Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-569-AC2, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



HESSD

Interactive comment

# Interactive comment on "Climate change over the high-mountain versus plain areas: Effects on the land surface hydrologic budget in the Alpine area and northern Italy" by Claudio Cassardo et al.

#### Claudio Cassardo et al.

spark@ewha.ac.kr

Received and published: 27 January 2018

## Reply to the Comments by Referee #2 for Manuscript hess-2017-569

**General Comments:** The manuscript 'Climate change over the high-mountain versus plain areas: Effects on the land surface hydrologic budget in the Alpine area and northern Italy' presents climate change impacts on evapotranspiration, precipitation and soil moisture over the Alpine and northern Italy using regional climate model and land surface process model. Authors well deliver the changes of hydrological budget under climate change. However there are a few concerns described below.





We appreciate the valuable comments by the referee along with many helpful suggestions, which helped us improve the manuscript significantly. We have substantially revised the manuscript following the referee's comments/suggestions. In the following, we have provided an item-by-item reply to the referee's comments.

#### Major comments:

- 1. Authors used RegCM3 in this study. Newer version model dose not necessary mean that having better performance but authors need to justify why older version model with older scenario (AR4) was employed in this study.
  - ⇒ We admit the existence of a newer version of RegCM (i.e., RegCM4) and totally agree with the referee that newer version model does not necessarily has better performance. We decided to employ RegCM3 for the following reasons:
    - RegCM3 had been employed in several important projects, including PRUDENCE, ENSEMBLES and CECILIA, whose outputs had been used in numerous studies focusing on Europe (e.g., Christensen and Christensen, 2007; Blenkinsop and Fowler, 2007; Ballester et al., 2010; Coppola and Giorgi, 2010; Herrera et al., 2010; Rauscher et al., 2010; Kyselý et al., 2011; Torma et al., 2011; Heinrich et al., 2014; Skalák et al., 2014; Faggian, 2015);
    - It had also been widely used, even most recently, for the studies of climate projections or sensitivities/evaluations over a geographical region including the target areas in our study — the Alpine and adjacent areas (e.g., Gao et al., 2006; Smiatek et

# HESSD

Interactive comment

**Printer-friendly version** 



al., 2009; Coppola and Giorgi, 2010; Im et al., 2010; Coppola et al., 2014; Nadeem and Formayer, 2016; Alo and Anagnostou, 2017);

3) Since a plenty of model outputs were available from several relevant projects (e.g., PRUDENCE, ENSEMBLES, CECILIA, etc.) and we had limited computing resources and man power for exploring all available data sources, we decided to select a wellknown model which had been extensively used for such kind of studies.

These points are now clearly addressed in the revised manuscript (see the early part of Sec. 2).

⇒ We also acknowledge that the scenarios used here (SRES A2/B2) are older than the RCP scenarios used in IPCC AR5. However, scenarios are designed to depict possible future developments with some uncertainties that reflect different understandings of the current/intermediate socio-economic and/or greenhouse gas emission circumstances; thus, each scenario has its own value and philosophy, and older scenarios do not necessarily mean that they are useless or wrong. Numerous previous studies on climate projections/impacts had been conducted based on the SRES scenarios, which we cannot totally neglect even though new scenarios have emerged. Rather, there have been some studies to check similarities/differences between the two scenario sets for a given projection period or to address the value of using both scenario sets for future climate projections. We added the following statements in appropriate positions in the revised manuscript. In addition, explanations on more relevant references are provided in "Reply to Referee 1" (see Major comments #3 and references therein).

In the last decade, numerous studies on climate projec-

**HESSD** 

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



tions/impacts had been conducted using the SRES scenarios. After the emergence of the new RCP scenarios, there have been studies to check similarities/differences between the two scenario sets for a given projection period (e.g., Rogelj et al., 2012; Baker and Huang, 2014) or to address the value of using both scenario sets for future climate projections (e.g., Peters et al., 2013; O'Sullivan et al., 2016; Nolan et al., 2017). It turns out that both SRES and RCP scenarios are generally in good agreements, for pairs of closest counterparts, in projecting climate in the 21st century. For example, Rogeli et al. (2012) pointed out that the RCP scenarios spanned a larger range of temperature estimates than the SRES scenarios, and indicated similar temperature projections for pairs between the two scenario sets: RCP 8.5 similar to A1FI, RCP6 to B2, and RCP 4.5 to B1, respectively. Baker and Huang (2014) reported a common drying trend, over the Mediterranean region, between the CMIP3 simulations based on SRES A1B and the CMIP5 simulations based on RCPs 4.5 and 8.5. Peters et al. (2013) projected global warming through all available emission scenarios, showing that RCP 8.5 and SRES A1FI and A2 lead to the highest temperature projections and RCP3-PD (peak and decline in concentration) would keep global warming below 2°C in 2100. Most recently, O'Sullivan et al. (2016) and Nolan et al. (2017) assessed impacts of climate change on temperature and rainfall, respectively, by mid-21st century in Ireland using both SRES and RCP scenarios, and provided a wide range of possible climate projections. O'Sullivan et al. (2016) found that future summers had the largest projected warming under RCP 8.5 while future winters had the greatest warming under A1B and A2. Nolan et al. (2017) created a medium-to-low emission ensemble using the RCP4.5 and B1 scenario simulations and a high

## HESSD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



emission ensemble using the RCP8.5, A1B and A2 simulations, which enabled to have 25 high and 21 medium-to-low emission ensemble comparisons: they found significant projected decreases in mean annual, spring and summer precipitation amounts — largest for summer, with different reduction range for different scenario ensemble.

Furthermore, the SRES scenarios have often been adopted in most recent studies even long after the release of the RCP scenarios because the old scenarios were in accord with their objectives (e.g., Dunford et al., 2015; Jaczewski et al., 2015; Kiguchi et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015; Casajus et al., 2016; Harrison et al., 2016; Mamoon et al., 2016; Stevanović et al., 2016; Tukimat and Alias, 2016; Zheng et al., 2016; Hassan et al., 2017; Park et al., 2017; da Silva et al., 2017). We employed the SRES marker scenarios because of their long-term consistency in assessing the impact of climate change on global/regional factors of socio-economy and environment during the last decade — including air quality (Jacob and Winner, 2009; Carvalho et al., 2010), water guality/resources (Wilby et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2008, 2014; Luo et al., 2013), energy (Hoogwijk et al., 2005; van Vliet et al., 2012), agriculture/forestry (Lavalle et al., 2009; Calzadilla et al., 2013; Stevanović et al., 2016; Zubizarreta-Gerendiain et al., 2016), fisheries (Barange et al., 2014; Lam et al., 2016), health/disease (Patz et al., 2005; Giorgi and Diffenbaugh, 2008; Ogden et al., 2014), climate/weather extremes (Déqué, 2007; Marengo et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2012; Rummukainen, 2012), wildfire (Liu et al., 2010; Westerling et al., 2011), ecosystem/biodiversity (Araújo et al., 2008; Feehan et al., 2009; Jones et al., 2009; Fronzek et al., 2012; Walz et al., 2014), and so forth. Although an ensemble approach with all possible sce-

## HESSD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



narios would increase the spread of hydrologic budget simulations, due to the limited resources, we decided to select two representative marker scenarios: A2 as the higher-end and B2 as the lowerend emission scenario, respectively.

All these points addressed above are adequately reflected in the revised manuscript (see the early part of Sec. 3).

- 2. In this study, authors employed single RCM and single land model. Authors need to discuss about model uncertainties comparing to the multi-model approaches.
  - $\implies$  We totally accept that a single model approach has relatively larger uncertainty: it is desirable to employ an ensemble approach, using multiple models and/or initial conditions, to estimate the range of climate projections. Our decision to employ the single-model approach is mainly due to limitation in computing resources and man power to perform multi-model ensemble simulations for both RCM and LSM. Given such limitations, a high-resolution single model is often not a bad choice, compared to an ensemble of coarse multi-models, especially over a complex terrain. Coppola and Giorgi (2010) pointed out that the CMIP3 GCMs showed a much larger range of bias for temperature and precipitation than the PRUDENCE RCMs: they also made a fine-scale (20 km) single model experiment using RegCM3 and found that both the temperature and precipitation changes through RegCM3 were in line with the CMIP3 and PRUDENCE ensemble results. Generally speaking, multi-model ensembles tend to decrease the errors compared to an individual model; however, due to the averaging operation (e.g., ensemble mean), the spatial and temporal variability of the signal tends to decrease. Furthermore, many previous studies on various climate change impacts/projections had been performed using the single RCM approach (e.g., Dankers and Feyen, 2008; Beniston, 2009; Im et al. 2010; Krüger et al., 2012; Zanis et

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



al., 2012; Tainio et al., 2013; Park et al., 2017). However, as uncertainty of the projected changes related to model bias and ensemble variability is quite large, future projections based on a single RCM should be interpreted with caution. Further research is needed to obtain more robust results from an ensemble approach. In the revised manuscript, we have addressed these points and mentioned limitations of our study in terms of the single-model approach (see the last part of Sec. 3).

- 3. I do believe there are quite a few previous studies over the study region. Authors need to introduce them.
  - ⇒ Following the referee's suggestion, we have added statements in the revised manuscript by citing more relevant references of the previous studies on climate projections over the study region (generally Europe including the Alps and northern Italy). We actually added a separate subsection dedicated to this matter in Sec. 4 of the revised manuscript (see 4.4 Comparative discussion on previous works).
- 4. Authors discussed about possible agricultural impact due to the lower soil moisture. However, in this study, vegetation type in UTOPIA were set single type of vegetation (short grasses) all over the domain. Have you done any sensitivity test on vegetation types?
  - ⇒ We decided to set the vegetation type equal for all grid points (i.e., short grasses) for the following reasons: 1) for the "reference climate", to avoid any problem in interpretation of results due to the differences in vegetation; and 2) for the "future climate", to alleviate the uncertainty in vegetation type at the end of 21st century. In terms of meteorological variables, this is not a bad assumption because most observation stations are normally installed over short grasses.

HESSD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



By the way, in terms of plant height, root depth and vegetation characteristics, short grasses can be roughly regarded as most common cereals (wheat, maize, etc.), and would not be quite different from such kind of agricultural products. Finally, we have also performed simulations using the "true" vegetation (as deduced by detailed databases), and the results with the pastures and agricultural areas have generally been confirmed, though the numerical values of the variables were slightly different. Unfortunately, we did not publish papers about this topic yet. We have addressed these points in the revised manuscript (see the middle part of Sec. 3; staring last paragraph of page 8).

#### Minor comments:

- 1. Page 1. Remove all acronyms in abstract.
  - ⇒ We minimized the use of acronyms in Abstract; however, we kept acronyms of the model names (i.e., RegCM3 and UTOPIA) because most readers recognize the model names by their acronyms.
- 2. Page 2, line 15. Global circulation models. Is this different one as GCMs previously defined?
  - ⇒ We appreciate the reviewer pointing this out. Usually GCM can represent either Global Climate Model or General Circulation Model. As the global climate is usually simulated using the general circulation model, we re-defined GCM as Global Circulation Model and modified the text accordingly in the revised manuscript.
- 3. Page 3, line 22-24. Related with major comments 1. EURO-CORDEX has RegCM4 with higher resolution.

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



- ⇒ We have explained the reason to choose RegCM3 in Major Comments #1. However, as the referee pointed out, the statement "... one of the existing datasets with the highest resolution currently available (20 km)" may not be true because of the existence of RegCM4 with higher resolution (about 12 km). We have modified the expression in the revised manuscript as "... one of the high-resolution datasets currently available".
- 4. Page 4, line 5-19. Move to methods section.

 $\implies$  Done.

- 5. Page 4, line 20. Energy variables are critical part of your argument. Please include the figures as a supplementary.
  - ⇒ The land surface energy balance is an important issue itself, and we prepared a companion paper dealing with the energy budget components in the same study area — it is ready to be submitted. We put the companion paper to the References and cited appropriately in the revised manuscript.
- 6. Page 4, line 25. I cannot find the PR minimum shifts in the figure.
  - $\implies$  Actually the figure shows the trends of variables for PC in Fig. 2a, and the anomalies of variables (i.e., the differences between FCs and PC) in Figs. 2b and c. Thus, it is quite difficult to see the trends of variables in FCs from Figs. 2b and c. By looking at the individual trends related to A2 and B2 simulations (not shown in the paper), the mentioned shift becomes noticeable. To avoid any confusion, we have modified the expression "with the PR minimum shifted to August in FC<sub>A2</sub>" to "with the PR minimum shifted to August in FC<sub>A2</sub>" to "with the PR minimum shifted to August in FC<sub>A2</sub>".
- 7. Page 4, line 26. ET of  $FC_{B2}$  shows double peaks rather than shifting in Fig. 2b.

Interactive comment

**Printer-friendly version** 



- $\implies$  As we explained above in #6, it is because the lines referred to FC<sub>B2</sub> represent the anomalies, i.e., the differences between FC<sub>B2</sub> and PC (i.e., FC<sub>B2</sub> minus PC). Again we modified the expression "*the ET maxima shift towards July/August, in both FC*<sub>A2</sub> and FC<sub>B2</sub>" to "*the ET maxima shift totowards July/August, in both FC*<sub>A2</sub> and FC<sub>B2</sub>" to "*the ET maxima shift to-*
- 8. Page 4, line 29-31. It looks like the large variation stems on future PR variation. Can you explain why PR has large variation?
  - ⇒ As reported by some other studies (e.g., Gao et al., 2006), in winter the increase in southwesterly flow across the Alps causes a maximum of positive precipitation change over the southern Alps while in autumn the main circulation change is in the easterly and southeasterly direction. This explains the positive precipitation change at south of the Alps. We have added this explanation in the revised manuscript.
- 9. Page 6 line 27-29. Can you include the names of geographical location on the map (e.g. Fig. 1)
  - $\implies$  We added additional map representing the names of geographical locations in Figure 1, as requested by the reviewer.

#### References

- Alo, C. A., and Anagnostou, E. N.: A sensitivity study of the impact of dynamic vegetation on simulated future climate change over Southern Europe and the Mediterranean, Int. J. Climatol. 37, 2037–2050, doi: 10.1002/joc.4833, 2017.
- Araújo, M. B., Nogués-Bravo, D., Reginster, I., Rounsevell, M., and Whittaker, R. J.: Exposure of European biodiversity to changes in human-induced pressures, Environ. Sci. Policy, 11, 38–45, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2007.07.002, 2008.

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



- Baker, N. C., and Huang, H.-P.: A comparative study of precipitation and evaporation between CMIP3 and CMIP5 climate model ensembles in semiarid regions, J. Climate, 27, 3731-3749, doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00398.1, 2014.
- Ballester, J., Rodó, X., and Giorgi, F.: Future changes in Central Europe heat waves expected to mostly follow summer mean warming, Clim. Dyn., 35, 1191–1205, doi: 10.1007/s00382-009-0641-5, 2010.
- Barange, M., Merino, G., Blanchard, J. L., Scholtens, J., Harle, J., Allison, E. H., Allen, J. I., Holt, J., and Jennings, S.: Impacts of climate change on marine ecosystem production in societies dependent on fisheries, Nature Clim. Change, 4, 211– 216, doi: 10.1038/nclimate2119, 2014.
- Beniston, M.: Trends in joint quantiles of temperature and precipitation in Europe since 1901 and projected for 2100, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L07707, doi: 10.1029/2008GL037119, 2009.
- Blenkinsop, S., and Fowler, H. J.: Changes in European drought characteristics projected by the PRUDENCE regional climate models, Int. J. Climatol., 27, 1595– 1610, doi: 10.1002/joc.1538, 2007.
- Calzadilla, A., Rehdanz, K., Betts, R., Falloon, P., Wiltshire, A., and Tol, R. S. J.: Climate change impacts on global agriculture, Clim. Change, 120, 357–374, doi: 10.1007/s10584-013-0822-4, 2013.
- Carvalho, A., Monteiro, A., Solman, S., Miranda, A. I., and Borrego, C.: Climatedriven changes in air quality over Europe by the end of the 21st century, with special reference to Portugal, Environ. Sci. Policy, 13, 445–458, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2010.05.001, 2010.
- Casajus, N., Périé, C., Logan, T., Lambert, M.-C., de Blois, S., and Berteaux, D., An objective approach to select climate scenarios when projecting species distribu-

Printer-friendly version



tion under climate change, PLoS ONE, 11, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0152495, 2016.

- Christensen, J. H., and Christensen, O. B.: A summary of the PRUDENCE model projections of changes in European climate by the end of this century, Clim. Change, 81(Suppl 1), 7–30, doi: 10.1007/s10584-006-9210-7, 2007.
- Coppola, E., and Giorgi, F.: An assessment of temperature and precipitation change projections over Italy from recent global and regional climate model simulations, Int. J. Climatol., 30, 11–32, doi: 10.1002/joc.1867, 2010.
- Coppola, E., Verdecchia, M., Giorgi, F., Colaiuda, V., Tomassetti, B., and Lombardi, A.: Changing hydrological conditions in the Po basin under global warming, Sci. Total Environ., 493, 1183–1196, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.003, 2014.
- da Silva, R. S., Kumar, L., Shabani, F., and Picanço, M. C.: Potential risk levels of invasive *Neoleucinodes elegantalis* (small tomato borer) in areas optimal for openfield *Solanum lycopersicum* (tomato) cultivation in the present and under predicted climate change, Pest. Manag. Sci., 73, 616–627, doi: 10.1002/ps.4344, 2017.
- Dankers, R., and Feyen, L.: Climate change impact on flood hazard in Europe: An assessment based on high-resolution climate simulations, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D19105, doi: 10.1029/2007JD009719, 2008.
- Déqué, M.: Frequency of precipitation and temperature extremes over France in an anthropogenic scenario: Model results and statistical correction according to observed values, Global Planet. Change, 57, 16–26, doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.11.030, 2007.
- Dunford, R. W., Smith, A. C., Harrison, P. A., Hanganu, D.: Ecosystem service provision in a changing Europe: adapting to the impacts of combined climate and

# HESSD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



socio-economic change, Landscape Ecol., 30, 443-461, doi: 10.1007/s10980-014-0148-2, 2015.

- Faggian, P.: Climate change projections for Mediterranean region with focus over Alpine region and Italy, J. Environ. Sci. Eng. B, 4, 482–500, doi: 10.17265/2162-5263/2015.09.004, 2015.
- Feehan, J., Harley, M., and van Minnen, J.: Climate change in Europe. 1. Impact on terrestrial ecosystems and biodiversity. A review, Agron. Sustain. Dev., 29, 409–421, doi: 10.1051/agro:2008066, 2009.
- Fronzek, S., Carter, T. R., and Jylhä, K.: Representing two centuries of past and future climate for assessing risks to biodiversity in Europe. Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 21, 19–35, doi: 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00695.x, 2012.
- Gao, X., Pal, J. S., and Giorgi, F.: Projected changes in mean and extreme precipitation over the Mediterranean region from a high resolution double nested RCM simulation, Geophy. Res. Lett., 33, L03706, doi:10.1029/2005GL024954, 2006.
- Giorgi, F., and Diffenbaugh, N.: Developing regional climate change scenarios for use in assessment of effects on human health and disease, Clim. Res., 36, 141–151, doi: 10.3354/cr00728, 2008.
- Harrison, P. A., Dunford, R. W., Holman, I. P., and Rounsevell, M. D. A.: Climate change impact modelling needs to include cross-sectoral interactions, Nature Clim. Change, 6, 885–890, doi: 10.1038/nclimate3039, 2016.
- Hassan, I., Ghumman, A. R., Ghazaw, Y., Abdel-Maguid, R. H., and Samreen, B.: Climate change impact on precipitation in arid areas of Pakistan, Int. J. Water Resour. Arid Environ., 6, 80–88, 2017.

## HESSD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



- Heinrich, G., Gobiet, A., and Mendlik, T.: Extended regional climate model projections for Europe until the mid-twentyfirst century: combining ENSEMBLES and CMIP3, Clim. Dyn., 42, 521–535, doi: 10.1007/s00382-013-1840-7, 2014.
- Herrera, S., Fita, L., Fernández, J., and Gutiérrez, J. M.: Evaluation of the mean and extreme precipitation regimes from the ENSEMBLES regional climate multimodel simulations over Spain, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D21117, doi: 10.1029/2010JD013936, 2010.
- Hoogwijk, M., Faaij, A., Eickhout, B., de Vries, B., and Turkenburg, W.: Potential of biomass energy out to 2100, for four IPCC SRES land-use scenarios, Biomass Bioenergy, 29, 225–257, doi: 10.1016/j.biombioe.2005.05.002, 2005.
- Im, E.-S., Coppola, E., Giorgi, F., and Bi, X.: Local effects of climate change over the Alpine region: A study with a high resolution regional climate model with a surrogate climate change scenario, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L05704, doi:10.1029/2009GL041801, 2010.
- Jacob, D. J., and Winner, D. A.: Effect of climate change on air quality, Atmos. Environ., 43, 51–63, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.051, 2009.
- Jaczewski, A., Brzoska, B., and Wibig, J.: Comparison of temperature indices for three IPCC SRES scenarios based on RegCM simulations for Poland in 2011– 2030 period, Meteorol. Z., 24, 99–106, doi: 10.1127/metz/2015/0457, 2015.
- Jiang, Z., Song, J., Li, L., Chen, W., Wang, Z., and Wang, J.: Extreme climate events in China: IPCC-AR4 model evaluation and projection, Clim. Change, 110, 385– 401, doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0090-0, 2012.
- Jones, C., Lowe, J., Liddicoat, S., and Betts, R.: Committed terrestrial ecosystem changes due to climate change, Nature Geosci., 2, 484–487, doi: 10.1038/ngeo555, 2009.

Printer-friendly version



- Kiguchi, M., Shen, Y., Kanae, S., and Oki, T.: Re-evaluation of future water stress due to socio-economic and climate factors under a warming climate, Hydrol. Sci. J., 60, 14–29, doi: 10.1080/02626667.2014.888067, 2015.
- Kim, S. B., Shin, H. J., Park, M., and Kim, S. J.: Assessment of future climate change impacts on snowmelt and stream water quality for a mountainous highelevation watershed using SWAT, Paddy Water Environ., 13, 557–569, doi: 10.1007/s10333-014-0471-x, 2015.
- Krüger, L. F., da Rocha, R. P., Reboita, M. S., and Ambrizzi, T.: RegCM3 nested in HadAM3 scenarios A2 and B2: projected changes in extratropical cyclogenesis, temperature and precipitation over the South Atlantic Ocean, Clim. Change, 113, 599–621, doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0374-4, 2012.
- Kyselý, J., Gaál, L., Beranová, R., Plavcová, E.: Climate change scenarios of precipitation extremes in Central Europe from ENSEMBLES regional climate models, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 104, 529–542, doi: 10.1007/s00704-010-0362-z, 2011.
- Lam, V. W. Y., Cheung, W. W. L., and Sumaila, U. R.: Marine capture fisheries in the Arctic: winners or losers under climate change and ocean acidification?. Fish Fish., 17, 335–357. doi: 10.1111/faf.12106, 2016.
- Lavalle, C., Micale, F., Houston, T. D., Camia, A., Hiederer, R., Lazar, C., Conte, C., Amatulli, G., and Genovese, G.: Climate change in Europe. 3. Impact on agriculture and forestry. A review, Agron. Sustain. Dev., 29, 433–446, doi: 10.1051/agro/2008068, 2009.
- Liu, Y., Stanturf, J., and Goodrick, S.: Trends in global wildfire potential in a changing climate, Forest Ecol. Manag., 259, 685–697, 2010.
- Luo, Y., Ficklin, D. L., Liu, X., and Zhang, M.: Assessment of climate change impacts on hydrology and water quality with a watershed modeling approach, Sci. Total

Printer-friendly version



Environ., 450–451, 72–82, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.02.004, 2013.

- Mamoon, A. A., Joergensen, N. E., Rahman, A., and Qasem, H.: Design rainfall in Qatar: sensitivity to climate change scenarios, Nat. Hazards, 81, 1797–1810, doi: 10.1007/s11069-016-2156-9, 2016.
- Marengo, J. A., Jones, R., Alves, L. M., and Valverde, M. C.: Future change of temperature and precipitation extremes in South America as derived from the PRE-CIS regional climate modeling system, Int. J. Climatol., 29, 2241–2255. doi: 10.1002/joc.1863, 2009.
- Nadeem, I., and Formayer, H.: Sensitivity studies of high-resolution RegCM3 simulations of precipitation over the European Alps: The effect of lateral boundary conditions and domain size, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 126, 617–630, doi: 10.1007/s00704-015-1586-8, 2016.
- Nolan, P., O'Sullivan, J., and McGrath, R.: Impacts of climate change on mid-twentyfirst-century rainfall in Ireland: a high-resolution regional climate model ensemble approach, Int. J. Climatol., 37, 4347–4363, doi: 10.1002/joc.5091, 2017.
- Ogden, N. H., Radojević, M., Wu, X., Duvvuri, V. R., Leighton, P. A., and Wu, J.: Estimated Effects of Projected Climate Change on the Basic Reproductive Number of the Lyme Disease Vector *Ixodes scapularis*, Environ. Health Perspect., 122, 631–638, doi: 10.1289/ehp.1307799, 2014.
- O'Sullivan, J., Sweeney, C., Nolan, P., and Gleeson, E.: A high-resolution, multi-model analysis of Irish temperatures for the mid-21st century, Int. J. Climatol., 36, 1256– 1267. doi: 10.1002/joc.4419, 2016.
- Park, S. K., O, S., and Cassardo, C.: Soil temperature response in Korea to a changing climate using a land surface model, Asia-Pac. J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 457–470, doi: 10.1007/s13143-017-0048-x, 2017.

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



- Patz, J. A., Campbell-Lendrum, D., Holloway, T., and Foley, J. A.: Impact of regional climate change on human health, Nature, 438, 310–317, doi: 10.1038/nature04188, 2005.
- Peters, G. P., Andrew, R. M., Boden, T., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Le Quéré, C., Marland, G., Raupach, M. R., and Wilson, C.: The challenge to keep global warming below 2°C, Nature Clim. Change, 3, 4–6, doi :10.1038/nclimate1783, 2013.
- Rogelj, J., Meinshausen, M., and Knutti, R.: Global warming under old and new scenarios using IPCC climate sensitivity range estimates, Nature Clim. Change, 2, 248–253, doi: 10.1038/nclimate1385, 2012.
- Rauscher, S. A., Coppola, E., Piani, C., and Giorgi, F.: Resolution effects on regional climate model simulations of seasonal precipitation over Europe, Clim. Dyn., 35, 685–711, doi: 10.1007/s00382-009-0607-7, 2010.
- Rummukainen, M., Changes in climate and weather extremes in the 21st century, WIREs Clim. Change, 3, 115–129, doi: 10.1002/wcc.160, 2012.
- Shen, Y., Oki, T., Utsumi, N., Kanae, S., and Hanasaki, N.: Projection of future world water resources under SRES scenarios: water withdrawal, Hydrol. Sci. J., 53, 11–33, doi: 10.1623/hysj.53.1.11, 2008.
- Shen, Y., Oki, T., Kanae, S., Hanasaki, N., Utsumi, N., and Kiguchi, M.: Projection of future world water resources under SRES scenarios: an integrated assessment, Hydrol. Sci. J., 59, 1775–1793, doi: 10.1080/02626667.2013.862338, 2014.
- Skalák, P., Déqué, M., Belda, M., Farda, A., Halenka, T., Csima, G., Bartholy, J., Caian, M., and Spiridonov, V.: CECILIA regional climate simulations for the present climate: validation and inter-comparison, Clim. Res., 60, 1–12, doi: 10.3354/cr01207, 2014.

HESSD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



- Smiatek, G., Kunstmann, H., Knoche, R., and Marx, A.: Precipitation and temperature statistics in high-resolution regional climate models: Evaluation for the European Alps, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D19107, doi: 10.1029/2008JD011353, 2009.
- Stevanović, M., Popp, A., Lotze-Campen, H., Dietrich, J. P., Müller, C., Bonsch, M., Schmitz, C., Bodirsky, B. L., Humpenöder, F., and Weindl, I.: The impact of high-end climate change on agricultural welfare, Sci. Adv., 2, doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1501452, 2016.
- Tainio, M., Juda-Rezler, K., Reizer, M., Warchalowski, A., Trapp, W., and Skotak, K.: Future climate and adverse health effects caused by fine particulate matter air pollution: case study for Poland, Reg. Environ. Change, 13, 705–715, doi: 10.1007/s10113-012-0366-6, 2013.
- Torma, C., Coppola, E., Giorgi, F., Bartholy, J., and Pongrácz, R.: Validation of a highresolution version of the regional climate model RegCM3 over the Carpathian basin, J. Hydrometeorol., 12, 84–100, doi: 10.1175/2010JHM1234.1, 2011.
- Tukimat, N. N. A., and Alias, N., A.: Assessment the Potential of SRES Scenario for Kuala Sala, Malaysia, IOSR J. Mech. Civil Eng., 13, 6–12, doi: 10.9790/1684-1303010612, 2016.
- van Vliet, M. T. H., Yearsley, J. R., Ludwig, F., Vögele, S., Lettenmaier, D. P., and Kabat, P.: Vulnerability of US and European electricity supply to climate change, Nature Clim. Change, 2, 676–681, doi: 10.1038/nclimate1546, 2012.
- Walz, A., Braendle, J. M., Lang, D. J., Brand, F., Briner, S., Elkin, C., Hirschi, C., Huber, R., Lischke, H., and Schmatz, D. R.: Experience from downscaling IPCC-SRES scenarios to specific national-level focus scenarios for ecosystem service management, Technol. Forecasting Social Change, 86, 21–32, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2013.08.014, 2014.

Printer-friendly version



- Westerling, A. L., Bryant, B. P., Preisler, H. K., Holmes, T. P., Hidalgo, H. G., Das, T., Shrestha, S. R.: Climate change and growth scenarios for California wildfire, Clim. Change, 109(Suppl 1), 445–463, doi: 10.1007/s10584-011-0329-9, 2011.
- Wilby, R. L., Whitehead, P. G., Wade, A. J., Butterfield, D., Davis, R. J., and Watts, G.: Integrated modelling of climate change impacts on water resources and quality in a lowland catchment: River Kennet, UK, J. Hydrol., 330, 204–220, doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.04.033, 2006.
- Zanis, P., Ntogras, C., Zakey, A., Pytharoulis, I., and Karacostas, T.: Regional climate feedback of anthropogenic aerosols over Europe using RegCM3, Clim. Res., 52, 267–278, doi: 10.3354/cr01070, 2012.
- Zheng, X., Wang, C., Cai, W., Kummu, M., Varis, O.: The vulnerability of thermoelectric power generation to water scarcity in China: Current status and future scenarios for power planning and climate change, Appl. Energy, 171, 444–455, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.03.040, 2016.
- Zubizarreta-Gerendiain, A., Pukkala, T., and Peltola, H.: Effects of wood harvesting and utilisation policies on the carbon balance of forestry under changing climate: a Finnish case study, Forest Policy Economics, 62, 168–176, doi: 10.1016/j.forpol.2015.08.007, 2016.

**HESSD** 

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

