



1	NORMS AND VALUES IN SOCIO-HYDROLOGICAL MODELS
2	Mahendran Roobavannan ¹ , Tim H. M. van Emmerik ² , Yasmina Elshafei ³ , Jaya Kandasamy ¹ ,
3	Matthew Sanderson ⁴ , Saravanamuthu Vigneswaran ¹ , Saket Pande ² , and Murugesu Sivapalan ^{5, 6}
4	
5	¹ School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW,
6	Australia
7	² Department of Water Management, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands
8	³ School of Earth & Environment, The University of Western Australia, Crawley WA 6009,
9	Australia
10	⁴ Department of Sociology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA
11	⁵ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,
12	Urbana IL 61801, USA
13	⁶ Department of Geography and Geographic Information Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-
14	Champaign, Champaign IL 61820, USA
15	

16 ABSTRACT

17 Sustainable water resources management relies on understanding how societies and water systems co-evolve. Many place-based socio-hydrology (SH) studies use proxies, such as environmental 18 degradation, to capture key elements of the social component of system dynamics. Parameters of 19 20 assumed relationships between environmental degradation and the human response to it are usually obtained through calibration. Since these relationships are not yet underpinned by social science 21 22 theories, confidence in the predictive power of such place-based socio-hydrologic models remains low. The generalisability of SH models therefore requires major advances in incorporating more 23 24 realistic relationships, underpinned by appropriate hydrological and social science data, and theories. The latter is a critical input, since human culture – especially values and norms arising from it -25 influences behaviour and the consequences of behaviours. This paper reviews a key social science 26 27 theory that links cultural factors to environmental decision-making, assesses how to better 28 incorporate social science insights to enhance SH models, and raises important questions to be addressed in moving forward. This is done in the context of recent progress in socio-hydrological 29





30 studies and the gaps that remain to be filled. The paper concludes with a discussion of challenges 31 and opportunities in terms of generalisation of SH models and the use of available data to allow

32 future prediction and model transfer to ungauged basins.

33

34 **KEYWORDS:** Socio-hydrology; culture; values and norms; modeling; content analysis.

35

36 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of sustainable development has received much attention among researchers, policy 37 38 makers and stakeholders. Water is at the core of many of the sustainability challenges that human societies face (Bai et al., 2016; Falkenmark and Rockström, 2004; Rijsberman, 2006). Sustainable 39 40 water resource management is key to production of food and energy to satisfy human needs, including poverty alleviation and healthy humans. As indiscriminate development threatens critical 41 42 ecosystem services and biodiversity, the need to account for the environment has emerged as an important consideration in sustainable water management (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 43 2005). Enabling society to address sustainability challenges, and develop appropriate solutions, 44 45 requires an ability to provide reliable predictions of changes to freshwater resources, their 46 distribution, circulation, and quality under natural and human-induced changes from local to global 47 scales, including changes that are part of water management (Srinivasan et al., 2017).

48

We cannot understand, let alone make future predictions of, water resource system dynamics, 49 50 without understanding how the issues of economic gain, environmental degradation, and social 51 inequities play out in society, and how social perceptions of these issues impact management decisions relating to water consumption, allocation and pricing, human settlements, infrastructure 52 development, and environmental protection (Blair and Buytaert, 2016; Srinivasan et al., 2016). Such 53 54 understanding will remain incomplete until we fully grapple with issues arising from human culture, including how components of culture - values, beliefs, and norms relate to water uses, livelihood, 55 56 and the environment (Sivapalan and Blöschl, 2015). It is increasingly recognized that cultural factors 57 are likely to influence changes in water management decisions and outcomes (Caldas et al., 2015), 58 raising questions about what have become 'conventional' assumptions about humans as rational, utility maximisers who make decisions based upon complete information. Although economic 59 models of altruism and impure altruism (i.e., "warm glow" effect: caring about others or the next 60





generation not just out of altruism but because they get pleasure out of it themselves) have been
successful in predicting the effect of prevailing values and norms on human behaviour and actions
(Andreoni, 1989; Banerjee and Newman, 1993), they remain limited in accounting for the
consequences of the human actions on societal values and norms in return.

65

66 The inter-disciplinary field of socio-hydrology was launched with the aim of studying the dynamic, two-way feedbacks between water and people in coupled human-water systems. In particular, socio-67 hydrology (SH) seeks to understand and interpret patterns and phenomena that emerge from two-68 way feedbacks in coupled human-water systems as a consequence of water management decisions 69 and actions. Indeed, the subject matter of socio-hydrology are the many diverse phenomena that 70 emerge from these two-way feedbacks and manifest as puzzles and paradoxes, exhibiting differences 71 but also similarities between places, and reflecting distinct hydro-climatic, eco-environmental, and 72 socioeconomic backgrounds (Sivapalan et al., 2014). Examples include the agrarian crisis in 73 74 booming emerging economies such as India (Pande and Savenije, 2016), increasing levee heights in urban environments in spite of increased flood risk (Di Baldassarre et al., 2013) and the peaking in 75 76 water resource availability in agricultural basins as they undergo development (Kandasamy et al., 77 2014; Liu et al., 2014).

78

Several place-based socio-hydrology studies in basins dominated by agricultural development 79 (Tarim: Liu et al. 2014; Murrumbidgee: Elshafei et al. 2014, van Emmerik et al. 2014; Lake Toolbin: 80 81 Elshafei et al. 2015) have highlighted a shift in water use behavior from an initial focus on 82 agricultural production to an increasing emphasis on environmental conservation, a shift that has been called the pendulum swing (Kandasamy et al., 2014). Socio-hydrology models developed to 83 reproduce these observed dynamics attributed the shift to changing human values and norms, which 84 85 were tracked indirectly through proxies (e.g., environmental degradation). For example, van 86 Emmerik et al. (2014) modeled the human decision to allocate more or less water to agriculture or to the environment on the strength of a dynamic 'social' state variable called environmental 87 awareness, which reflected societal perceptions of the environmental degradation within the 88 89 prevailing value systems or culture (see also Di Baldassarre et al. 2013 for awareness of floods in the context of coupled human-flood systems). In the socio-hydrological model of van Emmerik et 90 91 al. the human response to changing environmental awareness is captured through an appropriate





92 constitutive relationship, chosen in a somewhat intuitive way. Hence, the parameters governing the 93 constitutive relationship could only be obtained through calibration of the overall model and would 94 always be challenged unless they are verified to be right for the right reasons. Prediction-wise, both 95 in time and space, confidence in such place-based models will be low so long as the constitutive 96 relationship cannot be independently validated or theoretically justified.

97

Going forward, there is a need to generalize SH models both for predicting future socio-hydrological 98 outcomes in one location and/or to apply them at other locations. Case studies have demonstrated an 99 inherently dynamic quality to changing values and norms in relation to water use or environmental 100 behaviour, but how to measure or "value" values and norms directly and independently of models 101 102 remains as yet unresolved. Even if they can be measured in specific places, we need a broad theoretical framework that encapsulates the many physical and social controls that govern changing 103 values and norms in order to synthesize data or measurements from many places across the globe 104 105 and develop broad generalizations. These remain major challenges to the progress of sociohydrology as the science underpinning sustainable water management (Pande and Sivapalan, 2016) 106 and thus provide the motivation for this paper. Our aim is to position the progress made by SH 107 models to date towards incorporating changing values and norms in the context of extant social 108 109 science theories, and in doing so, to articulate possible ways forward to make major advances in the future. 110

111

112 This paper begins with a review of recent place-based, socio-hydrological modelling studies (van 113 Emmerik et al., 2014; Elshafei et al., 2014, 2015; Roobavannan et al., 2017) that have incorporated changing values and norms by connecting them to measures of the states of basin economy and/or 114 environmental health via assumed functional relationships. Next, we draw connections between 115 116 extant social theory and recent SH studies that indicate how values and norms influence social behaviour towards the environment. The paper then outlines challenges and opportunities for 117 generalising SH models, especially in respect of changing values and norms, so that more reliable 118 predictions can be made across time and space. This includes a re-calibration exercise to demonstrate 119 120 the value of new kinds of social data. This also includes exciting new avenues such as virtual social experiments or data mined from novel sources such as social surveys and media. It concludes with 121 the possibility of generalising relationships between changing values and norms and human behavior 122





in respect of the environment, benefiting from more place based studies. In this way, it underscores
the need for more comparative analyses across many such case studies so that generalised
relationships can be synthesised that are transferrable to ungauged locations.

126

2. VALUES AND NORMS IN SOCIO-HYDROLOGY MODELS

Following Wescoat (2013), the socio-hydrology literature has tended to define values and norms as 127 128 the over-arching goals of individuals and of whole societies in respect of water use, conservation, and sustainability. Prior research in SH has allowed values and norms to undergo dynamic changes. 129 130 Sivapalan et al. (2014) proposed a socio-hydrology framework which uses values and norms as drivers of the decision making that shapes society's goals and actions, and are in turn shaped by the 131 outcomes for human wellbeing that result from past human decisions (Figure 1). In this way, values 132 133 and norms are seen as endogenous to coupled human-water systems, co-evolving with the changing 134 dynamics of water resource systems (Norton et al., 1998; Sivapalan and Blöschl, 2015). So far in SH research, values and norms have been lumped together and represented by proxy variables. Next, 135 136 we illustrate this through several examples.

137

138 2.1 Environmental awareness

van Emmerik et al. (2014) developed a SH model of the Murrumbidgee river basin (MRB) 139 in eastern Australia to explain an observed "pendulum swing", i.e., a shift in water management 140 141 focus away from economic development and towards ecosystem health. This shift was hypothesized 142 to be the outcome of changes in values and norms in the community in respect of economic well being and ecosystem health. In the model, the dynamics of changing values and norms were 143 represented by environmental awareness, a proxy state variable that reflected adverse changes to 144 145 ecosystem health. It was assumed that environmental degradation occurred when too much water 146 was extracted for agricultural activities aimed at advancing economic wellbeing of the community. As a result, less water reached downstream wetlands. When wetland storage became lower than a 147 specified threshold, ecosystem health suffered noticeably to be felt in the community, which was 148 then reflected in the environmental awareness. Enhanced environmental awareness then triggered 149 human action, in the form of reductions in water allocation to agriculture, leading to reductions in 150 151 irrigated area, and increased water allocation to the environment. The situation would reverse itself 152 upon a return of increased downstream environmental flows, restoration of wetland storage and





153 improvement to ecosystem health.

154

The representation of environmental awareness in van Emmerik et al. (2014), although simple, represents a first attempt on the intuitive relationship between values and norms about perceived threats to ecosystem health and changes to water management actions. Note that other effects or characteristics of environmental degradation, such as changing water tables, or salinization of the soil, were not taken into account. Furthermore, regional or national policy is not taken into account in the formulation of environmental awareness. Finally, the functional form of the equation was calibrated using data on population, total irrigated area, agricuture water utilisation.

- 162
- 163

2.2 Community sensitivity

Elshafei et al. (2014) expanded further on the intuitive causality between changes to 164 community values and norms in respect of ecosystem health and consequent water management 165 166 actions by humans. They elaborated on how agri-centric values conflicted with environmental values and influenced water use behavior and proposed a framework that modeled the competition 167 168 between economic development and environmental awareness using 'community sensitivity', a new social state variable. They presented a feedback formulation where water use behavior is 169 170 influenced by changing values and norms relating to the environment and economic well-being, as 171 reflected in the community sensitivity. For the first time the authors brought in broader (e.g., regional) climatic, political and socio-economic contextual variables that may influence local 172 173 values and norms in respect of water use, e.g., rapidly diversifying economic growth. Elshafei et al. 174 (2015) explicitly demonstrated that environmental degradation impacted community sensisitivity and consequently water use behaviours. The foundation of their proposed framework was driven 175 by the hypothesis that the coupled system dynamics are driven by the competition between a 176 177 positive feedback loop (Economic-Population Loop) and a negative feedback loop (Community 178 Sensitivity Loop).

179

2.3 Economic diversification and institutions:

Roobavannan et al. (2017) presented a rigorous validation of the community sensitivity concept of Elshafei et al. (2014) and further extended it to account for the relative dependence of the basin economy on agriculture. Roobavannan et al. (2017) assumed that the tradeoff between economic wellbeing and environmental health at the community level depends also on contextual





factors such as economic diversification. In this way the resulting SH model was able to explain the
importance of economic diversification and sectoral transformation on the community sensitivity
that then impacted human water management actions.

187

Roobavannan et al. (2017) also introduced a fish spices richness (FSR) index (Yoshikawa et al,
2014) as a separate proxy for ecosystem health. They also used time series of economic development
(measured by total irrigated area and irrigation water utilisation) and diverse proxies for technology
(i.e., patents) and water use behavior (e.g., environmental behavior based on fish species richness
index) in validating the dynamic changes to community sensitivity.

193

3. VALUES, BELIEFS AND NORMS AS DYNAMIC VARIABLES

So far in SH modelling research, aspects of human culture that drive human behaviour in respect 194 195 of water management -i.e., values and norms - have been treated in a lumped way, represented by proxies, in a black-box manner. Moving SH forward requires opening the 'black box' of culture by 196 questioning the assumptions behind and more clearly measuring and modelling cultural factors. For 197 198 example, if values are conceptualized as over-arching goals of society (Wescoat, 2013), are they 199 individual goals or collective goals associated with the emergent structure of a coupled human-water system, or both? Similarly, how malleable are values and norms as aspects of a coupled human-200 water system? Moreover, under what conditions should values and norms be expected to change, or 201 202 remain stable? For that matter, what are the mechanisms through which values and norms might 203 change, and the human behaviours and actions that result from them?

204

The ingredients for understanding the role of changing values and norms in coupled human-water 205 206 systems can be summarized as (a) forward loop: theories of how individual values influence individual norms and behavior regarding water use, (b) backward loop: theories of why and how 207 collective behavior can engender change in individual norms regarding the use of water for 208 agriculture or the environment, (c) role of institutions in enabling changes in water policy that reflect 209 collective behavior towards the water environment, (d) data that can provide information on proxy 210 variables including environment related behavior and patterns and (e) models that use proxy data to 211 212 conceptualize processes (a)-(c) in interpreting related patterns. Future work in SH will necessarily





213 grapple with these types of questions that further elucidate the role of values and norms in coupled

- 214 human-water systems.
- 215

216 **3.1 Values, Beliefs, and Norms: VBN theory**

One line of conceptualization seems particularly promising for moving forward socio-217 hydrological research. The Values-Beliefs-Norms (VBN) theoretical framework (Stern et al., 1999; 218 Ives and Kendal, 2014) is grounded firmly in social-psychological theory and has been empirically 219 tested as a framework for understanding how cultural factors (i.e., values, beliefs and norms) shape 220 environmental decision-making, and water use behaviour in particular, in a wide array of contexts. 221 Figure 2 presents a stylized version of a VBN model linking values, beliefs, norms, and behaviours. 222 223 In this framework, behaviours are motivated by proximate norms, or obligations to act. Norms themselves are shaped, or activated by beliefs, including a person's awareness of the consequences 224 of their actions, how a person ascribes responsibility for their actions etc. More generally, norms 225 226 are shaped by a person's ecological worldview, or how a person views humans vis-à-vis the natural 227 environment (i.e., are humans a part of the natural environment, or apart from the natural environment). Ultimately, the VBN framework posits values-deeply-held, guiding principles about 228 right and wrong - as the basis of water use behaviour in the context of socio-hydrology. Values are 229 often assumed to be unchanging, relatively stable, and generally unquestioned principles that 230 231 motivate water use behaviour and water policy actions indirectly through beliefs and norms.

232

The VBN framework is capable of being incorporated into SH models for the purposes of modelling dynamic feedbacks within the human component of the system or between the human and environmental components of the system (Caldas et al. 2015). Incorporating VBN into SH models requires addressing the questions raised above in greater detail, among others, but especially the question of where the feedbacks between values, beliefs, norms and behavior occur in the process of management and the competitive use of water resources.

239

To illustrate how values, beliefs and norms influence behavior, consider a simplified example of a farmer of English descent in the MRB who migrated into the basin in the early 1900s and farmed rice. The behaviour of this farmer towards wetlands is influenced by how the farmer and the farming community *believe* their water use affects what they hold dear or value. Implicitly, this means that





244 their behaviour towards the environment depends on how they value water, or what they believe the 245 water should be used for. These are questions of *values*, and values help navigate decisions that must be made about trade-offs between different valued end goals, or uses. Here, one key trade-off is 246 between water for agricultural production (i.e., to support the viability of the farm operation and 247 farmer's livelihood) and water for the environment (i.e., to support environmental flows, 248 249 biodiversity, and ecosystem services). Humans can hold multiple values, and place different 'weights' or emphases on each of the values that affect a particular decision with regards to water 250 use. The farmer may, for example, make a water use decision by drawing on a combination of self-251 interest/egoistic values (e.g., using water to support the economic well-being of their family, 252 household, and farm), humanist-altruistic values (e.g., conserving water to preserve the long-term 253 254 viability of the rural community), and biospheric-altruistic values (e.g., conserving water to preserve wildlife habitat and ecosystem services). A first step toward modelling this type of VBN process 255 could be to assign weights for each value, allowing behaviours to change in correspondence to the 256 257 weights that each value type exercises over time. Scaling up from the individual-level, value types can be identified from prevailing complexes of VBN processes in a basin so that SH dynamics in a 258 259 basin are outcomes of generalised behaviours emerging from a distribution of basin residents laden with different value types and complexes. From this perspective, VBN elements at an aggregate 260 261 level in a basin can become dynamic. For example, degrading ecosystem functioning, such as the drying of wetlands, can bring more uncertainty and risk over time to the things the farmer values 262 (i.e., income, family, farming, community, the environment, etc.) and/or altering the farmer's beliefs 263 264 (i.e., worldview, awareness of adverse consequences, or perceived ability to reduce threats to things 265 of value), shifting their behaviour away from a more egoistic, or agri-centric, orientation and towards wetland conservation and restoration. This is a very simplified example of a complex set of processes 266 operating at multiple scales, but it illustrates how values, beliefs, norms, and behaviour might be 267 268 seen to co-evolve and change through feedbacks in a coupled SH system.

269

There remain important gaps in how to identify the requisite components of VBN processes through measurement, how to scale up these processes from the individual level, and how to model feedbacks. However, as mentioned before, there has already been progress in this direction in the SH literature. Place-based SH models (van Emmerik et al., 2014; Roobavannan et al., 2017; and Elshafei et al., 2014, 2015) have mimicked various regimes that result from a different balance





275 between economic or agricultural development and environmental health due to changing values, 276 beliefs and norms. van Emmerik et al. (2014) was able to model the four eras described by Kandasamy et al. (2014), from an exclusive focus on agriculture, to environmental restoration. A 277 crucial aspect has been the inclusion of a sub-model to quantify environmental health. The 278 community sensitivity framework of Elshafei et al. (2014) was applied to two Australian catchments, 279 280 and in both cases, different regimes could also be distinguished. Interestingly, the inclusion of human feedback that integrates a variety of influences as a response to changes in ecosystem health was 281 done in a completely different fashion. In van Emmerik et al. (2014) a simple memory function 282 governed by wetland storage sufficed, whereas in Elshafei et al. (2014) more complex community 283 sensitivity equations were introduced, both linking water use related beliefs and behavior through 284 bi-directional feedbacks. Roobavannan et al. (2017), advanced this a step further by representing 285 community level belief about the environment, i.e., environment sensitivity, as a consequence of the 286 distribution of weights that individuals attach to enviro-centric versus anthropo-centric values. Such 287 288 a distribution was made contextual, i.e., it depended on economic diversification. The endogenous treatment of values and norms by these studies (van Emmerik et al., 2014; Elshafei et al., 2015; 289 Roobavannan et al., 2017) have implicitly followed the general logic of elements of the VBN theory 290 presented above, even if this was originally unintended (see Figure 2), and have therefore responded 291 292 to the challenges of incorporating feedbacks from water use behavior to beliefs and water management norms, consistent with the notion of endogenous and dynamic culture of Caldas et al. 293 294 (2015).

295 **3.2 Validation of Modeled Changing Values and Norms**

Place-based SH models have relied on proxy measures such as environmental degradation to capture changing values, beliefs, norms and behaviors and their parameters were obtained by calibration. Despite the advantages of this approach, confidence in these models remains low, as the models struggle to be indepedently validated. To address the validation challenges faced to date in modelbased socio-hydrology case studies, Elshafei et al. (2015) proposed that socio-centric approaches (such as newspaper content analysis) be employed to assess evolving community sentiment over long time periods.

303

Along these lines, Wei et al. (2017) recently analyzed the content of newspaper articles to measure





and quantify the evolution of societal values and preferences in relation to water management issues in Australia over a 169 year period. The results of Wei et al. (2017) are especially informative to the growing body of socio-hydrology literature focused on Australian study sites, in particular the Murray Darling Basin (MDB). Their findings support the hypotheses put forward in Kandasamy et al. (2014) and Elshafei et al. (2014), both of which postulate a shift in societal values from an anthropo-centric to an enviro-centric focus over time.

311

312 The work of Wei et al. (2017) thus signals an important step forward for the socio-hydrology research community as its results demonstrate how an autonomous socio-centric analysis method 313 may be employed to provide independent validation for conceptual theories and coupled modelling 314 315 approaches carried out within the same broad geographical region. This more complete analysis of societal values now enables us to go back and compare the results of this independent study against 316 the predictions made by previous SH models. More specifically, Wei et al.'s (2017) results 317 318 corroborate Kandasamy et al.'s (2014) proposed pendulum swing in societal sentiment in the Murrumbidgee Basin over a century timescale. As can be seen in Figure 3, observed (Figure 3a, 319 320 Kandasamy et al., 2014) and modeled (Figure 3b, van Emmerik et al., 2014) time series of economic 321 development (proxied by total irrigated area and irrigation water utilisation) correspond with the 322 evolution of societal sentiment shown in the bottom panel of Wei et al.'s (2017) results (Figure 3c). Moreover, the narrative for each of the three phases described in Wei et al. (2017) repeats the timing 323 and spirit of the phases depicted in Kandasamy et al. (2014), van Emmerik et al. (2014) and Elshafei 324 325 et al. (2014, 2015) (Figure 3).

326

Another important implication of Wei et al.'s (2017) results in relation to Elshafei et al.'s (2014) 327 proposed conceptual SH model is that they provide strong support for theories underpinning the use 328 329 of the composite 'community sensitivity' variable put forward therein. Figure 4a,b illustrates that 330 when societal values are initially focused on economic development the change in the community sensitivity variable (dV/dt) trends negative (i.e., society is predisposed towards anthropo-centric 331 332 behaviours), whereas as societal values evolve towards environmental sustainability the change in 333 community sensitivity variable trends positive (indicating a behavioural tendency towards conservation). Wei et al.'s (2017) findings thus provide strong validation for the non-linear dynamics 334 observed in previously published coupled SH models that adopted alternate proxies for modelling 335





the change in societal values and norms in relation to water resource management over time (i.e.,
Elshafei et al.'s (2014, 2015) composite community sensitivity variable and van Emmerik et al.'s
(2014) environmental awareness variable).

339

It is worth noting that Wei et al.'s (2017) results are not particular to a specific basin, but rather are 340 341 intended to reflect a broader national or regional view. Validated SH models that endogenized water related beliefs and norms are distinct from regression based models that are not causal (e.g., Wei et 342 al., 2017). The in-built non-linear dynamics allow possible 'extrapolation' of the coupled human-343 water dynamics across a gradient of hydro-climates, societies and economies, although this requires 344 more work and testing. Similar to regionalisation techniques in hydrological modeling, socio-345 hydrological regionalisation will mean how the parameters of the coupled SH model, such as 346 curvature parameter of the distribution function that trades off enviro-centric values with anthropo-347 centric values (Roobavannan et al., 2017), vary across different societies. Regression based models 348 349 cannot be extrapolated to another place or time as there are no causal linkages provided to explain the transitional shifts in societal values observed therein. In other words, regression models that do 350 351 not internalize coupled human water system dynamics can at best be used for 'interpolation' (i.e., 352 can only explain the dynamics within the domain of the data) or data analysis. Nonetheless, 353 verification of coupled models with data such as those presented in Wei et al. (2017) is important as it enables the discovery of fundamental principles of human behaviour through the validation of 354 internal dynamics within the coupled models, and ultimately aids in the generalisation of socio-355 356 hydrologic system dynamics. The following shows how newspaper content analysis effectively plays the same informative role as Fish Species Richness, i.e., FSR (i.e., proxy for condition of ecology), 357 in modelling water related endogenous behaviour. 358

359

In order to illustrate how newspaper content analysis serves as a complementary source of information that can be used in socio-hydrological modelling, the Wei et al. (2017) data was used to re-calibrate the 'environment awareness' state variable of van Emmerik et al. (2014). Instead of wetland storage which was used in van Emmerik et al. (2014), the Fish Species Richness (*r*) is now used as a proxy of environment health. The temporal dynamics of environment awareness (E) is assumed to be given by the following differential equation (van Emmerik et al., 2014):





- 367 where $\varepsilon(r)$ is the rate of accumulation/depletion of environmental awareness, which is a function of 368 *r*. The functional form of $\varepsilon(r)$ is assumed to be given by:
- 369 $\varepsilon(r) = \begin{cases} \alpha \left[\exp(\beta r) 1 \right], & r < r_c \\ -\lambda, & r > r_c \end{cases}$

where r_c is the critical Fish Species Richness below which environment awareness is expected to increase exponentially governed by parameters α and β and λ is dissipation rate of environmental awareness when the ecosystem is healthy, i.e., $r > r_c$. The Fish Species Index, r, (Yoshika*wa et al*, 2014) is estimated by the following power law function:

 $r = \beta_0 Q_B^{\beta_1}$

where Q_B is the flow in the downstream streamflow (i.e., environmental flow) and β_0 and β_1 are parameters of the FSR index (Yoshikawa *et al.*, 2014).

377

Values of the parameters α , β , λ , r_c need to be calibrated. In the absence of social data to calibrate the model, van Emmerik et al. (2014) used other basin-wide hydrological data to calibrate the model. Here we use the Wei et al. (2017) data to calibrate the model parameters, through application of the GLUE method. Initial estimates for the parameters are obtained manually making sure essential dynamics are captured. After that, 100,000 random samples of parameters (uniform sampling) that lie within the range of 50% to 150% of the initial values are obtained.

384

Figure 5a shows the modeled environmental awareness by van Emmerik et al. (2014) and a 385 386 comparison with that calibrated to the Wei et al. (2017) data (Figure 5b). The environmental awarness (E, Figure 5a) bears a remarkable similarity to that obtained by Wei et al. (2017) through 387 388 newspaper content analysis. Even though van Emmerik et al. (2014) at that time was not privy to the Wei et al. (2017) data, the model already succeeded in capturing the change in community's values 389 390 and norms regarding water resources. While naturally attracting criticism for the lack of direct calibration, in hindsight the validity of the approach may now be appreciated and that new social 391 data such as Wei et al.'s (2017) can be used to validate predictions of changing values and norms. 392 Figure 5b shows how with foresight and with availability of complementary societal values data of 393 394 Wei et al. (2017) (see dashed line), the FSR can robustly simulate E. In doing so it provides





independent validation of the model results of van Emmerik et.al. (2014) and the approch that wasadopted at the time.

397 4. FROM PLACE-BASED TO GENERALIZED MODELS: CHALLENGES AND 398 OPPORTUNITIES

The pathway to generalisation of SH models is an important goal that allows future prediction (extrapolation in time) and translation of SH models at other geographical locations (extrapolation in space). It provides an important means for the adoption of socio-hydrology in the practice of longterm or strategic water resource management. Generalisation needs to address both the proxies used in SH modelling and the data used to calibrate them, as recent SH modelling studies have highlighted.

405

Models provide languages or templates in terms of which the following three aspects can be 406 interpreted: 1) how beliefs and norms depend on values, 2) how values and norms influence 407 408 individual behavior towards the environment, e.g., the wetland health or releasing environment water for bio-diversity, and 3) how pro-environmental behaviour of some in the community (e.g., rallies 409 by the Green Movement) can influence the beliefs of others in the basin and bring about a change in 410 water management (i.e., the feedback). Such templates also enlighten us with variables that need to 411 be measured, so that multiple concepts via the models can be tested and can improve our system 412 413 understanding.

414

For example, the policy change in the 1990s in MRB led to increased environmental flow. To interpret this in terms of change in water management norms of the MRB, models need to link beliefs and norms to water use behaviour within the basin. This needs information on a range of relevant values such as altruistic values (i.e., healthy MRB for present and future generations, enough money for the next generation) and egoistic values (i.e., making money), along with information on beliefs, norms, and behaviours, such as how water is being used.

421 4.1 Measurement of changing norms and values

422 Direct measurement of social value is often very difficult, resulting in the use of indirect methods423 (or proxies). Studies have attempted to understand social values on pro-environmentalism (Bengston





424 1994; Ives and Kendal 2013) and could be differentiated based on the method of measurement. 425 Assigned values can be expressed in either monetary or non-monetary terms, and are relevant to economic and psychology approaches. In a social science context, assigned values have been 426 quantitatively measured using a variety of techniques, including survey and interview approaches 427 with the help of psychometric scales used in psychology (Bengston, 1994), social experiments in 428 429 behavioral economics (Janssen et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2016) and content analysis (Seymour et al., 2010; Bark et al. 2016a; Xu and Bengston 1997; Wei et al., 2017). Economic valuation offers another 430 431 set of useful approaches to inform natural resource management (Farber et al., 2002; Pande et al., 2011; Loomis et al., 2000; Norton and Noonan, 2007; Wilson et al., 1999; Bark et al., 2016b). Non-432 market valuation (Smith, 1993), contingent valuation (Bateman et al., 2006) and other related 433 434 techniques have been extensively used over the decades and enabled the exploration of how people 'trade-off' their environmental values in decision-making (Freeman 1993). This enables (i) values 435 to be measured for large and diverse groups of people, (ii) changes in values to be tracked across 436 437 groups of people or across time, and (iii) models to be developed to predict values based on other factors (e.g., demographics, cultural background). 438

439

It is less challenging to observe contemporary water-related behaviour. However, as the time scale of analysis expands, the task of measuring behaviour becomes equally challenging. Paleoclimate proxies such as δ^{18} O or tree rings,, have been extensively used to interpret water availability as well as social organization in the past (Pande and Ertsen, 2014; Staubwasser et al., 2003). These observations can be supplemented by other forms of indirect measurement of water related behaviour such as newspaper content analysis, records of memberships in activist organisations, and can strengthen proxy observations of pro-environmental behaviour in the near past.

447 **4.2 Utilisation of new types of data**

A challenge related to model transferability is generic data needs. If community sensitivity functions of van Emmerik et al. (2014), Elshafei et al. (2015) and Roobavannan et al. (2017) are able to assess some trade-off between enviro-centric and anthropo-centric values types, global socio-economic data sets such as the World Value Surveys (WVS, 2017) and UN demographic datasets (UN, 2017) might offer the possibility of quantifying values, so that models can be transferred to unmonitored locations. Whether such data sources can be used to quantify such values remains a very important





- 454 open question.
- 455

In the past, the use of soft data in hydrological modelling has been demonstrated to provide 456 additional insights into the functioning of ungauged basins, and has in some cases been used to 457 successfully assess the realism of a model (see e.g., van Emmerik et al., 2015). Similarly, socio-458 459 hydrological systems face similar problems of extrapolation to other places, as numerical data series do not always exist to calibrate or validate SH models. Wei et al.'s (2017) use of newspaper content 460 data to compute a numerical expression of environmental sustainability and economic development 461 demonstrates the benefits of further exploration of this type of new data sources since it can allow 462 the calibration of SH models, as shown in Figure 5. Future efforts should therefore not only be 463 464 limited to developing new SH modeling frameworks, but also entail finding new ways to access information and translate it into numerical expressions, e.g., indices such as FSR, that can be used 465 for model validation, and model realism assessment. 466

467

A new era of data-driven science (Peters-Lidard et al., 2017) is dawning, with increased 468 469 computational power, new proxies and alternative data sources. Smart distillation of information 470 from alternative sources (e.g., web databases, social data, other types of Big Data) may provide the 471 valuable auxiliary data required to take the next step in SH model development and provide an innovative way to find and quantify the social proxies which are currently difficult to justify. This 472 will need to be combined with online data monitoring such as smart sensing and citizen science 473 474 monitoring as well as field campaigns to validate model results as well as to obtain socio-475 hydrological data relating to e.g. environmental sentiment, local sociatel values, and fertility conditions. In the future, socio-hydrologists could exploit or mine data/information from such varied 476 sources, leading to the inclusion of Big Data science in socio-hydrology. This new paradigm 477 478 represents a clear set of opportunities for data-mining and data-driven modelling methods in sociohydrology. These apply machine learning and 'computationally intelligent' algorithms to elicit, 479 characterise, quantify and model the myriad, implicit structures and relationships embedded within 480 complex, multivariate datasets. In so doing, they offer a pathway for formulating new understandings 481 482 of the saliency and power of socio-hydrologic variables, and the inter-relationships and behaviours that exist between them (Mount et al., 2016). 483





485 **4.3 Comparative socio-hydrology studies**

486 Parameters are used to calibrate the proxies to fit local basin data. Comparative studies from several basins will enable better interpretation of what model parameters mean and their character. For 487 example, Roobavannan et al. (2017)'s model of endogenous behaviour could be made more socio-488 hydrologically meaningful. Its attractiveness parameter relates migration to the difference in 489 unemployment within and outside the basin. A more meaningful representation of this variable, for 490 example in terms of the cost of migration, such as moving costs and the cost of obtaining new skills 491 away from water based employment, will enable regionalisation of associated parameter values and 492 the transfer of models from data intensive basins such as the MRB to data scarce basins such as the 493 494 Aral Sea.

495

Comparative studies can also provide the data to develop regional relationships for SH model 496 497 parameters. Similar to regionalisation techniques in hydrological modeling (Asong et al., 2015; 498 Buytaert and Beven, 2009; Götzinger and Bárdossy, 2007; Merz and Blöschl, 2004; Yadav et al., 499 2007; Blöschl et al., 2013), socio-hydrological regionalisation will define how the parameters of the 500 coupled SH model vary with different societies and basins. Once defined, regional curves may be used to interpolate parameters and hence models to ungauged locations. Initial efforts have already 501 been attempted in Elshafei et al., (2016) but these need to be improved and validated through more 502 503 independent comparative studies. Yet another possibility can be of investigating a Budyko type curve for coupled human-water systems with endogenous values and norms that will enable 504 505 extrapolation of emergent behaviours in space and time. Comparative assessment will also put to test theories, such as those that propose values and norms as emergent properties of a coupled 506 507 human-water system, such that all its biological constituents including humans and vegetation obey 508 certain metabolic scaling laws (Fischer-Kowalski, 1998; Silva et al., 2006).

509

In this regard, a new working group on comparative socio-hydrology within Panta Rhei has been launched to serve this purpose (Fuqiang Tian, personal communication). It plans to obtain sociohydrological data from diverse river basins such as Tarim, Murrumbidgee and Kissimmee, including historical documentation of the evolution of coupled human-water system to develop a generalized understanding of coupled human-water behavior. This is being done through comparative analysis to identify and interpret diverse emergent behavior such as farmer suicides in less developed and





516 developed countries such as India and Australia respectively, "pendulum swing" in basins in China,

517 USA and Australia and the levee effect versus memory effect in flood plains across the globe. Such

comparative analyses can prove to be very constructive in identifying general principles that govern

519 dynamic changes in values and norms.

520 **5. CONCLUSIONS**

Recent socio-hydrological studies (van Emmerik et al, 2014; Elshafiei et al., 2015; Roobavannan et al., 2017) in Austrialia have moved closer toward integration with key social science theories of perception and behavior, and have taken a key step toward endogenizing values and norms. These models are internally consistent with patterns observed with proxy data of environmental awareness and water policy change, such as the newpaper articles based proxies of Wei et al. (2017). However, such theoretically and empirically consistent models are only the beginning of the way forward to generalizing models and its predictions for sustainable water management.

528

Human culture – comprised of values, beliefs, and norms – is key to understanding stability and change in coupled human-water systems. Often, such variables and related closure relationships within socio-hydrological models are latent and hard to observe. This poses challenges in testing and confirming the realism of assumed relationships. However, with the advent of the information intense era, diverse proxy data sources such as citizen science observatories, and social media can be harnessed and novel big data algorithms can be used to process them in a form that can be of use to socio-hydrological models.

536

Yet such opportunities can only build confidence in our place based understanding of a socio-537 538 hydrological phenomenon such as the pendulum swing observed in the Murrumbidgee River Basin. What we need are generalized relationships or principles underlying emergent phenomena if we are 539 to stand up to the challenge of making predictions in ungauged locations in space and time. This 540 clearly calls for more place based studies, both past and present and across spatio-temporal scales, 541 542 that are backed up by novel socio-hydrological observations such as historical accounts and sociocentric data, and a comparative analysis of such studies where similar emergent phenomenon has 543 544 been observed to help synthesize the underlying socio-hydrological principles.





546 6. REFERENCES

- 547 Andreoni, J. 1989. Giving with impure altruism: Applications to charity and ricardian equivalence.
- 548 J. Polit. Econ., 97(6), 1447-1458.
- Asong, Z.E., Khaliq, M.N., Wheater, H.S., 2015. Regionalization of precipitation characteristics in
 the Canadian Prairie Provinces using large-scale atmospheric covariates and geophysical
 attributes. Stoch Env. Res Risk Assess 29, 875–892.
- Bai, X., van der Leeuw, S., O'Brien, K., Berkhout, F., Biermann, F., Brondizio, E.S., Cudennec, C.,
- Dearing, J., Duraiappah, A., Glaser, M., Revkin, A., Steffen, W., Syvitski, J., 2016. Plausible and
 desirable futures in the Anthropocene: A new research agenda. Glob. Environ. Change. 39, 351–
 362.
- Banerjee A.V., Newman, A. F. 1993. Occupational choice and the process of development. J. Polit.
 Econ., 101(2), 274-298
- Bark, R. H., Robinson, C. J., Flessa, K. W., 2016a. Tracking cultural ecosystem services: Water chasing the Colorado River restoration pulse flow. Ecol. Econ., 127, 165–172.
- Bark, R. H., Colloff, M. J., Hatton MacDonald, D., Pollino, C. A., Jackson, S., Crossman, N. D.,
 2016b. Integrated valuation of ecosystem services obtained from restoring water to the
 environment in a major regulated river basin. Ecosyst. Serv., 22, 381–391.
- Bateman, I. J., Cole, M.A., Georgiou, S., Hadley, D. J., 2006. Comparing contingent valuation and
 contingent ranking: A case study considering the benefits of urban river water quality
 improvements. J. Environ. Manage. 79, 221–231.
- Bengston, D. N., 1994. Changing forest values and ecosystem management. Soc. Nat. Resour., 7, 515–533.
- Blair, P., Buytaert, W., 2016. Socio-hydrological modelling: a review asking "why, what and how?"
 Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 20, 443–478.
- 570 Buytaert, W., Beven, K., 2009. Regionalization as a learning process. Water Resour. Res., 45, 1–13.
- 571 Caldas, M.M., Sanderson, M.R., Mather, M., Daniels, M.D., Bergtold, J.S., Aistrup, J., Heier Stamm,
- 572 J.L., Haukos, D., Douglas-Mankin, K., Sheshukov, A.Y., Lopez-Carr, D., 2015. Opinion:
- Endogenizing culture in sustainability science research and policy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.,
 112, 8157–9.
- 575 Di Baldassarre, G., Viglione, A., Carr, G., Kuil, L., Salinas, J.L., Blöschl, G., 2013. Sociohydrology: Conceptualising human-flood interactions. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 17, 3295–3303.
- 577 Elshafei, Y., Coletti, J.Z., Sivapalan, M., Hipsey, M.R., 2015. A model of the socio-hydrologic
- dynamics in a semiarid catchment: Isolating feedbacks in the coupled human-hydrology system.
 Water Resour. Res., 51, 6442–6471.
- Elshafei, Y., Sivapalan, M., Tonts, M., Hipsey, M.R., 2014. A prototype framework for models of
 socio-hydrology: identification of key feedback loops and parameterisation approach. Hydrol.
 Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 2141–2166.
- Elshafei, Y., Tonts, M., Sivapalan, M., Hipsey, M.R., 2016. Sensitivity of emergent sociohydrologic
 dynamics to internal system properties and external sociopolitical factors: Implications for water
 management. Water Resour. Res., 52, 4944–4966.
- Falkenmark, M., Rockström, J., 2004. *Balancing Water for Humans and Nature*. Earthscan, New York, USA, 239.
- Farber, S.C., Costanza, R., Wilson, M.A., 2002. Economic and ecological concepts for valuing
 ecosystem services. Ecol. Econ., 41, 375–392.
- Fischer-Kowalski, M., 1998. Society's metabolism. The intellectual histroy of materials flow analysis, Part I, 1860-1970. J. Ind. Ecol., 2, 61–136.





- 592 Götzinger, J., Bárdossy, A., 2007. Comparison of four regionalisation methods for a distributed hydrological model. J. Hydrol., 333, 374-384. 593
- Ives, C.D., Kendal, D., 2013. Values and attitudes of the urban public towards peri-urban agricultural 594 land. Land Use Policy, 34, 80-90. 595
- 596 Ives, C.D., Kendal, D., 2014. The role of social values in the management of ecological systems. J. 597 Environ. Manage., 144, 67-72.
- Janssen, M.A., Lee, A., Waring, T.M., 2014. Experimental platforms for behavioral experiments on 598 599 social-ecological systems. Ecol. Soc., 19(4), 20.
- Kandasamy, J., Sounthararajah, D., Sivabalan, P., Chanan, A., Vigneswaran, S., Sivapalan, M., 600 601 2014. Socio-hydrologic drivers of the pendulum swing between agricultural development and environmental health: a case study from Murrumbidgee River basin, Australia. Hydrol. Earth Syst. 602 603 Sci., 18, 1027–1041.
- 604
- Liu, Y., Tian, F., Hu, H., Sivapalan, M., 2014. Socio-hydrologic perspectives of the co-evolution of humans and water in the Tarim River basin, Western China: the Taiji-Tire model. Hydrol. Earth 605 606 Syst. Sci., 18, 1289–1303.
- Loomis, J., Kent, P., Strange, L., Fausch, K., Covich, A., 2000. Measuring the total economic value 607 of restoring ecosystem services in an impaired river basin: Results from a contingent valuation 608 609 survey. Ecol. Econ., 33, 103-117.
- 610 Merz, R., Blöschl, G., 2004. Regionalisation of catchment model parameters. J. Hydrol., 287, 95-611 123.
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005. Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Current State and 612 Trends, Volume 1. Island Press, Washington DC, USA. 613
- Mount, N.J., Maier, H.R., Toth, E., Elshorbagy, A., Solomatine, D., Chang, F.-J., Abrahart, R.J., 614 615 2016. Data-driven modelling approaches for socio-hydrology: Opportunities and challenges within the Panta Rhei Science Plan. Hydrol. Sci. J., 61, 1192–1208. 616
- Norton, B., Costanza, R., Bishop, R.C., 1998. The evolution of preferences why "sovereign" 617
- preferences may not lead to sustainable policies and what to do about it. Ecol. Econ., 24, 193–211. 618 Norton, B.G., Noonan, D., 2007. Ecology and valuation: Big changes needed. Ecol. Econ., 63, 664-619
- 620 675.
- 621 Pande, S., Ersten, M. 2014. Endogenous change: on cooperation and water availability in two ancient 622 societies, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 18 (5), 1745-1760
- Pande, S., Savenije, H. H. G., 2016. A sociohydrological model for smallholder farmers in 623 624 Maharashtra, India. Water Resour. Res., 52, 1923–1947.
- Pande, S., Sivapalan, M., 2016. Progress in socio-hydrology: a meta-analysis of challenges and 625 626 opportunities. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Water, 4(4), 1–18.
- Pande, S., van Den Boom, B., Savenije, H. H. G., Gosain, A.K., 2011. Water valuation at basin scale 627 628 with application to western India. Ecol. Econ., 70, 2416–2428.
- Peters-Lidard, C.D., Clark, M., Samaniego, L., Verhoest, N.E.C., van Emmerik, T., Uijlenhoet, R., 629
- Achieng, K., Franz, T.E., Woods, R., 2017. Scaling, similarity, and the fourth paradigm for 630 631 hydrology. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. (in press).
- Rijsberman, F.R., 2006. Water scarcity: Fact or fiction? Agric. Water Manage., 80, 5-22. 632
- Roobavannan, M., Kandasamy, J., Pande, S., Vigneswaran, S. and Sivapalan, M., 2017. Role of 633
- sectoral transformation in evolution of the water management in agricultural catchments: A socio-634 hydrologic analysis. Water Resour. Res. (in review). 635
- 636 Seymour, E., Curtis, A., Pannell, D., Allan, C., Roberts, A., 2010. Understanding the role of assigned
- values in natural resource management. Austral. J. Environ. Manage., 17, 142-153. 637

Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions



- Silva, J. K. L., Garcia, G. J. M., Barbosa, L.A., 2006. Allometric scaling laws of metabolism. Phys.
 Life Rev., 3, 229–261.
- Sivapalan, M., Blöschl, G., 2015. Time scale interactions and the coevolution of humans and water.
 Water Resour. Res., 51, 6988–7022.
- 642 Sivapalan, M., Konar, M., Srinivasan, V., Chhatre, A., Wutich, A., Scott, C. A., Wescoat, J. L., 2014.
- Socio-hydrology: Use-inspired water sustainability science for the Anthropocene. Earth's Future,
 2, 225–230.
- Smith, V. K., 1993. Nonmarket valuation of environmental resources : An interpretive appraisal.
 Land Econ., 69, 1–26.
- Srinivasan, V., Konar, M., Sivapalan, M., 2017. A dynamic framework for water security. Water
 Secur. (in press).
- Srinivasan, V., Sanderson, M., Garcia, M., Konar, M., Blöschl, G., Sivapalan, M., 2016. Prediction
 in a socio-hydrological world. Hydrol. Sci., J. (in press).
- Staubwasser, M., Sirocko, F., Grootes, P.M., Segl, M., 2003. Climate change at the 4.2 ka BP
 termination of the Indus valley civilization and Holocene south Asian monsoon variability 30, 3–
 6.
- Stern, P.C., 2000. Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. J. Soc. Issues,
 56, 407–424.
- Stern P. C., Dietz, T., Abel, T., Guagnano, G. A. and Kalof, L., 1999. A value-belief-norm theory of support for social movements: the case of environmentalism, *Human Ecol. Rev.*, 6(2), 81-98.
- 658 UN (United Nations) 2017. UN-Population Division. [Available at:
- 659 http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/ population/publications/dataset/]
- van Emmerik, T. H. M., Li, Z., Sivapalan, M., Pande, S., Kandasamy, J., Savenije, H. H. G., Chanan,
 A., Vigneswaran, S., 2014. Socio-hydrologic modeling to understand and mediate the competition
- for water between agriculture development and environmental health: Murrumbidgee River basin,
- Australia. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 4239–4259.
- van Emmerik, T., Mulder, G., Eilander, D., Piet, M., Savenije, H. H. G., 2015. Predicting the
 ungauged basin: Model validation and realism assessment. Frontiers in Earth Science, 3, 62.
- Wei, J., Wei, Y., Western, A., 2017. Evolution of the societal value of water resources for economic
 development versus environmental sustainability in Australia from 1843 to 2011. Glob. Environ.
 Change, 42, 82–92.
- Wescoat, J. L., 2013. Reconstructing the duty of water: a study of emergent norms in socio hydrology. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 17, 4759–4768.Wilson, M. A., Carpenter, S.R., 1999.
- 671 Economic valuation of freshwater ecosystem services in the United States: 1971-1997. Ecol.
- 672 Appl., 9(3), 772–783.
- 673 WVS (World Values Survey), 2017. World Values Survey-Wave 7 [Available at 674 http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/ wvs.jsp]
- Ku, Z., Bengston, D. N., 1997. Trends in national forest values among forestry professionals,
 environmentalists, and the news media, 1982–1993. Soc. Nat. Resour., 10, 43–59.
- Yoshikawa, S., Yanagawa, a., Iwasaki, Y., Sui, P., Koirala, S., Hirano, K., Khajuria, a., Mahendran,
 R., Hirabayashi, Y., Yoshimura, C., Kanae, S., 2014. Illustrating a new global-scale approach to
 estimating potential reduction in fish species richness due to flow alteration. Hydrol. Earth Syst.
 Sci., 18, 621–630.
- 681 Yu, D. J., Shin, H. C., Pérez, I., Anderies, J. M., Janssen, M. A., 2016. Learning for resilience-based
- management: Generating hypotheses from a behavioral study. Glob. Environ. Change. 37, 69–78.
- 683







Figure 1: Framework proposed by Sivapalan et al., (2014). Socio-hydrology models use proxies for

- 687 environment degradation and for economic well being







698

Figure 2: Value Belief Norm (VBN) theory. Adapted from (Ives and Kendal, 2014; Stern, 2000).

The feedback (green arrow) from communal behavior to individual beliefs is introduced here by the

authors to recognize that it has indeed been included in recent SH studies in preliminary ways and

(van Emmerik et al, 2014; Elshafei et al, 2014; Roobavaanan et al, 2017) needs to be formalized in
 future studies.













environmental well-being; <u>Recovery and new equilibrium (2002-present)</u>, Adoption of remedial measures; and in Wei et al. (2017):
 <u>Pre-development (1900s-1960s)</u> Societal values dominated by economic development; <u>Take-off (1963-1980)</u> Societal values reflected
 increasing environmental awareness due to outbreak of pollution events; <u>Acceleration (1981-2011)</u> Growing shift in societal values

- 712 towards environmental sustainability.
- 713
- 714
- 715

716

717









719





720





Figure 5. (a) Variation of modelled environment awareness by van Emmerik et.al, (2014) using
calibrated model with hydrological and population data (b) variation of modelled environment
awareness using calibrated model (solid line) with societal value data (data from Wei et al. (2017),
dashed line) of water resources for environment stability.

727

728