Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
Discussions

Tributaries affect the thermal response of lakes to climate change

Love Råman Vinnå[1], Alfred Wüest[1,2], Massimiliano Zappa[3], Gabriel Fink[4], Damien Bouffard[1,2]

[1]Aquatic Systems Laboratory, Margaretha Kamprad Chair, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Institute of Environmental Engineering, Lausanne CH-1015, Switzerland.
[2]Eawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Surface Waters - Research and Management, Kastanienbaum, Switzerland.
[3]Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL, Birmensdorf, Switzerland.
[4]Center for Environmental Systems Research, CESR, University of Kassel, Kassel,
Correspondence to: Love Råman Vinnå (love.ramanvinna@epfl.ch)

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
Open Access
Discussions

Abstract

Thermal responses of inland waters to climate change varies on global and regional scales. The extent of warming is determined by system-specific characteristics such as fluvial input. Here we examine the impact of ongoing climate change on two alpine tributaries, the Aare River and Rhône River, and their respective downstream, peri-alpine lakes: Lake Biel and Lake Geneva. We propagate regional atmospheric temperature effects into river discharge projections. These, together with anthropogenic heat sources, are in turn incorporated into simple and efficient deterministic models that predict future water temperatures, river-borne suspended sediment concentration (SSC), lake stratification and river intrusion depth/volume into the lakes. Climate-induced shifts in river discharge regimes, including seasonal flow variations, act as positive and negative feedbacks in influencing river water temperature and SSC. Discharge-dependent increase of river temperature in turn results in large seasonal shifts in warming of downstream lakes. Their hydraulic residence times control seasonal variations in climate-induced heating. Previous studies suggest that climate change will diminish deep-water oxygen renewal in lakes. We find that climate-related seasonal variations in river temperatures and SSC affect the rate of deep-penetrating river intrusions. Seasonal variations (with decreasing flows in summer and increasing flows in winter) determine water input reaching the deepest parts of lakes. The river-lake model results described here show an annual increase in deep-water renewal. This process may therefore counteract otherwise negative effects of climate change on deep-water oxygen supply in lakes. Our findings provide a template for evaluating the response of similar hydrologic systems to on-going climate change.

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

1 Introduction

The thermal and hydrodynamic responses of lakes to climate change are considerably diverse. Observed responses vary on global, regional and even local scales (O'Reilly et al., 2015). Even neighbouring freshwater bodies can react differently to a given increase in air temperature. This indicates that lake-specific characteristics will determine the response to climate change (for clarity and brevity, we refer to anthropogenic climate change simply as 'climate change' or 'climate' from now on). Local factors which affect climate warming of lakes include, among others, morphology (Toffolon et al., 2014), irradiance absorption (Kirillin, 2010; Williamson et al., 2015), local weather conditions (Zhong et al., 2016), stratification (Piccolroaz et al., 2015), atmospheric brightening (Fink et al., 2014a) and ice cover (Austin and Colman, 2007).

Throughflows affect epilimnion and hypolimnion temperatures of lakes. Studies of climate impact typically do not address these sorts of subtleties in lake dynamics due to lack of data or difficulties in predicting future temperature and discharge conditions (Fenocchi et al., 2017). Several studies of large lakes suggest that major tributaries play only a minor role in climate-induced warming and deep-water oxygen renewal (Fink et al., 2014a; Schwefel et al., 2016). Medium- and smaller-scale lakes are, however, more abundant than large lakes (Downing et al., 2006) and exhibit a greater degree of sensitivity to point sources of anthropogenic thermal input which can affect temperature and stratification (Kirillin et al., 2013; Råman Vinnå et al., 2017). Medium- and small-sized lakes also make a more significant contribution to the temperature-dependent global greenhouse gas budget (Holgerson and Raymond, 2016). Accurate prediction of climate change impact therefore requires a more detailed understanding of small- to medium-scale lake and tributary systems.

Climate change exerts dual influence on alpine rivers by introducing variation to both flow and temperatures. Discharge variation takes the form of less flow in summer and more flow in winter due to warmer high altitude snow and ice melt/runoff regimes (Addor et al., 2014; Birsan et al., 2005) which also influence river temperature (Isaak et al., 2012; Van Vliet et al., 2013). Increased air temperature may also enhance erosion rates in river basins thereby supplementing river-borne suspended sediment loads (Bennett et al., 2013). River temperature and suspended sediment content determine water density and by extension, the depth of river plume intrusions into downstream lakes or reservoirs. The depth and volume of river intrusion plumes determine deep-water oxygen renewal, especially for deeper lakes where climate-related warming can reduced seasonal deep convective mixing and thereby deplete deep-water oxygen (Schwefel et al., 2016). Major (deeply penetrating) river intrusion events typically occur due to flooding, which introduces large sediment loads into the river (Fink et al., 2016). The frequency and volume of floods in the Alps are notoriously hard to predict, although a decrease in floods has occurred in association with recent warmer summers observed in the Alps (CH2011, 2011; Glur et al., 2013).

Recent model studies have identified inland waters as risk-hotspots under expected climate change scenarios (Pachauri et al., 2015). These systems require a more detailed analysis given their role in supporting fisheries, agriculture, drinking water production, heat extraction/release and hydropower. This paper examines complex interactions between tributaries and lakes in response to temperature increases and other modifications expected from climate change. Our objectives were to quantify the impact of specific climate change scenarios on (i) alpine tributaries and (ii) downstream peri-alpine lakes with a focus on river-borne suspended sediment concentration (SSC), water temperature, stratification and river intrusion depth and volume.

Our analysis used coupled river-lake models to build on previous research by Fink et al. (2016). These authors investigated the effect of flood frequencies on deep-water renewal under established climate change scenarios. Their work did not generate tightly constrained estimates for flooding events. Our analysis therefore provisionally assumed that flood frequency does not change in the future. In addition to these sources of natural variation, our models addressed variation in river discharge regimes (i.e. daily mean level shift) under the specified A1B climate change scenario. These in turn affect SSC and thermal regimes for rivers and their associated downstream lakes. Our analysis furthermore shows that local point sources of anthropogenic thermal pollution can have major impact on the response of inland waters to climate change as previously suggested by Fink et al. (2014b).

2 Methods

2.1 Approach

Investigation of tributary-influence on lake response to climate change followed these procedural steps:

(i) Define river temperature and SSC models for two major alpine rivers and designate a one-dimensional lake model for a large- and a medium-sized peri-alpine lake.
(ii) Integrate model (i) with a state-of-the-art river intrusion scheme. Figure 1 shows integration of one-way component models.
(iii) Obtain and apply estimates of future regional air temperature, tributary discharge and changes in local anthropogenic thermal emissions to both river and lake models.
(iv) Identify patterns in model outputs of water temperature, SSC, lake stratification and river intrusion parameters (volume and depth).

2.2 Study area

This study examined two warm, monomictic, freshwater peri-alpine lakes in western Switzerland, Lake Biel (LB; 7°10’ E, 47°5’ N) and Lake Geneva (LG; 6°31’ E, 46°27’ N). Large tributaries originating in the Alps, the Aare River and Rhône River, feed into LB and LG, respectively (Fig. 2).

LG is a large, meso-eutrophic lake resting at 372 m elevation and covering an area of 580 km^2. It reaches a maximum depth of 309 m and holds a volume of 89 km^3 with an average hydraulic residence time of 11.5 years. Complete seasonal deep convective mixing only occurs on average every fifth winter but is predicted to become less frequent with on-going climate change (Perroud and Goyette, 2010; Schwefel et al., 2016). The average surface water temperature has increased by ~0.11° C $decade^{-1}$ to ~0.52° C $decade^{-1}$ (Gillet and QueTin, 2006; O’Reilly et al., 2015). The Rhône River supplies ~75% of LG’s inflow and experienced a temperature increase of ~0.21 °C $decade^{-1}$ from 1978 to 2002 (Hari et al., 2006).

LB is a 74 m deep, meso-eutrophic, medium-sized lake resting at an elevation of 429 m. It covers a surface area of 39.3 km^2 and holds a volume of 1.18 km^3 with hydraulic residence time of 58 days. Complete deep convective mixing occurs every winter and effectively replenishes the oxygen-depleted deep-water. The Aare River provides ~61% of LB’s inflow and experienced a 0.34 °C $decade^{-1}$ increase in temperature from 1978 to 2002 (Hari et al.,

2006). Several dams/lakes trap sediment along the upstream Aare course and influence flow in ways that increase sediment settling and water temperature prior to entering LB. The Mühleberg Nuclear Power Plant (MNPP), situated ~19 km upstream from LB (7°16' E, 46°59' N; Fig. 2) represents a point-source of thermal pollution. Planned for decommission in 2019, the plant emits 700 MW of heat into Aare and substantially warms the river water (Råman Vinnå et al., 2017). The ~8 km long Zihlkanal, LB's second largest tributary, supplies ~32% of the lake inflow and connects LB to Lake Neuchâtel (Fig. 2). This tributary is neglected here since it mainly transport lake surface water, which has approximately the same temperature as LB surface water and thus heats equally.

2.3 River models

2.3.1 Temperature

Uncertainty concerning river morphology, heat fluxes, shadowing and atmospheric conditions such as wind speed and cloudiness (Caissie, 2006) pose a significant challenge to accurate modelling of future river temperatures. Deterministic models typically require detailed knowledge unavailable for future climate scenarios. Regressions and stochastic models rely heavily on observed natural variability applicable to a given time frame and typically do not include inputs representing additional or interacting physical processes. On their own, these sorts of "black box" models cannot balance trade-offs between constraints available from empirical data and the complexity offered by theoretical frameworks.

To overcome these limitations, we used the hybrid model air2stream (Toffolon and Piccolroaz, 2015). The model combines the simplicity of stochastic models with accurate representation of the relevant physical processes affecting temperature. Similar to the neural networks approach, the model calculates river water temperature (T_w) through a Monte Carlo-like calibration process, which identifies optimal parameters for weighting physically dependent variables. We used the eight-parameters (a_1 to a_8) version of the model which incorporates air temperature (T_a) and river discharge (Q) as a function of time (t).

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}T_\mathrm{w}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{\delta}\left\{a_1 + a_2 T_\mathrm{a}(t) - a_3 T_\mathrm{w}(t) + \theta\left[a_5 + a_6 \cos\left(2\pi\left(\frac{t}{t_\mathrm{y}} - a_7\right)\right) - a_8 T_\mathrm{w}(t)\right]\right\}; \quad (1)$$

$$\delta = \theta^{a_4}; \theta = Q(t)/\overline{Q};$$

where t is expressed in years and t_y represents one year. Both Aare and Rhône (stations 2085 and 2009, respectively, Fig. 2) provided calibration (1990 – 1999) and validation data (2000 - 2009). Table 1 and Fig. 3 show best-fit parameters and model performance statistics. Model sensitivity to variation in T_w was assessed by removing MNPP thermal pollution and repeating the calibration/validation for station 2085 (Table 1).

2.3.2 Suspended sediment concentration

Water density and intrusion depth of river water into downstream lakes is influenced by SSC. Intensive flow events create high levels of SSCs (Rimmer and Hartmann, 2014), as can exposure/erosion of sediment sources

within the river basin through the so-called hysteresis effect, in which SSC varies for the same level of discharge (Tananaev, 2012). River discharge regimes have been predicted to change in the future (Birsan et al., 2005), suggesting that SSCs will also change. To simulate future SSCs, we used the supply-based rating model described in Doomen et al. (2008), which Fink et al. (2016) adapted to the Rhine River.

The model consists of a base level SSC (g m^{-3}) function expanded to express erosion of sediment at high discharge and sediment accumulation at low discharge. The model is expressed as

$$SSC(t) = m + b_1 Q(t)^{c_1} + d_1 d_2 b_2 \left(Q(t) - Q_{\text{th}}\right)^{c_2} - b_3 \left(1 - d_2\right), \quad (2)$$

where b_x, c_x and m are adjustable parameters in combination with the threshold discharge (Q_{th}), which determines whether erosion or deposition occurs within the river. The parameters d_1 and d_2 control the deposition/erosion of/from the river sediment storage (ψ (g))

$$d_1 = \begin{cases} 0 : \psi = 0 \\ 1 : \psi > 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$d_2 = \begin{cases} 0 : Q \le Q_{\text{th}} \\ 1 : Q > Q_{\text{th}} \end{cases}. \quad (4)$$

Erosion occurs if Q exceeds Q_{th} and the river basin contains erodible sediment ($\psi > 0$). Sedimentation occurs if Q is smaller than Q_{th}. The change in ψ over time can be formulated as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left(b_3 \left(1 - d_2\right) - d_1 d_2 b_2 \left(Q(t) - Q_{\text{th}}\right)^{c_2}\right) Q(t) \quad (5)$$

Parameters in equations (2) to (5) were calibrated (2013) and validated (2014) through a evolutionary algorithm (Fink et al., 2016). Table 2 and Fig. 4 give model performance statistics and best-fit parameter values.

2.4 Lake model

We used the one-dimensional model SIMSTRAT (Goudsmit et al., 2002) to assess the impact of climate change on temperature and deep-water renewal in LB and LG. The model calculates heat fluxes and vertical mixing driven by wind and the internal wave field. SIMSTRAT has been adapted to and validated for multiple lakes including Lake Zürich (Peeters et al., 2002), LG (Perroud and Goyette, 2010; Schwefel et al., 2016), Lake Neuchâtel (Gaudard et al., 2016), Lake Constance (Fink et al., 2014b; Wahl and Peeters, 2014) and LB (Råman Vinnå et al., 2017). Here we used best-fit parameters already established and validated by Schwefel et al. (2016) for LG and by Råman Vinnå et al. (2017) for LB (Table 3).

Building upon the model developed by Råman Vinnå et al. (2017), we introduced an extended river intrusion scheme described in Appendix A1 (including sensitivity analysis). Lake water entrainment into plunging underflows are modelled as proposed by Akiyama and Heinz (1984) with additional sedimentation of suspended load (Mulder et al., 1998; Syvitski and Lewis, 1992). The method addresses the transition of a homogenous open

channel flow to a stratified underflow where entrainment and settling of sediment depend on bottom slope angle. The model scheme consists of (i) the homogenous region where river water makes up the entire water column, (ii) the plunging region where the plume separates from the lake surface and (iii) the underflow region where the plume descends downslope while entraining surrounding water until it separates from the bottom and intrudes into the lake interior (Fink et al., 2016).

2.5 Data, hydrology and climate forcing

The models described above used hourly resolved data from 1989 to 2009 as inputs. For calibration/validation of river temperature, we used flow and temperature data from the Aare monitoring station #2085 (Fig. 2; 7°11' E, 47°3' N) and from the Rhône monitoring station #2009 (Fig. 2; 6°53' E, 46°21' N). The nearest meteorological stations, Mühleberg (#5530 Fig. 2; 7°17' E, 46°58' N) for Aare and Aigle (#7970 Fig. 2; 6°55' E, 46°20' N) for Rhône, provided air temperature data. Due to insufficient representation of high turbidity events, we calibrated/validated the SSC model with turbidity data converted to SSC with suspended load mass samples from 2013 and 2014.

The meteorological data used for SIMSTRAT included air temperature, vapour pressure, wind speed, solar radiation and cloud cover. These data were collected from the meteorological stations Cressier (#6354 Fig. 2; 7°03' E, 47°03' N) for LB and Pully (#8100 Fig. 2; 6°40' E, 46°31' N) for LG. Råman Vinnå et al. (2017) and Schwefel et al. (2016) provide additional information on climate data inputs to the one-dimensional model. The model's river intrusion scheme requires as input the slope angle travelled by the river underflow, which was obtained from a 25 m resolved digital height model (DHM25). Vertical temperature profiles, sampled at the deepest location of both lakes in January 1989, were used as initial conditions.

Van Vliet et al. (2013) suggested that river discharge and air temperature should be used while predicting future river temperatures. We incorporated recent findings of climate-induced changes in air temperature and river discharge regimes to model both future river temperature and sediment loads. Seasonal mean predictions for air temperature increase in western Switzerland (Fig. 2), were estimated from CH2011 (2011) under the A1B emission scenario (balanced use of renewable and fossil fuels) using results from twenty regional climate models. Flow projections were obtained from published results generated by the PREVAH (PREcipitation-Runoff-EVApotranspiration HRU Model) hydrological model (Viviroli et al., 2009) using a gridded configuration as described in Speich et al. (2015) and Kobierska et al. (2011). The model explicitly incorporates changes in glacial extent, snow melt, catchment runoff, floods and low water flows (FOEN, 2012; Bosshard et al., 2013; Speich et al., 2015). The PREVAH outcomes for the 1981-2009 period have been validated with data from 65 river gauges (Speich et al., 2015), including the two gauges upstream of LG (Rhône, #2009 in Fig. 2) and LB (Aare, #2085 in Fig. 2) used here.

2.6 Model scenarios

Six different model scenarios were used to propagate climate change effects through the major tributaries and their associated downstream lakes. Model scenarios LG1 to LG3 represented LG while LB1 to LB3 represented

LB (Table 4). Each scenario includes three time periods: a reference period (1990-2009), a near-future period (2030-2049) and a far-future period (2080-2099). The twenty-year intervals allowed us to resolve natural variations at seasonal and shorter time scales. We initialized the models one year prior to the investigated period for each time frame (1989, 2029 and 2079) in order to remove effects of initial conditions.

Scenarios LG1 and LB1 excluded river inflow in order to isolate lake response to climate change from potential tributary influence. Scenarios LG2, LG3, LB2 and LB3 were used to differentiate between the effects of tributary temperature and SSC, and to provide model sensitivity estimates. The LB3 scenario excluded MNPP thermal pollution from near-future and far-future time periods but not from the reference period. The LB2 scenario included thermal pollution in modelling river water temperature. Scenarios LB2, LB3 and LG3 included SSC while LG2 did not. Low SSC values found in the Aare data resulted in negligible differences between models including and excluding SSC. Because they served primarily validation and sensitivity analysis purposes, the Aare/LB model results excluding particles and including/excluding MNPP thermal pollution (LB4 and LB5) are relegated to Appendix Figure B1 and not discussed further. Scenarios LG3 and LB3 represent expected future developments.

The unmodified air temperature and modelled river discharge/temperature/SSC were used as inputs for the reference periods. Near-future and far-future models incorporated predicted changes in air temperature and river discharge/temperature/SSC with maximum, medium/mean and minimum values serving as envelopes for each parameter (Figs. 2a and 5). This strategy gave nine simulations (three for scenario LG1 and LB1 which exclude rivers, i.e. no variation of discharge nor river temperature) for each near-future and far-future time period. Predicted results included a total of 87 model runs. Upper, mean and lower impact estimates (described and interpreted below) were derived from the nine basic model runs.

3 Results

3.1 Rivers

The seasonality of predicted river discharge (Q) from FOEN (2012) varies with respect to the reference period 1990-2009 (Figs. 5a and 5b). The PREVAH model show a future decrease of mean summer discharge (1st April to 30th September) for both the Aare (-3.7 m^3 s^{-1} $decade^{-1}$, #2085) and Rhône (-3.8 m^3 s^{-1} $decade^{-1}$, #2009). The decrease in summer will be compensated by an observed increase in winter flow (1st October to 31st March) of the Aare (+3.3 m^3 s^{-1} $decade^{-1}$) and Rhône (+3.7 m^3 s^{-1} $decade^{-1}$). These results confirm previous findings presented in Addor et al. (2014) and Bosshard et al. (2013).

Regional air temperatures from the A1B emission scenario (~+0.32 °C $decade^{-1}$; CH2011, 2011; Fig. 2a) cause a predicted increase in mean annual water temperature (*T*) for both the Aare (~+0.10 °C $decade^{-1}$) and the Rhône (~+0.08 °C $decade^{-1}$). Both rivers experience seasonal variations in temperature increase similar to that predicted for air temperatures (Figs. 2a, 5e and 5f). The effect is strongest in Aare during summer with warming of up to +2.5 °C in water temperatures for the far-future time period relative to the reference period.

Thermal pollution from MNPP in the Aare during the reference period (blue-green line in Fig. 5e, Råman Vinnå et al., 2017) causes approximately twice as much heating in winter relative to warming from climate change in

247 the far-future. In summer, the relationship reverses with minor MNPP warming relative to that induced by climate
248 change. The net effect of climate warming and MNPP decommission (i.e. removal of MNPP heat from near-future
249 and far-future time periods) on the Aare is cooling in winter and warming in summer relative to the reference
250 period (Fig. 5c). Climate change and local anthropogenic thermal input can thus exert similar impacts on river
251 temperatures, consistent with previous findings by Wright et al. (1999).

252 Like river temperatures, SSCs depend on river discharge. Our model therefore show SSC increasing in winter and
253 decreasing in summer due to shifts in discharge regime (Figs. 5g and 5h). The model results for Rhône exhibit a
254 mean seasonal increase of +14 g m^{-3} $decade^{-1}$ in winter and a decrease of -11 g m^{-3} $decade^{-1}$ in summer. For reasons
255 explained above (section 2.2), results for the Aare show less variation, with a seasonal increase of +0.3 g m^{-3}
256 $decade^{-1}$ in winter and a decrease of -0.4 g m^{-3} $decade^{-1}$ in summer. Altered temperature and SSC caused increases
257 and decreases in water density for both rivers in winter and summer, respectively.

258

259 **3.2 Lakes**

260 Warmer air temperatures (Fig. 2a) predicted from climate change resulted in temperature increases in both LG
261 and LB for all scenarios (Table 5). Models showed the highest warming rates in the epilimnion, intermediate
262 values throughout the metalimnion and the lowest rates in the hypolimnion (Table 5). We defined the epilimnion,
263 metalimnion and hypolimnion using the water column stability method described in Råman Vinnå et al. (2017).
264 The predicted warming of LG varied only slightly among the three-different scenarios (Figs. 6a to 6c). Predicted
265 warming of LB depend strongly on the scenario used (Figs. 6d to 6f).

266 Similar to the predicted warming patterns for rivers (section 3.1), both lakes showed seasonally varying warming
267 patterns. Reduced warming corresponds with periods of high river discharge (Figs. 5a and 5b). This cooling effect
268 occurs primarily in winter and mid-summer, focussed in depth to the level of river intrusion (Figs. D1b, D1d and
269 7c to 7f). Model results showed a greater degree of fluctuations of the warming in LB than in LG. This probably
270 results from the greater influence of the Aare on LB compared to that of the Rhône on LG, as LG has a longer
271 hydraulic residence time. Scenario LB1, which excludes river intrusion, showed only limited seasonal variation
272 in warming (Figs. C1c and C1e). According to these results, the closure of MNPP could offset climate-induced
273 warming of LB by ~25% (~-0.02 °C $decade^{-1}$).

274 Model results show that enhanced warming of the epilimnion relative to the hypolimnion strengthens stratification
275 (Figs. 7g and 7h). This enhances the duration of stratification (for both lakes ~+2 days $decade^{-1}$; Table 5) and
276 slightly elevates the thermocline (in LB ~-0.1 m $decade^{-1}$ and in LG ~-0.05 m $decade^{-1}$; Table 5). We used the
277 Schmidt (1928) stability (S) equation to estimate stratification strength (J m^{-2}):

278 $$S = \frac{g}{A_0} \sum_{z=0}^{z_{max}} \left(z - z_m\right)\left(\rho(z) - \rho_m\right) A(z) \Delta z \,. \quad (6)$$

279 Eq. 6 incorporates gravity (g = 9.81 m s^{-2}), depth (z), lake surface area (A_0), horizontal cross section area ($A(z)$),
280 lake density ($\rho(z)$), maximum depth (z_{max}), mean lake density (ρ_m), lake volume (V) and volumetric mean depth
281 (z_m) defined as

$$z_{\mathrm{m}} = \frac{1}{V}\sum_{z=0}^{z_{\max}} zA(z)\Delta z\,. \tag{7}$$

The duration of stratification was determined by counting the days when temperature differed by more than 1° C between surface (2 m depth) and deep-water (280 m for LG; 50 m for LB) (Foley et al., 2012). The maximum water column stability expression $N^2 = -(g/\rho)\, d\rho(z)/dz$ (s^{-2}) was used to determine the thermocline depth.

The river intrusion depth is dependent on water density (temperature and SSC). The Rhône is colder (Figs. 5c and 5d) and carries more suspended sediment (Figs. 5g and 5h) than Aare. Reference period results showed that the Rhône intruded in LG at greater depths relative to depths of the Aare intrusion into LB (Figs. 8 and D1). Given the future change in river temperature and SSC, intrusion patterns will thus change as the densities of both Aare and Rhône increase and decrease during respective winter and summer seasons (section 3.1). This explains model results showing respective deeper and shallower intrusions during winter and summer for both rivers (Fig. D1).

Model results show that warming of the Rhône generally diminishes the amount of river water penetrating beyond 200 m depth in LG (Fig. 8a). Elevated winter SSCs however increase river density. The combined effect of temperature and SSC variation caused an increase in the amount of river water intruding beyond 200 m depth (Fig. 8b). The difference in winter heating for the Aare and LB epilimnion (Figs. 5c, 5e and 6c) generally increased the amount of water penetrating into the hypolimnion (Fig. 8c). Decommission of the MNPP enhances temperature differentials between LB and the Aare, thereby increasing the amount of water reaching the deeper parts of LB (Fig. 8d). In summary, the change in river discharge regime for the Aare and Rhône results in respective increase and decrease in winter and summer water density, resulting in a summer to winter shift of the amount of river water penetrating deeper than the metalimnion for both lakes.

4 Discussion

4.1 Rivers

Increases in air temperature expected from climate change modify tributary runoff. Less water is predicted to be bound in snow and ice at high elevation during winter and spring/summer floods occur earlier (CH2011, 2011; FOEN, 2012). The changed river discharge regime, appearing as increased flow in winter and decreased flow in summer (Figs. 5a and 5b), amplifies the increase and decrease in river temperature during respective summer and winter periods (Figs. 5e and 5f). Amplification results from (i) a smaller flow volume requiring less energy to heat and (ii) lower flow velocities which extend heat exposure. The PREVAH model predict that the future discharge of Aare in summer will be ~20% less than summer discharge predicted for the Rhône. Results therefore suggest that the Aare summer conditions will be more impacted by climate change than Rhône summer conditions (Figs. 5e and 5f).

Model results concerning discharge-dependent responses to climate-induced warming were consistent with previous findings reported by Isaak et al. (2012) and van Vliet et al. (2013). The river temperature increases predicted by this study (0.10° C $decade^{-1}$ for the Aare and 0.07° C $decade^{-1}$ for the Rhône) were much smaller than past observed warming rates (0.34° C $decade^{-1}$ for the Aare and 0.21° C $decade^{-1}$ for the Rhône; Hari et al., 2006).

These differences may reflect contrasting reference periods with past observations conducted from 1971 to 2001 and modelled observations addressing 1990 to 2099. Past observations also incorporate effects of solar brightening during the 1980s (Fink et al., 2014a; Sanchez-Lorenzo and Wild, 2012; Wild et al., 2007).

Climate change effects aside, MNPP decommissioning in 2019 is predicted to decrease the temperature in the Aare by up to 4.5°C at station #2085 (Råman Vinnå et al., 2017). The cooling effect of this plant closure primarily affects winter conditions when climate change induced warming is weaker and river flow is lower (Fig. 5e). The heating of Aare and LB by MNPP heat emissions equates to approximately one decade of climate-induced warming of lake surface waters (O'Reilly et al., 2015; Råman Vinnå et al., 2017). This result highlights the role of point source thermal contributions in local climate impact assessments. The effect is highly seasonally dependent but persists into far-future time periods (Figs. 5c and 5e).

The amount of suspended sediment carried by rivers depends on both discharge and the amount of erodible sediment in the watershed (Fink et al., 2016). We used a supply-based sediment rating model subjected to a changing discharge regime to examine changes in suspended sediment from summer to winter for both Aare and Rhône (Figs. 5g and 5h). Consistent with previous findings reported by Pralong et al. (2015), we predict an increase in SSC during winter and decrease of SCC in summer.

Figure 4 and Table 2 show that the SSC model gives robust results for Rhône (coefficient of determination R^2 = 0.68 from 2013 to 2014) but not for Aare (R^2 = 0.06 from 2013 to 2014). The Aare includes several sediment-trapping reservoirs/lakes upstream of station #2085. Peaks in SSC at station #2085 thus do not reflect watershed-scale discharge events (Fig. 4) but rather local precipitation and discharge events in the headwaters of the Saane River, a tributary to Aare (Fig. 2). This tributary hosts few sediment traps and contributes ~34% of the downstream flow at station #2085. Given the limited impact of SSC on Aare water density, models show only negligible impact on river intrusion depth and corresponding intruding volumes (Figs. 8c, B1c, B1e and D1c). The lower reaches of the Rhône are not dammed, thus adhering more directly to model assumptions and giving clearer results (Fig. 4).

High SSC events are usually associated with extreme floods (Fink et al., 2016), which are predicted to vary in alpine lake catchments with on-going climate change (Glur et al., 2013). The lack of constraints on extreme precipitation events introduces uncertainty into future flood frequency and magnitude predictions (CH2011, 2011). Shifts in river discharge regimes also depend on the amount of water bound in snow and ice as well as on the timing of spring/summer melt. Future climate scenarios predict that ~30% of the glacier mass will remain in the Aare and Rhône catchments by the end of the 21st century (FOEN, 2012). Glacial meltwater is thus expected to continue to supply the Aare and Rhône throughout the time frames considered in this study. We thus assumed natural flood frequencies for our reference period and then adjusted them for overall river discharge regime shifts predicted by FOEN (2012).

4.2 Lakes

All model scenarios showed that increased air temperature leads to warming of both lakes, especially of the epilimnion (Table 5, Fig. 6). Piccolroaz et al. (2015) showed that an increase of lake stability and earlier onset of stratification causes warming of surface waters due to the smaller volume undergoing warming and diminished

heat transfer to the hypolimnion. The lake model used here showed an increase of stratification strength and a lengthening of the stratified period in both lakes (Table 5, Figs. 7g and 7h). Our results thus support consistently previous findings for LG reported by Foley et al. (2012), O'Reilly et al. (2015) and Schwefel et al. (2016).

Seasonal variation in warming of both epilimnion and hypolimnion (Figs. 7a to 7f) exceeded that expected from future changes in air temperature (Fig. 2a). The model showed a decrease in warming during winter and mid-summer, which corresponds in time to periods of high river discharge from the main tributaries (Figs. 5a and 5b). This cooling effect was more effective for LB than for LG (Fig. 7) and appeared in all scenarios except for LB1 and LG1 (Fig. C1), both of which exclude coupled river effects. The extended seasonal variation in climate warming is thus driven by river discharge volume and temperature trends (Figs. 5 and 7). This response applies to aquatic systems in which a difference exist in temperature and heating regimes between rivers and lakes, but does not appear to affect water bodies with uniform temperature/heating regimes. Our results thus supports the hypothesis put forward by Zhang et al. (2014), stating that climate warming of lakes might be reduced and even reversed by addition of external water.

To investigate this effect, we varied the hydraulic residence time of LB and LG, while holding all other factors constant (Fig. 9). We implemented a stepwise reduction in LG size (to 1/80 of its original volume), simultaneously reducing hypsographic area but keeping maximum depth unchanged. Similar adjustments was made to LB to obtain corresponding hydraulic residence times. This stepwise approach required 972 additional model runs. These iterations showed that river water had to be cooler than lake water in order to generate the climate warming dampening effect (Figs. 9a and 9d). Penetration into deep lake reaches by large river volumes strengthens the effect in the hypolimnion (Fig. 9b). The climate dampening effect is suppressed when river and epilimnion temperature are similar. MNPP thermal input creates such conditions in the Aare and therefore largely counteract the river cooling effect of Aare on LB (Fig. 9c). For shorter residence times (< ~1000 days), rivers can exert influence if a significant temperature difference exists between river and lake waters. For longer residence times (> ~1000 days), tributaries cannot significantly offset climate effects in downstream water bodies.

Climate-induced warming of lakes (Schwefel et al., 2016), along with changing frequency or intensity of deep penetrating flood events (Fink et al., 2016) may curtail oxygen supply to deep lakes. Recent flood analysis has also indicated that input of river-borne organic matter increases respiration, causing a paradoxical net oxygen deficit within the intruding layer (Bouffard and Perga, 2016). These findings highlight the necessity of estimating the amount of oxygen saturated river water entering the hypolimnion. We therefore evaluated models implementing climate-caused shifts in river discharge regimes for reaction of lakes and rivers to climate-induced warming, shifts in seasonal SSC in rivers and local thermal pollution effects. Models showed respective winter increase and summer decrease in river water density relative to lake stability. This creates summer to winter seasonal shifts in deep intrusion dynamics for both lakes (Fig. D1). Models showed a net annual effect of increased volumes of river water penetrating into deeper parts of both lakes (Fig. 8). An increase in winter SCC represented the primary driver for increases in the amount of water annually penetrating past 200 m depth in LG (Figs. 8a, 8b and D1a, D1b). In LB, colder winter river temperatures (relative to lake temperatures) due to removal of MNPP heat contributed to greater penetration (Figs. 8c, 8d and D1c, D1d).

Fink et al. (2016) also found evidence that climate change will cause diminished deep river intrusion events in summer and enhanced intrusion in winter. They predicted an annual decrease in the amount of river water reaching the deepest parts of Lake Constance. The tributaries considered here differ from the Rhine River investigated by Fink et al. (2016) primarily in terms of their temperature. The Rhône catchment for example rests at a mean elevation of 2127 m and includes greater glacial coverage (11%) whereas the Rhine catchment rests at mean elevation 1771 m and only has 1% glacial coverage. The closure of the MNPP and associated temperature decrease contribute to increase of the volume/frequency of deep intrusion (Fig. 5). While Fink et al. (2016) focused primarily on flood frequencies, our models emphasized river discharge regimes and interacting river and lake temperature regimes. The annual increase in river penetration to depth predicted by our models suggests future increase of deep-water oxygen supply in similar tributary-lake systems. This prediction applies primarily to meromictic lakes such as LG. Analogous effects in holomictic lakes such as LB, which mix completely each winter, are less significant. Similar to findings of Fink et al. (2016), our models indicate that deep-water oxygen conditions will worsen during strongly stratified conditions due to seasonal shifts in deep river intrusions from summer to winter.

4.3 Model reliability

Predictions concerning the effect of climate change on rivers and lakes depend on i) the choice of emission scenario, ii) the accuracies of models linking climate to hydrology and climate to heat fluxes and iii) natural variability of the system being investigated (Raymond Pralong et al., 2015). This section describes uncertainties and limitations of our approach.

Results of long-term forecasts (beyond 2050) depend strongly on representations of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emission scenarios (FOEN, 2012). Given uncertainties in future global climate policy, we chose a median scenario, which falls between best (ex. RCP3PD) and the worst case scenarios (e.g. A2) in terms of GHG emissions. A1B assumes a peak population at mid-century, balanced use of renewables and fossil fuels and rapid introduction of new technologies.

Estimates of future air temperatures and river discharge were obtained from a combination of regional climate models (RCMs; CH2011, 2011; FOEN, 2012). Uncertainties associated with individual RCMs were offset by combined forecasts from multiple-model chains. Numerous studies have performed detailed evaluations of uncertainty in air temperature and river discharge under established emission scenarios (RCP3PD, A1B, A2) and accounting for global-regional climate model interactions (Addor et al., 2014; Bosshard et al., 2011, 2013; CH2011, 2011).

The degree of accuracy with which model input parameters represent future conditions determines the accuracy of model predictions. We therefore ran the river temperature model with varying parameters to evaluate model sensitivity (Table 1) for different yet similar datasets. The air2stream parameter a_1 showed the greatest degree of sensitivity, varying within three orders of magnitude. The a_1 parameter, however, does not respond to variation in river discharge or air temperature (Equation 1), which limits its sensitivity to climatic input data. The other parameters (a_2 to a_8) varied only within one order of magnitude (Table 1). The SSC model gives better results for the Rhône (coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.68$ from 2013 to 2014) than for the Aare ($R^2 = 0.06$ from 2013 to

2014). Dam and reservoir infrastructure upstream of station #2085 along the Aare dampen sediment transport events and decouple them from regional discharge events (see above; Fig. 4). Given the relatively minor effect of SSC on Aare water density, variation in the input parameter does not influence river intrusion depth estimates (Figs. B1e to B1f and D1c to D1d). Previous studies have evaluated the accuracy of SIMSTRAT lake model predictions based on climatic data (Schwefel et al., 2016) and anthropogenic thermal emissions (Fink et al., 2014b; Råman Vinnå et al., 2017). As with other vertical, one-dimensional models, SIMSTRAT cannot account for lateral heterogeneities in lakes. This inherent weakness in model design however does not significantly diminish the accuracy of model predictions concerning LB and LG (Råman Vinnå et al., 2017; Schwefel et al., 2016).

This study assumes that glacial melt-water feeding both the Aare and Rhône in summer will not disappear within the time frames considered here. Loss of glacial sources would drastically modify the assumed discharge regime, especially in summer, which would affect accuracy of temperature, SSC and intrusion depth estimates presented here. However, as stated in section 4.1, FOEN (2012) predicts that the Aare and Rhône catchments will retain 30% of their glacial masses by the year 2100. These predictions support assumptions concerning the Aare and Rhône discharge regimes used here. Point sources/sinks of anthropogenic heat can affect inland water bodies response to climate change, as shown by the MNPP effects described here. Other changes in catchment management, such as hydropower dam construction would also likely alter river discharge regimes and by extension, temperatures, SSCs and deep-water renewal (Fink et al., 2016). The accuracy of future climate change predictions depends on accurate accounting of regional anthropogenic factors affecting physical processes in the system under investigation.

5 Conclusion

Aquatic processes in lakes are the result of regional climate and events in upstream tributaries. This study investigated the impact of climate change on inland waters by propagating climatic inputs through integrated fluvial-lacustrine systems. We entered predicted future climatic data into models for two connected river and lake systems in order to evaluate downstream thermal responses and how river discharge regime shifts might affect deep-water renewal in the lakes. Climate data propagated through discharge-dependent river temperature and suspended sediment concentration models coupled to a one-dimensional lake model yielded theoretical hydrologic predictions for two peri-alpine lakes, Lake Biel and Lake Geneva.

The models showed that climate warming of rivers is enhanced in summer and diminished in winter due to future river discharge regimes with decreased flow in summer and increased flow in winter. This climate-caused alteration of the flow regime likewise increases the river-borne suspended sediment load in winter and decreases it in summer.

Both lakes showed large seasonal temperature increases that could not be solely explained by climate-related (predicted) increases in air temperature. Instead, the lakes experienced a cooling effect associated with upstream tributaries, whose response to increasing future air temperatures differed from that of the lakes. The smaller Lake Biel showed increased response to this repressive effect on climate warming than that of the larger Lake Geneva. Predicted changes in Lake Biel strongly depend on removal of upstream anthropogenic thermal emission into the Aare River. Local anthropogenic point sources of heat can thus rival climate change in terms of their influence on

lakes. This dampening of climate warming depends on the lakes hydraulic residence times and requires adequate river/lake temperature differences. Our models indicate that tributaries can exert system-wide influence on lakes with hydraulic residence times < ~1000 days. Lake systems with longer residence times are resistant to tributary effects but may respond to local effects.

The combination of changes in river SSC and differential lake/river temperature/warming result in a seasonal shift of deep-water penetration (by rivers) into lakes. The volume of river water penetrating to deeper parts of lakes specifically decreases in summer and increases in winter. The net effect of these predicted shifts enhances annual deep-water renewal. Higher rates of deep-water renewal can in turn enhance reoxygenation of the deepest reaches of lakes, which may otherwise experience lower oxygen concentrations under climate change.

Data availability

See acknowledgments

Appendices

Appendix A1 River Intrusion Model

Figure A1 summarizes the river intrusion model. The depth where the river plume separates from the surface, the so-called plunge depth (h_p), depends on the slope angle (σ), gravity (g), coefficients ($S_1 = 0.25$; $S_2 = 0.75$; Ellison and Turner, 1959), bed friction ($f_t = 0.02$; Akiyama and Heinz, 1984), initial flow per unit width (q_0) = V_r / W_r dependent on river discharge (V_r), river width (W_r = 100 m for the Aare and W_r = 120 m for the Rhône) and the relative density difference ($\Delta\rho = (\rho_r - \rho_l(z_1)) / \rho_l(z_1)$) between the homogenous river (ρ_r) and lake (ρ_l) with z_1 = surface.

$$h_p = e_1\left(\frac{f_t}{\sigma(z)S_2}\frac{q_0^2}{g\Delta\rho}\right)^{1/3} + e_2\left(\frac{q_0^2}{S_1 g\Delta\rho}\right)^{1/3} \quad \text{(A1)}$$

The level of initial plume entrainment is treated differently on a gentle versus a steep slope. This is controlled by the coefficients e_1 and e_2.

$$e_1 = \begin{cases} 1 : \sigma(z_1) < \sigma_c \\ 0 : \sigma(z_1) \geq \sigma_c \end{cases} \quad \text{(A2)}$$

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 0 : \sigma(z_1) < \sigma_c \\ 1 : \sigma(z_1) \geq \sigma_c \end{cases} \quad \text{(A3)}$$

where the critical slope angle (σ_c) = $f_t S_1 / S_2$ distinguishes between gentle and steep slope designations. The initial height of the underflow (h_d) can then be written as

$$h_d(z_1) = h_p(1+\gamma) \quad \text{(A4)}$$

where γ is the entrance mixing coefficient equal to ~0 for gentle slopes and increasing to larger values for steeper slopes. Here we find that a value of 0.1 provides best result. The initial underflow temperature (T_u), velocity (U_u), particle content (P_u) and volume (V_u) is consequently expressed as a function of ambient lake water temperature (T_l), river temperature (T_r) and river particle content (P_r) in the homogenous region.

$$T_u(z_1) = T_l(z_1)\frac{(h_d(z_1)-h_p)}{h_d(z_1)} + T_r\frac{h_p}{h_d(z_1)} \quad \text{(A5)}$$

$$U_u(z_1) = (1+\gamma)\frac{q_0}{h_d(z_1)} \quad \text{(A6)}$$

$$P_u(z_1) = P_r\frac{h_p}{h_d(z_1)} \quad \text{(A7)}$$

$$V_u(z_1) = V_r\frac{h_p}{h_d(z_1)} \quad \text{(A8)}$$

Once the plume has passed through the plunge region into the underflow region, we express h_d, U_u, T_u and V_u as

$$h_d(z+1) = h_d(z) + E(z)dx \quad \text{(A9)}$$

$$U_u(z+1) = U_u(z)\frac{h_d(z)}{h_d(z+1)} \quad \text{(A10)}$$

$$T_u(z+1) = T_l(z)\frac{(h_d(z+1)-h_d(z))}{h_d(z+1)} + T_u(z)\frac{h_d(z)}{h_d(z+1)} \quad \text{(A11)}$$

$$V_u(z+1) = V_u(z)\frac{h_d(z)}{h_d(z+1)} \quad \text{(A12)}$$

where dx is the horizontal distance between z and z + 1 and the entrainment factor (E) is expressed as a function of the entrainment constant (β = 0.0015; Ashida and Egashira, 1975) and the Richardson number (R_i).

$$E(z) = \frac{\beta}{R_i(z)} \quad \text{(A13)}$$

$$R_i(z) = \frac{f_t}{\sigma(z)S_2} \quad \text{(A14)}$$

For P_u, we include a sedimentation term as proposed by Syvitski and Lewis (1992), which depends on the removal rate (r) and dt = dx / U_u(z).

$$P_u(z+1) = P_u(z)\frac{h_d(z)}{h_d(z+1)} - re_3 h_d(z) P_u(z) e^{-rdt} \quad \text{(A15)}$$

Sedimentation only occurs if the plume velocity drops below a critical settling velocity (U_c) subject to the parameter e_3:

$$e_3 = \begin{cases} 1 : U_u(z) < U_c \\ 0 : U_u(z) \geq U_c \end{cases} \quad \text{(A16)}$$

We set U_c equal to 0.46 m s^{-1} and r equal to 4.7 day^{-1} to represent medium-sized silt following Mulder et al. (1998). The plume travels downslope as long as the underflow plume density (ρ_u) exceeds ρ_l(z). Once $\rho_u \leq \rho_l$(z), the plume raise from the slope and intrudes into the lake proper. The terms T_u and V_u were thus added to the lake model at this depth. Calculations excluded expressions for the settling of accumulated particles following plume intrusion, assuming that these exert only minor impacts on lake temperature and density.

The sensitivity of the river intrusion depth to entrainment of ambient water into the plume was tested by propagating a range of β (Eq. A13) values from 1 to 1*10^{-6} through model spaces composed of temperature, discharge and suspended sediment concentration data from Aare (station #2085). Figures A2 to A4 compare modelled intrusion depths to empirical estimates based on vertical temperature and light transmission data at the centre of LB (7°11' E, 47°6' N) collected shortly after major river intrusion events. Comparison of the modelled intrusion depth with light transmission depth (whose minimum value represents a proxy for actual river intrusion depth) suggests that β = 0.0015 offers an adequate representation of intrusion depth. Larger β values generate intrusion depths shallower than the empirical reference points whereas smaller β values exerted only minor impact on deepening the intrusion depth.

Author contributions

Love Råman Vinnå designed this study and preformed the modelling work; Alfred Wüest provided the founding; Damien Bouffard contributed to the analysis of the result; Gabriel Fink adapted, calibrated and validated the SSC model; Massimiliano Zappa provided river dishrag predictions from the PREVAH model; all authors have contributed to the editing of this manuscript.

Competing interests

Do not use Marco Toffolon or Frank Peeters as reviewers, since they are co-authors with Alfred Wüest in recent publications.

Special issue statement

Do not include in special issue.

Acknowledgments

This study is part of the "*Hydrodynamic Modelling of Lake Biel for Optimizing the Ipsach Drinking Water Intake*" project funded by Energy Service Biel (ESB). We are especially thankful to Andreas Hirt, Roland Kaeser and Markus Wyss for constructive collaboration. We thank the Office of Water Protection and Waste Management of the Canton of Bern (GBL/AWA) for providing their vertical CTD profiles (data available at http://www.bve.be.ch/bve/de/index/wasser/wasser/messdaten.html), the Swiss Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology (MeteoSwiss) for providing meteorological data (data available at http://www.meteoswiss.admin.ch/home/services-and-publications/beratung-und-service/data-portal-for-teaching-and-research.html), the Hydrology Department of the Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN) for providing tributary data, the Climate Change and Hydrology in Switzerland (CCHydro) project for providing future river discharge predictions (available at http://www.bafu.admin.ch/umwelt/index.html?lang=en) and the Swiss Federal Office of Topography (SwissTopo) for providing DHM25 model bathymetry data (available at https://shop.swisstopo.admin.ch/en/products/height_models/dhm25). We thank Bettina Schaefli at the University of Lausanne, Marco Toffolon and Elisa Calamita at the University of Trento, Robert Schwefel at École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Adrien Gaudard at the Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology and Stan Thorez at the University of Eindhoven for valuable insights and help with model setup. We furthermore thank Kei Ito (http://jfly.iam.u-tokyo.ac.jp/html/color_blind/) for valuable feedback on how to adapt our figures for colour-blind readership.

References

Addor, N., Rössler, O., Köplin, N., Huss, M., Weingartner, R. and Seibert, J.: Robust changes and sources of uncertainty in the projected hydrological regimes of Swiss catchments, Water Resour. Res., 50(10), 7541–7562, doi:10.1002/2014WR015549, 2014.

Akiyama, J. and Heinz, S. G.: Plunging flow into a reservoir: theory, J. Hydraul. Eng., 10(110), 484–499, 1984.

Ashida, K. and Egashira, S.: Basic study on turbidity currents, Proc. Jpn. Soc. Civ. Eng., 1975(237), 37–50, 1975.

Austin, J. A. and Colman, S. M.: Lake Superior summer water temperatures are increasing more rapidly than regional air temperatures: A positive ice-albedo feedback, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(6), L06604, doi:10.1029/2006GL029021, 2007.

Bennett, G. L., Molnar, P., McArdell, B. W., Schlunegger, F. and Burlando, P.: Patterns and controls of sediment production, transfer and yield in the Illgraben, Geomorphology, 188, 68–82, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.11.029, 2013.

Birsan, M.-V., Molnar, P., Burlando, P. and Pfaundler, M.: Streamflow trends in Switzerland, J. Hydrol., 314(1–4), 312–329, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.06.008, 2005.

Bosshard, T., Kotlarski, S., Ewen, T. and Schär, C.: Spectral representation of the annual cycle in the climate change signal, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 15(9), 2777–2788, doi:10.5194/hess-15-2777-2011, 2011.

Bosshard, T., Carambia, M., Goergen, K., Kotlarski, S., Krahe, P., Zappa, M. and Schär, C.: Quantifying uncertainty sources in an ensemble of hydrological climate-impact projections, Water Resour. Res., 49(3), 1523–1536, doi:10.1029/2011WR011533, 2013.

Bouffard, D. and Perga, M.-E.: Are flood-driven turbidity currents hot-spots for priming effect in lakes? Biogeosciences, 13, 3573–3584, doi:10.5194/bg-13-3573-2016, 2016.

Caissie, D.: The thermal regime of rivers: a review, Freshw. Biol., 51(8), 1389–1406, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01597.x, 2006.

CH2011: Swiss climate change scenarios CH2011, published by C2SM, MeteoSwiss, ETH, NCCR Climate, and OcCC, Zurich, Switzerland, 88 pp. ISBN: 978-3-033-03065-7., 2011.

Doomen, A. M. C., Wijma, E., Zwolsman, J. J. G. and Middelkoop, H.: Predicting suspended sediment concentrations in the Meuse river using a supply-based rating curve, Hydrol. Process., 22(12), 1846–1856, doi:10.1002/hyp.6767, 2008.

Downing, J. A., Prairie, Y. T., Cole, J. J., Duarte, C. M., Tranvik, L. J., Striegl, R. G., McDowell, W. H., Kortelainen, P., Caraco, N. F., Melack, J. M. and others: The global abundance and size distribution of lakes, ponds, and impoundments, Limnol. Oceanogr., 51(5), 2388–2397, 2006.

Ellison, T. H. and Turner, J. S.: Turbulent entrainment in stratified flows, J. Fluid Mech., 6(03), 423–448, doi:10.1017/S0022112059000738, 1959.

Federal Office for the Environment FOEN (publ.): Effects of climate change on water resources and waters, Synthesis report on "Climate Change and Hydrology in Switzerland" (CCHydro) project, Federal Office for the Environment, Bern. Umwelt-Wissen No 1217: 74 S., 2012.

Fenocchi, A., Rogora, M., Sibilla, S. and Dresti, C.: Relevance of inflows on the thermodynamic structure and on the modeling of a deep subalpine lake (Lake Maggiore, Northern Italy/Southern Switzerland), Limnol. - Ecol. Manag. Inland Waters, 63, 42–56, doi:10.1016/j.limno.2017.01.006, 2017.

Fink, G., Schmid, M., Wahl, B., Wolf, T. and Wüest, A.: Heat flux modifications related to climate-induced warming of large European lakes, Water Resour. Res., 50(3), 2072–2085, doi:10.1002/2013WR014448, 2014a.

Fink, G., Schmid, M. and Wüest, A.: Large lakes as sources and sinks of anthropogenic heat: Capacities and limits, Water Resour. Res., 50(9), 7285–7301, doi:10.1002/2014WR015509, 2014b.

Fink, G., Wessels, M. and Wüest, A.: Flood frequency matters: Why climate change degrades deep-water quality of peri-alpine lakes, J. Hydrol., 540, 457–468, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.06.023, 2016.

Foley, B., Jones, I. D., Maberly, S. C. and Rippey, B.: Long-term changes in oxygen depletion in a small temperate lake: Effects of climate change and eutrophication: Oxygen depletion in a small lake, Freshw. Biol, 57(2), 278–289, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2011.02662.x, 2012.

Gaudard, A., Schwefel, R., Råman Vinnå, L., Schmid, M., Wüest, A. and Bouffard, D.: Optimizing the parameterization of deep mixing and internal seiches in one-dimensional hydrodynamic models: a case study with Simstrat, Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 1–18, doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-262, 2016.

Gillet, C. and QueTin, P.: Effect of temperature changes on the reproductive cycle of roach in Lake Geneva from 1983 to 2001, J. Fish Biol., 69(2), 518–534, doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2006.01123.x, 2006.

Glur, L., Wirth, S. B., Büntgen, U., Gilli, A., Haug, G. H., Schär, C., Beer, J. and Anselmetti, F. S.: Frequent floods in the European Alps coincide with cooler periods of the past 2500 years, Sci. Rep., 3, 2770, doi:10.1038/srep02770, 2013.

Goudsmit, G.-H., Burchard, H., Peeters, F. and Wüest, A.: Application of k-ε turbulence models to enclosed basins: The role of internal seiches, J. Geophys. Res., 107(C12), 3230, doi:10.1029/2001JC000954, 2002.

Hari, R. E., Livingstone, D. M., Siber, R., Burkhardt-Holm, P. and Guettinger, H.: Consequences of climatic change for water temperature and brown trout populations in Alpine rivers and streams, Glob. Change Biol., 12, 10–26, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01051.x, 2006.

Holgerson, M. A. and Raymond, P. A.: Large contribution to inland water CO2 and CH4 emissions from very small ponds, Nat. Geosci., 9(3), 222–226, doi:10.1038/ngeo2654, 2016.

Isaak, D. J., Wollrab, S., Horan, D. and Chandler, G.: Climate change effects on stream and river temperatures across the northwest U.S. from 1980–2009 and implications for salmonid fishes, Clim. Change, 113(2), 499–524, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0326-z, 2012.

Kirillin, G.: Modeling the impact of global warming on water temperature and seasonal mixing regimes in small temperate lakes, Boreal Env. Res, 15, 279–293, 2010.

Kirillin, G., Shatwell, T. and Kasprzak, P.: Consequences of thermal pollution from a nuclear plant on lake temperature and mixing regime, J. Hydrol., 496, 47–56, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.05.023, 2013.

Kobierska, F., Jonas, T., Magnusson, J., Zappa, M., Bavay, M., Bosshard, T., Paul, F. and Bernasconi, S. M.: Climate change effects on snow melt and discharge of a partly glacierized watershed in Central Switzerland (SoilTrec Critical Zone Observatory), Appl. Geochem., 26, S60–S62, doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2011.03.029, 2011.

Mulder, T., Syvitski, J. P. M. and Skene, K. I.: Modeling of erosion and deposition by turbidity currents generated at river mouths, J. Sediment. Res., 68(1), 124–137, doi:10.2110/jsr.68.124, 1998.

O'Reilly, C. M., Sharma, S., Gray, D. K., Hampton, S. E., Read, J. S., Rowley, R. J., Schneider, P., Lenters, J. D., McIntyre, P. B., Kraemer, B. M., Weyhenmeyer, G. A., Straile, D., Dong, B., Adrian, R., Allan, M. G., Anneville, O., Arvola, L., Austin, J., Bailey, J. L., Baron, J. S., Brookes, J. D., de Eyto, E., Dokulil, M. T., Hamilton, D. P., Havens, K., Hetherington, A. L., Higgins, S. N., Hook, S., Izmest'eva, L. R., Joehnk, K. D., Kangur, K., Kasprzak, P., Kumagai, M., Kuusisto, E., Leshkevich, G., Livingstone, D. M., MacIntyre, S., May, L., Melack, J. M., Mueller-Navarra, D. C., Naumenko, M., Noges, P., Noges, T., North, R. P., Plisnier, P.-D., Rigosi, A., Rimmer, A., Rogora, M., Rudstam, L. G., Rusak, J. A., Salmaso, N., Samal, N. R., Schindler, D. E., Schladow, S. G., Schmid, M., Schmidt, S. R., Silow, E., Soylu, M. E., Teubner, K., Verburg, P., Voutilainen, A., Watkinson, A., Williamson, C. E. and Zhang, G.: Rapid and highly variable warming of lake surface waters around the globe, Geophys Res Lett, 42(24), 10,773–10,781, doi:10.1002/2015GL066235, 2015.

653 Pachauri, R. K., Mayer, L. and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Eds.: Climate change 2014: synthesis
654 report, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Geneva, Switzerland., 2015.

655 Peeters, F., Livingstone, D. M., Goudsmit, G.-H., Kipfer, R. and Forster, R.: Modeling 50 years of historical
656 temperature profiles in a large central European lake, Limnol. Oceanogr., 47(1), 186–197,
657 doi:10.4319/lo.2002.47.1.0186, 2002.

658 Perroud, M. and Goyette, S.: Impact of warmer climate on Lake Geneva water-temperature profiles, Boreal
659 Environ. Res., 15, 255–278, 2010.

660 Piccolroaz, S., Toffolon, M. and Majone, B.: The role of stratification on lakes' thermal response: The case of
661 Lake Superior, Water Resour. Res., 51, 7878–7894, doi:10.1002/2014WR016555, 2015.

662 Råman Vinnå, L., Wüest, A. and Bouffard, D.: Physical effects of thermal pollution in lakes, Water Resour. Res.,
663 53, doi:10.1002/2016WR019686, 2017.

664 Raymond Pralong, M., Turowski, J. M., Rickenmann, D. and Zappa, M.: Climate change impacts on bedload
665 transport in alpine drainage basins with hydropower exploitation: climate change impacts on bedload transport,
666 Earth Surf. Process. Landf., 40(12), 1587–1599, doi:10.1002/esp.3737, 2015.

667 Rimmer, A. and Hartmann, A.: Optimal hydrograph separation filter to evaluate transport routines of hydrological
668 models, J. Hydrol., 514, 249–257, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.04.033, 2014.

669 Sanchez-Lorenzo, A. and Wild, M.: Decadal variations in estimated surface solar radiation over Switzerland since
670 the late 19th century, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 12(18), 8635–8644, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8635-2012, 2012.

671 Schmidt, W.: Über die Temperatur- und Stabilitätsverhältnisse von Seen, Geogr. Ann., 10, 145–177,
672 doi:10.2307/519789, 1928.

673 Schwefel, R., Gaudard, A., Wüest, A. and Bouffard, D.: Effects of climate change on deepwater oxygen and
674 winter mixing in a deep lake (Lake Geneva): Comparing observational findings and modeling, Water Resour.
675 Res., 52(11), 8811–8826, doi:10.1002/2016WR019194, 2016.

676 Speich, M. J. R., Bernhard, L., Teuling, A. J. and Zappa, M.: Application of bivariate mapping for hydrological
677 classification and analysis of temporal change and scale effects in Switzerland, J. Hydrol., 523, 804–821,
678 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.086, 2015.

679 Syvitski, J. P. M. and Lewis, A. G.: The seasonal distribution of suspended particles and their iron and manganese
680 loading in a glacial runoff fiord, Geosci. Can., 19(1), 13–20, 1992.

681 Tananaev, N. I.: Hysteresis effect in the seasonal variations in the relationship between water discharge and
682 suspended load in rivers of permafrost zone in Siberia and Far East, Water Resour., 39(6), 648–656,
683 doi:10.1134/S0097807812060073, 2012.

684 Toffolon, M. and Piccolroaz, S.: A hybrid model for river water temperature as a function of air temperature and
685 discharge, Environ. Res. Lett., 10(11), 114011, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/11/114011, 2015.

686 Toffolon, M., Piccolroaz, S., Majone, B., Soja, A.-M., Peeters, F., Schmid, M. and Wüest, A.: Prediction of surface
687 temperature in lakes with different morphology using air temperature, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59(6), 2185–2202,
688 doi:10.4319/lo.2014.59.6.2185, 2014.

689 Van Vliet, M. T. H., Franssen, W. H. P., Yearsley, J. R., Ludwig, F., Haddeland, I., Lettenmaier, D. P. and Kabat,
690 P.: Global river discharge and water temperature under climate change, Glob. Environ. Change, 23(2), 450–464,
691 doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.11.002, 2013.

692 Viviroli, D., Zappa, M., Gurtz, J. and Weingartner, R.: An introduction to the hydrological modelling system
693 PREVAH and its pre- and post-processing-tools, Environ. Model. Softw., 24(10), 1209–1222,
694 doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2009.04.001, 2009.

695 Wahl, B. and Peeters, F.: Effect of climatic changes on stratification and deep-water renewal in Lake Constance
696 assessed by sensitivity studies with a 3D hydrodynamic model, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59(3), 1035–1052,
697 doi:10.4319/lo.2014.59.3.1035, 2014.

698 Wild, M., Ohmura, A. and Makowski, K.: Impact of global dimming and brightening on global warming,
699 Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L04702, doi:10.1029/2006GL028031, 2007.

700 Williamson, C. E., Overholt, E. P., Pilla, R. M., Leach, T. H., Brentrup, J. A., Knoll, L. B., Mette, E. M. and
701 Moeller, R. E.: Ecological consequences of long-term browning in lakes, Sci. Rep., 5, 18666,
702 doi:10.1038/srep18666, 2015.

703 Wright, S. A., Holly Jr, F. M., Bradley, A. A. and Krajewski, W.: Long-term simulation of thermal regime of
704 Missouri River, J. Hydraul. Eng., 125(3), 242–252, 1999.

705 Zhang, G., Yao, T., Xie, H., Qin, J., Ye, Q., Dai, Y. and Guo, R.: Estimating surface temperature changes of lakes
706 in the Tibetan Plateau using MODIS LST data: WST over the TP, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 119(14), 8552–
707 8567, doi:10.1002/2014JD021615, 2014.

708 Zhong, Y., Notaro, M., Vavrus, S. J. and Foster, M. J.: Recent accelerated warming of the Laurentian Great Lakes:
709 Physical drivers, Limnol. Oceanogr., 61(5), 1762–1786, doi:10.1002/lno.10331, 2016.

710

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

Tables

Table 1. Air2stream river temperature model best-fit parameters and model performance statistics reported as coefficients of determination (R^2) and root mean square deviation (RMSD). Input parameters used in this study are shown in bold-faced type. The model was calibrated, validated and subjected to sensitivity tests using data from station #2085 (Aare River) representing past observed conditions and future predicted conditions assuming MNPP removal (No MNPP).

Parameter (Unit)	Aare (#2085)		Rhône (#2009)
	Measurements	No MNPP	Measurements
a_1 (°C s^{-1})	**2.0316**	0.6434	**1.4927**
a_2 (s^{-1})	**0.2299**	0.3855	**0.2774**
a_3 (s^{-1})	**0.2267**	0.3177	**0.4133**
a_4 (-)	**0.0157**	0.5622	**0.6399**
a_5 (°C s^{-1})	**6.7022**	16.2387	**6.4792**
a_6 (°C s^{-1})	**4.4950**	9.9855	**2.3224**
a_7 (-)	**0.6066**	0.6066	**0.5244**
a_8 (s^{-1})	**0.7156**	1.5930	**1.0760**
		R^2 (-)	
Calibration [a]	0.97	0.96	0.94
Validation [b]	0.95	0.96	0.94
		RMSD (°C)	
Calibration [a]	0.83	0.95	0.52
Validation [b]	1.02	1.06	0.59

[a] 1990 - 1999

[b] 2000 - 2009

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
Discussions

722 **Table 2.** River suspended sediment concentration
723 (SSC) model best-fit parameters and model
724 performance statistics reported as coefficients of
725 determination (R^2) and root mean square deviation
726 (RMSD).

Parameter (Unit)	Aare (#2085)	Rhône (#2009)
m (g m^{-3})	8.8000	1.0000
b_1 (g s m^{-6})	0.2650	0.0006
c_1 (-)	0.6500	2.3200
b_2 (g s m^{-6})	0.0011	0.0010
c_2 (-)	2.3000	12.0000
b_3 (g m^{-3})	8.8000	2.0000
Q_{th} (m^3 s^{-1})	401	232
	R^2 (-)	
Calibration [a]	0.20	0.74
Validation [b]	0.03	0.58
	RMSD (g m^{-3})	
Calibration [a]	82	206
Validation [b]	217	222

727 [a] 2013
728 [b] 2014
729

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

730 **Table 3**. One-dimensional lake model
731 SIMSTRAT best-fit parameters and model
732 performance statistics reported as vertical
733 volume-weighted averaged root mean square
734 deviation (RMSD-V).

Parameter (Unit)	Lake Biel	Lake Geneva
p_1 (-)	1.30	1.09
p_2 (-)	1.20	0.90
K (-)	0.70	1.40
q (-)	1.30	1.25
C_{Deff} (-)	0.0050	0.0020
C_{10} (-)	0.0016	0.0017
a_s (-)	0.0060	0.035
a_w (-)	0.0040	0.009
	RMSD-V (°C)	
Calibration	0.73 [a]	0.66 [c]
Validation	0.68 [b]	

735 [a] 1995 - 2004

736 [b] 2005 - 2015

737 [c] 1981 – 2012

738

739 **Table 4.** Model scenarios of climate change effects for near-future and far-future time
740 periods, including (Inc.) and excluding (Exc.) the effects of rivers and suspended
741 sediment. Thermal input from MNPP also included/excluded. Most likely scenarios
742 shown in bold.

Lake	Exc. Rivers	Inc. Rivers	
		Exc. Suspended Sediment	Inc. Suspended Sediment
Geneva	LG1	LG2	**LG3**
		Inc. MNPP	Exc. MNPP
Biel	LB1	LB2	**LB3**

743

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
Discussions

744 **Table 5**. Change in temperature, length of the stratified period and depth of the thermocline
745 (negative values correspond to a shallower thermocline) for each scenario listed in Table 4.
746 Estimates given as mean of the daily difference between the reference period and the far-future time
747 period. Temperature anomalies are volume-weighted and vertically averaged. Most likely scenarios
748 shown in bold.

Scenario	Temperature (°C decade^{-1})			Stratification (days decade^{-1})	Thermocline (m decade^{-1})
Lake Biel	Epilimnion	Metalimnion	Hypolimnion		
LB1	0.19	0.16	0.13	1.5	-0.02
LB2	0.15	0.13	0.06	2.0	-0.07
LB3	**0.13**	**0.11**	**0.05**	**2.2**	**-0.13**
Lake Geneva					
LG1	0.17	0.13	0.07	2.9	-0.07
LG2	0.17	0.12	0.07	2.8	-0.06
LG3	**0.18**	**0.16**	**0.08**	**2.2**	**-0.04**

749
750
751

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

752 **Figures**



753

754 **Figure 1.** Schematic illustration of this study's one-way model chain. Orange models represent modeling
755 performed by this study while grey models represent simulated data inputs obtained from external sources.

756



757

758 **Figure 2.** Study area and regional air temperature increases predicted under climate change scenarios addressed
759 here. Elevation above sea level (green to white color ramp), locations and number of river stations (white
760 diamonds), drainage area (Aare: vertical lines; Rhône: horizontal lines) and location of Mühleberg Nuclear Power
761 Plant (MNPP, orange circle). Area covered by regional climate models (dark red dashed-dotted line) with a)

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

762 predicted air temperature increase ΔT in the near-future (blue, 2030-2049) and far-future (orange, 2080-2099) for
763 medium (thick lines) and upper/lower estimates (thin lines) under the A1B emission scenario (CH2011, 2011).

764



765

766 **Figure 3.** Air2stream modeled (orange) and measured (black) temperature (T) compared to air temperature (blue)
767 for a) Aare River and c) Rhône River in 1994. The insets b) and d) show modeled versus observed temperature
768 from 1990 to 2009 with coefficient of determination (R^2).

769

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

770



771

772 **Figure 4.** Modeled (orange) and measured (black) suspended sediment concentration (SSC) compared to river
773 discharge Q (blue) for a) Aare River and b) Rhône River in 2013. The insets c) shows modeled versus observed
774 SSC for 2013 and 2014 with coefficient of determination (R^2).

775

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017



Figure 5. Modeled climate impact from scenarios LB3 (Aare River, left column) and LG3 (Rhône River, right column) displayed as daily average for reference (black, 1990-2009), near-future (blue, 2030-2049) and far-future (orange, 2080-2099) time periods. Discharge Q (a and b), net water temperature T (c and d) with anthropogenic heat from Mühleberg Nuclear Power Plant (MNPP) removed from near-future and far-future time periods, temperature increase ΔT (e and f) due to climate change (orange/blue) and MNPP (blue-green) as well as modeled SSC (g and h). Maximum and minimum modeled values are marked by fine lines (e and f) and or are omitted (c, d, g, and h) for clarity.

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

784



785

786 **Figure 6.** Temperature increase ΔT for near-future (blue) and far-future (orange) time periods relative to reference
787 period temperatures, displayed as mean (bars) and standard deviation (black lines). Epilimnion (left pair of bars),
788 metalimnion (middle pair) and hypolimnion (right pair) in LG (a to c) and LB (d to f). Graphs represent river
789 intrusion excluded (a and d), river-borne SSC included (c, e and f) and excluded (b), Mühleberg Nuclear Power
790 Plant (MNPP) heat release included in (e) and excluded (f) from near-future and far-future time periods but
791 retained for the reference period.

792

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017



Figure 7. Modeled climate impact from scenarios LB3 (LB, left column) and LG3 (LG, right column) displayed as daily mean (thick lines) and maximum/minimum model values (thin lines) for near-future (blue, 2030-2049) and far-future (orange, 2080-2099) time periods relative to the reference period (black, 1990-2009). Anthropogenic MNPP heat input entering LB has been excluded from near-future and far-future time periods but

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

798 retained for the reference period. Temperature T (a and b), increase of temperature ΔT in epilimnion (c and d) and
799 hypolimnion (e and f) as well as increase in stability ΔS (g and h).

800



801

802 **Figure 8.** Modeled climate impact on mean intruding river volume. Reference (black), near-future (blue) and far-
803 future (orange) time periods for LG (a to b) and LB (c to d), including (b, c and d) and excluding (a) river borne
804 SSC and anthropogenic MNPP input included in (c) or excluded from (d) near- and far-future time periods but
805 retained in the reference period.

806

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
Discussions



807

808 **Figure 9.** Variation in lake hydraulic residence times (changed lake volume) in response to modeled temperature
809 increase (ΔT) in the epilimnion (grey) and hypolimnion (black) displayed as decadal mean (solid line) and
810 standard deviation (dotted line) for LG (a and b) and LB (c to f). River borne SSC included (b) and excluded (a,
811 c and d), MNPP heat input included in (c) and excluded from (d) near-future and far-future time periods but
812 retained for the reference period. Black x's mark observed lake residence times and black rectangles mark the
813 unmodified residence times.

814

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

815 **Appendix Figures**



816

817 **Figure A1.** Illustration of river intrusion model.

818



819

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

820 **Figure A2.** River intrusion entrainment sensitivity analysis for the LB/Aare system in July 2007. a) SSC (black),
821 temperature (T; orange) and river discharge (Q; blue) from Aare station 2085. b) River density at station 2085
822 obtained from T and SSC in a). c) River intrusion depth calculated from supporting information (S1) with varying
823 entrainment constant β (Eq. 18); light green denotes the value used in this study. d) Vertical measurements of T
824 and light transmission in LB for 11th July 2007. ▲ marks the time in a) to c) of the vertical profile in d).

825



826

827 **Figure A3.** River intrusion entrainment sensitivity analysis for the LB/Aare system in October 2011. a) SSC
828 (black), temperature (T; orange) and river discharge (Q; blue) from Aare station 2085. b) River density at station
829 2085 obtained from T and SSC in a). c) River intrusion depth calculated from supporting information (S1) with
830 varying entrainment constant β (Eq. 18); Light green denotes the value used in this study. d) Vertical
831 measurements of T and light transmission in LB for 11th October 2011. ▲ marks the time in a) to c) of vertical
832 profile in d).

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

833



834

835 **Figure A4.** River intrusion entrainment sensitivity analysis for the LB/Aare system in December 2009. a) SSC
836 (black), temperature (T; orange) and river discharge (Q; blue) from Aare station 2085. b) River density at station
837 2085 obtained from T and SSC in a). c) River intrusion depth calculated from supporting information (S1) with
838 varying entrainment constant β (Eq. 18); light green denotes the value used in this study. d) Vertical measurements
839 of T and light transmission in LB for 9th December 2009. ▲ marks the time in a) to c) of vertical profile in d).

840

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017



841

842 **Figure B1.** Modeled climate impact on LB excluding river borne SSC. Temperature increase ΔT (a and b)
843 displayed as means (bars) and standard deviations (black lines) in epilimnion (left bar group), metalimnion
844 (middle bar group) and hypolimnion (right bar group); mean intruding river volume (c and d) and mean river

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

845 intrusion depth (e and f). MNPP thermal input included (a, c and e) or excluded (b, d and f) in near-future (blue)
846 and far-future (vermilion) time periods but retained in the reference period (black).

847



848

Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-337
Manuscript under review for journal Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
Discussion started: 3 July 2017

Hydrology and Earth System Sciences
Discussions

Figure C1. Modeled climate impact (river intrusion excluded) on LB (left column, scenario LB1) and LG (right column, scenario LG1) shown as daily mean (thick lines) and maximum/minimum model values (thin lines) for near-future (blue, 2030-2049) and far-future (orange, 2080-2099) time periods relative to the reference period (black, 1990-2009). Temperature T (a and b), temperature increase (ΔT) in the epilimnion (c and d) and hypolimnion (e and f) as well as increase in stability (ΔS; g and h).



Figure D1. Modeled climate impact on mean river intrusion depth. Reference period (black), near-future (blue) and far-future (orange) time periods for LG (a to b) and LB (c to d) with (b, c and d) and without (a) river borne SSC and MNPP thermal input included (c) or excluded (d) from near-future and far-future time periods relative to the reference period.