

## ***Interactive comment on “The evolution of process-based hydrologic models: Historical challenges and the collective quest for physical realism” by Martyn P. Clark et al.***

**J Ding**

johnding\_toronto@yahoo.com

Received and published: 19 January 2017

An engineer's retrospective

In the eyes of the authors, modern process-based hydrology may have started with the blueprint of Freeze and Harlan (1969). But applied hydrology, of which I've had some familiarity, also had similar process-based beginnings.

One standard text I consulted from time to time was one by Chow (1959). Discussed in this, though strictly not a hydrology text, were some hydrologic techniques still in use

C1

these days, such as Horton's and Izzard's overland flow, and the Muskingum channel routing (Chow, 1959, pp.543–549, 604–608).

An earliest synthesis or integration of these surface–water processes had led, in 1967, to a nonlinear Muskingum–storage–type response function as follows (Ding, 2011, Eq. (4), and references cited therein):

$$Q = C^N S^N - C_1 (dS/dt), \quad N > 0, C > 0, 1 \geq C_1 \geq 0.$$

in which  $Q$  is the discharge ( $L/T$ ),  $S$  is the stored water ( $L$ ),  $t$  is time ( $T$ ),  $N$  is an exponent ( $-$ ),  $C$  is a scale parameter ( $(L/T)^{1/N}/L$ ), and  $C_1$  is a weight ( $-$ ).

The embedded  $(dS/dt)$ -term reflects the mass balance or closure for a control volume. The storage–discharge relation as parameterized above may be considered an energy closure for a subsurface flow system as well (e.g. Ibrahim and Brutsaert, 1965, and discussion by Ding (1966) therein; Hammond and Han, 2006, Eq. (3), and especially its linearized exact solution, Eq. (7)).

Could this storage–driven response function be the elusive "Holy Grail" of scientific hydrology that Beven (2006) and others have been searching for? (See Page 2, Lines 72–76.)

References

Chow, V. T. : Open-channel hydraulics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1959.

C2

Ding, J. Y.: A measure of watershed nonlinearity: interpreting a variable instantaneous unit hydrograph model on two vastly different sized watersheds, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 15, 405-423, doi:10.5194/hess-15-405-2011, 2011.

Hammond, M. and Han, D.: Recession curve estimation for storm event separations. *Journal of Hydrology*, 330(3), pp.573-585, 2006.

Ibrahim, H. A., and Brutsaert, W.: Inflow hydrographs from large unconfined aquifers, *J.Irrig.Drain.Div., Am.Soc.Civ.Eng.*, 91(IR2), 21-38, 1965.

---

Interactive comment on *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/hess-2016-693, 2017.