

Interactive comment on “Preferential Flow Systems Amended with Biogeochemical Components: Imaging of a Two-Dimensional Study” by Ashley R. Pales et al.

B. Berkowitz (Editor)

brian.berkowitz@weizmann.ac.il Received and published: 18 October 2017

To the authors: Please note that the "SC1: Technical Review" by Maria I Dragila should be treated as a formal solicited review, and so you are also requested to reply to this comment with a detailed response letter, along with the other two formal reviews ("RC1, RC2"). Thank you.

Response: The authors thank Editor Brian Berkowitz for his comments. We have treated the “SC1: Technical Review” by Maria I. Dragila as a formal solicited review and provided a detailed response letter, along with our replies to the other two formal reviews ("RC1, RC2").

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-486>, 2017.

Interactive comment on “Preferential Flow Systems Amended with Biogeochemical Components: Imaging of a Two-Dimensional Study” by Ashley R. Pales et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 5 October 2017

OVERVIEW COMMENTS

This paper focuses on the influence of the soil rhizosphere's plant exudates on soil wettability and infiltration. Specifically, the authors study the spatial and temporal development of unstable flow leading to preferential flow “fingering” and their relationship with those plant exudates and soil solution components. The authors propose that these compounds are responsible for keeping the soil around the roots moist by swelling and adsorbing water, and also to keep the contact between the roots and soil particles. To study the effect on preferential flow, the authors employ a 2D tank filled with silica sand equipped with a rainfall simulator on the top of the apparatus in order to create the necessary flow conditions for the infiltration experiments. On the back of the experimental device, a light panel was placed so the development of such “fingers” could be tracked by the so-called light transmission method (LTM). They also measured the contact angle and surface tension of the solutions in the soil by using a Kruss easy drop SA1. To simulate plant exudates they selected sodium citrate and sodium oxalate, as soil components they used Suwanee River Natural Organic matter (SRNOM) and tannic acid, and as a base solution NaCl+ a nutrient solution. Through these series of experiments, they analyzed (i) the number of fingers formed, (ii) the velocity of their propagation, and (iii) the vertical and horizontal water saturation profiles. This rich data set is used to test main relationships among water chemistry, the porous media properties and the flow dynamics of each individual solution and its effect on the soil infiltration process.

The manuscript is well posed and written and of interest to HESS readers. It is novel as it addresses an important topic for which there is limited knowledge to date. The work is consequential; if plant roots promote the creation of unstable soil water flow, many soil remediation applications will need to be reassessed. Previous literature has theorized these effects but none (or at least none to this reviewer knowledge) studied them experimentally. For instance, the work shows how the addition of surfactant exudates into the soil can alter the solution chemistry enough to produce a change in behavior of the porous media's hydraulic properties, by exudates increase wettability and thus mobility of the soil solution in the porous media. I suggest minor revisions listed below.

Response: The authors thank Referee #1 for reviewing our manuscript and for the comments about our manuscript being “well posed and written and of interest to HESS readers” and “novel as it addresses an important topic for which there is limited knowledge to date.” The authors also thank the referee for the comments describing our research as: “The work is consequential; if plant roots promote the creation of unstable soil water flow, many soil remediation applications will need to be reassessed. Previous literature has theorized these effects but none (or at least none to this reviewer knowledge) studied them experimentally.”

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Ln 12: Possible typo: ‘though’ instead of ‘through’ Ln 16: Possible typo: ‘special’ instead of ‘spatial’

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested for Ln 12 and Ln 16.

Figures 5 and 6: Although the results are well presented in their corresponding tables, in the figures 5 and 6 it is very difficult to differentiate between the different components and their respective deviations.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We used colors to help to distinguish among the different components and their respective deviations in these figures 5 and 6. We also used colors for figures 7 and 8.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-486>, 2017.

Interactive comment on “Preferential Flow Systems Amended with Biogeochemical Components: Imaging of a Two-Dimensional Study” by Ashley R. Pales et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 17 October 2017

OVERVIEW COMMENTS

The manuscript presents experimental results of unstable flow patterns in sand boxes using a light transmission technique that allows identifying differences in flow patterns caused by the composition of the solution of the irrigation water. Plant exudates and soil solutions with different contact angles and surface tensions were tested and related to the effects on the flow finger development. Results demonstrate quite different patterns during the infiltration process. The test comparing various solution compositions is new and the experiments are highly sophisticated and carefully carried out, especially the combination with the light transmission method that allows determining local water contents is innovative. But the manuscript could be better structured, shortened, and more focused on the analysis of these experiments.

Response: The authors thank Referee #2 for reviewing our manuscript and for the comments about our research: “Results demonstrate quite different patterns during the infiltration process. The test comparing various solution compositions is new and the experiments are highly sophisticated and carefully carried out, especially the combination with the light transmission method that allows determining local water contents is innovative.” We revised the text of the manuscript and followed the reviewer’s suggestions for our manuscript to “be better structured, shortened, and more focused on the analysis of these experiments.” We responded below to each of the reviewer’s comments and provided detailed information about the revisions.

GENERAL COMMENTS

Claiming this manuscript to be on original research, my immediate impression was that authors should come to the main points more quickly; many references are not further used for the idea and results of this study. When continuing reading, the review part appears more and more excessive; in particular, the multiple referencing is changing the appearance towards a review article in which authors are trying to collect all relevant papers. Such an overview of the literature is quite nice and could be the basis for a separate manuscript. And despite the large number, referencing is still limited, for example, P5 L4: “. . . fronts has been studied primarily in two-dimensional tanks. . .”, recently also 3D patterns observed using geo-electrical imaging (e.g., Ganz et al., VZJ 2014, doi:10.2136/vzj2013.04.0074).

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We reduced the introduction and background section of the manuscript as suggested. We added the reference suggested by the reviewer in order to add information about three-dimensional systems (e.g., Ganz et al., VZJ 2014, doi:10.2136/vzj2013.04.0074).

Furthermore, the specific research hypotheses are not so explicitly stated in the introduction (more indirectly somehow within the review), so that the idea of the experiments and reasons for doing it as it was done remained unclear to me at the end of the introduction, where also the objectives were too general. Clear objectives statements are then found in the discussion and again in the conclusions.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We revised the section related to the specific research hypotheses and objectives to make them more explicit.

The methods are explained very detailed, for Tables and Figures, however, I found it very difficult to understand without having the abbreviations explained in headers and captions (e.g., Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM) acronym etc).

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We described the abbreviations in headers and captions of the tables and figures in greater detail, as suggested by the reviewer.

One methodological problem that was probably discussed in earlier papers on the technique (?) was unclear to me. This is how to obtain repeatable uniformly compacted sand samples so that the packing effects are not influencing the effects of the solution composition.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. To achieve repeatable uniformly compacted sand in the 2D tank, we used a packing device. We described the packing device in more detail in the revised manuscript. A Y-shaped sand loader was used to pour the sand in the tank. The Y-shaped sand loader, containing a piece of cloth, was taped above the tank. A sand volume corresponding to the volume of two tanks was loaded into the sand loader. The cloth was then removed from the tank to create a uniform packing of sand within the tank. The sand remaining in the loader and the loader were then removed. To ensure a consistent density of sand in the tank, a plastic rod was used to tap the top edge of the tank to “settle” the top sand layer.

The stated accuracy of bulk density value (1.5043) with 4 digits is quite ambitious. Wetting and especially the partial wetting during the infiltration may change the arrangement of sand particles such that the pore structure may not be always constant. Although results of all three replicate infiltration experiments are provided, the question whether each of the finger pattern is characteristic for each solution and comparable for the replicate is not clear to me. I like the detailed explanation of results but data analysis seems still a bit limited.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the accuracy of bulk density value. Following the suggestion of Referee #2 regarding the need for more data analysis and assessment and comparison of the “finger pattern” for the different solutions, as well as the comments of reviewer Dr. Maria Dragila, we revised the discussion section of our manuscript by including a quantitative analysis of the finger pattern/geometry/dimension, i.e. finger width. We used the scaling theory of Miller and Miller (1956), applied to finger width (Selker and Schroth, 1998). The results of this quantitative analysis are presented in a table describing the hydrodynamic scaling of finger width measurements resulting from the infiltration of solutions (i.e., control; citrate 0.1 mg/L, 500mg/L; oxalate 0.1 mg/L, 500 mg/L; tannic acid 0.1 mg/L, 500 mg/L, and organics 0.1 and 10 mg/L) in ASTM graded sand C778. Finger width were scaled to density, gas-liquid interface tension, density and gas-liquid interface tension of pore water, and square root of the cosine of the contact angle. We also scaled the finger width using the square root of the cosine of the contact angle, as Culligan et al. (2005) showed that soil sorptivity is a function of the square root of the cosine of the contact angle. This scaling approach allowed us to analyze the finger geometry and determine the parameters of our systems (i.e., solution and interfacial properties) that most influence the flow phenomena in porous media. As also suggested by Dr. Dragila, we used the Young-Laplace equation which can be used to express capillary pressure at the pore scale as a function of surface tension and contact angle (Lord et al., 1997). We made a graph of finger properties with capillary pressure as suggested by Dr. Dragila.

Culligan, P. J., Ivanov, V., Germaine, J. T.: Sorptivity and liquid infiltration into dry soil, *Adv. Water Resour.* 28, 1010–1020. doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2005.04.003, 2005.

Miller, E. E., Miller, R. D.: Physical theory for capillary flow phenomena, J. Appl. Phys. doi:10.1063/1.1722370, 1956.

Selker, J. S., Schroth, M. H.: Evaluation of hydrodynamic scaling in porous media using finger dimensions, Water Resour. Res. 34, 1935–1940. doi:10.1029/98wr00625, 1998.

Lord, D. L., Demond, A. H., Salehzadeh, A., Hayes, K. I. M. F.: Influence of Organic Acid Solution Chemistry on Subsurface Transport. 2. Capillary Pressure – Saturation, Environ. Sci. Technol. 31, 2052–2058, 1997.

The hypotheses and how the results could be applied to soils remained unclear.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. Regarding the comments of the reviewer on “The hypotheses and how the results could be applied to soils remained unclear,” this is currently beyond of the scope of this research. Although in the future we plan to investigate fingered flow in natural soils.

DETAILED COMMENTS

1. The abstract reads well, I only wondered if the conclusions here correspond to those in the conclusion chapter.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We checked and revised the conclusions of the abstract with the conclusions section of the manuscript to ensure their correspondence and modified them as necessary.

2. Page 7, Lines 15-25: not necessary and unclear

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We removed these lines.

3. Discussion: Starts with the objectives, first paragraph contains hypothesis and should appear as part of the introduction. I was wondering how was equation 2 used?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We moved the first paragraph of the discussion to the introduction section to make our objectives more explicit, as previously suggested by the reviewer. Equation (2) is used to present the modeling equation to predict finger width and introduce the topic of fingered flow. As mentioned above in the overview comments, a quantitative analysis of the observed fingered flow results is presented in a table describing the hydrodynamic scaling of finger width measurements resulting from the infiltration of solutions.

4. Page 17, Lines 22-30: This is more or less an introduction to the closer topic and the results seem to confirm existing knowledge.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We moved the text of lines 22-30 to the introduction section.

5. Page 19, Lines about 5-11: This is doubling introduction

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We revised those lines to avoid doubling the introduction, and some of the information in them will be moved to the introduction.

6. Conclusions chapter gives more a summary of results than conclusions.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for his comments. We revised the conclusions section to be a conclusion rather than a summary of the results.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-486>, 2017.

Interactive comment on “Preferential Flow Systems Amended with Biogeochemical Components: Imaging of a Two-Dimensional Study” by Ashley R. Pales et al.

M. I. Dragila

maria.dragila@oregonstate.edu Received and published: 28 August 2017

GENERAL COMMENTS

The work is very interesting, relevant, and contributes to our need for a more complete understanding of how the complex soil physics and biochemistry of the soil zone impacts vadose zone processes. The technical work appears to be very well performed and no concerns are raised. The comments below are all associated with the communication of the work and how it fits into present day understanding and existing literature. A few suggestions are made for additional calculations, figures and tables.

Response: The authors thank Dr. Maria Dragila for reviewing our manuscript and for her comments about our research being “very interesting, relevant, and contributes to our need for a more complete understanding of how the complex soil physics and biochemistry of the soil zone impacts vadose zone processes” and that “The technical work appears to be very well performed and no concerns are raised.” We followed the suggestions of the reviewer. Below we have responded to each of the comments of the reviewer and provided detailed information about the revisions.

OVERVIEW COMMENTS

1. The paper could be shortened. The introduction and background is too extensive. Unless there is an intent of making this paper a review paper on preferential flow, the introduction should be limited to a brief note of the importance of preferential flow and then be focused on the specific background that is relevant to this study, which is the effect of fluid properties on finger flow development, stability, and the presence of different solutes in the rhizosphere.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We have reduced the introduction and background section of the manuscript as suggested by the reviewer.

2. The Bond number is calculated but its relevance is never discussed in the Background or Discussion sections.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We removed calculation related to Bond number since we did not use it in our discussion.

3. There is too much emphasis on the statistics of the contact angle and surface tension. The number of figures and the discussion can be reduced. This work is about how these two parameters affect finger flow development, and does not an attempt to characterize the relationship between interfacial properties and concentration for different solutions. The information on contact angle, surface tension, fluid densities, Bond number, etc. can be captured in a table summarizing fluid properties (I realize that this is in separate tables at present), eliminating the need for figures 6 and 8. The graphs showing time dependent values of contact angle and surface tension are useful.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We respectfully disagree with the reviewer concerning figures 6 and 8, as these figures provide percentage reductions of contact angle and surface

tension which we believe are valuable to show; thus we kept these figures. We kept the graphs showing contact angle and surface tension versus time as suggested by the reviewer. We combined Table 3, Table 4, and data from Table 5 in a new table following the suggestion of the reviewer. We removed Table 5 and Bond number calculations, and incorporated the average density values of Table 5 into a new table that resulted from merging Table 3 and Table 4.

4. The discussion on finger geometry (or morphology), saturation overshoot, finger width and moisture content distribution needs some improvement. For example, (A) Finger properties are compared to contact angle values and solution concentrations, but a more complete analysis would be to compare finger geometry to capillary pressure at the wetting front. This may solve the problem that tannic acid (whose contact angle does not change with concentration) contradicts the apparent general result. (B) A graph of finger properties with capillary pressure (using the Young-Laplace equation) which takes both contact angle and surface tension into account would rapidly demonstrate in a visual fashion any trends seen.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. Following the reviewer's suggestion, we revised the discussion section by including a quantitative analysis of the finger geometry/dimension, i.e. finger width. We used the scaling theory of Miller and Miller (1956), applied to finger width (Selker and Schroth, 1998). The results of this quantitative analysis are presented in a table describing the hydrodynamic scaling of finger width measurements resulting from the infiltration of solutions (i.e., control; citrate 0.1 mg/L, 500mg/L; oxalate 0.1 mg/L, 500 mg/L; tannic acid 0.1 mg/L, 500 mg/L, and organics 0.1 and 10 mg/L) in ASTM graded sand C778. Finger width was scaled to density, gas-liquid interface tension, density and gas-liquid interface tension of pore water, and square root of the cosine of the contact angle. We also scaled finger width using the square root of the cosine of the contact angle, as Culligan et al. (2005) showed that soil sorptivity is a function of the square root of the cosine of the contact angle. This scaling approach allowed us to analyze the finger geometry and determine the parameters of our systems that most influence the flow phenomena in porous media. As suggested by the reviewer, we also used the Young-Laplace equation which can be used to express capillary pressure at the pore scale as a function of surface tension and contact angle (Lord et al., 1997). We made a graph of finger properties with capillary pressure as suggested by the reviewer.

Culligan, P. J., Ivanov, V., Germaine, J. T.: Sorptivity and liquid infiltration into dry soil, *Adv. Water Resour.* 28, 1010–1020. doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2005.04.003, 2005.

Miller, E. E., Miller, R. D.: Physical theory for capillary flow phenomena, *J. Appl. Phys.* doi:10.1063/1.1722370, 1956.

Selker, J. S., Schroth, M. H.: Evaluation of hydrodynamic scaling in porous media using finger dimensions, *Water Resour. Res.* 34, 1935–1940. doi:10.1029/98wr00625, 1998.

Lord, D. L., Demond, A. H., Salehzadeh, A., Hayes, K. I. M. F.: Influence of Organic Acid Solution Chemistry on Subsurface Transport. 2. Capillary Pressure – Saturation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 31, 2052–2058, 1997.

5. The technical work, results and data are valuable but the discussion and conclusions are weak. Some of the concepts associated with capillarity and sorptivity are misstated.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. As described in section 4 above, we performed two additional analyses of our data: hydrodynamic scaling of finger (i.e., finger width) was scaled to density, gas-liquid interface tension, density and gas-liquid interface tension of pore water, and square root of the cosine of the contact angle, and we used the Young-Laplace equation to express capillary pressure at the pore scale as a function of surface tension and contact angle. The results of these two additional analyses

were added to the discussion section and the conclusion section. Thus, we strengthened those sections of our manuscript as recommended by the reviewer. We also checked our manuscript to ensure that the concepts associated with capillary and sorptivity were properly used, as recommended by the reviewer.

6. Equation 2 is noted in the Discussion section but not used in the work. Should this equation be moved to the background section? Can it be used to compare observations with predictions?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. Equations 1 and 2 are used to compute contact angle and surface tension using the Kruss Easy Drop instrument. We believe that these equations should be listed in the materials and methods section. Also, as previously suggested by the reviewer in section 4, we used the Young-Laplace equation which can be used to express capillary pressure at the pore scale as a function of surface tension and contact angle (Lord et al., 1997). Making a graph of finger properties with capillary pressure, as suggested by the reviewer, allowed us to characterize the potential effects of the different solutions.

Lord, D. L., Demond, A. H., Salehzadeh, A., Hayes, K. I. M. F.: Influence of Organic Acid Solution Chemistry on Subsurface Transport. 2. Capillary Pressure – Saturation, Environ. Sci. Technol. 31, 2052–2058, 1997.

7. Significant editorial work is necessary before it is ready for publication or public review. A few editorial comments are noted below but the focus of this review is on the technical content.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We made the necessary editing of our manuscript to ensure that it is ready for publication.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Abstract

1. Line 27. Should ‘processes’ be ‘properties’?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

2. Line 28. Change to “. . .the control with a contact angle of 64.5 deg, and a surface tension of 75.75 N/m. . .” There are three problems with the sentence as is: (1) You have not defined the symbol ‘theta’ as a contact angle yet. (2) even if you had defined it, “64.5 ” is not traditionally how it is written but rather it is written “ = 64.5”. Same changes required on line 29. (3) I thought surface tension units were N/m, not Nm/m.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested. We used mN/m for the surface tension units.

3. Line 29: “changes” should be “differences”. The last sentence is not a complete sentence. Page 2

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested and revised the abstract.

4. Line 7: change ‘element of’ to ‘contributes to’

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We respectfully disagree with the suggestion and kept the sentence in its original form since the meaning would change if we altered the wording as proposed.

5. Line 9: change ‘especially’ to ‘by controlling’ and add ‘supporting prior to vegetation.’

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

6. Line 10: sentence starting with ‘consequently’, needs to be move to the end of this paragraph. As is, ‘consequently’ is the incorrect word because the previous statement discusses the existence of water and life at the land atmosphere interface, not groundwater issues. You need to add the logical linkage, that in addition to controlling soil moisture and plant life, soil controls deep drainage of infiltrated water, its rate and quality.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We modified the text to show a logical linkage, using language similar to the suggested example: “that in addition to controlling soil moisture and plant life, soil controls deep drainage of infiltrated water, its rate and quality.”

Page 3

1. Line 22: ‘without’ should be ‘with’

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

2. Line 23: change ‘instance’ to ‘interaction’

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

3. Line 25:

4. The soil hydraulic properties (aka, permeability) and the moisture content are properties that ‘set the conditions but the instability is caused by the interplay between the two forces, capillarity and gravity.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments (3 and 4). We corrected the text as suggested.

Page 4

5. Line 2: “thus” insinuates that the fact that there are two forces leads to instability. IN reality, both stable and unstable regimes are affected by both gravity and capillary forces. It is when the forces are of nearly equal but opposite in magnitude, that small irregularities, such as small heterogeneities (which are inevitable, even in a practically homogeneous media) will lead to the condition where gravity dominates, at that point the wetting front breakthrough will occur and a finger will begin to form. Re-reading the glass papers may clarify this point. All instability conditions require a trigger to progress into the next favorable stage.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text following the comments of the reviewer.

6. The next two paragraphs (starting with ‘The non-linearity’, and ‘In addition’) are too extensive since this paper does not discuss how any of the work will affect or change the present understanding of the mathematical or numerical work. I think the authors could move directly from the points made in the first

paragraph of page 4, to the fact that change in contact angle will control capillarity and therefore the propensity for instability. Then mention the relevant work associated with this issue, such as the repellency work, etc.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We revised the text following the comments of the reviewer and reduce the text of the two paragraphs (starting with ‘The non-linearity’, and ‘In addition’).

Page 5.

7. The paragraph starting with ‘The vadose zone...’ I do not think the vadose zone needs to be defined here, again. The instability you are studying is occurring due to plant and soil solutes, so the soil zone is what is relevant.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

Page 6

8. The paragraph starting with ‘The plant root. . .’ is interesting by not relevant to this paper. The change in repellency caused by the dehydration of the mucilage is a change in the properties of the solid, not the solute. This paper focuses on the properties of solutes.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We respectfully disagree with the reviewer comment and kept the paragraph in its original form. Plant root, rhizosphere, and rhizodeposits are elements directly related to our study on flow in porous media (especially since we are studying the influence of plant root exudates on the infiltration process) and therefore they clearly need to be a part of the introduction. The description of previous research on plant root, rhizosphere, and rhizodeposits in the introduction is needed, as in some of their findings it is stated the need of the development of imaging technologies to understand the interactions among biological, chemical, and physical processes in the rhizosphere, and as our study uses imaging technology to visualize and measure flow in porous media under the influence of biogeochemical compounds found in the rhizosphere.

Page 7

9. The paragraph starting with “Indeed” is not necessary. While noting good examples of serious groundwater contamination, these may not be relevant to development of unstable finger flow issues, nor are these associated with plant exudates. In addition, the two examples given, while associated with preferential flow, they are more closely related to fracture flow than unstable finger flow. More relevant to finger flow instability through soil media are agricultural contaminants.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We removed this paragraph as suggested by the reviewer.

Page 8

10. Line 10: regarding the word monitored. The dynamics were not monitored via surface tension or contact angle. The dynamics were monitored via visualization. The fluid properties were quantified by measuring contact angle and surface tension.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

11. Line 25: what is the relevance of a hydroponic system?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested and remove “hydroponic systems.”

12. Line 25: why was NaCl+HNS rather than DI water used as a control? Not suggesting its wrong, but should explain the rationale.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We added information in the rationale to clarify why NaCl+HNS rather DI water was used as a control. Basically, NaCl+HNS was used as a control as these compounds are used to simulate the nutrients a plant needs to survive, and the simulated compounds are present in the rhizosphere.

Page 11

13. Materials and methods: you state that statistics were performed on the contact angle and surface tension measurements, but there was no mention of how many reps where done.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested by adding the related information.

14. Line 9-14: It is unclear to me how the calibration was performed. How did you measure the moisture content for the different intensities? Or did you preset the moisture content and then measure the intensities? Also, I did not understand the comment about the 80%.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text by adding more information and clarified the description related to calibration of the light transmission method for determining degree of saturation in porous media. Also, we removed the comment about 80%.

15. Line 18: what does it mean that the 0% and 100% intensity values “were found”? Did you look for locations where you had 100% and 0% and measure the moisture content there?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We clarified the text as suggested. Yes, we did look at locations where we had 100% and 0% saturation.

16. Line 22: describe the packing device? Was the sand poured in? How did you avoid horizontal layering of sand during packing?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We added a description of the packing device. A Y-shaped sand loader containing a piece of cloth was taped above the tank and was used to pour the sand into the tank. A sand volume corresponding to the volume of two tanks was loaded into the sand loader. The cloth was then removed to create a uniform packing of sand within the tank. The sand remaining in the loader and the loader were then removed. To ensure a consistent density of sand in the tank, a plastic rod was used to tap the top edge of the tank to “settle” the top sand layer.

Page 12

17. Line 14: the “flow velocity” is the velocity of what? The fluid in the peristaltic pump line, or the raindrop speed, or the surface area averaged input flux rate?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We clarified the text about the flow velocity.

18. Line 29: dynamic contact angle: the term "dynamic" contact angle is usually associated with the dependence of the contact angle on interface velocity, or in a larger scale the wetting front velocity, but fig 5 it is graphed per unit time, so is this the time- dependent contact angle or the dynamic contact angle?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested. It is the time-dependent contact angle.

Page 13

19. Line 9: delete the extra “for”

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

20. You report contact angle values through time up to 90 seconds, and discuss in detail how much these angles have changes over time. But, you do not discuss the significance of these changes to the dynamics of the finger flow system.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. This is an interesting comment and it may be the subject of future research.

21. Line 19: is this “dynamic” or “time dependent”?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comment. We corrected the text as suggested. It is the time-dependent surface tension.

22. Line 22: add “over time” following the word ‘tension’

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

23. Line 23 and 24: the word “changes” should be “differences”

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comment. We corrected the text as suggested.

24. Line 26: delete the word ‘respectively’

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

25. Line 28: sentence needs clarifying that the ‘groups’ are of surface tension values. Page 14

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We clarified the existing text: ”The statistical analysis revealed two distinct groups; one consisting of the low concentration of oxalate and tannic acid and the high concentration of citrate and SRNOM; and the other group consisting of the remainder, seen in Figure 8” to clearly describe the other group.

26. Line 9-11: since none of your graphs or images use the terms “plant constituents” or “soil constituents” you should either include their proper names in parenthesis to help the reader.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We clarified the text as suggested and modified the text of figures and tables as necessary.

27. Lines 13-26: can this be put into a table format?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. This text describes the key points of Table 3. We added a reference to Table 3 at the beginning of the section for clarification. As mentioned previously, we merged the Table 3 with Table 4 and Table 5.

Page 15

28. Liens 1-19: It may help to illustrate the differences if the data was grouped according to contact angle, surface tension or Bond Number groups rather than chemistry, since it is those properties that should control finger formation.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We respectfully disagree with the reviewer comments about the “grouping” and kept this section in its original form to present the results according to the types of biogeochemical compounds selected in our study which focuses especially on the various biogeochemical compounds.

29. Line 21-31: can this information be displayed in graphical form? The changes in finger saturation cross-section are interesting. Again, it would be interesting to see if there is a grouping according to chemistry concentration, or interfacial properties.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We respectfully disagree with the reviewer comments about displaying this information in a graphical form and kept this section in its original form. The horizontal finger saturation profiles are available in Figures 11 and 12, and the percentages in the text are derived from those profiles. We respectfully disagree with the reviewer comments about the “grouping” and kept this section in its original form to present the results according to the types of biogeochemical compounds selected in our study which focuses especially on the various biogeochemical compounds.

Page 16:

31. Line 27: you mention the intrinsic sorptivity but there is no linkage to the relevancy of this statement to the work presented here. It is true that the intrinsic sorptivity is independent of fluid properties because it is a normalized property.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We removed this text.

32. What is the relevancy of Equation 2. It was not used in the work? It would be valuable to see how the predictions based on this equation compare to the observations.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. This equation is used to present the modeling equation to predict finger width and to introduce the topic of discussion about fingered flow. As mentioned earlier in section 4 of the overview comments of this document, a quantitative analysis of the observed fingered flow results has been added and is presented in a table that describes the hydrodynamic scaling of finger width measurements resulting from the infiltration of solutions.

Page 17

33. Top paragraph needs to be improved for clarity. The statement “reduction in sorptivity” and “decrease in contact angle” suggests that it was reduced from some earlier value. But in truth the reason there is overshoot is that the sorptivity at the front is lower than the hydraulic conductivity of the media above it. At the wetting front the advancing contact angle is greater, and therefore the sum of capillary and

gravitational forces results in lower rate of forward motion. The pressure overshoot is simply associated with hydraulic pressure of water building up above the (way too slow) wetting front. It is also very likely that what is being seen at the front is the ‘dynamic contact angle’ which is a contact angle that is even greater than the static contact angle measured at 90 sec mark. The dynamic effect is caused by a competition between inertia, motion below the interface, the flexibility of the interface and the movement (stickiness) of the triple line. The contact angle changes in value with the speed of the wetting front. While it may be valuable to measure the dynamic contact angle of these substances, it is not an easy measurement to take. However, you may be able to obtain it from data you already have since there are a few theoretical relationships that can approximate the value of the dynamic contact angle based on the Capillary Number, the static contact angle and the velocity. There is a relationship between the Capillary Number and the Bond Number.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We improved the paragraph for clarity as suggested by the reviewer. As previously suggested by the reviewer, we also used the Young-Laplace equation which can be used to express capillary pressure at the pore scale as a function of surface tension and contact angle (Lord et al., 1997). We made a graph of finger properties with capillary pressure as suggested by the reviewer.

Lord, D. L., Demond, A. H., Salehzadeh, A., Hayes, K. I. M. F.: Influence of Organic Acid Solution Chemistry on Subsurface Transport. 2. Capillary Pressure – Saturation, Environ. Sci. Technol. 31, 2052–2058, 1997.

34. Line 11: why would the contact angle be close to zero during the initial stages?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. In the initial stages of the infiltration process, the wetting front is wetting the sand porous media, making it quite wet or moist; therefore, the contact angle is close to zero under these conditions. We revised the text to make it clearer.

35. Lines 14-16: This sentence is confusing. The way it’s written, the first half appears to contradict the second half.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We clarified the sentence.

Page 18

36. Line 1: use “differs” instead of “changes”

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

37. Line 2: change “simulated . . . concentrations” to “solution chemistry and concentration.”

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

38. Line 4-5: The sentence is unclear. Also, you note a relationship between finger morphology and matric potential of the media. Did you measure the matric potential?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We clarified the text as suggested.

39. First paragraph: There is quite a bit of repetition with two previous paragraphs where the overshoot mechanisms are also described.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We respectfully disagree with the reviewer comment about the repetition and kept this section in its original form. The two previous paragraphs discussed the infiltration processes and this section discusses the redistribution processes.

40. Line 13: What is meant by “wettability changes in the flow patterns”, do you mean how the wettability changes the flow pattern? Or that the wettability is changing within the flow pattern?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We revised the sentence to clarify the meaning of “wettability changes in the flow patterns.”

41. Line 16: what is meant by “present themselves as” do you mean “result in” ?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

42. Line 21, add “(except for Tannic acid)” following the word ‘angles’

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

43. Line 22: by ‘prevalent’ do you mean ‘greater’?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

44. Line 22-24: I think that the comparison needs to be made between finger geometry and capillary potential (using Young-Laplace eq), not individually with contact angle. The reason for this is that you will note that tannic acid did not show a difference in contact angle between low and high concentrations, but it did show a large difference in surface tension, and in finger geometry. The capillary pressure calculation would capture that difference. This applies through line 27 in this paragraph.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. As discussed in section 4 of the overview comments, we used the Young-Laplace equation which can be used to express capillary pressure at the pore scale as a function of surface tension and contact angle. We compared the measured finger geometry (width) with the calculated capillary pressure using the Young-Laplace equation. Results of this analyses are presented in a graph.

Page 19

45. Line 1: Describe the behavior observed by Bashir etc., so that the reader does not have to go to that citation to understand what is being discussed.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested by describing in more detail the behavior observed in the studies of Bashir et al. (2011) and Henry and Smith (2002).

46. Line 5: the media’s hydraulic properties do not change, it is the fluid’s properties that are changing.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text following the comments of the reviewer.

47. Line 12: I do not follow how the research presented in this paper is relevant to a discussion associated with the apparent repellency effect of dry mucilage. The study is of a wetting experiment onto dry mineral base (not organics), with a well saturated organic in solution.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. This manuscript presents research related to biogeochemical compounds, including the rhizodeposits (e.g., plant exudates). Mucilage is also a rhizodeposit, and therefore we discuss some of our results using a comparison to the known effects of mucilage.

48. Line 13-21: Section needs restructuring. What is being said is unclear. Wrong words are being modified, and some of the thoughts are incomplete.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text following the comments of the reviewer by restructuring this section and improving its clarity.

49. Paragraph starting on line 23 needs sharpening. (A) Line 23-25: sentence needs restructuring. (B) Line 26: mucilage is a type of root exudate. (C)

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text following the comments of the reviewer by restructuring this section and improving its clarity.

Line 27: what is meant by “stabilizing”? mucilage does act as a glue, and it does create interstitial spaces but it also absorbs water by osmotic processes.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text following the comments of the reviewer by clarifying the term “stabilizing” effect that we used to describe the research findings of Walker et al. (2003).

Page 20

50. Line 16: change ‘special’ to ‘spatial’

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

51. Line 26-27: change “both. . .constituent” to “solution chemistry and concentration”. Change “when compared to the control” to “and the resulting infiltration profile”

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We corrected the text as suggested.

52. Line 28: No changes in what?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We have revised our conclusion.

Page 21

53. Line 2: What is meant by “more normalized”

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We have revised our conclusion section.

54. Line 7: delete “or anything”, this paper is focused on fluids.

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We have revised our conclusion section.

55. Line 8: do you mean efficiency or effectiveness?

Response: The authors thank the reviewer for the comments. We have revised our conclusion section.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-486>, 2017.

Preferential Flow Systems Amended with Biogeochemical Components: Imaging of a Two-Dimensional Study

Ashley R. Pales¹, Biting Li¹, Heather M. Clifford^{1,2}, Shyla Kupis¹, Nimisha Edayilam³, Dawn Montgomery¹, Wei-zhen Liang¹, Mine Dogan¹, Nishanth Tharayil³, Nicole Martinez¹, Stephen Moysey¹, Brian Powell¹, and Christophe J. G. Darnault^{1*}

¹Department of Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences, Laboratory of Hydrogeoscience and Biological Engineering, L.G. Rich Environmental Laboratory, Clemson University, 342 Computer Court, Anderson, SC 29625, USA.

²Climate Change Institute, University of Maine, Edward Bryand Global Sciences Center, Orono, ME, 04473, USA.

³Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, 29634, USA.

Corresponding to Christophe J. G. Darnault (cdarnau@clemson.edu)

Abstract. The vadose zone is a highly interactive heterogeneous system through which water enters the subsurface system by infiltration. This paper details the effects of simulated plant exudate and soil component solutions upon unstable flow patterns in a porous medium (ASTM silica sand; U.S. Silica, Ottawa, IL, USA) through the use of two-dimensional (2D) tank light transmission method (LTM). The contact angle (θ) and surface tension (γ) of two simulated plant exudate solutions (i.e., oxalate, and citrate) and two soil component solutions (i.e., tannic acid, and Suwannee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)) were analyzed to determine the liquid-gas and liquid-solid interface characteristics of each. To determine if the unstable flow formations were dependent on the type and concentration of the simulated plant exudates and soil components, the analysis of the effects of the simulated plant exudate and soil component solutions were compared to a control solution (Hoagland Nutrient Solution with 0.01 M NaCl).

Fingering flow patterns, vertical and horizontal water saturation profiles, water saturation at the fingertips, finger dimensions and velocity, and number of fingers were obtained using the light transmission method. Significant differences in the interface properties indicated a decrease between the control and the plant exudate and soil component solutions tested; specifically, the control ($\theta = 64.5^\circ$ and $\gamma = 75.75 \text{ mN/m}$) samples exhibited higher contact angle and surface tension than the low concentration of citrate ($\theta = 52.6^\circ$ and $\gamma = 70.8 \text{ mN/m}$). Wetting front

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: into

Deleted: media

Deleted: rainwater

Deleted: The differences in the f

Deleted: width

Deleted: were

Deleted: quantified with the finger geometries, the velocity of finger propagation, the established

Deleted: vertical and horizontal water saturation profiles, and the water saturation at the fingertips

Deleted: processes

Deleted: at

Deleted: θ

Deleted: m

Deleted: , to

Deleted: at

Deleted: θ

Deleted: m

instability and fingering flow phenomena were reported in all infiltration experiments. The results showed that the plant exudates and soil components influenced the soil infiltration as differences in finger geometries, velocities, and water saturation profiles were detected when compared to the control. Among the tested solutions and concentrations of soil components, the largest finger width (10.19 cm) was generated by the lowest tannic acid solution concentration (0.1 mg/L) and the lowest finger width (6.00 cm) was induced by the highest SRNOM concentration (10 mg/L). Similarly, for the plant exudates solutions, the largest finger width (8.36 cm) was generated by the lowest oxalate solution concentration (0.1 mg/L) and the lowest finger width (6.63 cm) was induced by the lowest citrate concentration (0.1 mg/L). The control solution produced fingers with average width of 8.30 cm. Additionally, the wettability of the medium for the citrate, oxalate, and SRNOM solutions increased with an increase in concentration. Our research demonstrates that the plant exudates and soil components which are biochemical compounds produced and released in soil are capable of influencing the process of infiltration in soils. The results of this research also indicate that soil wettability expressed as $(\cos \theta)^{1/2}$ should be included in the scaling of the finger dimension, i.e., finger width, when using the Miller and Miller (1956) scaling theory for the scaling of flow in porous media.

Keywords: Infiltration; Wetting front instability; Fingered flow; Interface phenomena; Plant exudates; Soil constituents; Light transmission method; Hydrodynamic scaling

1. Introduction

Infiltration of water in soil is a fundamental element of the hydrologic cycle (Horton, 1933). The infiltration process controls the existence of water and life at the soil-atmosphere interface, by controlling soil moisture (Rodriguez-Iturbe et al., 1999) and supporting vegetation (Liang et al., 1994). In addition to controlling soil moisture and plant life, soil controls deep drainage of infiltrated water, its rate, and its quality. The infiltration process is impacted by the physico-chemical properties and biological activities that occur in the unsaturated zone of the soil (vadose zone), as well as human activities and the climate (Glass et al., 1991). Consequently, understanding the movement of water in soils is particularly valuable in terms of groundwater recharge and contaminant loading.

Deleted: ed

Deleted: demonstrated

Deleted: The changes

Deleted: and

Deleted: y

Deleted: of propagation

Deleted: d

Deleted: s

Deleted: the soil

Deleted: process

Deleted: the

Deleted:

Deleted: to

Deleted: hydrodynamic

Deleted: solutions further demonstrate that the plant exudates increased the wettability and mobility of the solutions during the infiltration process in unsaturated porous media.

Deleted: especially

Moved down [1]: Consequently, the knowledge of water flow and contaminant transport through soils is critical for the management and development of groundwater resources.

Moved down [6]: Understanding the movement of water in soils is particularly valuable in terms of groundwater recharge and contaminant loading.

Deleted: the

Moved (insertion) [6]

Deleted: U

Deleted: Consequently, the knowledge of water flow and contaminant transport through soils is critical for the management and development of groundwater resources.

Moved (insertion) [1]

In general, water flow and contaminant transport in soil are heterogeneous (Bien et al., 2013; Dorr and Munnich, 1991; Klos et al., 1999; McBride, 1998; Muller and Prohl, 1993; Wang et al., 2006). Preferential flow, which concentrates flow to a small fraction of a porous media (Hendrickx and Flury, 2001), has been the subject of numerous studies (e.g., Allaire et al., 2009; Andreini and Steenhuis, 1990; Clothier et al., 2008; Flury et al., 1994; Gerke et al., 2010; Glass et al., 1989a, 1989b, 1989c, 1989d; Jarvis, 2007; Kung, 1990a; Ritsema et al., 1993; Simunek et al., 2003). There are several mechanisms that have been identified to contribute to preferential flow processes, such as macropore flow (e.g., connected and disconnected macropores, cracks, earthworm burrow, root channels) (Beven and Germann, 2013; Bouma and Dekker, 1978); fingered flow (i.e., wetting front/gravity-driven instability or “fingers”) (Glass et al., 1989c, 1989d; Wang et al., 2003a); and funnel flow (e.g., layering or textural interfaces) (Kung, 1990a, 1990b). Bypassing of the soil matrix during preferential flow produces the rapid transport of solutes and particles, thereby increasing risks to groundwater by reducing opportunities for natural attenuation of contaminants such as pesticides, nutrients, metals, pathogens, radionuclides, antimicrobials, and nonaqueous phase liquids (NAPLs) (Boyer et al., 2009; Darnault et al., 2003, 2004; DiCarlo et al., 2000; Engelhardt et al., 2015; Jarvis et al., 2016; Kay et al., 2004, 2005; Kim et al., 2005; Nimmo, 2012; Uysur et al., 2010, 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). Therefore, understanding environmental factors that might increase the occurrence of preferential flow is of key importance. In this work we are specifically concerned about whether the presence of natural biologic (i.e., root) exudates contributes to the onset of unstable fingered flow.

The process of infiltration in soils is the particular interaction of the dynamics of fluid interfaces and flow in porous media, where infiltration is an overall downward movement of water into dry unsaturated soils causing the formation of a gas-water interface, known as the “wetting front.” The non-linear behavior of the relationship between the soil hydraulic properties and the soil moisture content sets the conditions for the development of the wetting front, but the classification of the wetting front propagation as stable or unstable is determined by the interplay between the two forces, capillarity and gravity (Cueto-Felgueroso and Juanes, 2008, 2009a, 2009b, 2009c; Egorov et al., 2003; Wallach et al., 2013). During a stable infiltration process into

Deleted: These heterogeneities can occur in the form of spatial and temporal variability in soil hydraulic properties, water supply rate, and contaminant amount. These factors affect the hydrologic response to precipitation, and thus, influence the quantity and quality of both groundwater and surface water resources (Beven and Germann, 2013; Clothier et al., 2008; Jarvis et al., 2016; Jarvis, 2007; Weiler, 2017). Preferential flow, is one such heterogeneity, which is the rule rather than the exception in hydrologic and earth sciences (Bundt et al., 2000; Smith and Elder, 1999). Preferential flow in soil is defined as the concentration of flow of water through a small fraction of the volume of the porous media, and bypassing most of the soil matrix. It increases the flow velocity (Hendrickx and Flury, 2001) and thus reduces the time for the attenuation of contaminants, and it quickens the transport of contaminants to aquifers.

Deleted: ,

Deleted: (

Deleted:)

Deleted: Preferential flow in the soil may occur through

Deleted: (Beven and Germann, 2013; Bouma and Dekker, 1978),

Deleted: (Glass et al., 1989c, 1989d; Wang et al., 2003a),

Deleted: Preferential flow serves as the catalyst for

Deleted: including

Deleted: :

Deleted: ;

Deleted: with little interaction with the soil matrix, significant degradation of groundwater quality can occur

Deleted: The stability of an interface between two immiscible fluids in a porous medium has been the subject of numerous research since the 1950's until now, especially in the field of petroleum engineering and in hydrologic sciences (Chouke et al., 1959; Homsy, 1987; van Meurs, 1957; Saffman, 1986; Saffman and Taylor, 1958; Stokes et al., 1986; Tanveer, 1987a, 1987b). When a wetting fluid comes in contact with a dry porous medium, flow in the porous medium is caused by capillarity, and these capillary forces transfer water in any direction. The development of this flow without the influence of gravity is known as a “sorption” process, and the development without this influence is known as an “infiltration” process (Assouline, 2013).

Deleted: I

Deleted: process

Deleted: instance

Deleted: and

Deleted: es

Deleted: .

Deleted: This wetting front propagation can be classified as stable or unstable depending on the

Deleted: controlled

a homogeneous porous medium, under a steady state flux condition at the surface, a stable wetting front moves downward as it is driven by gravity and stabilized by the surface tension resulting from capillary forces present in the porous medium. While in an unstable infiltration process into a homogeneous porous medium under steady state flow, it is when the gravity and capillary forces are nearly equal but opposite in magnitude, that small irregularities, such as small heterogeneities (which are inevitable, even in a practically homogeneous medium) will lead to the condition where gravity dominates. At that point the unstable wetting front will occur and “fingers” are generated (Glass et al., 1989a, 1989b, 1989c; Hill and Parlange, 1972; Kapoor, 1996; Peck, 1965; Selker et al., 1992a, 1992b; Yao and Hendrickx, 1996). Once these fingered flow phenomena are generated, the flow area is decreased compared to that of the wetting front, and the flux velocities of the fingers increase tremendously (Wallach et al., 2013). Peck (1965) was the first to describe the formation of “tongues” of infiltrating water in sand columns and the entrapment of air below the wetting front. Hill and Parlange (1972) conducted the first systematic experiment to investigate the phenomenon of wetting front instability. The role and importance of fingered flow in contaminant transport has been demonstrated with several cases of groundwater contamination (e.g. (Hillel, 1987; Steenhuis et al., 1990)).

The non-linearity of the governing equations for unsaturated flow through porous media makes a theoretical analysis of water movement in soils and wetting front instability in soils most challenging (Buckingham, 1907; Richards, 1931). Infiltration theories and the development of mathematical solutions to solve the problem of flow in porous media have been the subject of much research, most particularly the seminal works of John Philip (Philip, 1969, 1975) and Jean-Yves Parlange (Parlange, 1971a, 1971b, 1971c, 1972a, 1972b, 1972c, 1972d, 1972e, 1973, 1975; Parlange and Aylor, 1972). Further Saffman and Taylor (1958) and Chouke et al., (1959) concluded that the development of wetting front instability resulted from unsaturated flow (Pendexter and Furbish, 1991; Raats, 1973; Selker et al., 1992c), an increase in hydraulic conductivity with depth (Hill and Parlange, 1972; van Ommen et al., 1989), a decrease in soil wettability with depth (Hill and Parlange, 1972; Hillel, 1987; Philip, 1975; Raats, 1973; Tamai et al., 1987), water repellency (Dekker and Ritsema, 1994; Ritsema et al., 1993; Ritsema and Dekker, 1994), a redistribution of infiltration after the end of rainfall or irrigation (Philip, 1975), and air entrapment (Peck, 1965; Philip, 1975; Raats, 1973; Wang et al., 1998).

Deleted: a

Deleted: a

Deleted: a

Deleted: an unstable wetting front

Deleted: ,

Deleted: a

Deleted: ,

Deleted: a

Deleted: ,

Deleted: , (one type of is driven by gravity and stabilized by the surface tension resulting from capillary forces present in the porous media, thus the preferential flow paths,)

Deleted: (“fingers”)

Deleted: with

Deleted: was

Deleted: as evidenced through

Deleted: Analytical and quasi-analytical solutions of the flow equation have been developed through the time expansion (Philip, 1969, 1975) and integral approaches (Parlange, 1971b, 1972e).

In addition to these theoretical analyses, numerous experimental studies have been undertaken to elucidate the process of infiltration in soils and the instability of wetting front propagation. The process of infiltration in soils and the instability of wetting fronts has been studied primarily in two-dimensional tanks where it is possible to image the generation of a two-dimensional fingered flow (e.g., Baker and Hillel, 1991; Bauters et al., 2000a; DiCarlo, 2004; Glass et al., 1989a, 1989c, 1989d, Selker et al., 1992a, 1992b, 1992c), and more recently in three-dimensional systems using geo-electrical imaging (Ganz et al., 2014) and ground-penetrating radar (Mangel et al., 2012, 2015). Laboratory experiments designed to examine the infiltration process in homogeneous porous media have confirmed the constant formation of fingered flow (Bauters et al., 2000b; Diment and Watson, 1985; Glass et al., 1989d; Lu et al., 1994; Selker et al., 1992a; Sililo and Tellam, 2000; Wang et al., 2003b, 2004; Yao and Hendrickx, 2001). Experimental observations have also demonstrated that the fingers that develop the most rapidly will convey most of the flow, while the other initial fingers will be quenched (Glass et al., 1989d; Selker et al., 1992b). The fully formed fingers generated by gravity-driven flow behave like traveling waves (i.e. constant shape and velocity) and the water saturation profile of the fully formed fingers exhibit a region of high water saturation (i.e., overshoot) directly behind the wetting front, followed by another region of drainage where the water content in the finger decreases to a lower and uniform water saturation, known as the finger tail (DiCarlo, 2004; Glass et al., 1989a; Liu et al., 1994; Selker et al., 1992b). This increase in water saturation at the fingertip, “saturation overshoot,” causes a rise in pressure and creates a positive matric potential gradient on top of the fingertip (DiCarlo et al., 1999; Geiger and Durnford, 2000). This rise in pressure also increases the delineation between the wet and dry porous media at the edge of the wetting front and facilitates the propagation of the wetting front. The length of the saturation overshoot (i.e. the fingertip length) has been deemed a function of the wetting front velocities (DiCarlo, 2004; Wallach et al., 2013). Further details on theoretical and experimental research on wetting front instability can be found in extensive reviews (Assouline, 2013; Glass and Nicholl, 1996; De Rooij, 2000; Xiong, 2014).

The rhizosphere is an important component of the vadose zone and is specifically defined as the narrow region of soil that is directly influenced by plant roots themselves and by the root

Deleted: the

Deleted: , specifically in layered soils (Hill and Parlange, 1972), under increased air pressure ahead of the wetting front and soil heterogeneity (White et al., 1976, 1977), and in layered soils with variable initial soil moisture (Diment and Watson, 1985). These conditions are prevalent in soils through the world.

Deleted: T

Deleted: entailing the generation

Deleted: (

Deleted:)

Deleted: and,

Deleted: Also, e

Deleted: the following pattern:

Deleted: known as the fingertip, i.e. an overshoot at the fingertips;

Deleted: has decreased

Moved (insertion) [3]

Deleted:

Deleted: was

Deleted: The vadose zone is a highly interactive heterogeneous system between water, air, soil constituents, flora, and fauna (Bengough, 2012; Hallett et al., 2013; Lehmann et al., 2012).

secretions (Hinsinger et al., 2009; McCully, 1999; Passioura, 1988; Read et al., 2003). It has been estimated that about 40% of the precipitation on earth transitions through the rhizosphere (Bengough, 2012). The rhizosphere has a deep effect on hydrologic processes (Benard et al., 2016), and its interactions in the soil system not only involve the physical effects stemming from soil and root dynamics, but also include biochemical effects from the compounds the roots of a plant can exude from their root tips (Benard et al., 2016; Gregory, 2006; Hinsinger et al., 2009).

Deleted: the

Deleted: ,

There are two main biochemical compounds produced by the plant roots: mucilage and exudates. Mucilage is a viscous substance composed mainly of polysaccharides and some phospholipids (Guinel and McCully, 1986; McCully and Boyer, 1997; Read et al., 2003) the purpose of which is to keep the soil around the roots moist by swelling and adsorbing water (Guinel and McCully, 1986), as well as to control the water repellency of the rhizosphere (Carminati, 2012; Carminati et al., 2010; Moradi et al., 2012). Root exudates comprise mostly sugar, amino acids, and organic acids, and are exuded primarily from the root tips (Carvalhais et al., 2011; McCully, 1999; Passioura, 1988; Read et al., 2003). These exudates play a key role in keeping the contact between the roots and soil particles (Walker et al., 2003), the modulation and mobilization the nutrients (Cakmak et al., 1998; Carvalhais et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2008), and the maintenance of the rhizosphere hydraulic properties (Ahmed et al., 2014) by providing a large water-holding capacity (McCully and Boyer, 1997), enhancing the movement of water in dry soil, and enabling the roots to get water from dry soils (Ahmed et al., 2014; Zarebanadkouki et al., 2016). Individual exudates have specific nutrients (i.e. phosphate, nitrate, potassium) that they are designed to extract from the soil. This unique chemistry thus increases the solubility of the sought-after nutrients to ease the uptake by the plant. In this regard, the exudates act as surfactants within the subsurface by lowering the surface tension and contact angles of the soil pore water, enhancing the wettability, and reducing the suction and energy needed for the plant to extract what is needed (McCully, 1999; Passioura, 1988; Read et al., 2003).

Deleted: ,

Deleted: In their study of hydraulic conductivity and hydrophobicity in root-soil interfaces, Zarebanadkouki et al. (2016) analyzed how wetting and drying cycling affected the hydraulic conductivity and potential hydrophobicity of the soil matric in the rhizosphere (Zarebanadkouki et al., 2016). They concluded that mucilage and exudates were a crucial determinant in conserving water for a plant to use during a drying period. However, after the water stores were depleted, the dried mucilage turned the soil hydrophobic, and remained so even after multiple re-wetting events. Furthermore the hydrophobicity caused a simultaneous reduction of the hydraulic conductivity of the systems (Zarebanadkouki et al., 2016).

Moved (insertion) [4]

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: p

Deleted: to their advantage and

The plant root growth in soil is constrained, however, as water, air, and nutrient resources are scarce, and spatially and temporally heterogeneously available in soil (Hinsinger et al., 2009). Plants have evolved various adaptive strategies to adapt advantageously to the environment they encounter, including to access these resources. Hydromechanic analyses of soil and water

interactions in the rhizosphere have demonstrated that rhizodeposits (i.e. mucilages and exudates) induce water potential gradients near the roots and therefore increase **nearby** soil moisture (Carminati et al., 2010; Gardner, 1960; Ghezzehei and Albalasmeh, 2015; Moradi et al., 2011; Young, 1995). As the water potential decreases near the root to produce a concurrent decrease in soil water content, water moves from the bulk soil to the root surfaces. Although the effect of root exudates on soil structure and aggregation has been well established (Alami et al., 2000; Czarnes et al., 2000; Ghezzehei and Albalasmeh, 2015; Kaci et al., 2005; McCully, 1999; Watt et al., 1994), research on the effect of the rhizodeposits (i.e., mucilages and exudates) on the soil-water relationship and water dynamics in the rhizosphere is very limited. This is a crucial knowledge gap in terms of the biogeochemical influences on the hydrologic processes occurring in the rhizosphere, as stated by Bengough (2012), particularly the effect that root exudates have on the nonequilibrium dynamics of interfaces and flow in porous media. Carminati et al. (2016) recently analyzed the rhizosphere processes to more thoroughly elucidate the system, with a particular focus on determining the role of mucilage in root water uptake. They found that wet mucilage enhances fluid transport, but when dry, the mucilage causes hydrophobic tendencies in the rhizosphere (Carminati et al., 2016). From this analysis they determined a need for new technology and sensor applications to gain a full and detailed understanding of the rhizosphere, regardless of the new methodologies in development for imaging these systems and understanding the plants themselves.

The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of various plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil constituents (tannic acid and Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter) on soil infiltration, particularly wetting front instability and fingered flow in unsaturated porous media. A Hoagland Nutrient Solution (HNS) with 0.01 M NaCl (NaCl+HNS) solution was used as a control, as these solution compounds are used to simulate the nutrients a plant needs to survive, and these simulated compounds are also present in the rhizosphere. By utilizing plant exudate and soil constituent solutions, the physical effects of plant root interaction with soil infiltration processes and porous media spatial characteristics, such as heterogeneity and structure, were removed. Only the interactions between the physico-chemical properties of the infiltrating water, the porous media properties, and the flow dynamics were analyzed for an independent determination of the individual constituent solution effects on the soil infiltration processes that

Deleted: near them

Deleted: the

Deleted: They were particularly interested in determining the biological impacts of wet versus dry mucilage to the system, and how those changes in turn, affected the plants overall ability to uptake water (Carminati et al., 2016).

Deleted: Ultimately an enhanced focus in current research to better elucidate the interactions between the biological, chemical, and physical processes that control the rhizosphere is needed. A knowledge of the mechanisms and parameters that govern the wetting front dynamics is most necessary for predicting wetting-front propagation through soil, which in turn makes it possible to predict both soil and groundwater pollution. The non-uniform nature of transport occurring through unstable flow fields means that the transport of contaminants in the subsurface and contaminant loading of aquifer differs from an assumed one-dimensional modality of movement (Glass et al., 1989b, 1991). Any contamination to the vadose zone contamination means a threat to groundwater, which also means that any remediation undertaken to remove that threat is most challenging (Dresel et al., 2011; Triplett et al., 2010; Wellman et al., 2010).

Deleted: Therefore, t

Moved (insertion) [2]

Deleted: Therefore, t

Deleted: elucidate

Formatted: None, Tabs:Not at 0.5"

Deleted: the

Deleted: chemistry

were in the form of wetting front instability and fingering flow phenomena. The fluid properties were quantified by measuring contact angle and surface tension. The dynamics of interfaces in porous media—gas-liquid and solid-liquid interfaces—under the impact of various biochemical compounds were monitored via visualization. Utilizing the imaging technique of the light transmission method (LTM) that allows the visualization of fluid content and flow in porous media with high spatial and temporal resolution (Darnault et al., 1998, 2001; Niemet and Selker, 2001; Weisbrod et al., 2003), we studied the effects of several isolated organic compounds, typically found in the rhizosphere, that were dispersed as artificial rainfall and infiltrate under unsaturated conditions through a homogenous sand porous medium, on the wetting front stability and fingered flow phenomena in a two-dimensional tank. Ultimately, our understanding of the influence of these isolated plant exudates and soil constituents upon these infiltration processes and water saturation distribution within the porous medium is a significant step toward to fully elucidating the range of interactions controlling flow within the rhizosphere.

Deleted: The intent of the research described here was to investigate the effects of biochemical compounds from root plants and soil constituents on the spatial and temporal infiltration process, particularly the wetting front stability and fingered flow in a solid-liquid-gas system.

Deleted: through interfacial tension and contact angle measurements

Deleted: ,

Deleted: a

Deleted: a

Deleted: for

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Simulated Constituent Solution Preparation

In order to reduce the number of active variables present in this work, solutions of simulated plant exudates and soil components were used rather than living plants and soil. A Hoagland Nutrient Solution (HNS) with 0.01 M NaCl (NaCl+HNS) was used as a control to simulate the nutrients required by a plant to survive in a sandy porous medium. The HNS was created by combining the components listed in Table 1 with 970 mL of ultrapure deionized (DI) water (18.2 MΩ•cm, Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA) with NaCl (Lot #: 2954C512, VWR Analytical BDH®, Radnor, PA) subsequently added to bring the solution to 0.01 M NaCl. These steps are based on the method developed by Arnon and Hoagland in 1940. Two plant exudates, sodium citrate (S1804-500G, Sigma-Aldrich, Lake Cormorant, MS), and sodium oxalate (Lot #: P17A014, Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA); and two soil components, Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM, RO isolate, 2R101N, International Humic Substances Society, St. Paul, MN), and tannic acid (Lot #: MKBV0516V, Sigma-Aldrich, Lake Cormorant, MS), were used to create the solutions tested in this work. Using NaCl+HNS as a base solution, different concentrations of the constituents were created to simulate a range of potential concentrations, a

Deleted: requisite

Deleted: of

Deleted: i

Deleted: a in a hydroponics system

Deleted: ,

list of which is provided in Table 2. These concentrations were categorized based on amounts naturally found in the environment (Jones, 1998; Strobel, 2001; Wagoner et al., 1997).

2.2 Solution Characterization

A Kruss Easy Drop (FM40Mk2, Kruss GmbH, Germany) was used to obtain the contact angle and surface tension with 5 μ L of the various experimental solutions deposited on a 24 \times 60 mm micro cover glass (CAT No. 4404-454, VWR, Radnor, PA) for the contact angle analysis. The Drop Shape Analysis software (Kruss GmbH, Germany) was used with the following settings: contact angle profile defined with circle fitting, drop type – sessile drop, sub-type normal. Images and data were collected every 30 seconds for 3 minutes for both the contact angle and surface tension data. The Kruss Easy Drop DSA1 internally computes the contact angle of a drop using the Young equation (Young, 1805):

$$\sigma_s = \gamma_{sl} + \sigma_l * \cos(\theta) \quad (1)$$

where σ_s is the solid surface tension, σ_l is the liquid surface tension, γ_{sl} is the interfacial tension between the phases, and θ is the contact angle. It also calculates the surface tension of pendent drops using the Young-Laplace equation (Laplace, 1806; Young, 1805):

$$\Delta P = \sigma * \left(\frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} \right) \quad (2)$$

where ΔP is the difference in pressure of the inside and outside of the droplet, r_1 and r_2 are the principle radii of the droplet, and σ is the surface tension. By using the characteristic shape and size of the droplets, the equipment can determine the surface tension of the droplet. These variables on a stable solution droplet collected at 90 s are illustrated in Figure 1. Contact angle and surface tension measurements for all solutions were tested in triplicate with the averages, and associated standard deviations presented in this work (Table 3). The surface tension measurements were obtained by suspending the solution as a drop in an open-air environment from a 2 mm diameter needle point. The software was configured with the following settings: drop information – with a needle diameter of 2 mm, a tip level (pixels) of 80, an assumed liquid drop density phase of (g/cm^3) - 0.9975, an embedding phase of 0.0012, a magnification factor (pixels/mm) of 79.827, and an aspect ratio of 1. A statistical analysis was done for the contact angle and surface tension measurements utilizing a t-test using JMP Pro 12 from SAS.

2.3 Light Transmission Method

Deleted: with a

Deleted: ll

Developed by N.T. Hoa, the Light Transmission Imaging Method (LTM) was first used as a rapid, inexpensive method for the visualization of flow in 2D systems (Hoa, 1981), and has since been expanded for use in water-air, oil-water, and water-oil-air systems. The methods used in this work adhere to the methods developed by Darnault et al. (2001, 1998). The LTM works through the illumination of a thin section of porous media (i.e., 1 cm thick section of silica sand) from behind with a light source. A standard camera is then used to capture the amount of light that transmits through the 2D area for any given condition (unsaturated to fully saturated). These images are recorded in standard RGB (red-green-blue) format, and converted into HSI (hue-saturation-intensity) format. An image or photograph can be broken down into three distinct layers of the image itself—the hue, saturation, and intensity. The hue is the wavelength of the light energy, the saturation is the bandwidth of the wavelength, and the intensity is the total energy value or the amplitude. Upon conversion into this format, information on the water content is discernable. Specific combinations of the HSI format can be used to determine the water content depending on the nature of the 2D system and fluids. Similarly, the porous medium is calibrated via water saturation to a known percentage and a discrete value is obtained via the HSI format. The experimental design reduced the efficacy of hue and saturation as opposed to intensity; here intensity was used to create the calibration equation for the experiments.

The 2D tank was constructed using clear 1.3 cm thick polycarbonate plates (8707K153, McMaster-Car, Douglasville, GA) for purposes of visualizing the flow process (Figure 2). The 30.5 × 1.3 × 30.5 cm tank contains four porous plates (0660X01-B01M3, Soilmoisture Equipment Corp., Goleta, CA) along the sloped bottom of the tank, and an effluent port at the base of the slope. For experiments, the tank is fitted to an aluminum stand with an LED light source mounted on the back (36 W dimmable, natural white 61 × 61 cm, LPWD-NW6060-36, superbrightleds.com, St. Louis, MO) and a rainfall simulator (screw actuator) is mounted on top. To prevent reflective and refractive light from affecting the images collected, the excess light from the LED light was blocked to ensure the transmission of only visible light through the tank.

An empirical calibration curve was created using small calibration tanks (7.6 × 1.5 × 8.9 cm) filled with the silica sand to multiple preset water saturation percentage points (i.e. 0%, 5%,

Deleted: 2.5

Deleted: –

Deleted: The colors of a

Deleted: , this is

Deleted: a

Deleted: known

Deleted: . The water saturation points were found for

10%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100%). The calibration was completed in triplicate to account for potential variations in packing and ambient light pollution. A linear calibration relationship was seen between the increasing water saturation percentage points and the increasing transmitted intensity (Figure 3); this trend was also observed in the work of Uyusur et al. (2016). This linear relationship and slope equation were used to convert the intensity values into water saturation values with the Matlab (R2016a, The Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Additionally, for each 2D tank flow experiment, the intensity values corresponding to locations where 0% and 100% water saturation occurred were found, and the slope from each experiment was compared to the calibration curve to ensure that the calibrations between experiments were similar.

2.4. 2D Tank Flow Experimental Design

The 2D tank was secured to the stand prior to packing to minimize jostling and to reduce sand movement, thus decreasing the likelihood of heterogeneity. A packing device, a Y-shaped sand loader containing a piece of cloth, was then affixed to the top of the tank and was used to pour the sand into the tank. It was filled with two tank volumes of graded sand that conform to ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials) C778 standards (U.S. Silica, Ottawa, IL). The cloth was then removed to create a uniform loading of sand within the tank. The second tank volume of sand allows for the homogeneous packing of the tank to a uniform bulk density of 1.50 g/cm³. The U.S. Silica product data sheet described the size of the ATSM graded sand using standard USA STD sieve mesh sizes from 16 – Pan (U.S. Silica Product Data Sheet, 1997) (Figure 4). The packing device and extra sand were removed from the top of the tank, which was then gently tapped to create an even sand surface. A cover was secured around the apparatus to block refractive and reflective light from the LED source, and to ensure that only transmitted light was seen in the images. Specifically, 1 cm of the top portion of the tank and sand was covered; this dimension is assumed as part of the uniform wetting front for the data presented here.

All tank flow experiments were done in replicate, with data presented in this work derived from the averages of the replica, and the images presented from a single experiment representing the system's characteristics. The secondary experimental images are available for viewing in the

Deleted: is

Deleted: increasing,

Deleted: increasing

Deleted:),

Deleted: is

Deleted: It is important to note that the 80% intensity values read high due to water drainage, and thus saturation, of the water in the lower half of the calibration tank.

Deleted: the 0% and 100% intensity values

Deleted: and

Deleted: 43

Deleted: the

Deleted: ,

Deleted: is

Appendix. The experimental exudate and soil component solutions were individually pumped into the 2D tank for each experiment. A Cole Parmer peristaltic pump was used for this process (pump head; Masterflex easy loader II L/S model 77200-60, Masterflex peroxide-cured silicone tubing L/S 16, Cole Parmer Instruments Co., Vernon Hills, IL) with the solutions distributed across the top of the 2D tank by artificial rainfall (rotating screw actuator) over a 45.72 cm² area, at a constant pump rate of 10 mL/min (flow velocity, i.e. the surface area averaged input flux rate, of 13.12 cm/hr).

Deleted: appendix

Deleted: per

A Nikon CMOS D5500 DSLR camera with AF-S DX NIKKOR 18-55mm f/3.5-5.6G VR II Lens (model: 1546) was used to image the flow every 30 seconds in large, fine, JPEG format, with 6000 × 4000 pixels per image. The camera was operated manually to ensure that the highest intensity within the images was less than the maximum of 255. This was also done for the calibration curve images. Upon capture, the images were imported into Matlab for quantitative analysis. The raw red-green-blue (RGB) formatted images were converted to a hue-saturation-intensity (HSI) format. The intensity values were then used to determine the dynamic finger geometry and the vertical and horizontal water saturation profiles via Matlab. A statistical analysis was done for the parameters of the flow experiments utilizing a t-test using JMP Pro 12 from SAS.

3. Results

3.1 Surface and Interface Characterizations

The time-dependent contact angles of each constituent solution at their various concentrations are displayed in Figure 5, with the stable contact angle measurements listed in Table 3 (stable measurements taken at 90 seconds). For most concentrations of the exudate solutions the contact angles are significantly lower than those of the NaCl+HNS control solution ($\theta = 64.5^\circ$ at 90 s), the exception being the low concentration of SRNOM. Statistical analysis of the contact angle and surface tension was done via t-test, and the differences are compiled in Table 3. Each constituent group displays a significant percent reduction in the contact angles of the experimental solutions against the control at time 90 s. This significant difference is illustrated through the t-test groupings displayed in Figure 6. The SRNOM percent reduction in the contact

Deleted: dynamic

Deleted: 0

Deleted: 4

Deleted: ,

Deleted: this

angle values were 4% for 0.1 mg/L, and 30% for 10 mg/L. The citrate percent reduction in the contact angle values were 18% for 0.1 mg/L, and 29% for 500 mg/L. The oxalate percent reduction in the contact angle values were 15% for 0.1 mg/L, and 26% for 500 mg/L. For the tannic acid, however, the variance in the reduction in contact angle occurred regardless of the increase in concentration, and averaged a 17% reduction. Significant differences can be seen in all experimental solutions, except for the low concentration of SRNOM. For the remainder of the experimental solutions, two main groups were not dependent on concentration. The first group comprised the tannic acids, citrate, and oxalate, at low concentrations that were similar to each other. The second group comprised citrate, oxalate, and SRNOM at their high concentrations that also were similar to one another. However, the low concentration of tannic acid and the high concentration of oxalate, were not significantly different from each other.

Time-dependent surface tension measurements were taken at 30 second intervals for all experimental solutions and are displayed in Figure 7. Additionally, the stable surface tension measurements (at time 90 s) are listed in Table 3. They were used to determine the percent reduction in surface tension over time (Figure 8). All solutions exhibited a significantly lower surface tension than the NaCl+HNS control solution (75.7 mN/m at 90 s). As with the contact angle data, the differences in surface tension were not coupled directly with differences in concentration. For example, in Figures 5 and 7, the 500 mg/L citrate solution exhibited the lowest contact angle ($\theta = 46^\circ$) and the highest surface tension (73.6 mN/m), stable time at 90 s. The percent reduction in surface tension t-test groupings illustrates more specifically that the effect of the concentration variations on the surface tension is not consistent. The statistical analysis revealed two distinct groups of surface tension values—, one consisting of the low concentration of oxalate and tannic acid and the high concentration of citrate and SRNOM; and the other consisting of the remainder, seen in Figure 8. It is important to note that the percent reduction in surface tension for the experimental solutions compared to the control was small, less than 10%. The densities of the experimental solutions were also calculated and found to range minimally, from 995.5 – 1002.8 kg/m³ (Table 4).

3.2 Time Dependent Unstable Fingering Flow

Deleted: for
Deleted: was
Deleted: ,
Deleted: thus
Deleted: ing
Deleted: the percent
Deleted: is to 17%.
Deleted: remained
Deleted: there are
Deleted: that are
Deleted: that are similar to each other are
Deleted: at both concentrations
Deleted: ,
Deleted: both
Deleted: their
Deleted: S
Deleted: ly,
Deleted: are
Deleted: each
Deleted: are
Deleted: Dynamic
Deleted: ,
Deleted: and
Deleted: changes
Deleted: changes
Deleted: θ
Deleted: respectively (
Deleted:)
Deleted: ;
Deleted: group
Deleted: ,
Deleted: were
Deleted: Additionally, the bond number for the experimental solutions was calculated to fall between 2.7-3.9E-4, illustrating the importance of the surface tension plays in the behavior of the solutions (Table 5).
Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript
Deleted: 4

The unsaturated gravity driven flow experiments were analyzed based on i) the number of fingers formed, ii) the velocity of finger propagation, and iii) the vertical and horizontal water saturation profiles. The two extreme concentrations for the plant constituents (citrate and oxalate), which were 0.1 mg/L for the lowest and 500 mg/L for the highest, were compared with the concentrations of the soil constituents, which were 0.1 mg/L to 10 mg/L for SNROM, and 0.1 mg/L to 500 mg/L for tannic acid.

Deleted: was

Deleted: was

Deleted: 10

In this comparison, the number of fingers formed in each experimental test solution varied slightly (1.5 to 2.5 on average) with no discernable pattern between the various constituents and concentrations (Table 3). Although a variation was observed in the wetting depth of each solution, a relationship to the finger propagation velocity was observed in the citrate, oxalate, and tannic acid solutions. The relationship showed that the slower propagation of the fingers indicated a greater wetting depth (Table 3), but this finding did not hold for the soil constituent SRNOM solutions. The finger length and the wetting depth of the citrate solutions at ten minutes is 22.4 cm and 3.5 cm for the low concentration, and 19.5 and 2.5 cm for the high concentration. The finger length and wetting depth of the SRNOM solutions at ten minutes is 17.7 cm and 7.0 cm for the low concentration, and 13.3 and 3.3 cm for the high concentration. The finger length and wetting depth of the oxalate solutions at ten minutes is 20.2 cm and 3.4 cm for the low concentration, and 22.2 and 2.3 cm for the high concentration. The finger length and wetting depth of the tannic acid solutions at ten minutes is 19.1 cm and 4.3 cm for the low concentration, and 25.5 and 2.5 cm for the high concentration.

Deleted: s

Deleted: -

Deleted: which

3.2.1 Finger Analysis

Matlab was next used to process and analyze the vertical water saturation profiles of the main finger for each solution (Figures 9 & 10). Although the NaCl+HNS control solution exhibited a substantial wetting front and a uniform water distribution through the vertical finger profile, a steady gradient of higher to lower saturation, from the tip of the finger (~90%) to the top of the tank (~50%) was observed. The citrate solutions were similar in that both the 0.1 mg/L and 500 mg/L formed two fingers. However, the 0.1 mg/L citrate solution formed two long and narrow fingers, width of 6.6 cm, with the majority of the water content located directly behind the wetting front (~100%). In juxtaposition, the 500 mg/L citrate solution formed two well-dispersed

fingers that were 7.9 cm in width with relatively uniform water saturation profiles (~80%). These well-dispersed water saturation profiles characterized the oxalate solutions, with an average saturation of ~85% for the low and high concentrations. The 0.1 mg/L had a greater finger length, most likely because the two fingers formed in the 0.1 mg/L sample had a width of 8.4 cm, unlike the three fingers with a width of 7.1 cm in the 500 mg/L analysis. Both long and narrow fingers with widths of 7.6 cm were observed forming from the tannic acid 500 mg/L test, with the majority of the water saturation occurring behind the tip of the wetting front (~100%). Further, the tannic acid at 0.1 mg/L had a slightly more uniform water saturation distribution across the finger, with a width of 10.2 cm, although the majority of the saturation still occurred within the fingertip (~75%). Finally, SRNOM exhibited a deep wetting front and uniform water distribution across the finger profiles for both concentrations with only a minimal variation (~85-88%), although the low-to-high concentrations of the finger widths did vary from 6 cm and 7.5 cm respectively.

The horizontal finger saturation profiles were taken at 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90% of the main fingers length at 10 minutes (Figures 11 & 12). The greatest water saturation occurred within the middle finger of the NaCl+HNS control water saturation profile (~85%), which gradually dispersed toward the finger edges (~25-38%). Although both oxalate concentrations exhibited similar saturations in the center of the fingers (~87%), the higher concentration had a greater dispersion zone (~40%) than the lower (~60%). A high level of saturation was observed in the substantial portion of the center of the main finger in the 0.1 mg/L citrate sample (~100%), with a small sharp zone of dispersion (~60%) along the edges of the finger. However, although less saturation (~85%) was observed in the middle of the finger of the 500 mg/L citrate sample, a greater dispersion zone (~40-50%) did manifest along the finger's edge. SRNOM at both concentrations exhibited a similarly high saturation (~88%) in the center of the finger, although the 10 mg/L sample had a smaller zone of dispersion saturation (~50%). Overall, unlike the lower exudate concentrations that exhibited smaller water saturation dispersion zones toward the finger edges, at the higher concentrations, an increase in water saturation was observed through the center of the finger, and larger water saturation dispersion zones were observed moving toward the finger edges. Tannic acid responded differently than any of the other constituents, exhibiting lower saturation (~85%) in the middle of the finger and a greater dispersion zone

Deleted: s

(~60%) at 0.1 mg/L. At 500 mg/L, a higher saturation (~100%) in the middle of the finger and a smaller dispersion zone (~75%) were observed.

4. Discussion

4.1. Infiltration Processes

- 5 The abrupt difference in the vertical and horizontal water saturation distribution profiles of the fingers that displayed high water saturation behind the wetting front and dry porous media ahead of the wetting front (Figures 9-12) is related to the soil water sorptivity (Philip, 1955; Parlange, 1971a) as it induced the flow of water in porous media under various contact angles (Wallach et al., 2013). The interplay between the resistance to wetting resulting from contact angle at the
- 10 wetting front together with saturation overshoot and wetting front propagation is visualized by the vertical and horizontal water saturation distribution profiles that demonstrated in most cases the fingered flow with saturation overshoot (Figures 9 & 10) and decrease of contact angle (Figures 5 & 6) of all the systems tested compared to the control infiltration experiment.
- 15 In the initial phase of the infiltration process in dry porous media, the wetting front diffuses through the porous medium as the capillary forces dominate the gravity forces. The wetting front is wetting the sandy porous media, making it quite wet or moist, resulting then in a contact angle close to zero. Afterwards, as the capillary forces and the gravity forces assume an equal status,
- 20 the wetting front propagates downward as a traveling wave. An abrupt wetting front is then generated with a high level of water saturation, which causes the development of an unstable wetting front that in turn causes the formation of fingered flow when small heterogeneities are encountered (which is inevitable, even in a practically homogeneous medium). A higher (advancing) contact angle is located at the wetting front that propagates in the porous medium, and a lower (receding) contact angle is present in the now-wetted porous medium (Morrow,
- 25 1976). The disproportion between the inward and outward fluxes at the fingertip increases, which results in the development of the overshoot saturation. Our experimental results which include the vertical water distribution profiles, the visualization of the overshoot saturation, and the wetting front velocity measurements definitely support these findings (Figures 9 & 10; Table 3).

Moved up [2]: The objective of this study was to elucidate the influence of various plant exudates and soil constituents on the soil infiltration, particularly wetting front instability and fingered flow in unsaturated porous media. By utilizing plant exudate and soil constituent solutions the physical effects of plant root interaction with soil infiltration processes and porous media spatial characteristics, such as heterogeneity and structure, were removed. Only the interactions between the water chemistry, the porous media properties, and the flow dynamics were analyzed for an independent determination of the individual constituent solution effects on the soil infiltration processes that were in the form of wetting front instability and fingering flow phenomena.

Deleted: The objective of this study was to elucidate the influence of various plant exudates and soil constituents on the soil infiltration, particularly wetting front instability and fingered flow in unsaturated porous media. By utilizing plant exudate and soil constituent solutions the physical effects of plant root interaction with soil infiltration processes and porous media spatial characteristics, such as heterogeneity and structure, were removed. Only the interactions between the water chemistry, the porous media properties, and the flow dynamics were analyzed for an independent determination of the individual constituent solution effects on the soil infiltration processes that were in the form of wetting front instability and fingering flow phenomena. ... [1]

Deleted: along the fingers boundary, as observed in the vertical and horizontal water saturation distribution profiles

Deleted: , can be explained by

Deleted: s

Deleted: h

Deleted: a reduction in sorptivity of porous media and therefore involving the contact angle (Philip, 1971)

Deleted: with contact angle close to zero

Deleted:

Deleted: i

Deleted: a

Deleted: ; and t

Deleted: to be

Deleted: As the capillary force decreases from the influence of the contact angle that either substantially differs from zero and/or is lower than the contact angle of the control solution, gravity forces overcome capillary forces.

Deleted: a

Deleted: a

Deleted: a

Moved up [3]: This increase in water saturation at the fingertip, "saturation overshoot" causes a rise in pressure and creates a positive matric potential gradient on top of the fingertip (DiCarlo et al., 1999; Geiger and Durnford, 2000). This rise in pressure also increases the delineation between the wet and dry porous media at the edge of the wetting front ... [2]

4.2. Redistribution Processes

After the initial infiltration process the redistribution within the fingering flow ~~differs~~ depending upon the ~~solution chemistry and concentration~~. A noticeable effect of the constituents and concentrations upon the water distribution was observed ~~and can be attributed to the different~~ matric potentials within the ~~fingers~~ (DiCarlo, 2004; Glass et al., 1989c, 1989d; Wallach et al., 2013). Although this increase in the matric potentials causes “saturation overshoot” (i.e. resulting in saturated fingertips), a reduced matric potential enhances the fluid movement in the porous media ~~which results in a more uniform water saturation distribution in the fingers (Figures 9-12)~~ (DiCarlo, 2004; Glass et al., 1989c, 1989d; Wallach et al., 2013). The occurrence of “saturation overshoot” at the fingertips occurs as the result of the fingering flow failing to overcome the pressure potential to ensure the downward flow (as seen in ~~Figures 9-12~~), most notably in the citrate and tannic acid flow systems. It is possible, however, to use data from the fundamental contact angle and surface tension analysis of the solutions in conjunction with the “saturation overshoot” observations to further illustrate ~~how~~ the wettability changes ~~the flow patterns and~~ the water content distribution of the finger saturation profiles. An increase in wettability causes an increase in solution sorptivity ~~due to~~ the reduction in the matric potential required for the solution to enter the pore spaces. These pressure changes ~~result in~~ variations in the water saturation distributions determined elsewhere. Specifically, Wallace et al. (2013) found that for systems with higher contact angles, the air-entry pressure was greater, and thus the fingering flow ~~was~~ most likely to develop a more highly saturated fingertip (DiCarlo, 2004; Glass et al., 1989c, 1989d; Wallach et al., 2013). Similar results were determined in this present analysis in which the higher concentration solutions exhibited lower contact angles (~~except for tannic acid~~) with more uniform saturation distributions. A comparison between the low and high concentrations for citrate and tannic acid clearly indicated the presence of “saturation overshoot” at lower concentrations when the contact angle was ~~greater~~. At higher concentrations, however, the solution saturation exhibited a greater uniformity with a more substantially reduced contact angle. However, these conclusions cannot be applied to oxalate and SRNOM, which at both concentrations exhibited uniform saturations, illustrating that factors such as flow ~~velocity~~ (Wallach et al., 2013), clearly affect the finger geometries and development. Additionally, with limited comparable plant exudate studies, comparisons can be drawn to existing studies upon the

Deleted: changes

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

Deleted: simulated constituents and their

Deleted: s

Deleted: which

Deleted: , due to

Deleted: systems

Deleted: with

Deleted: figures

Deleted: in

Deleted: , caused by

Deleted: present themselves

Deleted: as

Deleted: is

Deleted: prevalent

Deleted: rate

effects of surfactants in soil systems since plant exudates act as organic surfactants in the rhizosphere. It was determined that the simulated plant exudates and the soil constituent solutions exhibited behaviors similar to those delineated in the studies of Bashir et al. (2011) and Henry and Smith (2002) which demonstrated that the solute concentration, ionic strength and pH affected not only the surface tension but also the contact angle.

Deleted: that

Deleted: ,

Deleted: .

4.3. Finger Geometry and Capillary Potential

Comparison should be made between finger geometry and capillary potential by using the Young-Laplace equation, and not individually with contact angle. The reason is that tannic acid did not show a difference in contact angle between low and high concentrations, but it did show a large difference in surface tension and in finger geometry. The capillary pressure calculation would capture that difference. The Young-Laplace equation can be used to express capillary pressure at the pore scale as a function of surface tension and contact angle. This equation is expressed as:

$$P_C = \frac{2\gamma \cos\theta}{r} \quad (3)$$

where P_C is for the capillary pressure, γ and θ are the surface tension and contact angle of a solution, respectively, and r is the radius of the pore size of the medium (Lord et al., 1997).

Modeling approaches have been developed to assess the relationships between particle sizes and pore size distributions in porous media constituted by multicomponent particles (Assouline and Rouault, 1997). By taking a multicomponent pack of an infinity of particles described by a particle size distribution (PSD), $f(r)$, which satisfy the dense random packing condition (Wise, 1952), four particles of radii r_1 , r_2 , r_3 , and r_4 that are in contact form an empty void space in a porous medium. The volume of this void is approximated by the volume of the osculatory sphere of radius r_V (Soddy, 1936) which can be used as an estimated value of r , the radius of the pore size of the medium, and expressed as:

$$r_V^{-1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^4 \left(\frac{1}{r_i}\right) + \sqrt{3} \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \left(\frac{1}{r_i}\right)^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^4 \left(\frac{1}{r_i}\right)^2\right)}}{2} \quad (4)$$

The examination of the relationship between the finger width and the capillary pressure of fully formed fingers resulting from infiltration of water in U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand for the tested solutions showed that the finger width tended to increase as the capillary pressure decreased (Figure 13). The observed trend in the finger width and capillary pressure relationship indicates that the capillary pressure difference across the water-air interfaces influenced the propagation of the wetting front and development and growth of fingers, as well as the sorptivity. As previously stated by Wallach et al., (2013) “*this effect can be mainly related to the soil water sorptivity (Philip, 1955; Parlange, 1971[a]) and its role on the spontaneous and forced water flow in the pores at different contact angles.*”

4.4. Hydrodynamic Scaling in Porous Media of Finger Dimensions

Unstable fingering flow geometries have been analyzed under various settings, especially that of Parlange and Hill (1976) who developed equations to calculate the width of a finger in an air-water system by including the sorptivity, and Glass and Nicholl (1996) who modified the equation to conform in air-water systems. This equation is expressed as:

$$d_c = \pi \frac{S^2}{2K_s(\theta_s - \theta_i)} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q_s/K_s} \right) \quad (5)$$

where d_c is the minimum finger width, S is the sorptivity, θ_s is the saturated water content, θ_i is the initial water content, q_s is the flux, K_s is the saturated hydraulic conductivity, and π is a constant for a 2D system (Glass et al., 1991; Wallach et al., 2013). Scaling of fluid flow in porous media was established by Miller and Miller (1956). Hydrodynamic scaling in porous media directed to unstable wetting front and fingered flow may be utilized to measure the finger width. Scaling of the equation advanced by Parlange and Hill (1976) to calculate the finger width can be performed by using the Miller and Miller scaling theory (1956). The resulting scaling equation that can be applied to width of fingers, and is expressed as:

$$d_* = \frac{\rho g \lambda}{\sigma_{gl}} d \quad (6)$$

where ρ is liquid density, g is gravitational constant, λ is the characteristic media length scale, σ_{gl} is the gas-liquid interfacial tension, d is the finger width, and d_* is the scaled finger width (Glass and Nicholl, 1996). This scaling equation has been utilized to estimate the finger widths

based upon the fluid properties and porous media characteristics (Selker and Schroth, 1998). Then, we evaluated the finger widths measured in our experiment by executing a scaling based on the density of the plant exudate and soil component solutions compared with the control (NaCl+HNS) solution, the gas-liquid interfacial tension of the plant exudate and soil component solutions compared with the control (NaCl+HNS) solution, and the coupling of both the density and gas-liquid interfacial tension of the plant exudate and soil component solutions compared with the control (NaCl+HNS) solution (Table 4). Scaling results demonstrated that the fluid density, the gas-liquid interfacial tension, and the coupling of fluid density and gas-liquid interfacial tension did not have a significant effect on the scaling discrepancies of the finger widths. Scaling discrepancies of the finger widths remained similar between the experiments rather than explicating the mechanisms responsible for the differences in finger width (Table 4). The reason of these unchanged discrepancies in the scaling of the finger width can be related to the consistency of the contact angle among the experiments to be scaled when utilizing the Miller and Miller scaling theory (Selker and Schroth, 1998). Culligan et al. (2005) also revealed that soil sorptivity is governed by $(\cos \theta)^{1/2}$ while other studies showed the opposite (Philip, 1971). Recently, an expansion of the Miller and Miller scaling theory to scale sorptivity by contact angle was introduced by Wallach and Wang (2013). Their findings showed that the Miller and Miller scaling theory can be used to scale sorptivity by the contact angle, i.e. $(\cos \theta)^{1/2}$ in the case of uniform pore media. This is the case here, as our experiments are performed in homogeneous and uniform sandy porous media in which fingering flow phenomenon develops. Based on these conclusions, the finger width was scaled with $(\cos \theta)^{1/2}$ to include the influence of contact angle and pore dimension (Table 4) and expressed as:

$$d_* = d(\cos \theta)^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

Scaling results demonstrated that the use of $(\cos \theta)^{1/2}$ does not decrease the scaling discrepancies of the finger width but increases the scaling discrepancies of the finger width (Table 4), thus proving some influence of contact angle through the expression of $(\cos \theta)^{1/2}$ in the formation and growth of fingers in porous media, the width of fingers, as well as evidence of pore scale factor in fingering flow phenomena (Steenhuis et al., 2013).

4.5. Exudate Effects

The rhizosphere is extremely complex, and interactions between the components are typically compounding, as comparisons of the study of Zarebanadkouki et al. (2016) to those of Walker et al. (2003) and Nardi et al. (2000) indicate. Root exudates have been shown to change soil physico-chemical properties (Nardi et al., 2000; Walker et al., 2003) and “mucilage, a polymeric gel that is exuded by most plant roots, is believed to be one of the main factors influencing the rhizosphere hydraulic properties” (Zarebanadkouki et al., 2016). Specifically, in the broad approach used to elucidate the entire root system, Walker et al. (2003) found that the root exudates compounded with mucilage under certain circumstances exhibited a stabilizing effect on the rhizosphere (i.e., the stabilization of the soil structure near the roots). Naveed et al. (2017) demonstrated that exudates from barley roots may disperse soil while exudates from maize roots and chia seed exudates may increase soil stability around roots or seeds. While the exudates lowered the surface tension of the soil pore-water, similar to what the authors determined in this research (Figures 7 and 8), the mucilage acted as a glue, bonding soil aggregates to the root sheaths and creating a water holding space around the roots as the surrounding soil continued to dry (Walker et al., 2003). Exudates, apart from the mucilage component, ultimately exert the opposite impact on flow through the porous media. Exudates increased the mobility of the fluid through porous media as illustrated in Figures 9-12. Specifically, in their study of the effects of plant mucilage and phospholipids on the physical and chemical properties of soil, Read et al. (2003) observed a decrease of the surface tension of the soil water brought about by very small concentrations of phospholipid surfactants and exudate organic acids (Read et al., 2003). The simplicity of analyzing solely the effects of exudates, as shown in Figures 5-8, is beneficial in understanding the separate components of the rhizosphere as they exist (i.e., uncompounded by the rest of the multiple components). The increased soil wettability and thus mobility of the soil solution due to the presence of biogeochemical compounds need to be further studied in order to elucidate the hydrological processes and the transport of contaminants in the rhizosphere and the vadose zone.

4.6. Imaging Capabilities

Moved up [4]: In their study of hydraulic conductivity and hydrophobicity in root-soil interfaces, Zarebanadkouki et al. (2016) analyzed how wetting and drying cycling affected the hydraulic conductivity and potential hydrophobicity of the soil matrix in the rhizosphere (Zarebanadkouki et al., 2016). They concluded that mucilage and exudates were a crucial determinant in conserving water for a plant to use during a drying period. However, after the water stores were depleted, the dried mucilage turned the soil hydrophobic, and remained so even after multiple re-wetting events. Furthermore the hydrophobicity caused a simultaneous reduction of the hydraulic conductivity of the systems (Zarebanadkouki et al., 2016). Juxtaposing these results that are presented in this paper, the Exudates, apart from the mucilage component, ultimately exert the opposite impact on flow through the porous media. This Exudates increased in the mobility of the fluid through porous media the system is illustrated in Figures 9-12. Specifically, in their study of the effects of plant mucilage and phospholipids on the physical and chemical properties of soil, Read et al. (2003) observed a decrease of the surface tension of the soil water systems brought about by very small concentrations of phospholipid surfactants and exudate organic acids (Read et al., 2003). The increased soil wettability, and thus, mobility of the soil

Deleted: The addition of surfactants, biosurfactants, or exudates can alter the solution chemistry enough to p[... [3]

Deleted: a

Deleted: at

Deleted: s

Deleted:

Deleted: s

Formatted: Font:Italic

Deleted: (

Deleted: also

Deleted: of

Deleted: by

Deleted: a

Deleted: Juxtaposing these results that are presented in this paper, the

Deleted: e

Deleted: This

Deleted: in

Deleted: the system i

Deleted: systems

Deleted: The increased soil wettability, and thus, mobility of the soil solution due to the presence of biogeochem[... [4]

Deleted: solely

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: s

Deleted: 45

The recent surge in the development of visualization and modeling capabilities, specifically related to 2D tanks, has been instrumental in studies attempting to elucidate rhizosphere systems and root zone development and characterization *in situ* (Carminati et al., 2016). These new capabilities, paired with current biological understandings have yielded a novel understanding of the entire plant-root-soil-water system. However, even with such an acceleration of research, the specific distribution and paths that the fluid takes from the soil matrix into and through the plant itself remain unknown (Carminati et al., 2016). As stated by Carminati et al. (2016), “Coupling these measurements with imaging techniques that allow visualization of the root architecture and the structure and moisture of the rhizosphere could be the ultimate experiment to measure the rhizosphere properties.” The analysis presented here clearly indicates the effectiveness of combining 2D tank systems with imaging techniques such as LTM to furthering understanding of high spatial and temporal fluid distribution and moisture gradients in the rhizosphere directly under the influence of plant roots. The increase in sensor sensitivity, particularly of matric potential sensors or pressure transducers, will be of great value in acquiring additional insight to the mechanisms occurring in the rhizosphere. As new imaging technology of soil and rhizosphere systems are developed, these technologies may be coupled with the light transmission method to study flow and transport in porous media regulated by plants and microbes. The light transmission method may also be used to support research on biological behavior and influences on flow and transport in natural porous media, as this research endeavor “will require the development of more realistic models, and additional data associated with the physical, chemical, and microbial characteristics of microenvironments in real porous media” (Baveye and Darnault, 2017).

5. Conclusion

This paper presents research on the influence of plant exudates and soil components on the infiltration process and preferential flow phenomena in soil, particularly on wetting front instability and fingered flow in unsaturated and homogeneous sandy porous media. Dynamic 2D tank flow experiments were coupled with the unique light transmission method (LTM) in order to provide a novel analysis of the influence of biogeochemical compounds on the infiltration process and wettability in a porous medium. This unique approach makes it possible for the rapid

Deleted: ing

Formatted: Font:Italic

Deleted: s

Deleted: .

Deleted: special

Formatted: Font:Italic

Deleted: .

Deleted: ed

Deleted: the

Deleted: plant exudate

Deleted: flow

Deleted: a

and high-resolution quantification of flow patterns and dimensions, and fluid saturation profiles in porous media. Overall, solution chemistry and concentration of plant exudates and soil components were observed to exert a significant effect on the interactions between fluids and solid surfaces, and on the resulting infiltration process, finger water saturation profile, and finger width. Our findings also establish the need to consider the contact angle expressed as $(\cos \theta)^{1/2}$ when using the Miller and Miller (1956) scaling theory for the scaling of flow in porous media. The visualization and quantitative method and data produced here provides the basis for future analysis of the rhizosphere processes and to further our understanding of their impacts on soil hydrological processes, such as the effects of rhizosphere biophysics and biochemical compounds on soil moisture, infiltration, and drainage. Novel technological and methodological approaches in hydrology are essential to advancing our understanding of soil-water-plant systems. The results of our research will also be of use in increasing the effectiveness of agricultural practices related to irrigation application and engineering systems for soil water management.

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences and Office of Biological and Environmental Research under Award Number DE-SC-00012530. The authors thank, Brian Berkowitz, Editor of Hydrology and Earth System Sciences; Maria Dragila, Short Comment Reviewer; and the two Referee Comment Reviewers, for their useful comments. The authors are especially grateful to Tammo Steenhuis for his very valuable insights.

References

- Ahmed, M. A., Kroener, E., Holz, M., Zarebanadkouki, M. and Carminati, A.: Mucilage exudation facilitates root water uptake in dry soils, *Funct. Plant Biol.*, 41(10–11), 1129–1137, doi:10.1071/fp13330, 2014.
- Alami, Y., Achouak, W., Marol, C. and Heulin, T.: Rhizosphere soil aggregation and plant growth promotion of sunflowers by an exopolysaccharide-producing *Rhizobium* sp. strain

Deleted: ,

Deleted: The primary objective of this research was to investigate the impact of low and high concentrations of simulated plant root exudates and soil constituents on unstable infiltration flows within a homogenous porous media.

Deleted: both concentrations of each constituent

Deleted: a

Deleted: wettability of the fluids when compared to the control

Deleted: and

Deleted: No changes were seen in between the different concentrations or constituents in the flow systems. However, a change was seen between the experimental solutions as a whole and the control.

Deleted: ed

Deleted: Such findings illustrate the point that more normalized , or natural, concentrations of these constituents can significantly impact the wettability of and infiltration into the rhizosphere.

Deleted: the

Deleted: , where the natural

Deleted: concentrations

Deleted: exuded from the roots should be great enough to create significant changes in the mobility and wettability of fluids and anything moving within the root zone

Deleted: e

Deleted: the

Deleted:

Deleted: developed

Deleted: here

Deleted: efficiency

Formatted: Font:Not Bold

Deleted: reviewers,

Formatted: Font:Bold

- isolated from sunflower roots, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 66(8), 3393–3398, doi:10.1128/aem.66.8.3393-3398.2000, 2000.
- Allaire, S. E., Roulier, S. and Cessna, A. J.: Quantifying preferential flow in soils: A review of different techniques, *J. Hydrol.*, 378(1–2), 179–204, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.013, 2009.
- 5 Andreini, M. S. and Steenhuis, T. S.: Preferential paths of flow under conventional and conservation tillage, *Geoderma*, 46(1–3), 85–102, doi:10.1016/0016-7061(90)90009-X, 1990.
- Arnon, D. I. and Hoagland, D. R.: Crop production in artificial culture solutions and in soils with special reference to factors influencing yields and absorption of inorganic nutrients, *Soil Sci.*, 50(1), 463–485, 1940.
- 10 Assouline, S. and Rouault, Y.: Modeling the relationships between particle and pore size distributions in multicomponent sphere packs: Application to the water retention curve, *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.*, 127(1–3), 201–210, doi:10.1016/S0927-7757(97)00144-1, 1997.
- Assouline, S.: Infiltration into soils: Conceptual approaches and solutions, *Water Resour. Res.*, 15 49(4), 1755–1772, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20155, 2013.
- Baker, R. S. and Hillel, D.: Observations of fingering behavior during infiltration into layered soils, *Prefer. Flow Proc. Natl. Symp.*, 87–99, 1991.
- Bashir, R., Smith, J. E. and Stolle, D. E.: The effect of ionic strength on surfactant-induced unsaturated flow, *Can. Geotech. J.*, doi:10.1139/T10-096, 2011.
- 20 Bauters, T. W. J., Steenhuis, T. S., DiCarlo, D. A., Nieber, J. L., Dekker, L. W., Ritsema, C. J., Parlange, J.-Y. and Haverkamp, R.: Physics of water repellent soils, *J. Hydrol.*, 231, 233–243, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00197-9, 2000a.
- Bauters, T. W. J., DiCarlo, D. A., Steenhuis, T. S. and Parlange, J.-Y.: Soil water content dependent wetting front characteristics in sands, *J. Hydrol.*, 231, 244–254, 2000b.
- 25 Baveye, P. C. and Darnault, C.: Microbial competition and evolution in natural porous environments: Not that simple, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 114(14), E2802–E2803, doi:10.1073/pnas.1700992114, 2017.
- Benard, P., Kroener, E., Vontobel, P., Kaestner, A. and Carminati, A.: Water percolation through the root-soil interface, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 95, 190–198, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.09.014, 30 2016.

- Bengough, A.G.: Water dynamics of the root zone: Rhizosphere biophysics and its control on soil hydrology, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 11(2), 0, doi:10.2136/vzj2011.0111, 2012.
- Beven, K. and Germann, P.: Macropores and water flow in soils revisited, *Water Resour. Res.*, 49(6), 3071–3092, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20156, 2013.
- 5 Bien, L. B., Angulo-Jaramillo, R., Predelus, D., Lassabatere, L. and Winiarski, T.: Preferential flow and mass transport modeling in a heterogeneous unsaturated soil, *Adv. Unsaturated Soils*, 211–216, 2013.
- Bouma, J. and Dekker, L. W.: Case-study on infiltration into dry clay soil. 1. Morphological observations, *Geoderma*, 20(1), 27–40, doi:10.1016/0016-7061(78)90047-2, 1978.
- 10 Boyer, D. G., Kuczynska, E. and Fayer, R.: Transport, fate, and infectivity of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts released from manure and leached through macroporous soil, *Environ. Geol.*, 58(5), 1011–1019, doi:10.1007/s00254-008-1580-x, 2009.
- Buckingham, E.: Studies on the movement of soil moisture, *Bur. Soils - Bull.*, 38, 1907.
- 15 Cakmak, I., Erenoglu, B., Gulut, K. Y., Derici, R. and Romheld, V.: Light-mediated release of phytosiderophores in wheat and barley under iron or zinc deficiency, *Plant Soil*, 202(2), 309–315, doi:10.1023/a:1004384712817, 1998.
- Carminati, A.: A model of root water uptake coupled with rhizosphere dynamics, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 11(3), doi:10.2136/vzj2011.0106, 2012.
- Carminati, A., Moradi, A. B., Vetterlein, D., Vontobel, P., Lehmann, E., Weller, U., Vogel, H. J. and Oswald, S. E.: Dynamics of soil water content in the rhizosphere, *Plant Soil*, 332(1), 163–176, doi:10.1007/s11104-010-0283-8, 2010.
- 20 Carminati, A., Zarebanadkouki, M., Kroener, E., Ahmed, M. A. and Holz, M.: Biophysical rhizosphere processes affecting root water uptake, *Ann. Bot.*, (1990), mcw113, doi:10.1093/aob/mcw113, 2016.
- 25 Carvalhais, L. C., Dennis, P. G., Fedoseyenko, D., Hajirezaei, M. R., Borriss, R. and von Wiren, N.: Root exudation of sugars, amino acids, and organic acids by maize as affected by nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and iron deficiency, *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 174(1), 3–11, doi:10.1002/jpln.201000085, 2011.
- Chouke, R. L., van Meurs, P. and van der Poel, C.: The instability of slow immiscible, viscous liquid-liquid displacements in porous media, *Trans. Am. Inst. Min. Eng.*, 216, 188–194, 1959.
- 30

Deleted: Bundt, M., Albrecht, A., Froidevaux, P., Blaser, P. and Fluhler, H.: Impact of preferential flow on radionuclide distribution in soil, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 34(18), 3895–3899, doi:10.1021/es9913636, 2000. -

- Clothier, B. E., Green, S. R. and Deurer, M.: Preferential flow and transport in soil: progress and prognosis, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 59(1), 2–13, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2007.00991.x, 2008.
- Cueto-Felgueroso, L. and Juanes, R.: Nonlocal interface dynamics and pattern formation in gravity-driven unsaturated flow through porous media, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 101(24), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.244504, 2008.
- 5 Cueto-Felgueroso, L. and Juanes, R.: A phase field model of unsaturated flow, *Water Resour. Res.*, 45(10), 1–23, doi:10.1029/2009WR007945, 2009a.
- Cueto-Felgueroso, L. and Juanes, R.: Stability analysis of a phase-field model of gravity-driven unsaturated flow through porous media, *Phys. Rev. E*, 79(3), 44–47, doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.79.036301, 2009b.
- 10 Cueto-Felgueroso, L. and Juanes, R.: Phase-field models of gravity-driven unsaturated flow in porous media, *IMECE 2008 Mech. Solids, Struct. Fluids*, Vol 12, 35–40, 2009c.
- Culligan, P. J., Ivanov, V. and Germaine, J. T.: Sorptivity and liquid infiltration into dry soil, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 28(10), 1010–1020, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2005.04.003, 2005.
- 15 Czarnes, S., Hallett, P. D., Bengough, A. G. and Young, I. M.: Root- and microbial-derived mucilages affect soil structure and water transport, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2389.2000.00327.x, 2000.
- Darnault, C. J. G., Throop, J. A., DiCarlo, D. A., Rimmer, A., Steenhuis, T. S. and Parlange, J.-Y.: Visualization by light transmission of oil and water contents in transient two-phase flow fields, *J. Contam. Hydrol.*, 31, 337–348, 1998.
- 20 Darnault, C. J. G., DiCarlo, D. A., Bauters, T. W. J., Jacobson, A. R., Throop, J. A., Montemagno, C. D., Parlange, J. Y. and Steenhuis, T. S.: Measurement of fluid contents by light transmission in transient three-phase oil-water-air systems in sand, *Water Resour. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2000WR900380, 2001.
- 25 Darnault, C. J. G., Garnier, P., Kim, Y. J., Oveson, K. L., Steenhuis, T. S., Parlange, J. Y., Jenkins, M., Ghiorse, W. C. and Baveye, P.: Preferential transport of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in variably saturated subsurface environments, *Water Environ. Res.*, 75(2), 113–120, doi:10.2175/106143003X140890, 2003.
- Darnault, C. J. G., Steenhuis, T. S., Garnier, P., Kim, Y. J., Jenkins, M. B., Ghiorse, W. C., Baveye, P. C. and Parlange, J. Y.: Preferential flow and transport of *Cryptosporidium parvum*
- 30

oocysts through the vadose zone: Experiments and modeling, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 3(1), 262–270, 2004.

De Rooij, G. H.: Modeling fingered flow of water in soils owing to wetting front instability: A review, *J. Hydrol.*, 231–232, 277–294, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00201-8, 2000.

- 5 Dekker, L. W. and Ritsema, C. J.: How water moves in a water repellent sandy soil. 1. Potential and actual water repellency, *Water Resour. Res.*, 30(9), 2507–2517, doi:10.1029/94wr00749, 1994.

DiCarlo, D. A.: Experimental measurements of saturation overshoot on infiltration, *Water Resour. Res.*, 40(4), doi:10.1029/2003wr002670, 2004.

- 10 DiCarlo, D. A., Bauters, T. W. J., Darnault, C. J. G., Steenhuis, T. S. and Parlange, J. Y.: Lateral expansion of preferential flow paths in sands, *Water Resour. Res.*, 35(2), 427–434, doi:10.1029/1998wr900061, 1999.

DiCarlo, D. A., Bauters, T. W. J., Darnault, C. J. G., Wong, E., Bierck, B. R., Steenhuis, T. S. and Parlange, J. Y.: Surfactant-induced changes in gravity fingering of water through a light oil,

- 15 *J. Contam. Hydrol.*, 41(3–4), 317–334, doi:10.1016/S0169-7722(99)00078-9, 2000.

Diment, G. A. and Watson, K. K.: Stability analysis of water movement in unsaturated porous materials: 3. Experimental studies, *Water Resour. Res.*, 21(7), 979–984, doi:10.1029/WR021i007p00979, 1985.

Dorr, H. and Munnich, K. O.: Lead and cesium transport in European forest soils, *Water Air Soil*

- 20 *Pollut.*, 57–8, 809–818, doi:10.1007/bf00282944, 1991.

Egorov, A. G., Dautov, R. Z., Nieber, J. L. and Sheshukov, A. Y.: Stability analysis of gravity-driven infiltrating flow, *Water Resour. Res.*, 39(9), doi:10.1029/2002wr001886, 2003.

Engelhardt, I., Sittig, S., Simunek, J., Groeneweg, J., Putz, T. and Vereecken, H.: Fate of the antibiotic sulfadiazine in natural soils: Experimental and numerical investigations, *J. Contam.*

- 25 *Hydrol.*, 177, 30–42, doi:10.1016/j.jconhyd.2015.02.006, 2015.

Flury, M., Fluhler, H., Jury, W. A. and Leuenberger, J.: Susceptibility of soils to preferential flow of water - A field-study, *Water Resour. Res.*, 30(7), 1945–1954, doi:10.1029/94wr00871, 1994.

Ganz, C., Bachmann, J., Noell, U., Duijnisveld, W. H. M. and Lamparter, A.: Hydraulic modeling and in situ electrical resistivity tomography to analyze ponded infiltration into a water repellent sand, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 13(1), 0, doi:10.2136/vzj2013.04.0074, 2014.

Deleted: Dresel, P. E., Wellman, D. M., Cantrell, K. J. and Truex, M. J.: Review: Technical and policy challenges in deep vadose zone remediation of metals and radionuclides, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 45(10), 4207–4216, doi:10.1021/es101211t, 2011. .

- Gardner, W. R.: Dynamic aspects of water availability to plants, *Soil Sci*, 89(2), 63–73, 1960.
- Geiger, S. L. and Durnford, D. S.: Infiltration in homogeneous sands and a mechanistic model of unstable flow, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 64(2), 460–469, 2000.
- Gerke, H. H., Germann, P. and Nieber, J.: Preferential and unstable flow: From the pore to the catchment scale, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 9(2), 207–212, doi:10.2136/vzj2010.0059, 2010.
- Ghezzehei, T. A. and Albalasmeh, A. A.: Spatial distribution of rhizodeposits provides built-in water potential gradient in the rhizosphere, *Ecol. Modell.*, 298, 53–63, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.10.028, 2015.
- Glass, R. J. and Nicholl, M. J.: Physics of gravity fingering of immiscible fluids within porous media: An overview of current understanding and selected complicating factors, *Geoderma*, 70, 133–163, 1996.
- Glass, R. J., Steenhuis, T. S. and Parlange, J.-Y.: Mechanism for finger persistence in homogeneous unsaturated porous media: Theory and verification, *Soil Sci.*, 148(1), 60–70, 1989a.
- 15 Glass, R. J., Oosting, G. H. and Steenhuis, T. S.: Preferential solute transport in layered homogeneous sands as a consequence of wetting front instability, *J. Hydrol.*, 110, 87–102, 1989b.
- Glass, R. J., Parlange, J.-Y. and Steenhuis, T. S.: Wetting front instability. 1. Theoretical discussion and dimensional analysis, *Water Resour. Res.*, 25(6), 1187–1194, 1989c.
- 20 Glass, R. J., Steenhuis, T. S. and Parlange, J.-Y.: Wetting front instability. 2. Experimental determination of relationships between system parameters and two-dimensional unstable flow field behavior in initially dry porous media, *Water Resour. Res.*, 25(6), 1195–1207, 1989d.
- Glass, R. J., Parlange, J.-Y. and Steenhuis, T. S.: Immiscible displacement in porous media: Stability analysis of three-dimensional, axisymmetric disturbances with application to gravity-driven wetting front instability, *Water Resour. Res.*, 27(8), 1947–1956, doi:10.1029/91WR00836, 1991.
- 25 Gregory, P. J.: Roots, rhizosphere and soil: The route to a better understanding of soil science?, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 57(1), 2–12, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2005.00778.x, 2006.
- Guinel, F. C. and McCully, M. E.: Some water related physical properties of maize root-cap mucilage, *Plant Cell Environ.*, 9(8), 657–666, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.1986.tb01624.x, 1986.
- 30

Hendrickx, J. M. H. and Flury, M.: Uniform and preferential flow mechanisms in the vadose zone, *Concept. Model. Flow Transp. Fract. Vadose Zo.*, Washington, DC, 149–187, doi:10.17226/10102, 2001.

Henry, E. J., Smith, J. E. and Warrick, A. W.: Two-dimensional modeling of flow and transport in the vadose zone with surfactant-induced flow, *Water Resour. Res.*, 38(11), 33-1-33–16, doi:10.1029/2001WR000674, 2002.

Hill, D. E. and Parlange, J.-Y.: Wetting front instability in layered soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 36(5), 697–702, 1972.

Hillel, D.: Unstable flow in layered soils - A review, *Hydrol. Process.*, 1(2), 143–147, doi:10.1002/hyp.3360010203, 1987.

Hinsinger, P., Bengough, A. G., Vetterlein, D. and Young, I. M.: Rhizosphere: biophysics, biogeochemistry and ecological relevance, *Plant Soil*, 321(1–2), 117–152, doi:10.1007/s11104-008-9885-9, 2009.

Hoa, N. T.: A new method allowing the measurement of rapid variations of the water content in sandy porous media, *Water Resour. Res.*, 17(1), 41–48, doi:10.1029/WR017i001p00041, 1981.

Horton, R. E.: The role of infiltration in the hydrologic cycle, *Trans. Am. Geophys. Union*, 14, 446–460, 1933.

Jarvis, N. J.: A review of non-equilibrium water flow and solute transport in soil macropores: Principles, controlling factors and consequences for water quality, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2007.00915.x, 2007.

Jarvis, N., Koestel, J. and Larsbo, M.: Understanding preferential flow in the vadose zone: Recent advances and future prospects, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 15(12), 0, doi:10.2136/vzj2016.09.0075, 2016.

Jones, D.: Organic acids in the rhizosphere: A critical review, *Plant Soil*, 205, 25–44, doi:10.1023/A:1004356007312, 1998.

Jones, T. E., Wood, M. I., Corbin, R. A. and Simpson, B. C.: Preliminary inventory estimates for single-shell tank leaks in B, BX, and BY tank farms, Report RPP-7389; CH2M HILL Hanford Group, Inc., Richland, WA, 2001.

Kaci, Y., Heyraud, A., Barakat, M. and Heulin, T.: Isolation and identification of an EPS-producing *Rhizobium* strain from and soil (Algeria): Characterization of its EPS and the effect of

Deleted: Hallett, P. D., Karim, K. H., Bengough, A. G. and Otten, W.: Biophysics of the vadose zone: From reality to model systems and back again, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 12(4), doi:10.2136/vzj2013.05.0090, 2013. .

Deleted: Homsy, G. M.: Viscous fingering in porous media, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 19, 271–311, doi:10.1146/annurev.fluid.19.1.271, 1987. .

- inoculation on wheat rhizosphere soil structure, *Res. Microbiol.*, 156(4), 522–531, doi:10.1016/j.resmic.2005.01.012, 2005.
- Kapoor, V.: Criterion for instability of steady-state unsaturated flows, *Transp. Porous Media*, 25(3), 313–334, doi:10.1007/bf00140986, 1996.
- 5 Kay, P., Blackwell, P. A. and Boxall, A. B. A.: Fate of veterinary antibiotics in a macroporous tile drained clay soil, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 23(5), 1136–1144, doi:10.1897/03-374, 2004.
- Kay, P., Blackwell, P. A. and Boxall, A. B. A.: Column studies to investigate the fate of veterinary antibiotics in clay soils following slurry application to agricultural land, *Chemosphere*, 60(4), 497–507, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.01.028, 2005.
- 10 Kim, Y.-J., Darnault, C. J. G., Bailey, N. O., Parlange, J. and Steenhuis, T. S.: Equation for describing solute transport in field soils with preferential flow paths, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 69(2), 291–300, doi:10.1084/jem.20070109, 2005.
- Klos, R. A., Simon, I., Bergstrom, U., de Haag, A. M. U., Valentin-Ranc, C., Zeevaert, T., Reid, J. A. K., Santucci, P., Tittley, J. and Stansby, J.: Complementary studies: Biosphere modelling for dose assessments of radioactive waste repositories, *J. Environ. Radioact.*, 42(2–3), 237–254, 15 1999.
- Kruss GmbH: Drop shape analysis users manual., 2004.
- Kung, K. J. S.: Preferential flow in a sandy vadose zone. 1. Field observation, *Geoderma*, 46(1–3), 51–58, doi:10.1016/0016-7061(90)90006-u, 1990a.
- 20 Kung, K. J. S.: Preferential flow in a sandy vadose zone. 2. Mechanism and implications, *Geoderma*, 46(1–3), 59–71, doi:10.1016/0016-7061(90)90007-v, 1990b.
- Laplace, P. S.: *Traité Mécanique Céleste*, Imprimerie de Crapelet, Paris NV - 5., 1806.
- Liang, X., Lettenmaier, D. P., Wood, E. F. and Burges, S. J.: A simple hydrologically based model of land-surface water and energy fluxes for general circulation models, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 25 99(D7), 14415–14428, doi:10.1029/94jd00483, 1994.
- Liu, Y. P., Steenhuis, T. S. and Parlange, J. Y.: Formation and persistence of fingered flow-fields in coarse-grained soils under different moisture contents, *J. Hydrol.*, 159(1–4), 187–195, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(94)90255-0, 1994.
- Lord, D. L., Demond, A. H., Salehzadeh, A. and Hayes, K. I. M. F.: Influence of organic acid solution chemistry on subsurface transport. 2. Capillary pressure–saturation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 31(7), 2052–2058, 1997.
- 30

Deleted: Lehmann, P., Neuweiler, I., Vanderborght, J. and Vogel, H. J.: Dynamics of fluid interfaces and flow and transport across material interfaces in porous media-modeling and observations, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 11(3), doi:10.2136/vzj2012.0105, 2012.

Formatted: Font:Bold

- Lu, T. X., Biggar, J. W. and Nielsen, D. R.: Water movement in glass bead porous media. 2. Experiments of infiltration and finger flow, *Water Resour. Res.*, 30(12), 3283–3290, doi:10.1029/94wr00998, 1994.
- 5 Mangel, A. R., Moysey, S. M. J., Ryan, J. C. and Tarbutton, J. A.: Multi-offset ground-penetrating radar imaging of a lab-scale infiltration test, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 16(11), 4009–4022, doi:10.5194/hess-16-4009-2012, 2012.
- Mangel, A. R., Moysey, S. M. J. and van der Kruk, J.: Resolving precipitation induced water content profiles by inversion of dispersive GPR data: A numerical study, *J. Hydrol.*, 525, 496–505, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.04.011, 2015.
- 10 McBride, M. B.: Growing food crops on sludge-amended soils: Problems with the US Environmental Protection Agency method of estimating toxic metal transfer, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 17(11), 2274–2281, doi:10.1897/1551-5028(1998)017<2274:gfcosa>2.3.co;2, 1998.
- 15 McCully, M. E.: Roots in soil: Unearthing the complexities of roots and their rhizospheres, *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.*, 50(1), 695–718, doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.50.1.695, 1999.
- McCully, M. E. and Boyer, J. S.: The expansion of maize root-cap mucilage during hydration. 3. Changes in water potential and water content, *Physiol. Plant.*, 99(1), 169–177, doi:10.1034/j.1399-3054.1997.990123.x, 1997.
- 20 Miller, E. E. and Miller, R. D.: Physical theory for capillary flow phenomena, *J. Appl. Phys.*, doi:10.1063/1.1722370, 1956.
- Moradi, A. B., Carminati, A., Vetterlein, D., Vontobel, P., Lehmann, E., Weller, U., Hopmans, J. W., Vogel, H.-J. and Oswald, S. E.: Three-dimensional visualization and quantification of water content in the rhizosphere, *New Phytol.*, 192(3), 653–663, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03826.x, 2011.
- 25 Moradi, A. B., Carminati, A., Lamparter, A., Woche, S. K., Bachmann, J., Vetterlein, D., Vogel, H.-J. and Oswald, S. E.: Is the rhizosphere temporarily water repellent?, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 11(3), doi:10.2136/vzj2011.0120, 2012.
- Morrow, N. R.: Capillary-pressure correlations for uniformly wetted porous-media, *J. Can. Pet. Technol.*, 15(4), 49–69, 1976.

Muller, H. and Prohl, G.: ECOSYS-87-A dynamic model for assessing radiological consequences of nuclear accidents, *Health Phys.*, 64(3), 232–252, doi:10.1097/00004032-199303000-00002, 1993.

5 Nardi, S., Concheri, G., Pizzeghello, D., Sturaro, A., Rella, R. and Parvoli, G.: Soil organic matter mobilization by root exudates, *Chemosphere*, 41(5), 653–658, doi:10.1016/s0045-6535(99)00488-9, 2000.

10 Naveed, M., Brown, L. K., Raffan, A. C., George, T. S., Bengough, A. G., Roose, T., Sinclair, I., Koebernick, N., Cooper, L., Hackett, C. A. and Hallett, P. D.: Plant exudates may stabilize or weaken soil depending on species, origin and time, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 68(6), 806–816, doi:10.1111/ejss.12487, 2017.

Niemet, M. R. and Selker, J. S.: A new method for quantification of liquid saturation in 2D translucent porous media systems using light transmission, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 24(6), 651–666, 2001.

15 Nimmo, J. R.: Preferential flow occurs in unsaturated conditions, *Hydrol. Process.*, 26(5), 786–789, doi:10.1002/hyp.8380, 2012.

Parlange, J. Y.: Theory of water movement in soils. 1. One-dimensional absorption, *Soil Sci.*, 111(2), 134-, doi:10.1097/00010694-197102000-00010, 1971a.

Parlange, J. Y.: Theory of water movement in soils. 2. One-dimensional infiltration, *Soil Sci.*, 111(3), 170-, doi:10.1097/00010694-197103000-00004, 1971b.

20 Parlange, J. Y.: Theory of water movement in soils. 3. Two and three-dimensional absorption, *Soil Sci.*, 112(5), 313-, doi:10.1097/00010694-197111000-00003, 1971c.

Parlange, J. Y.: Theory of water movement in soils. 4. Two and three-dimensional steady infiltration, *Soil Sci.*, 113(2), 96-, doi:10.1097/00010694-197202000-00004, 1972a.

25 Parlange, J. Y.: Theory of water movement in soils. 5. Unsteady infiltration from spherical cavities, *Soil Sci.*, 113(3), 156-, doi:10.1097/00010694-197203000-00002, 1972b.

Parlange, J. Y.: Theory of water movement in soils. 6. Effect of water depth over soil, *Soil Sci.*, 113(5), 308-, 1972c.

Parlange, J. Y.: Theory of water movement in soils. 7. Multidimensional cavities under pressure, *Soil Sci.*, 113(6), 379-, doi:10.1097/00010694-197206000-00013, 1972d.

30 Parlange, J. Y.: Theory of water movement in soils. 8. One-dimensional infiltration with constant flux at surface, *Soil Sci.*, 114(1), 1-, 1972e.

- Parlange, J. Y. and Aylor, D.: Theory of water movement in soils. 9. Dynamics of capillary rise, *Soil Sci.*, 114(2), 79-, doi:10.1097/00010694-197208000-00001, 1972.
- Parlange, J. Y.: Theory of water movement in soils. 10. Cavities with constant flux, *Soil Sci.*, 116(1), 1–7, doi:10.1097/00010694-197307000-00001, 1973.
- 5 Parlange, J. Y.: Theory of water movement in soils. 11. Conclusion and discussion of some recent developments, *Soil Sci.*, 119(2), 158–161, doi:10.1097/00010694-197502000-00008, 1975.
- Parlange, J. Y. and Hill, D. R.: Theoretical analysis of wetting front instability in soil, *Soil Sci.* 122, 236-239, 1976.
- 10 Passioura, J. B.: Water transport in and to roots, *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.*, 39(1), 245–265, doi:10.1146/annurev.pp.39.060188.001333, 1988.
- Peck, A. J.: Moisture profile development and air compression during water uptake by bounded porous bodies. 3. Vertical columns, *Soil Sci.*, 100(1), 44–51, 1965.
- Pendexter, W. S. and Furbish, D. J.: Development of a heterogeneous moisture distribution and its influence on the evolution of preferred pathways of flow in an unsaturated sandy soil, edited by T. J. Gish and A. Shirmohammadi, *Preferential Flow, Proc. Natl. Symp. Am. Soc. Agric. Eng.*, Chicago, IL, 104-112, 1991.
- 15 Philip, J. R.: Numerical solution of equations of the diffusion type with diffusivity concentration-dependent, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 51(7), 885–892, doi:10.1039/tf9555100885, 1955.
- 20 Philip, J. R.: Theory of infiltration, *Adv. Hydrosoci.*, 5, 215–296, 1969.
- Philip, J. R.: Limitations on scaling by contact angle, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.*, 35(3), 507-, 1971.
- Philip, J. R.: Stability analysis of infiltration, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 39(6), 1042–1049, 1975.
- Qafoku, N. P., Ainsworth, C. C., Szecsody, J. E. and Qafoku, O. S.: Transport-controlled kinetics of dissolution and precipitation in the sediments under alkaline and saline conditions, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 68(14), 2981–2995, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2003.12.017, 2004.
- 25 Raats, P. A. C.: Unstable wetting fronts in uniform and nonuniform soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 37(5), 681–685, 1973.
- Read, D. B., Bengough, A. G., Gregory, P. J., Crawford, J. W., Robinson, D., Scrimgeour, C. M., Young, I. M., Zhang, K. and Zhang, X.: Plant roots release phospholipid surfactants that modify the physical and chemical properties of soil, *New Phytol.*, 157(2), 315–326, doi:10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00665.x, 2003.
- 30

- Richards, L. A.: Capillary conduction of liquids through porous mediums, *Physics-A J. Gen. Appl. Phys.*, 1(1), 318–333, doi:10.1063/1.1745010, 1931.
- Ritsema, C. J. and Dekker, L. W.: How water moves in a water repellent sandy soil. 2. Dynamics of fingered flow, *Water Resour. Res.*, 30(9), 2519–2531, doi:10.1029/94wr00750, 1994.
- 5 Ritsema, C. J., Dekker, L. W., Hendrickx, J. M. H. and Hamminga, W.: Preferential flow mechanism in a water repellent sandy soil, *Water Resour. Res.*, 29(7), 2183–2193, doi:10.1029/93wr00394, 1993.
- Rod, K. A., Um, W. and Flury, M.: Transport of strontium and cesium in simulated Hanford tank waste leachate through quartz sand under saturated and unsaturated flow, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 44(21), 8089–8094, doi:10.1021/es903223x, 2010.
- 10 Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., D’Odorico, P., Porporato, A. and Ridolfi, L.: On the spatial and temporal links between vegetation, climate, and soil moisture, *Water Resour. Res.*, 35(12), 3709–3722, doi:10.1029/1999wr000255, 1999.
- Saffman, P. G. and Taylor, G.: The penetration of a fluid into a porous medium or Hele-Shaw cell containing a more viscous liquid, *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A-Mathematical Phys. Sci.*, 245(1242), 312–, doi:10.1098/rspa.1958.0085, 1958.
- 15 Selker, J., Leclercq, P., Parlange, J. Y. and Steenhuis, T.: Fingered flow in two dimensions. 1. Measurement of matric potential, *Water Resour. Res.*, 28(9), 2513–2521, doi:10.1029/92wr00963, 1992a.
- 20 Selker, J., Parlange, J. Y. and Steenhuis, T.: Fingered flow in two dimensions. 2. Predicting finger moisture profile, *Water Resour. Res.*, 28(9), 2523–2528, doi:10.1029/92wr00962, 1992b.
- Selker, J. S., Steenhuis, T. S. and Parlange: Wetting front instability in homogeneous sandy soils under continuous infiltration, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 56(5), 1346–1350, 1992c.
- 25 Selker, J. S. and Schroth, M. H.: Evaluation of hydrodynamic scaling in porous media using finger dimensions, *Water Resour. Res.*, 34(8), 1935–1940, doi:10.1029/98wr00625, 1998.
- Sililo, O. T. N. and Tellam, J. H.: Fingering in unsaturated zone flow: A qualitative review with laboratory experiments on heterogeneous systems, *Ground Water*, 38(6), 864–871, doi:10.1111/j.1745-6584.2000.tb00685.x, 2000.
- 30 Simunek, J., Jarvis, N. J., van Genuchten, M. T. and Gardenas, A.: Review and comparison of models for describing non-equilibrium and preferential flow and transport in the vadose zone, *J. Hydrol.*, 272(1–4), 14–35, 2003.

Deleted: Saffman, P. G.: Viscous fingering in Hele-Shaw cells, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 173, 73–94, doi:10.1017/s0022112086001088, 1986. .

- Soddy, F.: *The Hexlet*, *Nature*, 138, 958, 1936.
- Steenhuis, T. S., Staubitz, W., Andreini, M. S., Surface, J., Richard, T. L., Paulsen, R., Pickering, N. B., Hagerman, J. R. and Geohring, L. D.: Preferential movement of pesticides and tracers in agricultural soils, *J. Irrig. Drain. Eng.*, 116(1), 50–66, doi:10.1061/(asce)0733-9437(1990)116:1(50), 1990.
- Steenhuis, T. S., Baver, C. E., Hasanpour, B., Stoof, C. R., DiCarlo, D. A. and Selker, J. S.: Pore scale consideration in unstable gravity driven finger flow, *Water Resour. Res.*, 49(11), 7815–7819, doi:10.1002/2013wr013928, 2013.
- Strobel, B. W.: Influence of vegetation on low-molecular-weight carboxylic acids in soil solution—a review, *Geoderma*, 99(99), 169–198, doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(00)00102-6, 2001.
- Tamai, N., Asaeda, T. and Jeevaraj, C. G.: Fingering in two-dimensional, homogeneous, unsaturated porous media, *Soil Sci.*, 144(2), 107–112, 1987.
- Tompson, A. F. B., Hudson, G. B., Smith, D. K. and Hunt, J. R.: Analysis of radionuclide migration through a 200-m vadose zone following a 16-year infiltration event, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 29(2), 281–292, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2005.02.015, 2006.
- Um, W., Wang, Z., Serne, R. J., Williams, B. D., Brown, C. F., Dodge, C. J. and Francis, A. J.: Uranium phases in contaminated sediments below Hanford’s U Tank Farm, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43(12), 4280–4286, doi:10.1021/es900203r, 2009.
- Um, W., Icenhower, J. P., Brown, C. F., Serne, R. J., Wang, Z., Dodge, C. J. and Francis, A. J.: Characterization of uranium-contaminated sediments from beneath a nuclear waste storage tank from Hanford, Washington: Implications for contaminant transport and fate, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 74(4), 1363–1380, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2009.11.014, 2010.
- U. S. Silica: Product data: ASTM graded sand, 1, 1997.
- Uyusur, B., Darnault, C. J. G., Snee, P. T., Koken, E., Jacobson, A. R. and Wells, R. R.: Coupled effects of solution chemistry and hydrodynamics on the mobility and transport of quantum dot nanomaterials in the vadose zone, *J. Contam. Hydrol.*, 118(3–4), 184–198, doi:10.1016/j.jconhyd.2010.09.013, 2010.
- Uyusur, B., Li, C. Y., Baveye, P. C. and Darnault, C. J. G.: pH-dependent reactive transport of uranium(VI) in unsaturated sand, *J. Soils Sediments*, 15(3), 634–647, doi:10.1007/s11368-014-1018-x, 2015.

Deleted: Smith, J. T. and Elder, D. G.: A comparison of models for characterizing the distribution of radionuclides with depth in soils, *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*, 50(2), 295–307, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2389.1999.00233.x, 1999. .

Deleted: Stokes, J. P., Weitz, D. A., Gollub, J. P., Dougherty, A., Robbins, M. O., Chaikin, P. M. and Lindsay, H. M.: Interfacial stability of immiscible displacement in a porous medium, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 57(14), 1718–1721, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.57.1718, 1986. .

Deleted: Tanveer, S.: Analytic theory for the linear-stability of the Saffman-Taylor finger, *Phys. Fluids*, 30(8), 2318–2329, doi:10.1063/1.866122, 1987a. .
Triplett, M. B., Freshley, M. D., Truex, M. J., Wellman, D. M., Gerdes, K. D., Charboneau, B. [5]

Deleted: Triplett, M. B., Freshley, M. D., Truex, M. J., M., Gerdes, K. D., Charboneau, B. [6]

Uyusur, B., Snee, P. T., Li, C. and Darnault, C. J. G.: Quantitative imaging and in situ concentration measurements of quantum dot nanomaterials in variably saturated porous Media, *J. Nanomater.*, 2016, 1–10, doi:10.1155/2016/8237029, 2016.

van Ommen, H. C., Dijkma, R., Hendrickx, J. M. H., Dekker, L. W., Hulshof, J. and van den

- 5 Heuvel, M.: Experimental assessment of preferential flow paths in a field soil, *J. Hydrol.*, 105(3–4), 253–262, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(89)90107-8, 1989.

Wagoner, D. B., Christman, R. F., Cauchon, G. and Paulson, R.: Molar mass and size of Suwannee River natural organic matter using multi-angle laser light scattering, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 31(3), 937–941, doi:10.1021/es960594z, 1997.

- 10 Waichler, S. R. and Yabusaki, S. B.: Flow and transport in the Hanford 300 Area vadose zone-aquifer-river system, PNNL-15125, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA., 2005.

Walker, T. S., Bais, H. P., Grotewold, E. and Vivanco, J. M.: Root exudation and rhizosphere biology, *Plant Physiol.*, 132(1), 44–51, doi:10.1104/pp.102.019661, 2003.

- 15 Wallach, R. and Wang, Q. L.: An extension of Miller scaling to scale sorptivity by contact angle, *Water Resour. Res.*, 49(10), 6927–6932, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20505, 2013.

Wallach, R., Margolis, M. and Graber, E. R.: The role of contact angle on unstable flow formation during infiltration and drainage in wettable porous media, *Water Resour. Res.*, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20522, 2013.

- 20 Wang, K., Zhang, R. D. and Yasuda, H.: Characterizing heterogeneity of soil water flow by dye infiltration experiments, *J. Hydrol.*, 328(3–4), 559–571, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.01.001, 2006.

Wang, X., Tang, C., Guppy, C. N. and Sale, P. W. G.: Phosphorus acquisition characteristics of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.), wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and white lupin (*Lupinus albus*

- 25 L.) under P deficient conditions, *Plant Soil*, 312(1–2), 117–128, doi:10.1007/s11104-008-9589-1, 2008.

Wang, Z., Feyen, J., van Genuchten, M. T. and Nielsen, D. R.: Air entrapment effects on infiltration rate and flow instability, *Water Resour. Res.*, 34(2), 213–222, doi:10.1029/97wr02804, 1998.

- 30 Wang, Z., Jury, W. A., Tuli, A. and Kim, D. J.: Unstable flow during redistribution: Controlling factors and practical implications, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 3(2), 549–559, 2004.

Deleted: van Meurs, P.: The use of transparent three-dimensional models for studying the mechanism of flow processes in oil reservoirs, *Trans. Am. Inst. Min. Metall. Eng.*, 210(10), 295–301, 1957. .

Wang, Z., Wu, L. S., Harter, T., Lu, J. H. and Jury, W. A.: A field study of unstable preferential flow during soil water redistribution, *Water Resour. Res.*, 39(4), doi:10.1029/2001wr000903, 2003a.

Wang, Z., Tuli, A. and Jury, W. A.: Unstable flow during redistribution in homogeneous soil, *Vadose Zo. J.*, 2(1), 52–60, 2003b.

Watt, M., McCully, M. E. and Canny, M. J.: Formation and stabilization of rhizosheaths of *Zea-Mays L.* - Effect of soil water content, *Plant Physiol.*, 106(1), 179–186, 1994.

Weisbrod, N., Niemet, M. R. and Selker, J. S.: Light transmission technique for the evaluation of colloidal transport and dynamics in porous media, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 37(16), 3694–3700, doi:10.1021/es034010m, 2003.

Wise, M. E.: Dense random packing of unequal spheres, *Philips Res. Reports*, 7(5), 321-, 1952.

Xiong, Y. W.: Flow of water in porous media with saturation overshoot: A review, *J. Hydrol.*, 510, 353–362, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.12.043, 2014.

Yao, T. and Hendrickx, J. M.: Stability of wetting fronts in dry homogeneous soils under low infiltration rates, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 60(1), 20–28, 1996.

Yao, T. and Hendrickx, J. M. H.: Stability analysis of the unsaturated water flow equation: 2. Experimental verification, *Water Resour. Res.*, 37(7), 1875–1881, doi:10.1029/2001WR900003, 2001.

Young, I. M.: Variation in moisture contents between bulk soil and the rhizosheath of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L. cv. Wembley*), *New Phytol.*, 130(1), 135–139, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.1995.tb01823.x, 1995.

Young, T.: An essay on the cohesion of fluids, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London*, 95, 65–87, 1805.


Zarebanadkouki, M., Ahmed, M. A. and Carminati, A.: Hydraulic conductivity of the root-soil interface of lupin in sandy soil after drying and rewetting, *Plant Soil*, 398(1–2), 267–280, doi:10.1007/s11104-015-2668-1, 2016.

Zhang, Y. H., Zhang, M. X., Niu, J. Z. and Zheng, H. J.: The preferential flow of soil: A widespread phenomenon in pedological perspectives, *Eurasian Soil Sci.*, 49(6), 661–672, doi:10.1134/s1064229316060120, 2016.

Deleted: Weiler, M.: Macropores and preferential flow-a love-hate relationship, *Hydrol. Process.*, 31(1), 15–19, doi:10.1002/hyp.11074, 2017. -

Deleted: Wellman, D. M., Mattigod, S. V., Hubbard, S., Miracle, A., Zhong, L. R., Foote, M., Wu, Y. X. and Jansik, D.: Advanced remedial methods for metals and radionuclides in vadose zone environments, *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Environmental Remediation and Radioactive Waste Management*, Tsukuba, Japan, Paper ICEM2010-40235, *Am. Soc. Mech. Eng.*, New York, NY, 2010. -

... [7]



5

10

15

20

25

30




Table 1. Composition of Hoagland Nutrient Solution, recipe followed from Arnon and Hoagland (1940).

Main Solution (creates 1 L of solution)	Amount in volume (mL)	Chemical	
	10	0.5 M $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$	Calcium nitrate tetrahydrate
	10	0.5 M KNO_3	Potassium nitrate
	4	0.5 M MgSO_4	Magnesium sulfate
	2	0.5 M KH_2PO_4	Potassium dihydrogen phosphate
	2	Micronutrient Solution	
Micronutrient solution (creates 500mL of solution)	Amount in mass (g)	Chemical	
	1.43	H_3BO_3	Boric Acid
	0.9	MnCl_2	Manganese (II) chloride
	0.11	ZnSO_4	Zinc sulfate concentrate
	0.04	CuSO_4	Copper (II) sulfate
	0.1	$(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}$	Ammonium molybdate
	0.28	FeSO_4	Iron (II) sulfate heptahydrate
	0.3	EDTA	Edetic acid

Deleted: Sulfate

Table 2. Concentration variations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)), used to create the solutions tested in this work. Red indicates high concentrations of the constituent, yellow indicates a moderately high amount, and green indicates a typical natural concentration.

Concentration	Citrate (mg/L)	Oxalate (mg/L)	SRNOM (mg/L)	Tannic Acid (mg/L)
Low	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
High	500	500	-	500
	-	-	10	-

Deleted: constituents

Formatted Table

Moved (insertion) [5]

Formatted Table

Moved up [5]: Low

... [8]

Deleted: Low

... [9]

Deleted: .

.

.

.

... [10]

Deleted: .

.

.

.

... [11]

Deleted: .

.

... [12]

Deleted: .

.

... [13]

Table 3. Average experimental data from the triplicate fundamental interfacial characterization and the duplicate flow experiments resulting from control (NaCl+HNS), plant exudates (citrate and oxalate), and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)), and statistical analysis for t-test significant differentiations.

	Solution (mg/L)	Average Contact Angle (θ)	Average Surface Tension (mN/m)	Time (sec)	Average Number of Fingers	Average Velocity of Fingers	Average Depth of Wetting Front (cm)	Average Length of Fingers (cm)	Width of Fingers at Specific Percentage of their Length (cm)				Average Width of Fingers (cm)
									25%	50%	75%	90%	
10 Minute Finger Average	NaCl+HNS	64.5 \pm 2.6 ^A	75.8 \pm 0.5 ^A	600	2.5 ^C	0.029 \pm 0.003 ^{AB}	6.13 \pm 2.63 ^{AB}	17.32 \pm 1.67 ^{AB}	9.30	8.52	7.41	5.67	7.73 \pm 1.1
	Citrate 0.1 mg/L	52.6 \pm 2.3 ^D	70.8 \pm 0.4 ^B	600	1.5 ^B	0.037 \pm 0.003 ^{AB}	3.50 \pm 1.00 ^{AB}	22.36 \pm 1.72 ^{AB}	6.83	5.71	6.42	5.59	6.14 \pm 0.6
	Citrate 500 mg/L	46 \pm 4.9 ^{BC}	73.6 \pm 1.1 ^C	600	2.0 ^B	0.032 \pm 0.011 ^{AB}	2.50 \pm 1.50 ^{AB}	19.46 \pm 6.63 ^{AB}	9.23	7.56	6.68	5.50	7.24 \pm 1.3
	Oxalate 0.1 mg/L	54.8 \pm 0.6 ^{CD}	73.1 \pm 0.4 ^C	600	2.5 ^B	0.034 \pm 0.005 ^{AB}	3.38 \pm 1.88 ^{AB}	20.24 \pm 2.94 ^{AB}	7.50	7.90	7.89	6.31	7.40 \pm 0.3
	Oxalate 500 mg/L	47.3 \pm 2.3 ^B	71.6 \pm 0.6 ^B	600	2.5 ^A	0.037 \pm 0.005 ^{AB}	2.25 \pm 0.25 ^B	22.16 \pm 2.88 ^{AB}	8.41	6.55	6.77	6.13	6.97 \pm 0.6
	Tannic Acid 0.1 mg/L	52.3 \pm 1.2 ^B	72.7 \pm 0.4 ^C	600	2.0 ^B	0.032 \pm 0.003 ^{AB}	4.25 \pm 0.00 ^{AB}	19.14 \pm 1.64 ^{AB}	10.35	9.11	6.71	5.11	7.82 \pm 0.32 ^A
	Tannic Acid 500 mg/L	54.4 \pm 2.7 ^{BC}	70.8 \pm 0.3 ^B	600	2.0 ^B	0.042 \pm 0.008 ^A	2.50 \pm 0.50 ^{AB}	25.49 \pm 4.87 ^A	5.78	6.79	8.67	8.71	7.49 \pm 0.63 ^A
	Organics (SRNOM) 0.1 mg/L	61.7 \pm 2.6 ^D	71.1 \pm 0.2 ^B	600	2.5 ^B	0.029 \pm 0.001 ^{AB}	7.00 \pm 2.00 ^A	17.66 \pm 0.81 ^B	7.13	7.15	7.40	4.79	6.62 \pm 0.38 ^A
Fully Formed Finger Average	Organics (SRNOM) 10 mg/L	45.0 \pm 2.6 ^A	73.4 \pm 0.4 ^C	600	2.0 ^B	0.022 \pm 0.000 ^B	3.25 \pm 0.75 ^{AB}	13.29 \pm 0.15 ^A	6.30	5.49	6.31	5.89	6.00 \pm 0.16 ^A
	NaCl+HNS	64.5 \pm 2.6 ^A	75.8 \pm 0.5 ^A	945	2.5 ^A	0.031 \pm 0.004 ^B	8.13 \pm 0.63 ^{AB}	28.84 \pm 1.25 ^A	10.05	9.06	9.53	8.30	9.24 \pm 0.84 ^{AB}
	Citrate 0.1 mg/L	52.6 \pm 2.3 ^D	70.8 \pm 0.4 ^B	750	1.5 ^A	0.039 \pm 0.002 ^{AB}	3.50 \pm 1.00 ^{BC}	29.46 \pm 0.64 ^A	6.99	6.75	6.87	5.93	6.63 \pm 0.67 ^C
	Citrate 500 mg/L	46 \pm 4.9 ^{BC}	73.6 \pm 1.1 ^C	840	2.0 ^A	0.037 \pm 0.008 ^{AB}	3.88 \pm 2.88 ^{BC}	29.52 \pm 0.08 ^A	9.14	7.56	6.88	7.95	7.88 \pm 1.15 ^{ABC}
	Oxalate 0.1 mg/L	54.8 \pm 0.6 ^{CD}	73.1 \pm 0.4 ^C	825	2.0 ^A	0.034 \pm 0.001 ^{AB}	3.38 \pm 1.88 ^{BC}	27.86 \pm 0.65 ^{AB}	6.96	8.33	8.61	9.53	8.36 \pm 0.95 ^{ABC}
	Oxalate 500 mg/L	47.3 \pm 2.3 ^B	71.6 \pm 0.6 ^B	720	2.5 ^A	0.039 \pm 0.006 ^{AB}	2.25 \pm 0.25 ^C	27.52 \pm 0.65 ^{AB}	8.01	7.50	7.27	5.68	7.12 \pm 1.3
	Tannic Acid 0.1 mg/L	52.3 \pm 1.2 ^B	72.7 \pm 0.4 ^C	930	2.0 ^A	0.03 \pm 0.001 ^B	5.50 \pm 1.00 ^{ABC}	27.78 \pm 1.32 ^{AB}	10.63	9.41	10.09	10.65	10.19 \pm 1.05 ^A
	Tannic Acid 500 mg/L	54.4 \pm 2.7 ^{BC}	70.8 \pm 0.3 ^B	660	1.5 ^A	0.046 \pm 0.005 ^A	2.50 \pm 0.50 ^{BC}	29.9 \pm 0.46 ^A	6.08	7.36	8.80	8.05	7.57 \pm 1.44 ^{BC}
Fully Formed Finger Average	Organics (SRNOM) 0.1 mg/L	61.7 \pm 2.6 ^D	71.1 \pm 0.2 ^B	870	2.5 ^A	0.030 \pm 0.001 ^B	9.75 \pm 2.75 ^A	25.73 \pm 0.59 ^A	7.54	8.31	8.30	5.73	7.47 \pm 1.11 ^{BC}
	Organics (SRNOM) 10 mg/L	45.0 \pm 2.6 ^A	73.4 \pm 0.4 ^C	975	2.0 ^A	0.030 \pm 0.001 ^B	5.00 \pm 2.50 ^{ABC}	29.25 \pm 0.05 ^B	5.92	7.84	5.90	4.32	6.00 \pm 1.35 ^C

Deleted: Compiled a

Deleted: Compiled a

Deleted: verage e

Deleted: triplicate

Formatted: Width: 11", Height: 8.5"

Deleted: duplicate

Deleted: and

Deleted: infiltration

Deleted: ,

Deleted: and

Formatted: Left

Deleted: .

Formatted Table

Formatted: Font:10 pt

Formatted: Font:10 pt

Table 4. Hydrodynamic scaling of finger width measurements resulting from control (NaCl+HNS), plant exudates (citrate and oxalate), and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)) infiltration in ASTM graded sand C778. Finger width is scaled to density, gas-liquid interface tension, density and gas-liquid interface tension of pore water, and $(\cos \theta)^{1/2}$.

Solution and concentration	Solution density	Porous media (Graded sand from U.S. silica sand)	Pore water velocity	Average measured finger diameter	Scaling of average measured finger diameter with the solution density relative to pore water	Scaling of average measured finger diameter with the solution gas-liquid interface tension relative to pore water	Scaling of average measured finger diameter with the solution density and gas-liquid interface tension relative to pore water	Scaling of average measured finger diameter with the solution density and gas-liquid interface tension relative to pore water
	(g·cm ⁻³)		(cm·min ⁻¹)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)
NaCl+HNS	0.9976	ASTM C778	0.22	9.20	9.20	9.20	9.20	9.20
Citrate	0.1 mg/L	ASTM C778	0.22	6.60	6.59	6.17	6.16	7.84
	500 mg/L	ASTM C778	0.22	7.90	7.91	7.68	7.68	10.04
Oxalate	0.1 mg/L	ASTM C778	0.22	8.40	8.40	8.10	8.11	9.72
	500 mg/L	ASTM C778	0.22	7.10	7.06	6.71	6.68	8.91
Tannic Acid	0.1 mg/L	ASTM C778	0.22	10.20	10.19	9.79	9.78	12.14
	500 mg/L	ASTM C778	0.22	7.60	7.58	7.10	7.08	8.84
Organics (SRNOM)	0.1 mg/L	ASTM C778	0.22	7.50	7.52	7.04	7.05	7.87
	500 mg/L	ASTM C778	0.22	6.00	6.00	5.81	5.81	7.69
Average				7.83	7.83	7.51	7.51	9.14
C.V.				1.29	1.30	1.33	1.33	1.41

Fully Formed Finger Averages				10 minut Finger Aver:			
Organics 0.1	Organics 10	Tannic Acid 0.1	Tannic Acid 500	Oxalate 0.1	Oxalate 500	Oxalate 0.1	Oxalate 500
61.7	45.0	52.3	54.4	61.7	45.0	54.8	47.3
±2.6	±2.6	±1.2	±2.7	±2.6	±2.6	±0.6	±2.3
71.1	73.4	72.7	70.8	71.1	73.4	73.1	71.6
±0.2	±0.4	±0.4	±0.3	±0.2	±0.4	±0.4	±0.6
870	975	980	860	600	600	600	600
2.5	2	2	1.5	2.5	2	2.5	2.5
0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04
9.8	5.0	5.5	2.5	7.0	3.3	3.4	2.3
25.7	29.2	27.8	29.9	17.7	13.3	20.2	22.2
7.5	6.0	10.2	7.6	6.6	6.0	7.4	7.0

Deleted: ... [14]

Formatted ... [15]

Formatted ... [16]

Deleted: s and ... and soil components infiltrat ... [17]

... [18]

Formatted ... [19]

Formatted Table ... [20]

Formatted ... [21]


Formatted ... [22]

Formatted ... [23]

Formatted ... [24]

Formatted ... [25]

Formatted ... [26]



5 Figure 1. Visual of the drop shape analysis of (Left) contact angle and (Right) the surface tension pendant drop using the Kruss Easy Drop. The images are of the control solution (HNS+HNS) taken at 90 seconds. Figure is based off of figures appearing in the Kruss User's Manual (Kruss, 2010).







Figure 2. Experimental set up of the 2D tank system.



5

Figure 3. Empirical calibration curve of the transmitted intensity vs. the water saturation, with its linear trend line and slope equation.



10

Figure 4. Characteristics and properties of the U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand.




Figure 5. Dynamic contact angles of experimental solutions for control (NaCl+HNS), plant exudates (citrate and oxalate), and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). Averages graphed, and associated error bars represent one standard deviation.




Figure 6. Percent reduction in contact angle from the control (NaCl+HNS), (group A), changes at stable time (90 seconds), for plant exudates (citrate and oxalate), and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). Averages graphed and associated error bars represent one standard deviation.

Deleted:




Figure 7. Dynamic surface tension of experimental solutions for control (NaCl+HNS), plant exudates (citrate and oxalate), and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). Averages graphed, and associated error bars represent one standard deviation.




Figure 8. Percent reduction in surface tension from the control (NaCl+HNS) (group A), changes at stable time (90 seconds), for plant exudates (citrate and oxalate), and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). Averages graphed and associated error bars represent one standard deviation.




Figure 9. Multigraph comparison of the dynamic vertical profiles of infiltration of water in U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand for control (NaCl+HNS), and low concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). The images are of the main finger and the vertical water saturation profile analysis over time.

Deleted: for low concentration exudates




Figure 10. Multigraph comparison of the dynamic vertical profiles of infiltration of water in U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand for control (NaCl+HNS), and high concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). The images are of the main finger and the vertical water saturation profile analysis over time.

Deleted: high concentration exudates




Figure 11. Multigraph comparison of the finger width profiles of infiltration of water in U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand for control (NaCl+HNS), and low concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). The images are of the main finger at its full length. The location of the horizontal water saturation profile analyses and widths are measured at 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90% of the total fingers length.

Deleted: low concentration exudates




Figure 12. Multigraph comparison of the finger width profiles of infiltration of water in U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand for control (NaCl+HNS), and high concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). The images are of the main finger at its full length. The location of the horizontal water saturation profile analyses and widths are measured at 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90% of the total fingers length.

Deleted: high concentration exudates

Deleted: the

Formatted: Font:Not Bold




Figure 13. Finger width vs. capillary pressure of fully formed fingers resulting from infiltration of water in U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand for control (NaCl+HNS), plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)).

Deleted:

5

APPENDICES

10

15

|

20

Appendix A





Figure 1A. Second tank experiment data set. Multigraph comparison of the dynamic vertical profiles of infiltration of water in U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand for control (NaCl+HNS), and low concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). The images are of the main finger and the vertical water saturation profile analysis over time.



Deleted:

Deleted:

Figure 2A. Second tank experiment data set. Multigraph comparison of the dynamic vertical profiles of infiltration of water in U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand for control (NaCl+HNS), and high concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). The images are of the main finger and the vertical water saturation profile analysis over time.

Deleted:

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: Multigraph comparison of the dynamic vertical profiles for control (NaCl+HNS), and high concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)).high concentration exudates. The images are of the main finger over time.




Figure 3A. Second tank experiment data set. Multigraph comparison of the finger width profiles of infiltration of water in U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand for control (NaCl+HNS), and low concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). The images are of the main finger at its full length. The location of the horizontal water saturation profile analyses and widths are measured at 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90% of the total fingers length.

Deleted: .

Deleted: .

Deleted: 4A

Deleted:

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: Multigraph comparison of width profiles for control (NaCl+HNS), and low concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM))low concentration exudates. The images are of the main finger at its full length. The location of the widths are measured at 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90% of the total fingers length. .




Figure 4A. Second tank experiment data set. Multigraph comparison of the finger width profiles of infiltration of water in U.S. Silica C778 ASTM graded sand for control (NaCl+HNS), and high concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). The images are of the main finger at its full length. The location of the horizontal water saturation profile analyses and widths are measured at 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90% of the total fingers length.

Deleted: .

Formatted: Justified

Deleted:

Deleted: Multigraph comparison of width profiles for control (NaCl+HNS), and high concentrations of plant exudates (citrate and oxalate) and soil components (tannic acid and organics: Suwanee River Natural Organic Matter (SRNOM)). The images are of the main finger at its full length. The location of the widths are measured at 25%, 50%, 75%, and 90% of the total fingers length. .

The objective of this study was to elucidate the influence of various plant exudates and soil constituents on the soil infiltration, particularly wetting front instability and fingered flow in unsaturated porous media. By utilizing plant exudate and soil constituent solutions the physical effects of plant root interaction with soil infiltration processes and porous media spatial characteristics, such as heterogeneity and structure, were removed. Only the interactions between the water chemistry, the porous media properties, and the flow dynamics were analyzed for an independent determination of the individual constituent solution effects on the soil infiltration processes that were in the form of wetting front instability and fingering flow phenomena.

Unstable fingering flow geometries have been studied under several scenarios, most notably that of Parlange and Hill (1976) who derived modeling equations to predict the width of a finger in an air-water system by incorporating the sorptivity, and Glass and Nicholl (1996) who adjusted the equation to fit an air-water system. This system is expressed as:

$$d_c = \pi \frac{S^2}{2K_s(\theta_s - \theta_i)} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q_s/K_s} \right) \quad (23)$$

where d_c is the minimum finger width, S is the sorptivity, θ_s is the saturated water content, θ_i is the initial water content, q_s is the flux, K_s is the saturated hydraulic conductivity, and π is a constant for a 2D system (Glass et al., 1991; Wallach et al., 2013). It is important to note that the intrinsic sorptivity is independent of the liquid properties (Philip, 1969; Wallach et al., 2013).

This increase in water saturation at the fingertip, “saturation overshoot” causes a rise in pressure and creates a positive matric potential gradient on top of the fingertip (DiCarlo et al., 1999; Geiger and Durnford, 2000). This rise in pressure also increases the delineation between the wet and dry porous media at the edge of the wetting front and facilitates the propagation of the wetting front. The length of the saturation overshoot (i.e. the fingertip length) was deemed a function of the wetting front velocities (DiCarlo, 2004; Wallach et al., 2013).

The addition of surfactants, biosurfactants, or exudates can alter the solution chemistry enough to produce a changes ion in behavior of a media’s fluid hydraulic properties. In their study of hydraulic conductivity and hydrophobicity in root-soil interfaces, Zarebanadkouki et al. (2016) analyzed how wetting and drying cycling affected the hydraulic conductivity and potential

hydrophobicity of the soil matrix in the rhizosphere (Zarebanadkouki et al., 2016). They concluded that mucilage and exudates were a crucial determinant in conserving water for a plant to use during a drying period. However, after the water stores were depleted, the dried mucilage turned the soil hydrophobic, and remained so even after multiple re-wetting events. Furthermore the hydrophobicity caused a simultaneous reduction of the hydraulic conductivity of the systems (Zarebanadkouki et al., 2016). Juxtaposing these results that are presented in this paper, the Exudates, apart from the mucilage component, ultimately exert the opposite impact on flow through the porous media. This Exudates increased in the mobility of the fluid through porous media the system is illustrated in Figures 9-12. Specifically, in their study of the effects of plant mucilage and phospholipids on the physical and chemical properties of soil, Read et al. (2003) observed a decrease of the surface tension of the soil water systems brought about by very small concentrations of phospholipid surfactants and exudate organic acids (Read et al., 2003). The increased soil wettability, and thus, mobility of the soil solution due to the presence of biogeochemical compounds in the porous media is important for further studies needs further studies in order to elucidate the hydrological processes and the transport of contaminants in the vadose zone and rhizosphere and the vadose zone.

Page 21: [4] Deleted

Author

The increased soil wettability, and thus, mobility of the soil solution due to the presence of biogeochemical compounds in the porous media is important for further studies needs further studies in order to elucidate the hydrological processes and the transport of contaminants in the vadose zone and rhizosphere and the vadose zone.

Page 35: [5] Deleted

Author

Tanveer, S.: Analytic theory for the linear-stability of the Saffman-Taylor finger, *Phys. Fluids*, 30(8), 2318–2329, doi:10.1063/1.866122, 1987a.

Tanveer, S.: Analytic theory for the selection of a symmetrical Saffman-Taylor fingering in a Hele-Shaw cell, *Phys. Fluids*, 30(6), 1589–1605, doi:10.1063/1.866225, 1987b.

Page 35: [6] Deleted

Author

Triplett, M. B., Freshley, M. D., Truex, M. J., Wellman, D. M., Gerdes, K. D., Charboneau, B. L., Morse, J. G., Lober, R. W. and Chronister, G. B.: Integrated strategy to address Hanford's deep vadose zone remediation challenges, *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on*

Environmental Remediation and Radioactive Waste Management, Tsukuba, Japan, Paper ICEM2010-40262, Am. Soc. Mech. Eng., New York, NY, 2010.

Page 37: [7] Deleted

Author

5 Wellman, D. M., Mattigod, S. V, Hubbard, S., Miracle, A., Zhong, L. R., Foote, M., Wu, Y. X. and Jansik, D.: Advanced remedial methods for metals and radionuclides in vadose zone environments, Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Environmental Remediation and Radioactive Waste Management, Tsukuba, Japan, Paper ICEM2010-40235, Am. Soc. Mech. Eng., New York, NY, 2010.

10 White, I., Colombera, P. M. and Philip, J. R.: Experimental study of wetting front instability induced by sudden change of pressure-gradient, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 40(6), 824–829, 1976.
White, I., Colombera, P. M. and Philip, J. R.: Experimental studies of wetting front instability induced by gradual change of pressure-gradient and by heterogeneous porous media, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 41(3), 483–489, 1977.

15

Page 40: [8] Moved to page 40 (Move #5)

Author

Low	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Page 40: [9] Deleted

Author

Low	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

20

Page 40: [10] Deleted

Author

25

Page 40: [11] Deleted

Author

30

Page 40: [12] Deleted

Author

35

Page 40: [13] Deleted

Author

Solution (mg/L)	Average Contact Angle (θ)	Average Surface Tension (mN/m)		Time (sec)	Average Number of Fingers	Average Velocity (cm/sec)	Average Wetting Depth (cm)	Average Length (cm)	Average Finger Width (cm)	
NaCl+HNS	64.5	±2.6	75.8	±0.5	600	4	0.03	6.1	17.3	7.7
Citrate 500	46.0	±4.9	73.6	±1.1	600	2	0.03	2.5	19.5	7.2
Citrate 0.1	52.6	±2.3	70.8	±0.4	600	1.5	0.04	3.5	22.4	6.1
Oxalate 500	47.3	±2.3	71.6	±0.6	600	2.5	0.04	2.3	22.2	7.0
Oxalate 0.1	54.8	±0.6	73.1	±0.4	600	2.5	0.03	3.4	20.2	7.4
Tannic Acid 500	54.4	±2.7	70.8	±0.3	600	2	0.04	2.5	25.5	7.5
Tannic Acid 0.1	52.3	±1.2	72.7	±0.4	600	2	0.03	4.3	19.1	7.8
Organics 10	45.0	±2.6	73.4	±0.4	600	2	0.02	3.3	13.3	6.0
Organics 0.1	61.7	±2.6	71.1	±0.2	600	2.5	0.03	7.0	17.7	6.6
10 minute Finger Averages										
NaCl+HNS	64.5	±2.6	75.8	±0.5	945	4	0.03	8.1	28.8	9.2
Citrate 500	46.0	±4.9	73.6	±1.1	840	2	0.04	3.9	29.5	7.9
Citrate 0.1	52.6	±2.3	70.8	±0.4	750	1.5	0.04	3.5	29.5	6.6
Oxalate 500	47.3	±2.3	71.6	±0.6	720	2.5	0.04	2.3	27.5	7.1
Oxalate 0.1	54.8	±0.6	73.1	±0.4	825	2	0.03	3.4	27.9	8.4
Tannic Acid 500	54.4	±2.7	70.8	±0.3	660	1.5	0.05	2.5	29.9	7.6
Tannic Acid 0.1	52.3	±1.2	72.7	±0.4	930	2	0.03	5.5	27.8	10.2
Organics 10	45.0	±2.6	73.4	±0.4	975	2	0.03	5.0	29.2	6.0
Organics 0.1	61.7	±2.6	71.1	±0.2	870	2.5	0.03	9.8	25.7	7.5
Fully Formed Finger Averages										

Section Break (Next Page)

Page 42: [15] Formatted	Author
-------------------------	--------

Justified

Page 42: [16] Formatted	Author
-------------------------	--------

Font:Bold

Page 42: [17] Deleted	Author
-----------------------	--------

s and

Page 42: [17] Deleted	Author
-----------------------	--------

s and

Page 42: [18] Deleted	Author
-----------------------	--------

Statistical analysis summary table for t-test significant differentiations.

Solution	Contact Angle (@90s)	Surface Tension (@90s)	# Fingers (@10min)	Velocity (cm/sec) (@10min)	Wetting Depth (cm) (@10min)	Length (cm) (@10min)	Avg Width (cm) (@10min)	# Fingers (@full)	Velocity (cm/sec) (@full)	Wetting Depth (cm) (@full)	Length (cm) (@full)	Avg Width (cm) (@full)
Control	A	A	A	AB	AB	AB	A	A	B	AB	A	AB
CitrateHigh	D	B	B	AB	AB	AB	A	B	AB	BC	A	C
CitrateLow	BC	C	B	AB	AB	AB	A	B	AB	BC	A	ABC
OxalateHigh	CD	C	B	AB	AB	AB	A	B	AB	BC	AB	ABC
OxalateLow	B	B	C	AB	B	AB	A	B	AB	C	AB	BC
TannicHigh	B	C	B	AB	AB	AB	A	B	B	ABC	AB	A
TannicLow	BC	B	B	A	AB	A	A	B	A	BC	A	BC
OrganicHigh	D	B	B	AB	A	AB	A	B	B	A	A	BC
OrganicLow	A	C	B	B	AB	B	A	B	B	ABC	B	C

Page 42: [19] Formatted

Author

Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt

Page 42: [20] Formatted Table

Author

Formatted Table

Page 42: [21] Formatted

Author

Font:10 pt

Page 42: [21] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:10 pt

Page 42: [21] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:10 pt

Page 42: [21] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:10 pt

Page 42: [21] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:10 pt

Page 42: [22] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt

Page 42: [23] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt

Page 42: [24] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt

Page 42: [25] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt

Page 42: [26] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt

Page 42: [27] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt

Page 42: [28] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt

Page 42: [29] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt

Page 42: [30] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt

Page 42: [31] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt

Page 42: [32] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt

Page 42: [33] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt

Page 42: [34] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt

Page 42: [35] Formatted	Author
--------------------------------	---------------

Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt

Page 42: [36] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [37] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [38] Formatted	Author
Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt	
Page 42: [39] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [40] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [41] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [42] Formatted	Author
Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt	
Page 42: [43] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [44] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [45] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [46] Formatted	Author
Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt	
Page 42: [47] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [48] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [49] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [50] Formatted	Author
Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt	
Page 42: [51] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [52] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [53] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [54] Formatted	Author

Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt

Page 42: [55] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [56] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [57] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [58] Formatted	Author
Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt	
Page 42: [59] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [60] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [61] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [62] Formatted	Author
Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt	
Page 42: [63] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [64] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [65] Formatted	Author
Space Before: 3 pt, After: 3 pt	
Page 42: [66] Formatted	Author
Font:Times New Roman, 10 pt	
Page 42: [67] Deleted	Author

Table 5. Experimental solution densities and bond numbers. Reported numbers are the averages of triplicates.

Solution	Average Density (kg/m ³)	Bond Number
Control	997.6	2.90E-04

Citrate High	996.8	2.99E-04
Citrate Low	999.3	3.11E-04
Oxalate High	1002.8	3.09E-04
Oxalate Low	997.2	3.01E-04
Tannic Acid High	1000.2	3.11E-04
Tannic Acid Low	998.5	3.03E-04
SRNOM High	998.3	3.00E-04
SRNOM Low	995.5	3.09E-04

