NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Protection's (NCEP) Climate Forecast System Version 2 GCM, available 1982 – present (CFSv2; (Kalnay et al., 1996). Considering both the findings of Verbist et al. (2010), and a strong Pearson's correlation coefficient between observed ONDJ streamflow and MJJA precipitation in the Elqui Valley (0.80), both precipitation and SSTs outputs from CFSv2 are retained for further evaluation. Specifically, the mean value of the 40-member ensemble of outputs for gridded precipitation (29°- 30°S, 70°-71°W) and the Niño 1.2 and 3.4 indices at leads 305 between 1 January-1<sup>st</sup> and 1 May-1<sup>st</sup> are obtained and independently corrected using a statistical quantile mapping approach based on the cumulative distribution functions of both predicted and observed data (Maraun, 2013). For each lead, predicted values are replaced with values from the observed distribution, based on matching probabilities (Fig. 6; not all variables

shown.)

#### 2.2.2 Dynamic Model Informed Statistical Modelling Approach

The same PCR framework as in the Stat-PCR approach is applied using GCM corrected precipitation and SSTs to predict 315 ONDJ streamflow, referred to as the Stat-Dyn model. The Stat-Dyn model is meant to provide streamflow forecasts at extended leads, beyond what is possible with global and local observed data used to inform the Stat-PCR model. Local variables (e.g. precipitation, snow water equivalent and soil moisture) hold the most predictive strength as observations during the season of peak precipitation (May-August) and thus are only considered for the Stat-Dyn model for leads beginning with <u>1</u>June-<u>1st</u> (Fig. 5 (a.) and (c.).



Figure 6: (a) Quantile mapping of predicted and observed NOAA NCEP CFSv2 Niño 3.4 sea surface temperature (SST) data, (b) observed, predicted and statistically corrected NOAA NCEP CFSv2 Niño 3.4 SST data

#### 2.3 Allocation Forecast Model.

340

325 Allocation, as issued annually by JVRE, and storage outcomes are hindcast in a cross-validated mode for the period of record (1950 - 2015) by coupling the streamflow prediction models to a simple reservoir balance model. As previously mentioned, if allocations are reduced to less than the defined maximum of 1 L s<sup>-1</sup> liter per second, all rights are reduced equivalently across rights holders, per Chile's Water Code. The Puclaro operating rules adopted here focus on the end of water year (1 February 1<sup>st</sup>) target reservoir volume, set at 100 million eubic meters m<sup>3</sup> (50% capacity), which is consistent with current management practices for Puclaro Reservoir. To account for annual deviation from the end of water year storage target, allocation for ONDJ in year *i*+1 is adjusted by the difference between end of water year storage and the target in year *i*. Allocations may be larger if end of year storage exceeds target storage, or smaller if there is a shortfall in end of year storage, as shown by Eq. (1), where

335 
$$A_{i+1,ONDJprediction} = \frac{Q_{i+1}prediction}{\frac{WR_u}{WR_D} + 1} - \left(100Mm^3 - S_{i,Feb_{adjusted}}\right)$$
(1)

 $A_{i+1,ONDJ_{prediction}}$  is the predicted allocation for ONDJ in year *i*+1.  $Q_{i+1_{prediction}}$  is the prediction of inflow in year *i*+1, with streamflow predictions for the non-ONDJ months constructed by regressing median ONDJ streamflow predictions onto February – September streamflow observations to produce predicted February – September streamflow.  $WR_u$  and  $WR_D$  are the number of water rights upstream and downstream of Puclaro, respectively, and  $S_{i,Feb_{adjusted}}$  is the previous end of water year adjusted storage volume, as shown by Eq. (2), where

$$S_{i,Feb_{adjusted}} = S_{i,Sep_{prediction}} - (A_{i,ONDJ_{prediction}} - A_{i,ONDJ_{observatio}})$$
(2)

- 345  $S_{i,Sep_{prediction}}$  is the predicted storage at the time of ONDJ allocation issuance in year *i*, and  $A_{i,ONDJ_{prediction}}$  and  $A_{i,ONDJ_{observation}}$  are the forecast-based and observed allocation values in year *i*. This adjusted volume (predictions observations) accounts for storage deficit or surplus resulting from forecast-based allocations (forecasts never perfectly match observations), and allows for adjustment of allocation in the following year. Effectively, this accounts for the error in forecast-based allocations. The February storage shortfall or surplus is applied to the subsequent October-January per-water right allocation value, as the storage target is non-binding (can be violated by over or under allocation in the previous year), but
- consequential, in the allocation model. This functions as a mechanism to compensate for over or under allocation in the previous year.

Annual per water right allocations based on forecasts of <u>1</u> September-1<sup>st</sup> reservoir volume, probabilistic inflow predictions, and end-of-water-year target reservoir volumes, are reported as a probability of falling into three allocation categories: "Moderate" ( $\geq 0.5$  Litters per second <u>L</u> s<sup>-1</sup>), "Severe" (0.5 Litters per second <u>L</u> s<sup>-1</sup> – 0.25 Litters per second <u>L</u> s<sup>-1</sup>), and "Extreme" (<0.25 Litters per second <u>L</u> s<sup>-1</sup>). The selected categories are consistent with those used by the U.S. Drought Monitor to describe similar ranges of industrial, social and environmental impacts expected due to reduced access to water resources (Svoboda et al., 2002). Numerical thresholds assigned to the categorical boundaries align approximately with tercile values from the cumulative distribution of allocations derived from observed inflow and storage data, using the same reservoir operating rules as forecast-based allocations. Further, the breaks in categories closely follow decisions made by JVRE: a water right value of 0.5 liters per second <u>L</u> s<sup>-1</sup> is not uncommon and approximately represents the lower bound in normal years (Hearne and Easter, 1995); during the most recent severe drought (2009-2014) water right values of 0.2 liters per second <u>L</u> s<sup>-1</sup> were common (JVRE, *personal communication*.)

#### 365 2.4 Performance Metrics.

The performance of each cross-validated modelling approach is assessed deterministically (Pearson's correlation coefficient) and with a variety of categorical metrics to assess model skill in the prediction of specific categories, as opposed to a specific quantity or pattern (Regonda et al., 2006; Souza Filho and Lall, 2003). Two sets of categories are evaluated, as previously defined. The first is for streamflow hindcast prediction, with Above- (A), Near- (N), and Below-Normal (B) categories (ranges) based on a climatological distribution of observed ONDJ streamflow, each containing 33% of observations. The second is for per water right allocation hindcast prediction, applying the Moderate, Severe, and Extreme categories, as previous defined and contingent on reservoir storage and forecast inflow. Categorical outputs are illustrated with contingency tables, comparing predicted versus observed categorical occurrences. Perfect mModel skill occurs when the cross-validated predicted conditions match or 'hit' observed conditions, which describes the categorical performance of the entire forecast in comparison to observations. Individual categorical Hit Scores describe under which flow conditions the model is most skillfull. A 'Miss' results when the predicted value does not fall within the observed category. An 'Extreme Miss' constitutes a categorical

- results when the predicted value does not fall within the observed category. An 'Extreme Miss' constitutes a categorical prediction missing an observation by two categories (model predicts Above-normal while Below-normal is observed or vice-versa.)
- 380 Ranked Probability Skill Score (RPSS) is a categorical measure of an ensemble prediction of each modelling approach compared to a reference forecast, in this case climatology (Saunders and Fletcher, 2004). The RPSS uses the Ranked Probability Score (RPS), a measure of the square differences in the cumulative probability of a multi-categorical ensemble. The RPSS ranges from -∞ to 1; values between 0 and 1 indicate greater skill than simply using climatology (i.e. basing prediction on long-term averages), while RPSS values less than zero indicate predictions are inferior to climatology. An RPSS
- value is generated for each of year of the hindcast using Eq. (3); the median RPSS value is reported.

$$RPSS = \frac{\overline{RPS} - \overline{RPS}_{reference}}{0 - \overline{RPS}_{reference}} = 1 - \frac{\overline{RPS}}{\overline{RPS}_{reference}}$$

#### **3 Model Performance.**

#### 390 **3.1 Statistical and Dynamical Streamflow Prediction Models.**

For each cross-validated streamflow modelling hindcast assessment (Stat-PCR: 1950 – 2015; Stat-Dyn: 1982 – present), a unique set of predictors and principal components are selected and evaluated with the categorical performance metrics (Pearson's correlation coefficient, 'Hit Score', 'Extreme Miss Score', and RPSS; Table 2.) As forecast lead increases, both Hit Score and RPSS decrease, while Extreme Miss Score increases. This is not surprising, as less MJJA rainy season observations are available with increasing lead, which is consistent with decreased correlations between ONDJ streamflow and predictors (Fig. 5.)

395

т	ahla	٦.	Ctat D	CD	and	Ctat D	fa	maga at	model	monfo	****	matriag
	яте	<b>Z</b> :	SIAI-P	ч.к	япа	<b>SIAI-D</b>	ун тө	гесям	moder	Derio	гшянсе	merrics
-			~~~~	~	*****	~~~~ ~	,			P		

Fore	cast	Re	tained Predict	ors	PC1	PC2	Pearson's Correlation Coefficient	Hit Score	Extreme Miss Score	RPSS
Statistical	Sep 1st	Aug SM	JA Prcp	Aug 3.4	89%	-	0.88	61%	11%	0.31
Approach	Aug 1st	Jul SM	JJ Prcp	Jul 3.4	63%	24%	0.63	50%	12%	0.02
rippiouen	Jul 1st	Jun SM	MJ Prcp	Jun 3.4	44%	38%	0.49	31%	24%	-0.39
	Jun 1 <sup>st</sup>	JJA 1.2	JJA Prcp	-	65%	35%	0.45	26%	50%	-0.32
Dynamical	May 1st	JJA 3.4	JJA Prcp	-	58%	42%	0.41	21%	53%	-0.41
rippilaen	Jan 1st	JJA 3.4		-	-	_	0.38	20%	57%	-0.76

400

For the Stat-PCR set of models, the predictors for each lead-time follow a similar pattern, utilizing soil moisture and SST from the month prior, and precipitation for the two months prior to the forecast date (e.g. <u>1</u> September-<u>1</u><sup>st</sup> forecast uses August soil moisture and SST, and July-August precipitation.) Snow water equivalent (SWE) is not retained as a predictor as its May-August correlation with October-January streamflow (Pearson's Correlation Coefficient = 0.68) is not as strong as the correlation between precipitation and streamflow for the same lead, and arguably provides the same information to the model. As such, observations of precipitation are retained for the Stat-PCR model. The <u>1</u> September-<u>1</u><sup>st</sup> lead is promising, however for longer leads this relationship does not necessarily hold. A<u>n 1</u> August-<u>1</u><sup>st</sup> lead is approximately equivalent to using climatology, and by <u>1</u> July-<u>1</u><sup>st</sup> it is worse. For the Stat-Dyn modelling approach, using the mean of CFSv2 ensemble forecasts 410 for MJJA precipitation, Niño 3.4 and 1.2 SSTs at <u>1</u> Jun<u>e</u> 1<sup>st</sup>, <u>1</u> May–1<sup>st</sup> and <u>1</u> January–1<sup>st</sup> lead times, produces low Hit, high Extreme Miss and negative RPSS scores (Table 2), confirming the challenges of predicting through the Spring Barrier.

The first principal component of the Stat-PCR <u>1</u> September-1<sup>st</sup> forecast is highly correlated with SST in the Niño 3.4 region (0.88), which confirms that streamflow and therefore precipitation in the Elqui Valley are at least partially characterized by

- 415 anomalous changes in SSTs. From a categorical perspective, the statistical model is most skillful in predicting Above-Normal streamflow years (Hit Score: 82%; Table 3); categorical outcomes for Near- and Below-Normal streamflow years were less successful (Hit Scores: 36% and 64%, respectively.) The large disparity between Above-, Near-, and Below-Normal categorical outcomes may be explained by evaluating cross-validated, global spatial correlation maps (1° x 1°) of ONDJ streamflow with the MJJA MEI, following Zimmerman et. al (2016.) The spatial correlation plots (1950 2015; Fig. 7)
- 420 illustrate that years with positive MEI generally correspond with El Niño events and Above-Normal streamflow conditions, while years with negative MEI generally correspond with La Niña events and Below-Normal conditions. This produces a strong positive correlation (0.65) between streamflow and SST in the Niño 3.4 region during years with positive MEI, and a moderate positive correlation (0.29) during years with negative MEI in the equatorial Pacific Ocean, but slightly outside the common ENSO index regions. Correlation mapping between all years and streamflow produces a moderate correlation (0.35)
- 425 in the common ENSO region, suggesting that El Niño years likely dominate this relationship. However, ENSO is non-linear, and the amount of moisture transported to the basin during El Niño or La Niña years will vary dependent upon strength (Meehl et al., 2001), and other factors, as previously discussed and illustrated in Fig. 4.

#### Table 3: <u>1</u>September-<u>1st</u> Stat-PCR model categorical streamflow results: observed vs. forecast

			Forecast - Septe	mber
		В	Ν	А
	В	14	3	5
Observed	Ν	6	8	8
	А	2	2	18
20 20				

Below-normal (B) Near-Normal (N) Above-Normal (A)

430



Figure 7: May-August global Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI) correlated with October-January streamflow at Algarrobal for: (a) positive MEI years, (b) all MEI years, (c) negative MEI years



#### 3.2 ENSO Phase and Strength Streamflow Prediction Models.

To evaluate ENSO phase-specific models, the Stat-P&S approach is adopted. While several forecast leads and Niño 3.4 index windows were evaluated, the Stat-P&S model performs best for a <u>1</u> May<sub>4</sub><sup>st</sup> forecast, when SSTs in the Niño 3.4 region are at least moderate in strength for a given phase [≥ +0.75°C (El Niño) or ≤ -0.75°C (La Niña)], or relatively neutral [within +/-0.5°C departure from the long-term mean], for at least one month during January-April (JFMA; Table 4.) For 1950 – 2015, 60% of years qualify, triggering the <u>1</u> May<sub>4</sub><sup>st</sup> Stat-P&S categorical prediction model. For moderate conditions (positive and negative), this produces categorical Hit Scores of 75% for Above-normal (El Niño) and 58% for Below-normal (La Niña.) For moderate La Niña only conditions, 7 of the 10 lowest ONDJ streamflow years on record are captured. The remaining three years of lowest ONDJ streamflow (1969, 1995, 2010) are predicted as Above-normal by the Stat-P&S model due to JFMA Niño 3.4 SSTs > 1.0°C (strong El Niño conditions.)

Table 4: Stat-P&S model categorical streamflow results: observed vs. forecast

		rorector	intery	
	В	Ν	А	DNF
В	7	2	3	27
Ν	6	3	6	
A	3	0	9	
	B N A	B 7 B 7 N 6 A 3	B         N           B         7         2           N         6         3           A         3         0	B         N         A           B         7         2         3           N         6         3         6           A         3         0         9

455

#### 3.3 Coupled Statistical Prediction Models.

The Stat-P&S and Stat-PCR models each provide skillful forecasts, at different leads. While Stat-P&S performs best for a 1 May-1<sup>st</sup> forecast lead, particularly for predicting high and low ONDJ streamflow, forecasts are issued only categorically;
deterministic predictions from the Stat-PCR and Stat-Dyn models at this lead are relatively weak. That is, the Stat-P&S model relinquishes forecast determinism and in turn increases forecast lead in comparison to the Stat-PCR and Stat-Dyn approaches. The Stat-P&S model is also triggered for only 60% of the period of record. The other 40% of years occur when Niño 3.4 SSTs, for at least one month during JFMA, are (+0.5°C, +0.75°C) or (-0.5°C, -0.75°C.) These ranges are transitional and do not provide skillful categorical forecasts for the 1 May-1<sup>st</sup> lead. For this reason, the coupled statistical prediction model defers
prediction in these years to 1 September-1st, when the Stat-PCR model is skillful in producing deterministic forecasts of ONDJ streamflow.

To address the limitations of both the Stat-PCR and Stat-P&S models, a coupled, sequential forecast approach is adopted which utilizes both the Stat-P&S and Stat-PCR models in the following manner:

Step 1. The Stat-P&S model issues a <u>1</u>May-1<sup>st</sup> categorical forecast of ONDJ streamflow when the Niño 3.4 conditions are met. Otherwise no forecast is issued.

Step 2a. If the Stat-P&S model issued a <u>1</u>May-1<sup>st</sup> forecast, the Stat-PCR model re-evaluates this prediction on <u>1</u>September
 <sup>475</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> forecast, updating as necessary, and provides a deterministic forecast.

Step 2b. If the Stat-P&S model *did not* issue a <u>1</u>May-1<sup>st</sup> forecast, the Stat-PCR model produces a deterministic forecast on <u>1</u>September-1<sup>st</sup>.

For performance evaluation, a categorical hit by Stat-P&S model becomes a miss if Stat-PCR model predicts a different (and wrong) category. The Stat-PCR model may also correct a categorical miss by the Stat-P&S model. The <u>1</u> May–<u>1</u><sup>st</sup> Stat-P&S and <u>1</u> September-<u>1</u><sup>st</sup> Stat-PCR coupled forecast model revels a large degree of categorical forecast consistency (change between Table 4 and Table 3.) The Stat-PCR model only predicts a different category than the Stat-P&S model in two of the 39 years evaluated, and for these two cases, it changes extreme misses (least desirable outcome) to hits. One such change was for the year 1995, one of the three lowest years of ONDJ streamflow not correctly categorized by the Stat-P&S model (initially predicted Above-normal while Below-normal streamflow observed.) Thus, the coupling of these two Stat models appears to perform superiorly as compared to models individually by skilfully increasing the prediction lead time and allowing for prediction updating, as necessary.

#### **3.4 Allocation Prediction Model**

490 A streamflow prediction-reservoir water balance model system is used to evaluate the performance of water right allocations, as compared with using streamflow observations and streamflow climatology, for a 1 September-1<sup>st</sup> issuance. Utilizing streamflow observations is synonymous with a perfect forecast. The system is tested in hindcast mode using streamflow median and 80<sup>th</sup> percentile streamflow prediction scenarios of ONDJ streamflow separately. Both the median and 80<sup>th</sup> percentile approaches outperform climatology, achieving Hit Scores of 53%, as compared with only a 30% Hit Score using 495 climatology (Table 5.) Additionally, the climatological median fails to predict any years with Extreme reductions (< 0.25 liters per second Ls<sup>-1</sup>); the climatology-based approach over-allocates in 55% of years, as opposed to only 27% of years when applying the 80th percentile forecast approach. This is noteworthy from a management perspective, as over-allocation is often considered more problematic than under-allocation from a long-term, drought-focused perspective. The distributions of forecast-based allocations also more closely match observations than climatology, with the median and the 80th percentile forecast scenarios exceeding observation-based allocations by only 0.06 and 0.04 liters per secondL s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, on 500 average (Fig. 8(a.) Over-allocation using climatological streamflow is again evident, as the interquartile range (IQR) of climatological allocations does not align with observations. While the IQR of the forecast-based scenario is larger than the

observation-based scenario, it does not systematically over-allocate (Fig. 8(a.) This can also be illustrated by calculating the ratio of each approach (climatology and forecasts) to observed allocations (Fig. 8(b.) In this case, a perfect score would be a

505 consistent value of one, as a climatological or forecast allocation would match each observation-based allocation. The forecastbased allocation ratios produce smaller IQRs and lower median values than climatology-based allocations, implying that the forecasts are better aligned with observations and slightly more conservative.

M P 1		Forecast – Sep				
Hit Score 53% Extreme Miss 5%		Extreme	Severe	Moderate		
2.1.1. 0.1.0		< 0.25 L/s	≤0.5 L/s	≥0.5 L/s		
	Extreme	10	11	1		
Observed	Severe	5	8	9		
	Moderate	2	3	17		
ooth D		F	orecast – Sep			
80 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Forecast Hit Score 53%		Extreme	Severe	Moderate		
Extreme Mis	5 5C01 e 5 70	< 0.25 L/s	≤0.5 L/s	≥0.5 L/s		
	Extreme	11	11	0		
Observed	Severe	6	9	7		
	Moderate	2	5	15		
	•	F	orecast - Sep			
Climatology Hit Score 30%		Extreme	Severe	Moderate		
Latrenie Mis	5 50010 ¥ /0	< 0.25 L/s	≤0.5 L/s	≥0.5 L/s		
	Extreme	0	21	1		
Observed	Severe	0	9	13		
	Moderate	0	11	11		



# Figure 8: Reservoir model-derived forecast allocations: (a) absolute allocation values, (b) ratio of forecast allocations to observed allocations

The probabilistic modelling approach also allows for an understanding of categorical forecast certainty and strength, that is, the degree to which the model suggest a category (Fig. 9.) In this case, the forecast-based allocations more often indicate a stronger forecast tendency (higher probability) toward one category, whereas the climatology-based allocations often indicate a weaker tendency to shift. While this is not always the case, from a reservoir management perspective, climatology-based allocations provide less actionable information, as the strength of the predicted categories are often not too dissimilar, even in years where correct predictions are made. In contrast, for the 28 years where forecast-based allocations of a category exceed 80% (a strong prediction), the Hit Score is 79%, a high success rate, and further, no extreme misses occur (Moderate category

550 predicted, Extreme category observed), avoiding over-allocation in dry years.



Figure 9: Probabilistic water right allocation forecast using (a) 1\_September-1st PCR-Stat model 80th percentile, (b) long-term averages (climatology)

The effect of over- and under-allocation by both forecast- and climatology-based approaches on end of year reservoir storage 555 is also evaluated. Large deviations from the 100 million  $\frac{m^3}{m^3}$  eubic meter target volume (<u>1</u> February 1<sup>st</sup>) are viewed as problematic to the JVRE and water rights holders (Fig. 10.) The prior analysis demonstrates the propensity for the climatologybased approach to consistently over-allocate, resulting in reservoir volumes consistently below the target. The forecast-based scenarios have a smaller IQR with median values approaching the target value. The climatology-based approach also allocates

560 the full reservoir volume in 33% of years (leaving the reservoir empty), which happens in only 11% of years under the forecastbased scenarios, due to prediction error (Fig. 10.)



Figure 10: End of year reservoir storage under three allocation approaches; 100 M m<sup>3</sup> is the target

#### 4 Discussion.

565 The framework developed here, although applied specifically to the Elqui Valley in Chile, can provide a broad pathway for managers and rights holders in water rights managed basins to benefit from streamflow forecast-informed reservoir allocations. Although streamflow predictions hold modest skill for the Elqui, the coupling of the Stat-P&S and Stat-PCR models, and subsequent coupling of forecasts with the human managed allocation framework, provide for increases in system efficiency as compared with climatology based forecasts. Specifically, the Stat-PCR streamflow prediction-reservoir water balance model 570 system produces values closely matched with observations over the historical period, and each forecast (median, 80<sup>th</sup> percentile) outperforms climatology. Use of the 80<sup>th</sup> percentile Stat-PCR forecast is intended to represent risk aversion; however, the probabilistic framework allows assessment for any risk preference. Ensemble predictions illustrate the general propensity of a climatology-based allocation to provide limited actionable information in contrast to forecast-based allocations,



which exhibit enhanced skill when the model issues strong predictions (>80% categorical likelihood.) However, in years when

- 575 the Stat-PCR forecast-based allocation model issues a weak prediction (no dominant tendency toward any specific category) other allocation decision frameworks may be worth investigating (e.g. allocation based on existing storage only as a hedge against inflow uncertainty.) The development and implementation of the probabilistic framework by reservoir managers, as a mechanism to convert streamflow forecasts into forecast allocations, may arguably necessitate a higher level of communication with water rights holders. Probabilistic forecasts can provide option value to water rights holders if the strength of the category
- 580 predicted would alter water rights holders' decisions (e.g. change cropping decisions, prompt water procurement or sales) acting under the presumption of economic rationality. This hypothesis may also be worth investigating.

585

Selection of categorical thresholds (three for this case study) is based on equal distribution of observations, and does not necessarily represent the preferences of reservoir managers or rights holders, however these thresholds are easily adjustable. For example, if only two categories are selected as allocations above and below 0.75 L/ $_{s}$ -1, the Hit Score rises to 92%, which could be representative of some productivity threshold (e.g. crop water requirement). The framework is thus sufficiently flexible to allow managers to select categories which reflect true differences in the utility of allocations to water rights holders.

- While the approaches in this research are predominantly a demonstration of concept, the model framework is consistent with
  the current operations of Puclaro Reservoir. However, it is not optimized to hedge against expected future (multi-year)
  conditions. While the model may be informative over the long-term, resulting in allocation and storage values better matched
  with observations than climatology-based allocations, it performs poorly in certain years, most notably during the 2009 2015
  hydrologic and meteorological drought (Fig. 9(a.)) While poor model performance during this period is undoubtedly due in
  part to the limited reservoir operating rules, the Stat-PCR approach tends to under predict extremes, especially when they occur
  consecutively. Further forecast model development will focus on improving predictive skill of extreme events, particularly
  dry periods, making use of non-parametric methods and additional multi-model approaches, and dynamic rule structures and
  - simulation techniques. Even so, adoption of the approaches presented here by water managers and rights holders bodes well for improved economic efficiency and benefits across the Elqui Valley.

#### 600 5 Conclusions.

The focus of this research is to develop an understanding of the mechanisms contributing to austral summer streamflow in the Elqui Valley, investigate model skill at varied forecast leads, and produce forecast-based water-right allocations to inform water resources management decision-making. Like many regions, the dynamic nature of ocean, atmosphere and terrestrial interactions, which contribute to moisture transport in the Elqui Valley, are undoubtedly complex and challenge hydrologic

605 prediction models at increasing leads. The mixed success of streamflow forecasts currently in use for the Elqui reflect this.

Here, a framework is established by which streamflow forecasts can be produced and coupled with human managed allocation systems to promote equity and efficiency in the use of limited water resources.

Correlation and composite mapping suggest moisture transport to the Elqui Valley is dependent on the phase, strength and timing of many variables (Fig. 4.) While austral winter precipitation, SST, and soil moisture correlations with ONDJ streamflow at varied leads are encouraging (Fig. 5), the Stat-PCR approach, which makes use of these predictors, is skillful only at a <u>1</u>September<sup>4st</sup> lead, as indicated by RPSS scores and other forecast validation metrics (Table 2.) The Stat-Dyn approach, using precipitation and SSTs, results in inferior outcomes compared with the Stat-PCR model. The Stat-P&S model, however, provides skillful predictions of ONDJ streamflow at a <u>1</u>May<sup>4st</sup> lead, albeit categorically and is triggered in only 60% of the period 1950 – 2015.

The broader insight gained is in the coupling of the Stat-P&S and Stat-PCR models to produce initial (1 May-1<sup>st</sup>) and updated (1\_September-1<sup>st</sup>) forecasts which may be valuable to both reservoir managers and water rights holders. From a reservoir management perspective, properly setting the per right water allocation (1 September-1<sup>st</sup>) is critically important to satisfy rights holders and maintain adequate reservoir storage for the uncertain future. The Stat-PCR component of the coupled model 620 provides skill superior to climatology, and likely better informs allocation decisions. Reservoir managers, however, are also expected to provide a non-binding 1 May-1<sup>st</sup> allocation forecast, allowing rights holders, specifically farmers with crop choice flexibility and/or water right leasing potential, to supplement through the water market as necessary. The Stat-P&S categorical forecast with a 1 May 1<sup>st</sup> lead can inform these longer planning actions. The strong categorical consistency between the 1 May 625 +<sup>st</sup> Stat-P&S and <u>1</u> September +<sup>st</sup> Stat-PCR forecasts may also serve to reinforce confidence in the forecast outcomes; the two models only differ in prediction categories twice in the 66 years evaluated. The conclusion here is that coupled forecasts need not be strictly deterministic, and using early categorical forecasts to provide an indication of expected conditions, and reinforcing the prediction with a revised deterministic forecast as more observations of local variables (e.g. precipitation) become available may be useful for water rights holders. In addition, linking the streamflow forecast with the human managed allocation system is broadly relevant as a mechanism to promote efficiency in the use of limited water resources. The 630 framework presented here addresses the unique set of circumstances in water rights managed basins, and represents an

advancement in linking season-ahead streamflow forecasts to water resources systems.

#### 635 Code Availability.

Should future reproduction of results become necessary, any codes will be made available, by the corresponding author, upon request.

#### Data Availability.

The data used to produce this research come from open sources, including the Chilean Ministry of Public Works – Dirrecion 640 de Aguas (DGA) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Through use of the International Research Institute's Data Library, all relevant data sets may be obtained.

#### Appendices.

None.

#### Supplemental Link.

645 To be included by Copernicus

#### Team List.

Justin Delorit Edmundo Cristian Gonzalez Ortuya Paul Block

#### 650 Author Contribution.

Justin Delorit, Edmundo Cristian Gonzalez Ortuya, Paul Block each contributed to the hydroclimatological analysis, developed model code and evaluated simulations.

#### **Competing Interests.**

None.

#### 655 Disclaimer.

To be added later.

#### Acknowledgements.

This work is partially funded by a scholarship provided by the Air Force Institute of Technology.

#### **References.**

660 Aceituno, P. (1988). On the Functioning of the Southern Oscillation in the South American Sector. Part I: Surface Climate. Mon. Weather Rev. 116, 505–524.

Balmaseda, M.A., Davey, M.K., and Anderson, D.L.T. (1995). Decadal and Seasonal Dependence of ENSO Prediction Skill. J. Clim. *8*, 2705–2715.

Barnston, A.G., van den Dool, H.M., Rodenhuis, D.R., Ropelewski, C.R., Kousky, V.E., O'Lenic, E.A., Livezey, R.E., Zebiak,
 S.E., Cane, M.A., Barnett, T.P., et al. (1994). Long-Lead Seasonal Forecasts—Where Do We Stand? Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 75, 2097–2114.

Barsugli, J.J., Vogel, J.M., Kaatz, L., Smith, J.B., Waage, M., and Anderson, C.J. (2012). Two Faces of Uncertainty: Climate Science and Water Utility Planning Methods. J. Water Resour. Plan. Manag. *138*, 389–395.

Block, P. (2011). Tailoring seasonal climate forecasts for hydropower operations. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 15, 1355–1368.

670 Block, P., and Rajagopalan, B. (2007). Interannual Variability and Ensemble Forecast of Upper Blue Nile Basin Kiremt Season Precipitation. J. Hydrometeorol. *8*, 327–343.

Block, P.J., Souza Filho, F.A., Sun, L., and Kwon, H.-H. (2009). A Streamflow Forecasting Framework using Multiple Climate and Hydrological Models1. JAWRA J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc. 45, 828–843.

Bosilovich, M.G., Chen, J., Robertson, F.R., and Adler, R.F. (2008). Evaluation of Global Precipitation in Reanalyses. J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol. 47, 2279–2299.

Brown, C., and Lall, U. (2006). Water and economic development: The role of variability and a framework for resilience. Nat. Resour. Forum *30*, 306–317.

Cepeda, J., and Lopez-Cortes, F. (2004). Sistemas Naturales de La Hoya Hidrografica del Rio Elqui: Variabilidad Climatica a Vulnerabilidad.

680 Chambers, J.C., Mullick, S.K., and Smith, D.D. (1971). How to Choose the Right Forecasting Technique.

Christensen, N.S., Wood, A.W., Voisin, N., Lettenmaier, D.P., and Palmer, R.N. (2004). The Effects of Climate Change on the Hydrology and Water Resources of the Colorado River Basin. Clim. Change 62, 337–363.

CPC (2012). Climate Prediction Center - NMME Forecasts of Monthly Climate Anomalies.

CPC (2016). Climate Prediction Center - Monitoring & Data: ENSO Impacts on the U.S. - Previous Events.

685 Dee, D.P., Uppala, S.M., Simmons, A.J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M.A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., et al. (2011). The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc. 137, 553–597.

Falvey, M., and Garreaud, R. (2007). Wintertime Precipitation Episodes in Central Chile: Associated Meteorological Conditions and Orographic Influences. J. Hydrometeorol. *8*, 171–193.

690 Fowler, H.J., and Ekström, M. (2009). Multi-model ensemble estimates of climate change impacts on UK seasonal precipitation extremes. Int. J. Climatol. 29, 385–416.

G Donoso (2006). Water markets: case study of Chile's 1981 Water Code. Cien. Inv. Agr. 33 (2): 157-171. Cienc. E Investig. Agrar. 33, 131.

Garreaud, R.D., Vuille, M., Compagnucci, R., and Marengo, J. (2009). Present-day South American climate. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. *281*, 180–195.

Hamlet, A.F., Huppert, D., and Lettenmaier, D.P. (2002). Economic Value of Long-Lead Streamflow Forecasts for Columbia River Hydropower. J. Water Resour. Plan. Manag. *128*, 91–101.

Hansen, J.W., Potgieter, A., and Tippett, M.K. (2004). Using a general circulation model to forecast regional wheat yields in northeast Australia. Agric. For. Meteorol. 127, 77–92.

700 Hearne, R.R., and Easter, K.W. (1995). Water Allocation and Water Markets: An Analysis of Gains-from-trade in Chile (World Bank Publications).

Helmuth, M.E., Moorhead, A., Thomson, M.C., and Williams, J. (2007). Climate Risk Management in Africa: Learning from practice.

Huang, J., van den Dool, H.M., and Georgarakos, K.P. (1996). Analysis of Model-Calculated Soil Moisture over the United 705 States (1931–1993) and Applications to Long-Range Temperature Forecasts. J. Clim. *9*, 1350–1362.

Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M., Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., et al. (1996). The NCEP/NCAR 40-Year Reanalysis Project. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 77, 437–471.

Kalthoff, N., Bischoff-Gauß, I., Fiebig-Wittmaack, M., Fiedler, F., Thürauf, J., Novoa, E., Pizarro, C., Castillo, R., Gallardo, L., Rondanelli, R., et al. (2002). Mesoscale Wind Regimes in Chile at 30°S. J. Appl. Meteorol. 41, 953–970.

710 Kendon, E.J., Roberts, N.M., Fowler, H.J., Roberts, M.J., Chan, S.C., and Senior, C.A. (2014). Heavier summer downpours with climate change revealed by weather forecast resolution model. Nat. Clim. Change 4, 570–576.

Lins, H.F. (1985). Interannual streamflow variability in the United States based on principal components. Water Resour. Res. 21, 691–701.

Maraun, D. (2013). Bias Correction, Quantile Mapping, and Downscaling: Revisiting the Inflation Issue. J. Clim. 26, 2137– 715 2143.

Mason, D.S.J., and Stephenson, D.B. (2008). How Do We Know Whether Seasonal Climate Forecasts are Any Good? In Seasonal Climate: Forecasting and Managing Risk, D.A. Troccoli, D.M. Harrison, P.D.L.T. Anderson, and D.S.J. Mason, eds. (Springer Netherlands), pp. 259–289.

Meehl, G.A., Gent, P.R., Arblaster, J.M., Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Brady, E.C., and Craig, A. (2001). Factors that affect the amplitude of El Nino in global coupled climate models. Clim. Dyn. 17, 515–526.

Montecinos, A., and Aceituno, P. (2003). Seasonality of the ENSO-Related Rainfall Variability in Central Chile and Associated Circulation Anomalies. J. Clim. 16, 281–296.

N Kalthoff, Fiebig-Wittmaack, Meißner, Kohler, Uriarte, Bischoff-Gauß, and Gonzales (2006). The energy balance, evapotranspiration and nocturnal dew deposition of an arid valley in the Andes. J. Arid Environ. 65, 420–443.

725 Narula, K.K., and Lall, U. (2009). Challenges in Securing India's Water Future. J. Crop Improv. 24, 85–91.

Olmstead, S.M. (2010). The Economics of Managing Scarce Water Resources. Rev. Environ. Econ. Policy 4, 179–198.

Regonda, S.K., Rajagopalan, B., and Clark, M. (2006). A new method to produce categorical streamflow forecasts. Water Resour. Res. 42, W09501.

Robertson, A.W., Baethgen, W., Block, P., Lall, U., Sankarasubramanian, A., de Assis de Souza Filho, F., and J Verbist, K.M. (2014). Climate risk management for water in semi–arid regions. Earth Perspect. *1*, 12.

Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Tripp, P., Behringer, D., Hou, Y.-T., Chuang, H., Iredell, M., et al. (2013). The NCEP Climate Forecast System Version 2. J. Clim. 27, 2185–2208.

Sankarasubramanian, A., Lall, U., Souza Filho, F.A., and Sharma, A. (2009). Improved water allocation utilizing probabilistic climate forecasts: Short-term water contracts in a risk management framework. Water Resour. Res. 45, W11409.

735 Santibañez, F., Romero A, H., Peña T, H., Gwynne, R., Ihl, M., and Riva, A. (1992). Climate Change and Regional Development in the Norte Chico, Chile.

Saunders, M.A., and Fletcher, C. (2004). Verification of Spring 2004 UK city temperature seasonal forecasts.

Souza Filho, F.A., and Lall, U. (2003). Seasonal to interannual ensemble streamflow forecasts for Ceara, Brazil: Applications of a multivariate, semiparametric algorithm. Water Resour. Res. 39, 1307.

740 Stakhiv, E.Z. (1998). Policy implications of climate change impacts on water resources management. Water Policy 1, 159– 175.

Svoboda, M., LeComte, D., Hayes, M., Heim, R., and al, et (2002). The drought monitor. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. Boston 83, 1181–1190.

Tanaka, S.K., Zhu, T., Lund, J.R., Howitt, R.E., Jenkins, M.W., Pulido, M.A., Tauber, M., Ritzema, R.S., and Ferreira, I.C.
(2006). Climate Warming and Water Management Adaptation for California. Clim. Change *76*, 361–387.

Verbist, K., Robertson, A.W., Cornelis, W.M., and Gabriels, D. (2010). Seasonal Predictability of Daily Rainfall Characteristics in Central Northern Chile for Dry-Land Management. J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol. 49, 1938–1955.

Webster, P.J., and Hoyos, C.D. (2010). Beyond the spring barrier? Nat. Geosci. 3, 152–153.

Wheeler, S., Garrick, D., Loch, A., and Bjornlund, H. (2013). Evaluating water market products to acquire water for the environment in Australia. Land Use Policy *30*, 427–436.

Wolter, K., and Timlin, M.S. (1993). Monitoring ENSO in COADS with a Seasonally Adjusted Principal Component Index.

Wolter, K., and Timlin, M.S. (1998). Measuring the strength of ENSO events: How does 1997/98 rank? Weather 53, 315–324.

You, J.-Y., and Cai, X. (2008). Determining forecast and decision horizons for reservoir operations under hedging policies. Water Resour. Res. 44, W11430.

755 Young, G., Zavala, H., Wandel, J., Smit, B., Salas, S., Jimenez, E., Fiebig, M., Espinoza, R., Diaz, H., and Cepeda, J. (2009). Vulnerability and adaptation in a dryland community of the Elqui Valley, Chile. Clim. Change *98*, 245–276.

Zimmerman, B.G., Vimont, D.J., and Block, P.J. (2016). Utilizing the state of ENSO as a means for season-ahead predictor selection. Water Resour. Res. 52, 3761–3774.

Zwick, W.R., and Velicer, W.F. (1986). Comparison of five rules for determining the number of components to retain. Psychol. Bull. *99*, 432–442.