

# ***Interactive comment on “Frequency Analysis of Extreme Sub-Daily Precipitation under Stationary and Non-Stationary Conditions across Two Contrasting Hydroclimatic Environments” by Eleonora M. C. Demaria et al.***

## **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 16 August 2017

The paper aims to use observed sub-daily summer (June-October) precipitation intensities from two ARS sites to test for evidence of temporal trends and to build IDF curves using Annual Maximum Series (AMS) and Partial Duration Series (PDS) approaches and a Bayesian method that takes into account the non-stationarity of the time series, using this last approach for a failure analysis addressed to infrastructures that are designed with a stationary approach. The paper is interesting and in the line of some recent literature on the topic. There are few major points to be discussed:

1) The proposed Bayesian approach is interesting but some more details should be

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



provided about the likelihood function and the verification of homoscedastic distribution of residuals. The test is needed if the adopted bayesian likelihood functions is based on such restrictive hypothesis. Otherwise the hypotheses behind the Bayesian approach should be clearly stated (Liuzzo et al. 2017)

2) I understood that Bayesian approach was adopted only for those raingauges for which a local trend was identified (while possible regional crosscorrelation was eliminated by means of RAMK). Bayesian approach is able to generally provide information even if a formal trend cannot be determined providing a sort of "tendency" of the time series to show a trend in the future. This approach can be also replicated in the proposed study with the aim of showing a more general risk analysis

LorenaLiuzzo, VincenzaNotaro, GabrieleFreni (2017) Uncertainty related to climate change in the assessment of the DDF curve parameters. Environmental Modelling & Software Volume 96, October 2017, Pages 1-13

The text is generally well structured and the figures are all informative. I suggest the publication after the comments are addressed.

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-247>, 2017.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

