

## ***Interactive comment on “Informing a hydrological model of the Ogooué with multi-mission remote sensing data” by Cecile M. M. Kittel et al.***

**Cecile M. M. Kittel et al.**

ceki@env.dtu.dk

Received and published: 16 October 2017

Response to the review of Anonymous Referee #1, posted 27/09/2017

This paper is of a great interest to the community of hydrologists in Africa. It is a first attempt to estimate the seasonal river discharge and its interannual variability of the Ogooué River in Gabon, from satellite data only. The main interest of this project is to build simulated water heights and discharge time series for virtual gauging stations along the river course, while discharge observed time series end in 1984 for most of the stations, and rainfall data are also difficult to update. The satellite data and the methods used are validated against some in situ data series, and show a good capacity to simulate coherent discharge time series for most of the stations, even if the

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



absolute precision remain of several tenth of cm, which is still difficult to use for real time operational alerts. The paper is well organised and written, and the illustrations are appropriate. I only recommend some minor corrections to clarify some points, enlarge the references list to a few uncited papers related to the core of the study (at least Mahe et al. 1990, see below), and correct some minor errors.

REPLY: We thank the referee for the feedback and comments on the article. Particularly, we thank the referee for the suggested references, and for providing the paper by Mahe et al. (1999). Although we were aware of the paper through citations, we had not been able to retrieve it or the paper by Mahe et al. (1994). We will refer to the paper by Mahe et al. from 1990 in the article. Would it be possible for the referee to share the 1994 paper as well?

P1 Lines 16-17: the abstract indicates that this study is the best current baseline characterization of hydrological conditions in the Ogooué river. It is partly true, if you consider the previous publication of Mahe et al. 2013 which shows monthly discharges for the Ogooué river over the period 2000- 2007 in regard of previous periods until 1990 (the 90's are missing time series).

REPLY: Indeed the previous publication by Mahe et al. (2013) also offers a characterization of hydrological conditions based on the most up-to-date in-situ observations of discharge at the Lambaréné station. The current study is the first example of a basin-scale representation of the Ogooué river regime, including fluxes and storages at a daily time step, which can serve as a stepping-stone for simulations of scenarios of change in the basin.

P 2 Line 27: accuracy between 30 and 70 cm: can the author estimate the discharge value error considering this height margin?

REPLY: Estimating river discharge from radar altimetry observations (and thus the propagation of uncertainty into discharge values) is quite tricky, as it requires information on river bathymetry and the establishment of a rating curve. Michailovsky et al.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



(2012) converted radar altimetry observations to discharge using in-situ observations from field campaigns and historical records and obtained RMSE values ranging from 4.5 to 7.2% of the mean annual discharge amplitude, corresponding to between 19.9 and 69.4 m<sup>3</sup>/s for a water level RMSE between 30 and 70 cm relative to the in-situ levels. While this is possible for missions with repeat ground tracks crossing the river line at specific points with relatively short return periods (e.g. 30 days for Envisat), bathymetry observations throughout the entire river would be required in order to apply this to the CryoSat-2 mission, which is highly impractical.

Furthermore, as historical rating curves and bathymetry observations are not available for the Ogooué, we compare the altimetry water height amplitudes observed by radar altimetry directly to the simulated water height amplitudes. Thus we do not need to estimate discharge. We use Envisat and Jason-2 observations in the calibration and the accuracy of these missions do impact the estimated discharge values, however the objectives are weighted by the expected accuracy (in this case, 50 cm based on literature) to avoid overfitting to observation uncertainties. CryoSat-2 has a very long return period but a high spatial resolution therefore we reference the amplitudes to a mean elevation over small river stretches. Because of these simplifications and challenges, the comparison to CryoSat-2 observations is merely a qualitative validation of the water height simulated by the river.

P 3 Lines 25-29: About the previously used models, lumped models have proved less efficient to represent the two annual flood peaks of equatorial rivers, mainly due to a very approximative estimation of PE (Paturel et al 2003) (Dezetter et al 2008) Paturel, J.E., Ouedraogo, M., Mahe, G., Servat, E., Dezetter, A., Ardoin, S. (2003). The influence of distributed input data on the hydrological modelling of monthly river flow regimes in West Africa. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 48, 6, 881-890. Dezetter, A., Girard, S., Paturel, J.E., Mahé, G., Ardoin-Bardin, S., Servat, E. (2008). Simulation of runoff in West Africa: Is there a single data-model combination that produces the best simulation results ? *Journal of Hydrology*, 354, 203-212.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



REPLY: We thank the referee for the comment and references, it is true that the importance of adequately estimating PET is often overlooked.

Plan for revision: We will add a comment on this issue in the introduction along with a reference to the suggested papers, addressing how an adequate estimation of PET may be a limiting factor in some cases.

P 4 Line 29: Hydrological monitoring efforts “by ORSTOM hydrologists during the 50’s to the 80’s”

REPLY: Thank you for the clarification, the sentence will be updated.

P 4 Line 30: “. . .available informations are from 1984” for most stations, (Mahe et al., 1990; 1994) Mahé, G., Lerique, J., Olivry, J.C. (1990). L’Ogooué au Gabon. Reconstitution des débits manquants et mise en évidence de variations climatiques à l’équateur. Hydrologie Continentale, Ed. ORSTOM, Paris, 5, 2, 105-124. Mahé, G., Delclaux, F., Crespy, A. (1994). Elaboration d’une chaîne de traitement pluviométrique et application au calcul automatique de lames précipitées (bassinversant de l’Ogooué au Gabon). Hydrologie Continentale, 9, 2, 169-180.

REPLY: Thank you for the comment. It is true that this is not the case for all stations, however only about half of the stations have observations dating until the 1980s and only two until 1984 to our knowledge. If the referee has knowledge or access to more recent observations from the basin, we would be very interested in hearing about it and grateful if it can be shared.

Plan for revision: A clarification will be added to the sentence.

P 4 Line 32: there is much more in the paper of Mahe et al. 2013 (update of the 1990’s paper), for instance the dramatic reduction of the Spring flood at Lambarene since the 80’s, confirmed during the 2000’s as showed in the 2013’s paper.

REPLY: We thank the referee for the comment and completely agree: although the paper is a region-scale investigation, more details are available concerning the Ogooué

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



as well.

Plan for revision: We will update the paragraph with additional details from the paper of Mahe et al. (2013), particularly their findings concerning the change in the spring flood since the 1980s and conclusions drawn from the new observations from 2000-2007 at Lambaréné. The paper by Mahe et al. from 1990 will also be referenced in this section of the article.

P 5 Figure 1: the text in white is difficult to read

Plan for revision: The figure text will be made more visible e.g. by changing the color and adding a white text buffer.

P 6 Line 7: historical precipitations at four locations: which ones?

REPLY: We had access to historical precipitation observations at four locations in the basin: Booué (1948-1980), Fougamou (1950-1980), Lebamba (1954-1974) and Petit Okano (1954-1976).

Plan for revision: The location and time of observation at the four locations made available by our project partners will be added to the text.

P 9 Figure 3: too small

Plan for revision: The figure will be enlarged

P 11 Line 1: the storage constants are fixed how? And at which value?

REPLY: The storage constants are spatially and temporally uniform within each calibration zone but are modified during the calibration.

Plan for revision: the sentence will be modified for clarity.

P 11: 3.7 Watershed Delineation: Why not used the existing delineation available at the SIEREM website? This site is cited by the authors, but it is difficult to know for what purpose it is cited. [http://www.hydrosiences.fr/sierem/index\\_en.htm](http://www.hydrosiences.fr/sierem/index_en.htm)

<http://www.hydrosciences.fr/sierem/consultation/consultationgraphbas.asp?basid=OGOOUE>  
<http://www.hydrosciences.fr/sierem/produits/gis/Ogooue.asp> free GIS files soil WHC for 1 2 square degrees, from the FAO soil map of the world. Gives the water height for the upper soil layer. Please cite Boyer et al., 2006 to refer to SIEREM Boyer, J.F., Dieulin, C., Rouché, N., Crès, A., Servat, E., Paturel, J.E., Mahé, G. (2006). SIEREM: an environmental information system for water resources. In: Water Resource Variability: Hydrological Impacts. Proc. of the 5th FRIEND World Conference, La Havana, Cuba, IAHS Publ. 308, 19-25.

REPLY: We thank the author for the comment and reference. The SIEREM website has been used to inform which observations are available in the basin. We make our own watershed delineation in order to ensure that cites of interest and observation stations are resolved by the model. The delineation is very similar to the one provided at the SIEREM website. Regarding the FAO soil map, the maximum soil storage parameter in the model is aggregated vertically and horizontally making a direct comparison tricky.

Plan for revision: The citation of the SIEREM website will be updated and modified to include the Boyer et al. (2006) paper.

P 19 Table 4: the caption mentions number between parenthesis, but there are none in the table. Please clarify.

REPLY: We thank the referee for noticing this inconsistency.

Plan for revision: The values will be added in the table along with a reference to Figure 1 for clarity.

P 19 Line 7: total water storage 70.6 and 83%. OK, but which part of this pcentage participates to surface runoff?

REPLY: The deep aquifer storage releases water directly to the river as return flow as shown in Figure 5. Any decrease in the storage is due to the return flow exceeding the recharge of the aquifers. The deep aquifer holds the lion's share in water storage

change because the storage is aggregated to monthly time steps before comparison to the GRACE observations. Most of the low frequency variations are observed in the deep aquifer because of the smaller storage constants. High frequency variations are averaged out at the monthly time scale and dominate in the other storage compartments.

P 25 Line18-19: there are more than a few decades of observations for the Ogooue river at Lambarene, the time series starts in 1929, and some missing years have been reconstructed. See Mahe et al. 1990

REPLY: The text implies that the most recent records at most stations are more than a decade old. The authors did not have access to any observations ulterior to 1984 at any station, but did have decade-long records available at all locations used for calibration and validation.

Plan for revision: The text will be reformulated to avoid confusion.

P 27 Line 6: OK to thank SIEREM, but the authors should refer to the Boyer et al 2006 paper (see up) Reply: We thank the referee for the clarification and the reference will be added in the text in response to the previous comment.

REPLY: We thank the referee for the clarification and the reference will be added in the text in response to the previous comment

## REFERENCES:

Michailovsky, C. I., S. McEnnis, P. a M Berry, R. Smith, and P. Bauer-Gottwein. 2012. "River Monitoring from Satellite Radar Altimetry in the Zambezi River Basin." *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 16 (7): 2181–92. doi:10.5194/hess-16-2181-2012.

Interactive comment on *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-549>, 2017.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

