

I appreciate and thank the authors for their clear and concise response to feedback from all reviewers. The authors' responses were thoughtful and thorough. The reviewers find that the revised version of the manuscript satisfactory addresses their comments and can be published as is. I agree with the reviewers' opinion and recommend the manuscript for publication in HESS.

Alexander Gelfan,
Handling Editor

Principal Criteria	Excellent (1)	Good (2)	Fair (3)	Poor (4)
Scientific Significance: Does the manuscript represent a substantial contribution to scientific progress within the scope of <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> (substantial new concepts, ideas, methods, or data)?		+		
Scientific Quality: Are the scientific approach and applied methods valid? Are the results discussed in an appropriate and balanced way (consideration of related work, including appropriate references)?		+		
Presentation Quality: Are the scientific results and conclusions presented in a clear, concise, and well-structured way (number and quality of figures/tables, appropriate use of English language)?		+		