1 A two parameter design storm for Mediterranean

2 convective rainfall

- 3 Authors: R. García-Bartual and I. Andrés-Doménech
- 4 **MS No.**: hess-2016-644
- 5 Status: Open Discussion on HESSD

6

7 Response to Referee Comment 2016-644-RC2 – Anonymous Referee #2

- 8 The authors are grateful for the observations and comments made by reviewer #2
- 9 He suggests a comparative analysis using $\Delta t=5$ minutes, which was actually contemplated by
- 10 the authors when dealing with section 5 of the draft. As described in our answer to the
- 11 reviewer, the final choice of $\Delta t=10$ minutes has a scientific basis and is supported by the
- 12 results of previous research.

13

14

1. On the number of blocks and the time level of aggregation

- Regarding the number of blocks used to represent the design storm, we must make it clear
- that it is not arbitrary. On the contrary, it is completely defined by two factors, as it is also
- shown in the answer to reviewer #3 (minor remark #f):
- 18 a) On the one hand, the duration of the storm, which essentially depends on the value of
- 19 parameter φ, so that the duration is pre-established before building the design storm.
- Parameter φ defines the temporal pattern of the rainfall, and originally derives from the
- original rainfall events of the historical registers used.

22

- 23 b) The time level of aggregation, Δt . On this point, the two natural choices for this study
- were $\Delta t=5$ minutes or $\Delta t=10$ minutes. Logically, in the first case, there would have
- resulted more blocks for the design storm, in line with the suggestion made by reviewer 2.
- 26 From a practical point of view, the procedure does present any added difficulty.
- Nevertheless, for the purpose of comparison with the method of alternating blocks, the
- authors choice was $\Delta t=10$ minutes in favour of a greater reliability. Indeed, a thorough
- 29 investigation has been done into the significant degree of uncertainty arising in IDF

curves for durations under 10 minutes, particularly for the Mediterranean area studied (Garcia-Bartual and Schneider, 2001; Vaskova, 2001). Both references are in the original manuscript. From our point of view, Δt =10 gives enough resolution to the storm definition, and provides a sufficient representation of the time pattern of the design storm, with more reliability.

- 7 This question from reviewer #2 helped to find two errors in the manuscript: Page 13, line 26:
- 8 It should say 6 blocks, instead of 7 blocks. Table 4, storm 3, it should say 6 blocks instead of
- 9 7. Both errors are corrected in the new version of the manuscript.