

We would like to thank the editor and both referees for their helpful comments. Below we describe the modifications made according to their comments. Small changes were made to the discussion, and are specified here as well.

Modifications made according to comments of referee #1

“The authors do not present any discussion or analysis of the numbers of storm cells per storm (average, variability)...”

Thank you for pointing this out. The average number of cells per storm can be derived from Table 2, but the variability in the number of cells in storms was indeed not provided. We have added a column to Table 2 presenting these values.

“...nor properties related to these cells “counts” such as mergers (i.e. collisions of cells) and cell splits. It seems that multicell storms, as well as the dynamics of these cells would be important (and indeed are in other climate regimes), and so the authors should at least justify omitting such analysis and, if appropriate, include it. Differences, if any exist, between the different synoptic regimes would be interesting to see”

We bring here this calculation in Fig. R1. The five possible categories in each track are displayed in the x-axis, and the average relative frequency of each category is displayed in the y-axis for the different synoptic types. The relative frequency is calculated for each event and category by dividing the number of category occurrences in the number of tracked rain cells during the storm. The values that are displayed in the y-axis are the average taken for these relative frequencies of the storms. Five categories are considered: Birth - a rain cell was created at time t , Death – a rain cell at time t was not detected at time $t+1$, Merge - at least two rain cells at time t were merged into one cell at time $t + 1$, Split - a rain cell at time t was split into at least two cells detected at time $t + 1$, Track - a rain cell at time t was detected along the motion vector at time $t + 1$.




Figure R1: Frequencies of “Birth”, “Death”, “Merge”, “Split” and “Track” categories relatively to the total number of tracked rain cells for each synoptic type.

It can be seen that the ARST events have on average more cells tracked than the other synoptic states, which corresponds with the higher average lifetime of these cells. Though some differences between the synoptic systems do exist in the relative frequency of the above mentioned categories (significant differences were found between the relative frequency of the “Track” category of the CL and LE as well as the ARST and the CL), we believe that adding this analysis have no added value for the paper. A justification for omitting this analysis is given in the revised manuscript in p5 line 18:

“Frequencies of different tracking categories during the cell’s full life cycle (i.e., frequency of splits, merges and etc.) were left out of the analysis, as no added value to the presented results was given”.

“The authors also do not analyze “partial coverage”-the fraction of the watershed area that is covered during each of the storm event. This would potentially help explain the results of figure 9. However, it may be the case that partial coverage is nearly 100% in many cases since the

watersheds are quite small (however, the rain cells are small as well). I recommend that the authors at least comment on this issue, and consider including such analysis.”

Thank you for pointing this out. As you suspected, the partial coverage (with zero threshold of rainfall depth [mm] over a pixel) is almost always greater than 90% both in flood related rain events (in 97% of the events) and in the non-flood related events (in 79% of the events). A comment regarding the difference in the areal coverage between the two groups is given in the caption of Fig. 9 of the revised manuscript:

“Over 90% of the watershed area is covered by rainfall during flash-flood related events (79% for the non-flash-flood related events).”

“Figure 9 and 10 shown results for two catchments. The authors should comment on whether it is reasonable to present these results together without any way for the reader to know in which catchment each event occurred. Is there any meaningful different in the responses in the two catchments that are relevant to this study?”

Thank you for raising this important question. The two catchments are very similar in their morphological properties (e.g., climatology, location, shape, orientation of the main drainage axis). In fact, the two channels are merged together downstream to the hydrometric stations. In addition, the catchments are neighboring and quite narrow (see Figure 2 in the manuscript), and so almost all storms had rain cells covering both catchments in some point in time. Finally, the relatively small number of rain events related to flood events in each of the catchments had forced us to take both of them for the same analysis. A comment regarding presenting the results of the two catchments together is given in p8 line 30 of the revised manuscript:

“No distinction between the two catchments was made during the analysis due to their proximity, similar morphology, and their small and narrow shape relatively to an average size rain cell (Fig. 2).”

“It appears that the authors have neglected to include the criteria that was used to distinguish between flash floods and non-flash floods. If I am correct in this, the authors will need to add these criteria or at least point the reader to some other reference.”

The criteria used to distinguish between flash-floods and non-flash floods are provided in section 2.2. We now added a comment to the revised manuscript about the thresholds used for this separation on page 8, line 30:

“A flash-flood was defined according to the criteria specified in Section 2.2.”

“The authors should make clear what the distinction between “lifetime” and “duration” is. I’m guessing that lifetime the length of each cell while duration is the length of the storm system. However, the reader should not need to guess at these things.”

Indeed, these terms were not properly presented. A comment regarding this distinction was added on page 5, line 17:

“The term “lifetime” relates to the length of the individual cell’s life, while “duration” to the length of the rain event.”

“Figure 10: What is the diamond in each boxplot (I’m guessing it is the mean, but this should be made clear)? More importantly, what are the p-values that are reported? It is unclear from the figure, caption, and main text what hypothesis test is used and what is being compared (i.e. means, distributions, etc.). Also, is the cell area that is reported the average area per time step or for entire cell lifetime?”

The meaning of the diamond and the hypothesis test used in this analysis were indeed not mentioned. The following was added to the caption of Figure 10 :“Box-plot properties are as specified in Fig. 5. Reported P-values are of the ANOVA test applied between dominant flash-flood related cells and non-flash-flood related cells. All data sets were tested first for variance heterogeneity using Levene’s test (with squared deviations). Box-Cox transformation technique was applied to properties of unequal variances to obtain normality. In these cases, Welch's test was used.”.

The cell area that is reported is the average for the entire cell lifetime. We believe it is clear from Section 4 (“The average properties characterizing the dominant cells of flash-flood and non-flash-flood events during their lifetime over the catchments were compared”).

“Table 2: Somehow, it should be indicated that the values in parentheses are standard deviations (well, that is I assume those values are, it needs to be clarified-probably in the caption).”

We have rephrased the caption of Table 2: “Table 2: Mean and standard deviation (in parentheses) of spatial and temporal properties of events and derived convective rain cells”.

“Page 9 line 3: Really, Figure 10 shows more than just the “average properties”-it shows mean, median, and interquartile ranges.”

True, Figure 10 shows more than the mean of these properties. We have revised this sentence: “The mean, median and interquartile ranges of the properties characterizing the dominant cells of flash-flood “.

“Section 2.2: why avoid analysis of low flows? Are there major rain events that do not cause large flood responses?”

We avoid analysis of low flows in order to focus on flash-floods. Indeed, some of the major rain events did not cause a large flood response (see Figure 9).

All typographical errors were corrected in the revised manuscript.

Modifications made according to comments of referee #2

“p511 - interesting logic to identify rain cells. I wonder if the authors have seen this paper that is used a fair bit for cell identification - Steiner, M., R. A. Houze, Jr., and S. E. Yuter, 1995: Climatological characterization of three-dimensional storm structure from operational radar and rain gauge data. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 34, 1978-2007. Some comment on how their approach compares to this one will be of use.”

Thank you for the question and the reference. The introduction was revised to acknowledge three-dimensional identification of rain cells, as follows:

“The spatial distribution of rainfall in convective environments is often examined focusing on the properties of the convective rain cells (abbreviated hereafter as rain cells), that can be directly derived exploiting the full three-dimensional structure of the cells (Dixon and Wiener, 1993; Johnson et al., 1998; Steiner et al., 1995) or, more commonly, extracting the convective two-dimensional segments from radar data (Barnolas et al., 2010; Cox and Isham, 1988; Féral, 2003; Féral et al., 2000; von Hardenberg, 2003; Karklinsky and Morin, 2006; Northrop, 1997). A widely used approach requiring only two-dimensional information is to define them as areas in which the rain intensity exceeds a certain threshold. This simplified representation of the rain field allows focusing on the high flash-flood generating potential portion of the storm and is used in synthetic rainfall generators and hydrological models (e.g., Morin et al., 2006; Peleg and Morin, 2012; Wheeler et al., 2000; Yakir and Morin, 2011).”

“I was left with a feeling that this study has skirted off an obvious question pertaining to the results, which is whether rising temperatures are increasing such convective cells (and flash flood causing cells) or not. I feel for this paper to be complete, some discussion to this effect should be included as there is considerable evidence out there that such storms are increasing in terms of their intensity as well as their spatial and temporal attributes”.

Thank you for raising this idea. In fact, in addition to the many studies recently devoted to the impact of warmer climates on the occurrence and magnitude of high-intensity rainfall (see a review by Westra et al., 2014), there is evidence of a possible change in the frequency of the storm generating synoptic types in the area in response to climate variations (Alpert et al., 2004). Addressing this topic would be of high relevance but we feel it is out of the scope of this paper. We focus here on present climatic conditions to examine the connection between the properties of the convective rain cells and the hydrological response and the generating synoptic types. The presented results are complete and informative for the present-climate conditions and provide solid bases for future examinations of the possible impact of temperature on the characteristics of the convective rain cells (with implications for climate-change scenarios) – natural continuation of the present study and already planned as future objective – and on the impact of climate change scenarios on the frequency and occurrence of the generating synoptic types.

References

Alpert, P., Osetinsky, I., Ziv, B. and Shafir, H.: Semi-objective classification for daily synoptic systems: application to the eastern Mediterranean climate change, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 24(8), 1001–1011, doi:10.1002/joc.1036, 2004.

Westra, S., H. J. Fowler, J. P. Evans, L. V. Alexander, P. Berg, F. Johnson, E. J. Kendon, G. Lenderink and N. M. Roberts: Future changes to the intensity and frequency of short-duration extreme rainfall, *Rev. Geophys.*, 52(3), 522–555, doi:10.1002/2014RG000464, 2014.

Modifications made according to editor's comments

“I agree with the overall positive assessment of the reviewers. Some of the numbers presented do need a better assessment of uncertainties (was also indicated by one of the reviewers). Given the authors response, I am confident that this can be achieved easily.”

Thank you for pointing this out. We have added a column to Table 2 of the revised manuscript, presenting both average values and variability of number of cells per storm.

Other modifications made

Some changes were made in the discussion part, in cases where we felt the explanation provided was not enough clear or comprehensive.

p10 lines 13-23 of the revised manuscript: part of paragraph 5.1 was rephrased as follows:

“In both Mediterranean lows, south-western cell directions are associated with higher cell velocities, while north-western directions with lower cell velocities (Fig. **Error! Reference source not found.**). The lower cell velocities could be related to the slower movement of the Mediterranean low when located above land and to the larger distance of the center of the low from the study area (Fig. **Error! Reference source not found.**a-b). The lower average cell velocities of the LE events is therefore explained by a larger portion of north-western cell directions. Furthermore, average cell velocity components of Mediterranean low events are in better agreement with low level (750-850 hPa) wind components, while ARST with higher levels (700 hPa) (Fig. **Error! Reference source not found.**). These findings agree with the synoptic understanding that ARST events are usually identified with medium-level clouds and Mediterranean lows with low-level clouds. Unlike the Mediterranean lows, which have the Mediterranean Sea as their major moisture supplier, the moisture essential for the development of convective rain cells in ARST events must be transported at the medium levels from remote

southern origins, since a dry easterly wind flow is found at the lower levels (Dayan et al., 2001; Kahana et al., 2004; Krichak and Alpert, 1998)

p11 lines 7-9 of the revised manuscript: a small extension was added to the sentence:

“As stated by Goldreich et al. (2004), clouds distancing the shoreline and ascending the mountains, tend to become more uniform and continuous than over the coastal plain, thus increasing their size.”

p11 lines 12-15 of the revised manuscript: small changes were made to the sentence:

“Nevertheless, in many cases the cell area includes precipitation also on the west side of the water divide and thus the total effect obtained is the cell area increase along with the rain intensity weakening over the mountainous areas.”

p11 lines 21-22 of the revised manuscript: the difference between cells rain intensity was mentioned as well:

“Our results support these previous findings, showing that flash-flood related and non-flash-flood related rain cells differ in size, areal coverage, velocity, lifetime over the catchment and rain intensity.”

p12 lines 30-31 of the revised manuscript: an additional bullet point was added to the conclusions:

“High mean annual rainfall in the northern mountainous part of the study area is resulting from a large number of rain cells with low velocities and large area rather than cells of high rain intensities.”

Finally, we would like again to express our thanks to the editor and to the two reviewers who have helped us to significantly improve the paper.

Sincerely,

Idit Belachsen, Francesco Marra, Nadav Peleg and Efrat Morin.

Convective rainfall in dry climate: relations with synoptic systems and flash-flood generation in the Dead Sea region

Idit Belachsen^{1,2}, Francesco Marra², Nadav Peleg³, Efrat Morin²

¹Hydrology and Water Resources Program, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel

5 ²Institute of Earth Sciences, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel

³Institute of Environmental Engineering, Hydrology and Water Resources Management, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Idit Belachsen (idit.belachsen@mail.huji.ac.il), Efrat Morin (efrat.morin@mail.huji.ac.il)

Abstract. Space-time patterns of rainfall are important characteristics that influence runoff generation and flash-flood magnitude and require high-resolution measurements to be adequately represented. This need is further emphasized in arid climates, where rainfall is scarce and highly variable. In this study, 24 years of corrected and gauge-adjusted radar rainfall estimates are used to identify spatial structure and dynamics of convective rain cells in a dry climate region in the Eastern Mediterranean, to determine their climatology, and to understand their relation with the governing synoptic systems and with flash-flood generation. Rain cells are extracted using a segmentation method and a tracking algorithm and are clustered into three synoptic patterns according to atmospheric variables from ERA-Interim reanalyses. On average, they are about 90 km² in size, move 13 m s⁻¹ from west to east, and live 18 minutes. Cyprus low accounts for 30% of the events, low to the east of the study region for 44% and the Active Red Sea Trough for 26%. Active Red Sea Trough produces shorter rain events composed of rain cells with higher rain intensities, longer lifetime, smaller area and lower velocities. The area of rain cells is positively correlated with topographic height. The number of cells is negatively correlated with the distance from the shoreline. Rain cell intensity is negatively correlated with mean annual precipitation. Flash flood related events are dominated by rain cells of large size, low velocity and long lifetime that move downstream with the main axis of the catchments. These results can be further used for stochastic simulations of convective rain storms and serve as input for hydrological models and for flash-flood nowcasting systems.

1 Introduction

25 A flash-flood is a rapid runoff response of a catchment to intense precipitation. Owing to their short response time and high intensity, flash-floods are difficult to predict and result in economic damages and casualties (Borga et al., 2011). In fact, they are among the most dangerous meteorological hazards affecting the Mediterranean countries (Llasat et al., 2010; Tarolli et al., 2012). Many factors contribute to flash-flood generation, such as rainfall conditions (e.g. amount, intensity and spatial and temporal distribution), catchment morphological properties (e.g. slope and surface cover) and hydrological pre-

conditions (e.g. soil saturation). The magnitude of a flash-flood is determined by the interactions between these factors (Borga et al., 2014; Nied et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2002; Wright et al., 2014).

In particular, rainfall spatial and temporal variability is a key factor in runoff response prediction (Bahat et al., 2009; Faure's et al., 1995; Morin et al., 2006; Morin and Yakir, 2014; Rozalis et al., 2010; Yakir and Morin, 2011; Yang et al., 2016b).

5 Rozalis et al. (2010) found great sensitivity of flash-flood generation and magnitude to the intra-storm rain intensity distribution. Andréassian et al. (2004) and Zoccatelli et al. (2010) reported that neglecting rainfall spatial variability resulted in a considerable degradation of the modeling results. Yakir and Morin (2011) observed high sensitivity of the response of an arid catchment to location, direction and velocity of the convective storm.

10 The need to account for rainfall variability is accentuated in arid and semi-arid regions, where rainfall is often of convective nature, and characterized by extremely variable, high intensity, short duration events (Goodrich et al., 1995; Syed et al., 2003; Segond et al., 2007). Although antecedent soil moisture conditions are known to play a role in runoff generation, studies conducted in semi-arid and arid areas, ascribed them only a minor influence on flood response, due to low infiltration capacities of the ground, high evaporation rates (Ries et al., 2017; Syed et al., 2003; Yair and Lavee, 1985) and long dry spells between rainfall events (Saaroni et al., 2014). Hence, high resolutions in space and time and over large areas are
15 required to adequately represent rainfall spatio-temporal distribution. These can be best achieved by remote sensing tools such as weather radars (e.g., Barnolas et al., 2010; Berne and Krajewski, 2013; Karklinsky and Morin, 2006; Krajewski and Smith, 2002; Peleg and Morin, 2012).

The spatial distribution of rainfall in convective environments is often examined focusing on the properties of the convective rain cells (abbreviated hereafter as rain cells), that can be directly derived exploiting the full three-dimensional structure of the cells (Dixon and Wiener, 1993; Johnson et al., 1998; Steiner et al., 1995) or, more commonly, extracting the convective two-dimensional segments from radar data (Barnolas et al., 2010; Cox and Isham, 1988; Féral, 2003; Féral et al., 2000; von Hardenberg, 2003; Karklinsky and Morin, 2006; Northrop, 1997). -A common-widely used approach requiring only two-dimensional information approach is to define them as areas in which the rain intensity exceeds a certain threshold. This simplified representation of the rain field allows focusing on the high flash-flood generating potential portion of the storm
25 and is used in synthetic rainfall generators and hydrological models (e.g., Barnolas et al., 2010; Cox and Isham, 1988; Féral, 2003; Féral et al., 2000; von Hardenberg, 2003; Karklinsky and Morin, 2006; Morin et al., 2006; Northrop, 1997; Peleg and Morin, 2012; Wheeler et al., 2000; Yakir and Morin, 2011).

Rain cells are-can be represented fitting an ellipse around the local rain maxima in a radar image (Féral et al., 2000; Karklinsky and Morin, 2006), and geometrical properties of the cells, such as area, axes length, orientation angle and maximal intensity are derived. Some studies accounted for rain cells dynamics by monitoring their progress over time with tracking algorithms (e.g., Dixon and Wiener, 1993; Johnson et al., 1998; Kyznarová and Novák, 2009; Peleg and Morin, 2012; Rinehart and Garvey, 1978). This allowed the derivation of additional parameters such as rain cells' lifetime, velocity and direction of movement.
30

The atmospheric conditions generating a rainfall event are expected to influence the properties of rain cells and, consequently, the rainfall-catchment interactions and the runoff response. The objective of this study is to quantify the properties of rain cells originated by-within different synoptic systems in an arid climate region and to understand the rain cell-catchment interactions in the generation of flash-floods. Specific questions motivating this study include: What are the propertyies distributions of convective rain cells in dry environments? How do they vary between different synoptic systems? How do rain cells characteristics change within the study region? What are the cell properties that dominating the formation and magnitude of flash-floods? These questions are examined through a statistical analysis of rain cells derived from 24 years of weather radar data over the western tributaries of the Dead Sea and flash-flood data from two catchments within this region (Fig. 1).

The paper is organized as follows. The sStudy area and data are described in Section 2. Section 3 analyzes the relation between the properties of the rain cells and the governing synoptic system. Section 4 presents the impact of rain cells properties on flash-flood generation. The results of the study are discussed in Section 5. Section 6 reports the concluding remarks.

2 Regional background and data

This study focuses on the western tributaries of the Dead Sea in the Eastern Mediterranean (EM, Fig. 1) that drain from the Judean Mountains water divide (600-1000 m a.s.l) towards the Dead Sea (currently 430 m below sea level). The study area is of 3315 km² (~50 km west to east and ~80 km north to south).

2.1 Climate

The area is dominated by semi-arid and arid climates except for the north-western part that is governed by Mediterranean climate (Greenbaum et al., 2006). Mean annual precipitation shows a steep gradient from over 500 mm in the north-western portion of the area, to about 150 mm and even less than 50 mm in the north-eastern and southern parts, respectively (Fig. 1b). The west to east gradient is due to the rain shadow effect caused by the Judean Mountains and by the low topography of the Dead Sea valley. The north to south gradient is related to the distance from the shoreline and from the main tracks of Mediterranean storms. Rainfall occurs from October to May, with no rain during summer (Goldreich, 2003). Intensities and duration of extreme events differ dramatically within the study area, with the relative frequency of high rainfall intensities increasing as the mean annual precipitation decreases (Marra et al., 2017; Marra and Morin, 2015; Morin et al., 2009; Sharon and Kutiel, 1986).

Most intense rainfall episodes over the study area and the EM in general are associated with the cold fronts of mid-latitude lows: the Cyprus low – a Mediterranean low located around Cyprus, and the Syrian low – a well-developed Mediterranean low located over Syria (Dayan et al., 2015; Dayan and Morin, 2006; Goldreich, 2003; Kahana et al., 2002). The region is also affected by more localized convective showers associated with the Red Sea trough, a surface low-pressure trough

extending from Eastern Africa along the Red Sea towards the Middle East, in its active phase (ARST) (Ashbel, 1938). The ARST is more frequent during the transition seasons and its contribution to rainfall and flash-floods in the EM decreases going north (Kahana et al., 2002; Dayan and Morin, 2006). According to Kahana et al. (2002), the ARST accounts for most of the major floods over the arid catchments in the south of the study area, followed by the Syrian low. Some rare events of relatively widespread rainfall leading to flash floods in the region are associated with the subtropical jet and the conveying of air moisture of tropical origin over Africa to the Eastern Mediterranean; a system often referred to as tropical plume (Dayan and Morin, 2006; Kahana et al., 2002; Tubi and Dayan, 2014; Ziv, 2001).

2.2 Hydrology

Two side by side gauged catchments, located in the northern part of the study area (Fig. 1b), are chosen for the analysis, due to the availability of long and concurrent records of discharge and radar data: Darga (73 km²) and Teqoa (140 km²). These catchments have ephemeral dry channels, and flash-flood events occur on average twice a year (Table 1). The surface is characterized by large areas of bare rock, shallow soils of low permeability and sparse vegetation.

Discharge data were obtained from the Israel Hydrological Service for the 24 hydrological years (October to September) 1990/1991-2013/2014. In order to avoid analysis of low flows, events with peak discharges lower than 0.25 m³ s⁻¹ for Darga and 2 m³ s⁻¹ for Teqoa, were excluded from the analysis. These thresholds were based on Shamir et al. (2013) who developed a method for determining minimal flash-flood thresholds for arid regions using geomorphic indexes.

2.3 Weather radar

Rainfall data used for the research are based on the Shacham weather radar, a C-band non-Doppler instrument, located within the Ben-Gurion international airport (Israel), 50-125 km north-west of the study area (Fig. 1). The observation geometry of the radar is characterized by a spatial polar resolution of 1.4° x 1 km and a temporal resolution of about 5 min per volume scan. Its archived data record is of 24 hydrological years 1990/1991-2013/2014. Such a long record represents a clear advantage for climatological and hydrological studies in an arid region and data from this radar were fruitfully used for research in many studies so far (Karklinsky and Morin, 2006; Marra et al., 2017; Marra and Morin, 2015; Morin et al., 2001; Morin and Gabella, 2007; Morin and Yakir, 2014; Peleg et al., 2016, 2013, 2015a, 2015b; Peleg and Morin, 2012; Rozalis et al., 2010). An extensive description of the quantitative radar precipitation estimation and of its assessment is provided in Marra and Morin (2015). The distance from the radar increases going south and east in the study area, so that the instrument samples higher atmospheric levels (sampling elevation between 1000 and 4500 m). The radar data is corrected taking into account the vertical profile of reflectivity, but overshooting of the precipitation in the study area is anyway possible. However, this problem is expected to be negligible for this study, in which vertically developed systems, such as the convective cells, are examined.

3 Rain cells characterization

3.1 Rain cells identification and tracking

The identification of rain cells is done by partitioning each radar image into segments, and is followed by a cell tracking algorithm (Peleg and Morin, 2012). Rain cells are defined as connected radar pixels with: (i) more than 5 mm h⁻¹ rain intensity; (ii) at least one peak exceeding 10 mm h⁻¹; and (iii) area larger than 9 km². These thresholds were suggested and used in previous studies (e.g., von Hardenberg, 2003; Morin et al., 2006; Syed et al., 2003) and allow focusing on the convective part of the rain without excluding moderate rainfall intensities that could be relevant for the flood generation. It should be noted that the selection of the thresholds can affect some of the derived properties (e.g. cell area and mean areal rain intensity), but it should not affect the comparison of these properties between different groups of cells.

The spatial properties extracted from each segment are the following: area (km²), length of the major and minor axes of the ellipse fitted to the segment (km), ellipse center location, ellipticity (minor-to-major axis ratio), orientation (angle of the major axis in degrees, relative to the west-east direction; positive counter-clockwise), maximum rain intensity (mm h⁻¹) and mean areal intensity (i.e. the mean intensity over the area of the segment, mm h⁻¹). ~~Figure 2~~ ~~Figure 2~~ presents an example of one radar image and a derived rain cell with its spatial properties.

The cell tracking algorithm links rain cells in consecutive images and allows characterizing the rain cells lifetime and average advection (velocity and direction). The algorithm, developed by Kyznarová and Novák (2009) and modified by Peleg and Morin (2012), is based on Pearson's correlation between shifted successive images. The term "lifetime" relates to the length of the individual cell's life, while "duration" to the length of the rain event. -Frequencies of different tracking categories during the cell's full life cycle (i.e., frequency of splits, merges and etc.) were left out of the analysis, as no added value to the presented results was given.

A total of 10,4474 rain cells (composing 2632 tracks) were derived. The rain record was divided into 424 rain events, defined as separated by dry spells longer than 6 h. This allowed associating each rain cell to the governing synoptic system of the rain event. Rain events for which less than 80% of the radar scans were available have been removed.

3.2 Synoptic classification

Rain events were classified into synoptic types using cluster analysis. The clustering was aimed at relying mainly on the sea level pressure (SLP) map, the most commonly used map for synoptic classification in the EM (Alpert et al., 2004; Dayan et al., 2012; Kahana et al., 2002; Zangvil et al., 2003) and in other places in the world (Cannon et al., 2002; Hewitson and Crane, 2002). In addition, surface temperature was used to distinguish between an ARST and a cold Mediterranean lows, since the former is usually initiated by thermal instability caused by differential heating between the surface (where a warm advection from the southeast takes place) and the upper atmospheric levels (Dayan et al., 2001). Other atmospheric variables (e.g. specific humidity at 700 hPa and temperature at 850 hPa) were tested and found to have a negligible influence on the clustering. Each rain event was linked to the ERA-Interim global reanalysis atmospheric variables (Dee et al., 2011) - SLP

and near-surface (1000 hPa) air temperature, obtained for the time closest to the rain event's center of mass (chosen out of Era-Interim 4 times daily available times – 00,06,12,18 UTC).

A hierarchical agglomerative clustering technique using Ward's criterion was applied based on: (i) location of the minimum SLP within the EM region (25.5°E-42.75°E and 22.5°N-37.5°N); (ii) north-south SLP difference between two points - (33.75°E, 33°N) and (33.75°E, 24.75°N); (iii) west-east SLP difference between two points - (30.75°E, 34.5°N) and (39°E, 34.5°N); and (iv) near-surface temperature at the grid point closest to the center of the study area (35.25°E, 31.5°N). All the mentioned points are presented in Fig. 1a.

The rain events were found to be best described by three clusters (Fig. 3). The first cluster (128 events, Fig. 3a) describes a Mediterranean low located west of the shoreline, and is associated with a Cyprus low (CL). The second cluster (186 events, Fig. 3b) describes a low to the east (LE) and could be associated with a Syrian low, or with any other Mediterranean low settled east of the study area. The third cluster (110 events, Fig. 3c) describes a surface trough extending from the south and is associated with an ARST. The radar rain record did not include tropical plume events and therefore this synoptic type is not considered in the present analysis.

On average, both Mediterranean lows (Fig. 3a-b) are accompanied by a pronounced 500 hPa trough extending from Eastern Europe towards the EM, with an axis orientation of north-south to northeast-southwest. Besides the known effect of an upper level trough on the intensification of the low on the surface (Ahrens, 2003), under such atmospheric conditions the north-westerly flow is enriched with moisture from the sea, increasing the probability of rainfall in the southern EM (Zangvil and Druian, 1990; Ziv et al., 2006). The upper trough of the ARST (Fig. 3c) is shallower and has a similar axis orientation.

Validation of the clustering results was done according to experts' examination. Maps displaying contour lines of SLP and wind directions at 850 hPa for 30 randomly chosen events were given to three experts to evaluate. The experts were asked to choose the synoptic system that describes best a given map out of four possible options: CL, LE, ARST and "none of the above". Mismatches between the three experts' classification and the automated clustering were then counted with relation to the extent of disagreement between the experts. For 19 maps there was an agreement between the experts and the automated procedure. Three out of 30 maps were agreed on between all experts but were classified differently from the automated procedure, resulting in a 10% classification error. For 8 maps there was no agreement among the experts, resulting in 7 maps with matches between only some of the experts and the automated procedure and 1 map with no matches.

3.3 Spatial and temporal rain cells characteristics

In this section, the differences between properties of rain cells originated by different synoptic systems are analyzed. Events properties and average spatial and temporal characteristics of rain cells are presented in [Table 2](#). The use of averaged cell characteristics allows neutralizing the dependency between individual rain cells of the same event and is crucial for the statistical comparison between the properties of cells generated by different synoptic systems. From this point on, unless stated otherwise, all values of rain cells' properties mentioned are the average value during the event. Advection direction is

defined following the meteorological standard, i.e. it represents the direction of the origin (e.g. direction of 270 degrees represents a movement from west to east).

The average duration of all rain events is 5.4 h. On average, the rain cells are 92 km² in area, advecting from west to east (274 degrees) at a velocity of 12.8 m s⁻¹ and living 18.1 min. Ellipticity of cells is 0.57 (minor to major axis length ratio of 3:5). The major axis is close to alignment (18 degrees) with their direction of movement. Similar values of ellipticity and orientation were found in previous studies conducted close to the study area (Karklinsky and Morin, 2006; Morin et al., 2006; Peleg and Morin, 2012; Yakir and Morin, 2011), and in other regions such as Catalonia and France (Barnolas et al., 2010; Feral et al., 2000). The empirical probability density functions of the cells' rain intensities are shown in Fig. 4. The mean areal and maximal rain intensities are 12.3 mm h⁻¹ and 26.6 mm h⁻¹, respectively, and both functions are positively skewed (skew coefficients of 7.4 and 2.7, respectively) as a result of extreme rainfall events.

3.3.1 Effect of synoptic system

Spatial and temporal properties of rain cells originated by different synoptic systems are compared (Table 2-Table 2, Fig. 5, Fig. 6) using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a multiple pairwise comparison of the three groups' means using Tukey's honest significant difference criterion. Statistically significant differences have been found and are highlighted in Table 2-Table 2.

The LE rain events are characterized by the highest average duration of all synoptic systems (6.3 h compared to 5.5 and 3.8 h of the CL and ARST events, respectively, Fig. 5a). The area of the ARST rain cells was found smaller than the area of the Mediterranean lows (76 km² compared to 110 km² and 87 km² of the CL and LE, respectively, Fig. 5b), but cells were found to live longer than cells in other synoptic systems (20.8 min compared to 18.1 min and 17.7 min of the CL and LE, respectively, Fig. 5h). Moreover, ARST rain cells' mean areal rain intensity (14.5 mm h⁻¹) and maximal rain intensity (31.5 mm h⁻¹, Table 2-Table 2) were found to be higher than both CL intensities (10.6 mm h⁻¹ and 22.8 mm h⁻¹) and LE intensities (12.2 mm h⁻¹ and 26.4 mm h⁻¹) and these events have the highest variability in mean areal rain intensities (Fig. 5f).

The rain cells generally preserve the same orientation for all three synoptic types, but their shape is different: CL cells are characterized by lower ellipticity (0.54 compared to 0.58 and 0.57 of the LE and ARST, respectively). General mean orientation is west to east with a 12-16 degrees counterclockwise tilt from the west-east axis (Table 2-Table 2).

The CL events are characterized by rain cells generally moving from west to east (268 degrees, Fig. 6a), whereas the LE and ARST events are characterized by a slightly stronger north-western component (about 277 degrees, Fig. 6b and Fig. 6c). In the ARST case, direction distribution is bimodal, with cells originating from west-southwest and west-northwest (Fig. 6c). ARST events are characterized by lower average velocities (11.4 m s⁻¹ compared to 14.5 m s⁻¹ and 12.3 m s⁻¹ of the CL and LE, respectively, Fig. 5g).

Significant differences (P-value<0.05) are found between: (i) ARST and CL cells areas, minor axis length, maximum rain intensities and mean areal rain intensities; (ii) ARST and LE cells average lifetime and event duration; (iii) CL and both ARST and LE major axis length, average direction and average velocity of rain cells; and (iv) CL and LE cells' ellipticity.

Rain cells are broadly advecting with the mean wind through some deep tropospheric layer, in which the cloud is embedded (Chappell, 1986; Doswell et al., 1996). To identify the layers rain cells are commonly moving in over the study area, the advection vector components were compared with zonal and meridional wind components at pressure levels 500-1000 hPa (increments of 50 hPa), extracted from Era-Interim reanalysis for the times closest to each rain event's mass center at a grid point closest to the center of the study area (35.25°E, 31.5°N). A root mean square vector error (RMSVE) was calculated for each pressure level and synoptic system (Fig. 7). The pressure levels with minimum RMSVE are in general 700 to 850 hPa, and in particular: 700-850 hPa for CL, 800-850 hPa for LE and around 700 hPa for ARST.

3.3.2 Effect of location

The variations of rain cells characteristics along the north-south latitudinal gradient were examined (Fig. 88). The total number and the average cell area of the two Mediterranean lows is decreasing from north to south (Fig. 88a and Fig. 88b), whereas their velocity is increasing (Fig. 88c). The mean areal rain intensity of CL cells is increasing from north to south, but LE cells show an increase trend only between latitudes 31.6°N and 31.1°N (Fig. 88d).

A positive correlation between topographic height and cells' area (Fig. 88e and Fig. 88b) and a negative correlation between distance from shoreline and number of cells (Fig. 88f and Fig. 88a) is seen in both Mediterranean lows in the northern part of the study area, and especially for the LE system.

The ARST rain cells follow different trends: (i) the total number of rain cells shows a smaller variation with latitude (Fig. 88a); (ii) the cells moderately increase in size along the north-south axis reaching a peak around latitudes 31.3°N-31.5°N (Fig. 88b); (iii) the cells have a moderate decrease with latitude in their velocity (Fig. 88c); and (iv) no clear trend in mean areal rain intensity is seen (Fig. 88d).

The region with higher mean annual rainfall (Fig. 88g) overlaps the regions of maximal number of cells (Fig. 88a), maximal cells' area (Fig. 88b) and low velocities (Fig. 88c) of both Mediterranean lows. The region of maximal mean areal rain intensity (Fig. 88d), however, is not collocated with maximal rainfall amounts. This fits previous findings that in dryer regions rainfall is generally more intense over short durations (Marra et al., 2017; Marra and Morin, 2015).

The moderate increase trend in velocity of Mediterranean lows' cells along the north-south axis may have resulted from a bias in favour of stronger storms in the southern part, i.e. regions that are most distant from the sea and from the Mediterranean storm tracks. Mediterranean storms that produce rainfall over those regions were most likely deep lows of stronger winds that had managed to transport clouds from the Mediterranean Sea far inland (Saaroni et al., 2010).

4 Relations between rain cells properties and flash-floods

The relationship between properties of rain cells and occurrence and magnitude of flash-floods in the Darga and Teqoa catchments (Fig. 11b) was explored. A flash-flood was defined according to the criteria specified in Section 2.2. No distinction between the two catchments was made due to their similar morphology, and their small and narrow shape

relatively to an average size rain cell (Fig. 2). Out of the 424 detected rain events, 173 events had rain cells tracked above the catchments, 29 of which (532 rain cells) were associated with flash-flood events. Since the same rain event can potentially lead to a flash-flood in both catchments, the 29 rain events corresponded to 41 measured flash-floods (21 in Darga and 20 in Teqoa). The remaining 144 rain events (988 rain cells) were classified as “non-flash-flood” events.

5 Examining the event duration and total rain depth over the catchments (Fig. 99) reveals that the recorded flash-floods were produced from events of few minutes to 2 days long and areal rain depth from 1 mm to 100 mm. In general, long duration and high rain depth are conditions favoring the occurrence of flash-floods. A number of rain events with similar duration and depth did not lead to flash-floods, confirming that short duration intensities are also important. In fact, ARST events leading to flash-floods generate high peak discharges, in spite of their general shorter durations and lower rain depths than both
10 Mediterranean lows.

Flash-floods in the desert are often triggered by one or two rain cells (David-Novak et al., 2004; Yakir and Morin, 2011). In this study, the “dominant rain cell” of each event, i.e. the rain cell that contributed the largest amount of rainfall over the two catchments, was identified. The mean, median and interquartile ranges of the average properties characterizing the dominant cells of flash-flood and non-flash-flood events during their lifetime over the catchments were compared, and are presented in

15 Fig. 10-10. If not stated differently otherwise, the results presented below are significant at 0.05 level.

Results show that dominant rain cells associated with flash-floods are: (i) larger than dominant cells not associated with flash-floods (247 km² compared to 159 km², Fig. 10a) and, accordingly, cover a larger portion of the catchments area (77 km² compared to 55 km², Fig. 10b); (ii) have lower average velocities (11.6 m s⁻¹ compared to 14 m s⁻¹, significance level 0.1, Fig. 10c); and (iii) persist longer (41 min compared to 20 min, Fig. 10d). The mean areal and maximum rain intensities
20 of flash-flood related dominant cells are higher than non-flash-flood dominant cells (Fig. 10-10g and Fig. 10-10h), though this difference was found statistically not significant.

Figure 11 Figure 11a-b shows the distribution of the advection of dominant cells for non-flash-flood and flash-flood events. Non-flash-flood dominant cells are generally more westerly and characterized by higher velocities (14 m s⁻¹ and 275 degrees, on average) than flash-flood dominant cells (11.6 m s⁻¹ and 286 degrees). Flash-flood dominant cells are characterized by a
25 bimodal distribution with low velocity, north-northwesterly cells (generally, <12 m s⁻¹, 300-360 degrees) and higher velocities, westerly cells (generally, >12 m s⁻¹, 240-300 degrees). Considering only high magnitude flash floods (with peak discharge larger than the median) it is found that dominant rain cells are related to low velocities and north-northwesterly directions (9.8 m s⁻¹ and 301 degrees on average, Fig. 11-11c) that match the main drainage axis of the two studied catchments (Fig. 1b).

30 5 Discussion

The properties of convective rain cells in the arid area of the Dead Sea western tributaries are discussed in relation to the governing synoptic system, to location and to flash-flood generation.

5.1 Variation between different synoptic systems

Rain cell properties are distinctly associated to the characteristics of three synoptic systems governing rain events in the region: Cyprus low, low to the east and Active Red Sea Trough (CL, LE and ARST, respectively, see Section 3.2). ARST events have shorter duration and their rain cells are characterized by higher intensities, smaller areas, longer lifetimes and lower velocities compared to the two Mediterranean lows. The low cell velocities are likely due to the more continental nature of this system (Goldreich et al., 2004) and to the smaller pressure gradients (Dayan et al., 2012), while the higher intensities could be related to higher surface temperatures (observed in the clustering results, not shown) leading to greater atmospheric instability (Ahrens, 2003).

The average directions of rain cells are south-west to west for CL events and west to north-west for LE events. In ARST events both modes are common. These results are explained by the location of the surface low in CL and LE systems and by the cyclonic geostrophic wind (Fig. 33); a CL located west to the study area is usually identified with south-westerly to westerly winds at low levels, while north-westerly wind directions are more dominant when the low is located at the East.

In both Mediterranean lows, south-western cell directions are associated with higher cell velocities, while north-western directions with lower cell velocities (Fig. 6). The lower cell velocities could be related to the slower movement of the Mediterranean low when located above land and to the larger distance of the center of the low from the study area (Fig. 3a-b). The lower average cell velocities of the LE events is therefore explained by a larger portion of north-western cell directions. Furthermore, average cell velocity components of Mediterranean lows events are in better agreement with low level (750-850 hPa) wind components, while ARST with higher levels (700 hPa) (Fig. 7). These findings agree with the synoptic understanding that ARST events are usually identified with medium-level clouds and Mediterranean lows with low-level clouds. Unlike the Mediterranean lows, which have the Mediterranean Sea as their major moisture supplier, the moisture essential for the development of convective rain cells in ARST events must be transported at the medium levels from remote southern origins, since a dry easterly wind flow is found at the lower levels (Dayan et al., 2001; Kahana et al., 2004; Krichak and Alpert, 1998), and with the lowering of the inversion height of a Mediterranean low with its movement eastwards (Goldreich, 2003).

~~In both Mediterranean lows, north-western directions are associated with lower cell velocities, while south-western directions with higher velocities (Fig. 6). The lower average velocities of the LE events could be related to the slower movement of the Mediterranean low when located above land and to the larger distance of the center of the low from the study area (Fig. 3a-b).~~

5.2 Variation within the study region

Rain cells properties vary in space. Variations along the north-south axis of the study area, characterized by a sharp decrease of mean annual rainfall (Fig. 88g), an increase in distance from the Mediterranean Sea's shoreline (Fig. 88f) and a change of topography (Fig. 88e), were examined. Relative frequency of high intensity rainfall increases with the reduction of annual

rainfall amounts (Goodrich et al., 1995; Marra et al., 2017; Marra and Morin, 2015; Sharon and Kutiel, 1986), and orographic effects lead to enhanced rainfall generation (Houze, 2012; Sharon and Kutiel, 1986; Warner, 2004; Wheeler et al., 1991). Both phenomena are reflected in the characteristics of the rain cells of Mediterranean lows: (i) mean rain intensities are generally increasing with the degradation of mean annual rainfall southward towards drier regions. Though the increase in rainfall intensities southward is seen explicitly in the Mediterranean lows, the increasing dominance of the ARST rain cells towards the south is likely to play a significant role in the latitudinal increase of rainfall intensities (Sharon and Kutiel, 1986); (ii) rain cells are larger where topography is higher. As stated by Goldreich et al. (2004), clouds distancing the shoreline and ascending the mountains, tend to become more uniform and continuous than over the coastal plain, thus increasing their size. When the terrain features are low enough, pre-existing clouds that move over them produce maximum precipitation on the upwind side of the barrier. As the precipitating cloud is advected to the lee side, the precipitating capacity is weakened by the downslope air motion (Houze, 2012). Our analyses included rain cells with centroids located east of the water divide, i.e. on the lee side of the mountain range (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, in many cases the cell area includes precipitation also on the the part of the cell west side of the water divide and thus the total effect obtained is was also included in the cell's size measurement so that the cell area increase along with the rain intensity weakening over could be seen in the mountainous areas.

5.3 Flash-flood related characteristics

A few studies had focused on the contribution of the spatial and temporal characteristics of rain cells to flash-flood generation in arid environments. Doswell et al. (1996) reported that slow storm velocities contribute to flash-flood generation and Syed et al. (2003) underlined the importance of the areal coverage of the storm core for runoff generation in a semi-arid catchment. Bracken et al. (2008) suggested that floods, though mainly related to the total rainfall, were eventually triggered by intense bursts of rain. Our results support these previous findings, showing that flash-flood related and non-flash-flood related rain cells differ in size, areal coverage, velocity, and lifetime over the catchment and rain intensity.

Other studies wished to analyze the impact of the spatial and temporal characteristics of rain cells on flash-flood magnitude by using case studies of real storms (Smith et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2016b) or model simulations (Morin et al., 2006; Rozalis et al., 2010; Wright et al., 2014; Yakir and Morin, 2011; Yang et al., 2016a). While some argued about the importance of rainfall intensity distribution (Chang, 2007; Rozalis et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2016a), a common conclusion concerned the movement of the storm: slower storms directed downstream the catchment seem to produce flash-floods of higher magnitudes (Doswell et al., 1996; Singh, 1997; Smith et al., 2000; Yakir and Morin, 2011). Our results confirm this effect: rain cells moving downstream with direction close to the orientation of the principal axis of the catchments (Fig. 1+) at low average velocities ($<12 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) are related to higher peak discharges.

Due to the small sample of flash-flood events (29 events), the influence of the synoptic type on flash-flood generation was not taken into consideration. Nevertheless, results presented in Section 3 suggest that ARST rain cells should have larger flash-flooding potential, due to the lower velocities, longer lifetime and higher intensities; for example, 55% of the ARST

rain cells analyzed had velocities lower than 12 m s^{-1} against only 33% and 46% of CL and LE. Out of them, the fraction with mean areal rain intensities higher than 10 mm h^{-1} are 63%, 39% and 46% for ARST, CL and LE cells, respectively. In fact, 6 out of the 7 flash-floods generated by ARST events were characterized by high magnitude. Other studies underlined the localized and intense nature of ARST storms and their high flash-flooding potential (Dayan et al., 2001; Kahana et al., 2002; Ziv et al., 2004).

Some of the rain cells' properties associated with flash-floods are tied with the catchments' properties. For example, large catchments might be less influenced by ARST rain cells due to their smaller size. The more north-westerly cells directions associated with LE events and part of the ARST events might present better flooding conditions relative to other cells directions, due to the northwest to southeast orientation (~ 315 degrees) of the Darga and Teqoa catchments (Fig. 14), but might not have any advantage in case of a different catchment orientation. This seems to be reflected in the increased representation of LE in flash-flood-related events in Darga and Teqoa (55%) in comparison with the general frequency of LE events in the entire study area (44%). Furthermore, Kahana et al. (2002) found that the frequency of flash-floods in the Negev (south of our study area) generated by ARST events is slightly higher (38%, in comparison with 24% found in our study) than Syrian low events (33%), which are most likely the equivalent to our LE events. These differences may arise from the different sample analyzed by Kahana et al. (2002) (only floods >5 years recurrence interval were taken) and from the more north-eastern location of the Dead Sea region than the Negev, and may indicate on the importance of the low to the east events in generation of flash-floods in the Dead Sea region, especially in the northern parts of our study area.

6 Conclusions

This study provides a climatology of spatial and temporal properties of radar-derived convective rain cells over the dry area of the Dead Sea (Eastern Mediterranean region). These properties are examined as related to the governing synoptic system and to flash-flood generation and magnitude. The study offers a statistical approach to relate rainfall properties (e.g. the properties of the mostly contributing cell) to catchment response. The main findings of the study are:

- Convective rain cells are on average of 92 km^2 in size, move at velocity of 12.8 m s^{-1} from west to east and live for 18.1 min.
- Active Red Sea Trough events are characterized by the shortest event duration and by the highest cell mean areal rain intensity, smallest cell area, longest cell lifetime and lowest cell velocity.
- The area of rain cells generated by Mediterranean lows is positively correlated with the topographic height in the northern part of the study area, the number of cells is negatively correlated with the distance from the shoreline and the mean rain intensities are negatively correlated with mean annual rainfall.
- High mean annual rainfall in the northern mountainous part of the study area is resulting from a large number of rain cells with low velocities and large area rather than cells of high rain intensities.

- Rain cells related to flash-flood events are characterized by larger area, lower velocity and longer lifetime over the catchment.
 - Rain cells with lower velocities (generally, $<12 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) and of north to north-western origins, directed downstream with the main catchment axis, lead to high magnitude flash-floods.
- 5 Results from this study add insights and quantitative information to previous studies in the Dead Sea region and in other arid regions worldwide. This advocates the robustness of the methods applied and the adequacy of the radar data used to represent rainfall over the study area. The distributions of the convective rain cells characteristics extracted in this work can be used for stochastic simulations of convective rain storms and serve as input for hydrological models and for flash-flood nowcasting systems.

10 Acknowledgements

Radar data were provided by E.M.S. Mekorot, rain gauge data were provided by the Israel Meteorological Service and streamflow data by the Israel Hydrological Service. The study was partially funded by the Dead Sea Drainage Authority, the Israel Water Authority, by the Israel Science Foundation (grant no. 1007/15), by NSF-BSF grant (BSF 2016953) and by the Lady Davis Fellowship Trust (Project: RainFreq). This work is a contribution to the HyMeX program. The authors thank
 15 Maya Bartov, Moshe Armon and Uri Dayan, for their assistance in validating the automatic classification of the synoptic systems.

References

- Ahrens, C. D.: *Meteorology today: an introduction to weather, climate, and the environment*, 7th ed., Brooks/Cole-Thomson Learning., 2003.
- 20 Alpert, P., Osetinsky, I., Ziv, B. and Shafir, H.: Semi-objective classification for daily synoptic systems: application to the eastern Mediterranean climate change, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 24(8), 1001–1011, doi:10.1002/joc.1036, 2004.
- Andréassian, V., Oddos, A., Michel, C., Anctil, F., Perrin, C. and Loumagne, C.: Impact of spatial aggregation of inputs and parameters on the efficiency of rainfall-runoff models: A theoretical study using chimera watersheds, *Water Resour. Res.*, 40(5), doi:10.1029/2003WR002854, 2004.
- 25 Ashbel, D.: Great floods in Sinai Peninsula, Palestine, Syria and the Syrian Desert, and the influence of the red sea on their formation, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 64(277), 635–639, doi:10.1002/qj.49706427716, 1938.
- Bahat, Y., Grodek, T., Lekach, J. and Morin, E.: Rainfall-runoff modeling in a small hyper-arid catchment, *J. Hydrol.*,

- 373(1–2), 204–217, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.04.026, 2009.
- Barnolas, M., Rigo, T. and Llasat, M. C.: Characteristics of 2-D convective structures in Catalonia (NE Spain): An analysis using radar data and GIS, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14(1), 129–139, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2005.08.014, 2010.
- Berne, a. and Krajewski, W. F.: Radar for hydrology: Unfulfilled promise or unrecognized potential?, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 51, 357–366, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.05.005, 2013.
- Borga, M., Anagnostou, E. N., Blöschl, G. and Creutin, J. D.: Flash flood forecasting, warning and risk management: The HYDRATE project, *Environ. Sci. Policy*, 14(7), 834–844, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2011.05.017, 2011.
- Borga, M., Stoffel, M., Marchi, L., Marra, F. and Jakob, M.: Hydrogeomorphic response to extreme rainfall in headwater systems: Flash floods and debris flows, *J. Hydrol.*, 518(PB), 194–205, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.05.022, 2014.
- 10 Bracken, L. J., Cox, N. J. and Shannon, J.: The relationship between rainfall inputs and flood generation in south–east Spain, *Hydrol. Process.*, 22(November 2008), 683–696, doi:10.1002/hyp.6641, 2008.
- Cannon, A. J., Whitfield, P. H. and Lord, E. R.: Synoptic map-pattern classification using recursive partitioning and principal component analysis, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 130(5), 1187–1206, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(2002)130<1187:SMPCUR>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
- 15 Chang, C.-L.: Influence of Moving Rainstorms on Watershed Responses, *Environ. Eng. Sci.*, 24(10), 1353–1360, doi:10.1089/ees.2006.0220, 2007.
- Chappell, C. F.: Quasi-Stationary Convective Events, in *Mesoscale Meteorology and Forecasting*, edited by P. S. Ray, pp. 289–310, American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA., 1986.
- Cox, D. R. and Isham, V.: A Simple Spatial-Temporal Model of Rainfall, *Proc. R. Soc. London A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 20 415(1849), 317–328, 1988.
- David-Novak, H. Ben, Morin, E. and Enzel, Y.: Modern extreme storms and the rainfall thresholds for initiating debris flow on the hyperarid western escarpment of the Dead Sea, Israel, *Bull. Geol. Soc. Am.*, 116(5–6), 718–728, doi:10.1130/B25403.2, 2004.
- Dayan, U. and Morin, E.: Flash flood–producing rainstorms over the Dead Sea: A review, *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.*, 401, 25 53–62, doi:10.1130/2006.2401(04), 2006.
- Dayan, U., Ziv, B., Margalit, a., Morin, E. and Sharon, D.: A severe autumn storm over the Middle-East: Synoptic and mesoscale convection analysis, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 69(1–2), 103–122, doi:10.1007/s007040170038, 2001.

- Dayan, U., Tubi, A. and Levy, I.: On the importance of synoptic classification methods with respect to environmental phenomena, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 32(5), 681–694, doi:10.1002/joc.2297, 2012.
- Dayan, U., Nissen, K. and Ulbrich, U.: Review Article: Atmospheric conditions inducing extreme precipitation over the eastern and western Mediterranean, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 15(11), 2525–2544, doi:10.5194/nhess-15-2525-2015, 5 2015.
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G. and Bauer, P.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 137(656), 553–597, doi:10.1002/qj.828, 2011.
- Dixon, M. and Wiener, G.: TITAN: Thunderstorm Identification, Tracking, Analysis, and Nowcasting—A Radar-based 10 Methodology, *J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol.*, 10(6), 785–797, doi:10.1175/1520-0426(1993)010<0785:TTITAA>2.0.CO;2, 1993.
- Doswell, C. a., Brooks, H. E. and Maddox, R. a.: Flash Flood Forecasting: An Ingredients-Based Methodology, *Weather Forecast.*, 11(4), 560–581, doi:10.1175/1520-0434(1996)011<0560:FFFAIB>2.0.CO;2, 1996.
- Faurès, J.-M., Goodrich, D. C., Woolhiser, D. A. and Sorooshian, S.: Impact of small-scale spatial rainfall variability on 15 runoff modeling, *J. Hydrol.*, 173(1995), 309–326, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(95)02704-S, 1995.
- Féral, L.: HYCELL—A new hybrid model of the rain horizontal distribution for propagation studies: 2. Statistical modeling of the rain rate field, *Radio Sci.*, 38(3), 1–18, doi:10.1029/2002RS002803, 2003.
- Féral, L., Mesnard, F., Sauvageot, H., Castanet, L. and Lemorton, J.: Rain Cells Shape and Orientation Distribution in South-West of France, , 25(10), 1073–1078, 2000.
- 20 Goldreich, Y.: *The Climate of Israel: Observation, Research and Application*, Kluwer Acad., New York., 2003.
- Goldreich, Y., Mozes, H. and Rosenfeld, D.: Radar Analysis of Cloud Systems and Their Rainfall Yield in Israel, *Isr. J. Earth Sci.*, 53(February), 63–76, doi:10.1560/G68K-30MN-D5V0-KUHU, 2004.
- Goodrich, D. C., Faurès, J.-M., Woolhiser, D. a., Lane, L. J. and Sorooshian, S.: Measurement and analysis of small-scale convective storm rainfall variability, *J. Hydrol.*, 173(1–4), 283–308, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(95)02703-R, 1995.
- 25 Greenbaum, N., Ben-Zvi, A., Haviv, I. and Enzel, Y.: The hydrology and paleohydrology of the Dead Sea tributaries, *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.*, 401(5), 63–93, doi:10.1130/2006.2401(05), 2006.
- von Hardenberg, J.: The shape of convective rain cells, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30(24), 4–7, doi:10.1029/2003GL018539, 2003.

- Hewitson, B. C. and Crane, R. G.: Self-organizing maps, Application to synoptic climatology, *Clim. Res.*, 22, 13–26, doi:10.3354/cr022013, 2002.
- Houze, R. .: Orographic Effects on Precipitating Clouds, *Rev. Geophys.*, doi:10.1029/2011RG000365, 2012.
- Johnson, J. T., MacKeen, P. L., Witt, A., Mitchell, E. D. W., Stumpf, G. J., Eilts, M. D. and Thomas, K. W.: The Storm Cell Identification and Tracking Algorithm: An Enhanced WSR-88D Algorithm, *Weather Forecast.*, 13(2), 263–276, doi:10.1175/1520-0434(1998)013<0263:TSCIAT>2.0.CO;2, 1998.
- 5
- Kahana, R., Ziv, B., Enzel, Y. and Dayan, U.: Synoptic climatology of major floods in the Negev Desert, Israel, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 22(7), 867–882, doi:10.1002/joc.766, 2002.
- Kahana, R., Ziv, B., Dayan, U. and Enzel, Y.: Atmospheric predictors for major floods in the Negev Desert, Israel, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 24(9), 1137–1147, doi:10.1002/joc.1056, 2004.
- 10
- Karklinsky, M. and Morin, E.: Spatial characteristics of radar-derived convective rain cells over southern Israel, *Meteorol. Zeitschrift*, 15(5), 513–520, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0153, 2006.
- Krajewski, W. F. and Smith, J. a.: Radar hydrology: rainfall estimation, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 25(8–12), 1387–1394, doi:10.1016/S0309-1708(02)00062-3, 2002.
- 15
- Krichak, S. O. and Alpert, P.: Role of large scale moist dynamics in November 1-5 , 1994 , , 103, 1998.
- Kyznarová, H. and Novák, P.: CELLTRACK — Convective cell tracking algorithm and its use for deriving life cycle characteristics, *Atmos. Res.*, 93(1–3), 317–327, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2008.09.019, 2009.
- Llasat, M. C., Llasat-Botija, M., Prat, M. a., Porcú, F., Price, C., Mugnai, a., Lagouvardos, K., Kotroni, V., Katsanos, D., Michaelides, S., Yair, Y., Savvidou, K. and Nicolaides, K.: High-impact floods and flash floods in Mediterranean countries: the FLASH preliminary database, *Adv. Geosci.*, 23, 47–55, doi:10.5194/adgeo-23-47-2010, 2010.
- 20
- Marra, F. and Morin, E.: Use of radar QPE for the derivation of Intensity-Duration-Frequency curves in a range of climatic regimes, *J. Hydrol.*, 531, 427–440, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.08.064, 2015.
- Marra, F., Morin, E., Peleg, N., Mei, Y. and Anagnostou, E. N.: Intensity–Duration–Frequency curves from remote sensing rainfall estimates: comparing satellite and weather radar over the Eastern Mediterranean, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/hess-2016-597, accepted for publication, 2017.
- 25
- Morin, E. and Gabella, M.: Radar-based quantitative precipitation estimation over Mediterranean and dry climate regimes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112(D20), D20108, doi:10.1029/2006JD008206, 2007.

- Morin, E. and Yakir, H.: Hydrological impact and potential flooding of convective rain cells in a semi-arid environment, *Hydrol. Sci. J.*, 59(7), 1353–1362, doi:10.1080/02626667.2013.841315, 2014.
- Morin, E., Enzel, Y., Shamir, U. and Garti, R.: The characteristic time scale for basin hydrological response using radar data, *J. Hydrol.*, 252(1–4), 85–99, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(01)00451-6, 2001.
- 5 Morin, E., Goodrich, D. C., Maddox, R. a., Gao, X., Gupta, H. V. and Sorooshian, S.: Spatial patterns in thunderstorm rainfall events and their coupling with watershed hydrological response, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 29(6), 843–860, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2005.07.014, 2006.
- Morin, E., Jacoby, Y., Navon, S. and Bet-Halachmi, E.: Towards flash-flood prediction in the dry Dead Sea region utilizing radar rainfall information, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 32(7), 1066–1076, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2008.11.011, 2009.
- 10 Nied, M., Pardowitz, T., Nissen, K., Ulbrich, U., Hundecha, Y. and Merz, B.: On the relationship between hydro-meteorological patterns and flood types, *J. Hydrol.*, 519(PD), 3249–3262, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.09.089, 2014.
- Northrop, P.: A clustered spatial-temporal model of rainfall, , 1875–1888, doi:10.1098/rspa.1998.0238, 1997.
- Peleg, N. and Morin, E.: Convective rain cells: Radar-derived spatiotemporal characteristics and synoptic patterns over the eastern Mediterranean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117(D15), D15116, doi:10.1029/2011JD017353, 2012.
- 15 Peleg, N., Ben-Asher, M. and Morin, E.: Radar subpixel-scale rainfall variability and uncertainty: Lessons learned from observations of a dense rain-gauge network, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 17(6), 2195–2208, doi:10.5194/hess-17-2195-2013, 2013.
- Peleg, N., Shamir, E., Georgakakos, K. P. and Morin, E.: A framework for assessing hydrological regime sensitivity to climate change in a convective rainfall environment: a case study of two medium-sized eastern Mediterranean catchments, 20 Israel, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 19(1), 567–581, doi:10.5194/hess-19-567-2015, 2015a.
- Peleg, N., Bartov, M. and Morin, E.: CMIP5-predicted climate shifts over the East Mediterranean: implications for the transition region between Mediterranean and semi-arid climates, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 35(8), 2144–2153, doi:10.1002/joc.4114, 2015b.
- Peleg, N., Marra, F., Fatichi, S., Paschalis, A., Molnar, P. and Burlando, P.: Spatial variability of extreme rainfall at radar 25 subpixel scale, *J. Hydrol.*, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.05.033, 2016.
- Ries, F., Schmidt, S., Sauter, M. and Lange, J.: Controls on runoff generation along a steep climatic gradient in the Eastern Mediterranean, *J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud.*, 9, 18–33, doi:10.1016/j.ejrh.2016.11.001, 2017.

- Rinehart, R. E. and Garvey, E. T.: Three-dimensional storm motion detection by conventional weather radar, *Nature*, 273, 287–289, doi:10.1038/273287a0, 1978.
- Rozalis, S., Morin, E., Yair, Y. and Price, C.: Flash flood prediction using an uncalibrated hydrological model and radar rainfall data in a Mediterranean watershed under changing hydrological conditions, *J. Hydrol.*, 394(1–2), 245–255, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.03.021, 2010.
- Saaroni, H., Halfon, N., Ziv, B., Alpert, P. and Kutiel, H.: Links between the rainfall regime in Israel and location and intensity of Cyprus lows, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 30(7), 1014–1025, doi:10.1002/joc.1912, 2010.
- Saaroni, H., Ziv, B., Lempert, J., Gazit, Y. and Morin, E.: Prolonged dry spells in the Levant region: Climatologic-synoptic analysis, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 2236(September 2014), 2223–2236, doi:10.1002/joc.4143, 2014.
- Segond, M. L., Wheater, H. S. and Onof, C.: The significance of spatial rainfall representation for flood runoff estimation: A numerical evaluation based on the Lee catchment, UK, *J. Hydrol.*, 347(1–2), 116–131, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.09.040, 2007.
- Shamir, E., Ben-Moshe, L., Ronen, A., Grodek, T., Enzel, Y., Georgakakos, K. P. and Morin, E.: Geomorphology-based index for detecting minimal flood stages in arid alluvial streams, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 17(3), 1021–1034, doi:10.5194/hess-17-1021-2013, 2013.
- Sharon, D. and Kutiel, H.: The distribution of rainfall intensity in Israel, its regional and seasonal variations and its climatological evaluation, *J. Climatol.*, 6(3), 277–291, doi:10.1002/joc.3370060304, 1986.
- Singh, V. P.: Effect of spatial and temporal variability in rainfall and watershed characteristics on stream flow hydrograph, *Hydrol. Process.*, 11(12), 1649–1669, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(19971015)11:12<1649::AID-HYP495>3.0.CO;2-1, 1997.
- Smith, J. a., Baeck, M. L., Morrison, J. E. and Sturdevant-Rees, P.: Catastrophic Rainfall and Flooding in Texas, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 1(1), 5–25, doi:10.1175/1525-7541(2000)001<0005:CRAFIT>2.0.CO;2, 2000.
- Smith, J. a., Baeck, M. L., Villarini, G. and Krajewski, W. F.: The Hydrology and Hydrometeorology of Flooding in the Delaware River Basin, *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 11(4), 841–859, doi:10.1175/2010JHM1236.1, 2010.
- Smith, J. A., Baeck, M. L., Morrison, J. E., Sturdevant-Rees, P., Turner-Gillespie, D. F. and Bates, P. D.: The Regional Hydrology of Extreme Floods in an Urbanizing Drainage Basin., *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 3(3), 267, doi:10.1175/1525-7541(2002)003<0267:TRHOEF>2.0.CO;2, 2002.

- Steiner, M., Houze, R. A. and Yuter, S. E.: Climatological Characterization of Three-Dimensional Storm Structure from Operational Radar and Rain Gauge Data, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 34(9), 1978–2007, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1995)034<1978:CCOTDS>2.0.CO;2, 1995.
- 5 Syed, K. H., Goodrich, D. C., Myers, D. E. and Sorooshian, S.: Spatial characteristics of thunderstorm rainfall fields and their relation to runoff, *J. Hydrol.*, 271(1–4), 1–21, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(02)00311-6, 2003.
- Tarolli, P., Borga, M., Morin, E. and Delrieu, G.: Analysis of flash flood regimes in the North-Western and South-Eastern Mediterranean regions, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 12(5), 1255–1265, doi:10.5194/nhess-12-1255-2012, 2012.
- Tubi, A. and Dayan, U.: Tropical Plumes over the Middle East: Climatology and synoptic conditions, *Atmos. Res.*, 145–146, 168–181, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.03.028, 2014.
- 10 Warner, T. T.: *Desert Meteorology.*, 2004.
- Wheater, H., Isham, V., Cox, D., Chandler, R., Kakou, a, Northrop, P., Oh, L., Onof, C. and Rodriguez-Iturbe, I.: Spatial-temporal rainfall fields: modelling and statistical aspects, , doi:10.5194/hess-4-581-2000, 2000.
- Wheater, H. S., Butler, A. P., Stewart, E. J. and Hamilton, G. S.: A multivariate spatial-temporal model of rainfall in southwest Saudi Arabia. I. Spatial rainfall characteristics and model formulation, *J. Hydrol.*, 125(3–4), 175–199, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(91)90028-G, 1991.
- 15 Wright, D., Smith, J. and Baeck, M.: Flood frequency analysis using radar rainfall fields and stochastic storm transposition, *Water Resour. Res.*, 1592–1615, doi:10.1002/2013WR014224.Received, 2014.
- Yair, A. and Lavee, H.: Runoff generation in arid and semiarid zones, *Hydrol. Forecast.*, (January), 183–220, 1985.
- Yakir, H. and Morin, E.: Hydrologic response of a semi-arid watershed to spatial and temporal characteristics of convective rain cells, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 15(1), 393–404, doi:10.5194/hess-15-393-2011, 2011.
- 20 Yang, L., Smith, J., Baeck, M. L. and Zhang, Y.: Flash flooding in small urban watersheds: Stormevent hydrologic response, *Water Resour. Res.*, 52, 1–20, doi:10.1002/2014WR015716, 2016a.
- Yang, L., Smith, J., Baeck, M. L., Smith, B., Tian, F. and Niyogi, D.: Structure and evolution of flash flood producing storms in a small urban watershed, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 9301–9323, doi:10.1002/2014JD021636.Received, 2016b.
- 25 Zangvil, A. and Druian, P.: Upper air trough axis orientation and the spatial distribution of rainfall over Israel, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 10, 57–62, 1990.

Zangvil, A., Karas, S. and Sasson, A.: Connection between Eastern Mediterranean seasonal mean 500 hPa height and sea-level pressure patterns and the spatial rainfall distribution over Israel, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 23(13), 1567–1576, doi:10.1002/joc.955, 2003.

5 Ziv, B.: A subtropical rainstorm associated with a tropical plume over Africa and the Middle-East, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 69(1–2), 91–102, doi:10.1007/s007040170037, 2001.

Ziv, B., Dayan, U. and Sharon, D.: A mid-winter, tropical extreme flood-producing storm in southern Israel: Synoptic scale analysis, *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, 88(1–2), 53–63, doi:10.1007/s00703-003-0054-7, 2004.

Ziv, B., Dayan, U., Kushnir, Y., Roth, C. and Enzel, Y.: Regional and global atmospheric patterns governing rainfall in the southern Levant, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 26(1), 55–73, doi:10.1002/joc.1238, 2006.

10 Zoccatelli, D., Borga, M., Zanon, F., Antonescu, B. and Stancalie, G.: Which rainfall spatial information for flash flood response modelling? A numerical investigation based on data from the Carpathian range, Romania, *J. Hydrol.*, 394(1–2), 148–161, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.07.019, 2010.




Figure 1: Map of the Eastern Mediterranean area presenting radar location and coordinates used to derive SLP differences for synoptic classification (a). A map of the study area with the Darga and Teqoa catchments (b). Isohyets over the study region represent long-term (30 years, 1980-2010) mean annual rainfall [mm].

5

10

Table 1: Morphological and hydrological characteristics of Darga and Teqoa catchments.

Catchment property	Darga	Teqoa
Area [km ²]	73	140
Height range [m above sea level]	-19 to +813	-20 to +992
Mean channel gradient [-]	0.027	0.029
Mean hillslope gradient [-]	0.114	0.135
Percentage of desert soils [%]	42	37
Maximal observed peak discharge [m ³ s ⁻¹]*	61.2	158.5
Average number of flow events per year*	1.96	2.13
Threshold discharge value (according to Shamir et al. [2013]) [m ³ s ⁻¹]	0.25	2
*Data record of hydrological years 1990/1991-2014/2015		

5

10

15




Figure 2: An example of a rain cell derivation for 03 December 1996, 13:10 (UTC) over the Darga and Teqoa catchments. Radar rainfall for the examined time (a), a segment of an identified rain cell and its fitted ellipse (b). The spatial properties of the presented cell are: area - $90 km^2$, orientation - 51 degrees, major axis length - 15 km, minor axis length - 9 km, ellipticity - 0.58, max rainfall intensity - $47 mm h^{-1}$, mean rainfall intensity - $20 mm h^{-1}$.

5

10

15

20




Figure 3: Average SLP [hPa] (black contour lines) and geopotential height [m] at 500 hPa (in color) for each cluster; (a) Cyprus low, (b) low to the east and (c) Active Red Sea Trough.

5

10

15

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation (in parentheses) of spatial and temporal properties of events and derived convective rain cells.

	Number of events	Total number of rain cells	<u>Number of cells in a rain event</u>	Event duration [h]	Cell area [km ²]	Major axis length [km]	Minor axis length [km]	Maximum rain intensity [mm h ⁻¹]	Mean areal rain intensity [mm h ⁻¹]	Ellipticity [-]	Orientation [deg.]	Average lifetime [min]	Mean velocity [m s ⁻¹]	Mean direction [deg.]
All events	424	10,4474	<u>24.6 (31.4)</u>	5.4 (6.5)	92.1 (102.7)	13. <u>67</u> (7.4)	<u>7-6.9</u> (3. <u>65</u>)	26.6 (19.2)	12.3 (8.4)	0.57 (0.09)	14.4 (25.9)	18.1 (11.4)	12.8 (5.7)	273.9 (29.9)
Synoptic system														
CL	128	3,042	<u>23.7 (29.5)</u>	5.5 (6.3)	110.4 (110.6)	15.6 (8.2)	7.7 (3.7)	22.8 (14.6)	10.6 (4.2)	0.54 (0.09)	16.1 (25.5)	18.1 (10.5)	14.5 (6.2)	267.6 (24.9)
LE	186	4,9642	<u>26.7 (36.1)</u>	6.3 (7.4)	88.2 (98.6)	13. <u>04</u> (6.9)	<u>6.89</u> (3.4)	26.4 (18.2)	12.2 (7)	0.58 (0. <u>109</u>)	14.8 (26.4)	17.7 (8.7)	12.3 (5.5)	277 (30.3)
ARST	110	2,4410	<u>22.19 (24.1)</u>	3.8 (4.6)	77.2 (97.6)	12. <u>34</u> (6.9)	6.3 (3.5)	31.5 (24.3)	14.5 (12.7)	0.57 (0.1)	11.8 (25.6)	20.8 (14.6)	11.4 (5)	276.5 (33.7)
P-value*			<u>0.46</u>	<0.01	0.03	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	0.03	0.42	0.03	<0.01	<0.01
Significance differences**				2	1	1,3	1	1	1	3		2	1,3	1,3
<p>*P-value <u>offer</u> the ANOVA test <u>applied</u> for <u>the</u> different rain cell properties between the three synoptic systems. All data sets were tested first for variance heterogeneity using Levene's test (with squared deviations). Box-Cox transformation technique was applied to properties of unequal variances to obtain normality. In these cases, Welch's test followed by a multiple comparison using the Bonferroni method was used. **Pair of groups of significance difference at 0.05 level: (1) ARST-CL, (2) ARST-LE, (3) CL-LE.</p>														





Figure 4: Empirical probability density functions of mean areal (blue) and maximum (black) rain intensities of rain cells over the Dead Sea (smoothed). μ represents mean, M represents median.

5

10

15



5 | **Figure 5: Comparison of rain cells properties (averaged for each rain event) of the three synoptic systems: CL (dark blue), LE (light blue) and ARST (orange); (a) average event duration [h], (b) cell area [km²], (c) minor axis length [km], (d) major axis length [km], (e) ellipticity [-], (f) mean areal rain intensity [mm h⁻¹], (g) average velocity [m s⁻¹] and (h) average lifetime [min]. Black line in each boxplot marks the median, black ~~dot~~-diamond the mean, boxes lower and upper borders mark the 25% and 75% quartiles, respectively, and the whiskers mark minimum and maximum values unless these values exceed 1.5 * IQR (inter quartile range - the distance between lower and upper quartiles). Outliers are not shown. See Table 2 for numerical values of mean and standard deviation.**




Figure 6: Advection (velocity and direction) distributions of: CL (a), LE (b) and ARST (c) events.

5

10

15





Figure 7: Calculated RMSVE between average advection components and wind zonal and meridional components obtained from Era-Interim reanalysis for pressure levels of 500-1000 hPa for each synoptic system.

5

10

15



5 | **Figure 8: Mean rain cells' properties along a north (right)-south (left) axis of the different synoptic systems: (a) number of cells*, (b) cell area [km²], (c) average velocity [m s⁻¹], (d) mean areal rain intensity [mm h⁻¹]. Potentially related variables along the same axis: (e) maximal topographic height [m a.s.l], (f) distance from shoreline [km] and (g) mean annual rainfall [mm]. Each point represents mean or maximal value in a west to east strip (in [figures-panels](#) a-d strip is 2.5 km wide and a running average of 7.5 km is applied). *Number of cells is normalized to the relative strip area.**




Figure 9: Scatter plot of rain events' mean areal rain depth over the catchments vs. duration, for flash-flood associated (filled circles) and non-flash-flood (empty circles) events, with respect to different synoptic types and different unit peak discharges of the flash-flood related events. In case of flow in both catchments, maximum unit peak discharge is presented. Over 90% of the watershed area is covered by rainfall during flash-flood related events (79% for the non-flash-flood related events). Event duration refers to the part of the event where rain cells were found over the catchments.




Figure 10: Comparison of dominant flash-flood related cells (blue, N=29) and non-flash-flood related cells (grey, N=144) properties: (a) cell area [km^2], (b) areal coverage [km^2], (c) average velocity [m s^{-1}], (d) average lifetime [min], (e) ellipticity, (f) orientation [deg.], (g) mean areal rain intensity [mm h^{-1}], (h) maximum rain intensity [mm h^{-1}]. Values are averaged over the dominant cell's lifetime. **Box-plot properties are as specified in Fig. 5. Reported P-values are of the ANOVA test applied between dominant flash-flood related cells and non-flash-flood related cells. All data sets were tested first for variance heterogeneity using Levene's test (with squared deviations). Box-Cox transformation technique was applied to properties of unequal variances to obtain normality. In these cases, Welch's test was used.**

|




Figure 11: Advection (velocity and direction) distributions of dominant rain cells for: (a) non-flash-flood events (490 cells, 144 events), (b) flash-flood events (220 cells, 29 events) and (c) high-magnitude flash-flood events (111 cells, 15 events). High magnitude is defined based on median values of measured flash-floods in Darga and Teqoa, used in this analysis.

5

10

15

20