

Interactive comment on “Calibration of a parsimonious distributed ecohydrological daily model in a data scarce basin using exclusively the spatio-temporal variation of NDVI” by Guiomar Ruiz-Pérez et al.

PhD Ruiz-Pérez

guiomar.ruiz.perez@slu.se

Received and published: 20 February 2017

It is stimulating and motivating to read that the manuscript strength is its scientific argument. As first author, I played the role of main writer and I am obviously not native English speaker. I tried my hardest to mimic English constructions, to be precise with the vocabulary and to reach international English standards. However, I am aware there may be mistakes and it could be a pity to not be precise or understandable due to language issues. As you suggested, the manuscript will be improved either by a professional language editor or by taking advantage of one of the co-authors on board

C1

who is native English speaker.

Regarding to the scientific referencing suggestions:

Page 5, section 3: Paragraph two: “Soil texture ranges from sandy clay to clay soils (According to the 1980 UNESCO Soil Map)” → ‘according to the’ will be removed or changed by ‘Data source: 1980 UNESCO Soil Map’

Page 5, section 3: Paragraph three. “Daily precipitation and temperature from 1959 to 2003 were validated by Franz et al. (2010).” → Completely agreed. The term ‘validated’ is ambiguous. It will be changed by ‘statistically analysed’

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., doi:10.5194/hess-2016-573, 2016.

C2