

Interactive comment on “Citizen observations contributing to flood modelling: opportunities and challenges” by Thaine Herman Assumpção et al.

Thaine Herman Assumpção et al.

t.hermanassumpcao@un-ihe.org

Received and published: 21 November 2017

Thank you for the follow-up feedback. Please find below the answers to your comments.

Comment #1: The issue concerning the CAPTCHA plug in is not really about its definition, but about HOW it will be used as a "volunteered contribution". How, for example, random images of a deforested area chosen for security reasons will contribute to the monitoring of land use?

Authors' response: We will clarify the manuscript's text by saying that the process of tagging images for land use is uncorrelated to the CAPTCHA, to the test of distinguish-

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



ing computers from humans. Tagging is a task performed after the test, on the same platform.

Comment #2: Additionally, despite the content of the outline, it makes more sense that it comes at the end of the introduction, providing readers with an initial and general idea of what will follow. The current topic 1.1 could come together with the description of data sources used and papers selection (to be included), as part of a methodology section.

Authors' response: Based on the suggestions, we will move the outline to the end of the introduction, keeping section 1.1 and creating a section “1.2 Review approach”, which will detail the methodology taken to select the papers included in the review. We would like to reiterate here, and will strengthen this in the text of the manuscript that the intention is not that citizen science is the focus of the manuscript, but the data obtained from it, thus maintaining its discussion within the introduction section.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

