2

Simulating cold-region hydrology in an intensively drained agricultural watershed in Manitoba, Canada, using the Cold Regions Hydrological Model

Marcos R.C. Cordeiro, Henry F. Wilson,*, Jason Vanrobaeys, John W. Pomeroy, and Xing
Fang

M.R.C. Cordeiro, Science and Technology Branch, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada,
200-303 Main Street, Winnipeg, MB, R3C 3G7; H.F. Wilson, Science and Technology Branch,
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 2701 Grand Valley Rd., Brandon, MB, R7A 5Y3; J.
Vanrobaeys, Science and Technology Branch, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 101 Route
100, Morden, MB, R6M 1Y5. J.W. Pomeroy and X. Fang, Centre for Hydrology, University of
Saskatchewan, 117 Science Place, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5C8. *Corresponding author
(henry.wilson@agr.gc.ca).

12 Abstract

13 Eutrophication and flooding are perennial problems in agricultural watersheds of the 14 northern Great Plains. A high proportion of annual runoff and nutrient transport occurs with 15 snowmelt in this region. Extensive surface drainage modification, frozen soils, and frequent 16 backwater or ice damming impacts on flow measurement represent unique challenges to 17 accurately modelling watershed scale hydrological processes. A physically-based, non-calibrated 18 model created using the Cold Regions Hydrological Modelling platform (CRHM) was 19 parameterized to simulate hydrological processes within a low slope, clay soil, and intensively 20 surface drained agricultural watershed. These characteristics are common to most tributaries of 21 the Red River of the North. Analysis of the observed water level records for the study watershed 22 (La Salle River) indicates that ice cover and backwater issues at time of peak flow may impact 23 the accuracy of both modelled and measured stream flows, highlighting the value of evaluating a

24 non-calibrated model in this environment. Simulations best matched the streamflow record in 25 years when peak and annual discharges were equal to or above the medians of 6.7 $\text{m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and 1.25 $\times 10^7$ m³, respectively, with an average Nash-Sutcliff efficiency (NSE) of 0.76. Simulation of 26 27 low-flow years (below the medians) was more challenging (average NSE <0) with simulated 28 discharge overestimated by 90% on average. This result indicates the need for improved 29 understanding of hydrological response in the watershed under drier conditions. Simulation 30 during dry years was improved when infiltration was allowed prior to soil thaw indicating the 31 potential importance of preferential flow. Representation of in-channel dynamics and travel time 32 under the flooded or ice-jam conditions should also receive attention in further model 33 development efforts. Despite the complexities of the study watershed, simulations of flow for 34 average to high flow years and other components of the water balance were robust [snow water 35 equivalency (SWE) and soil moisture]. A sensitivity analysis of the flow routing model suggests 36 a need for improved understanding of watershed functions under both dry and flooded conditions 37 due to dynamic routing conditions, but overall CRHM is appropriate for simulation of 38 hydrological processes in agricultural watersheds of the Red River. Falsifications of snow 39 sublimation, snow transport, and infiltration to frozen soils processes in the validated base model 40 indicate that these processes were very influential to stream discharge generation.

41 KEY WORDS: Prairie hydrology; cold-region; snowmelt; physically-based modelling;
42 agricultural watershed; intensively drained.

43

1. Introduction

44 The Red River Basin spans over 122,730 km² and encompasses portions of Canada
45 (provinces of Manitoba and Saskatchewan) and United States (North Dakota, South Dakota, and
46 Minnesota), with almost three quarters of its land used for agriculture (Benoy et al., 2016). As a

47 result, most of the hydrology and nutrient transport in that basin is strongly influenced by this 48 land use. The interaction between agricultural management and the hydrology of cold regions 49 remains a topic of ongoing research (Rahman et al., 2014; King et al., 2015) given the need for 50 improved understanding of processes such as prevalence of preferential flow paths, enhanced 51 hydrological connectivity promoted by drainage, and impact of different cropping systems on 52 runoff generation. Hydrological models have been utilized at varying spatial scales to model the 53 hydrology of agricultural areas of cold-climate countries such as Finland (Grizzetti et al., 2003; 54 Knisel and Turtola, 2000), Russia (Schierhorn et al., 2014a; Schierhorn et al., 2014b), and 55 Canada (Yang et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2009). Overall, little research addressing specificities of 56 agriculture in cold region hydrology is available in the literature, although this activity is quite 57 relevant in northern latitude regions such as the northern great plains [North America; 58 (Desaulniers and Gritzner, 2006; Li et al., 2010; Sharp, 1952; Wishart, 2004)], northwest Europe 59 [Scandinavia; (Parry et al., 1988)], and northern Asia (Blanke et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2002). 60 Important challenges remain to modelling the hydrology of northern latitude agricultural 61 watersheds such as integrated modelling of cropping systems and hydrology, representation of 62 processes across spatial scales, and enhanced hydrologic connectivity. For example, crops 63 represented only 30% of the land use in a study in Finland using the Soil and Water Assessment 64 Tool (SWAT) making direct connection to agricultural process more challenging (Grizzetti et al., 65 2003), while an application of the GLEMS model in that country was done at plot scale (0.11 ha 66 in area) providing detail, but making scaling up a challenge (Knisel and Turtola, 2000). SWAT 67 simulations in Russia have focussed on productivity aspects of wheat only rather than 68 hydrological implications (Schierhorn et al., 2014b; Schierhorn et al., 2014a) and SWAT 69 exercises in Canada have focussed on finer scale simulation of a specific management practices

rather than evaluating process representation (i.e. 14.5 km² watershed area; Yang et al., 2009) or
were assessed on too coarse (i.e. monthly) time step for physically based modelling of snowmelt
(Yang et al., 2014).

73 Many popular models utilized for the simulation of hydrological processes in agricultural 74 watersheds were initially developed for regions where rainfall driven runoff is the primary 75 contributor to annual water yield. Snowmelt is the main source of streamflow in tributaries of 76 the Red River and accurate representation of hydrological processes in its agricultural tributaries 77 using popular models like SWAT has proved challenging. While SWAT has been used to 78 estimate water quality targets and beneficial management practices in Canada (Yang et al., 79 2012), significant modification of the original model is generally required to achieve a good fit 80 with calibration data (Watson et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2012) and improvement of the accuracy of 81 underlying representation of important hydrological processes (e.g. landscape representation, 82 stream routing) is ongoing (Douglas-Mankin et al., 2010).

83 As a result of the problems associated with adapting hydrological models developed for 84 more temperate conditions, a number of models that incorporate cold-region hydrological 85 processes have been developed, such as ARHYTHM (Zhang et al., 2000), VIC (Cherkauer et al., 86 2003), the TH-REW model (Mou et al., 2008; Tian et al., 2006), and the Cold Regions 87 Hydrological Modelling (CRHM) platform (Pomeroy et al., 2007). Of these models, CRHM 88 offers the most complete range of physically-based process representation for the Northern Great 89 Plains, including blowing snow, interception and sublimation of snow, energy balance snowmelt, 90 canopy influence on radiation, and infiltration to frozen soils (Pomeroy et al., 2007; Fang et al., 91 2013; Fang et al., 2010). Although CRHM currently does not feature a module to represent 92 nutrient dynamics in either soil or water, such modules are under development (Roste, 2015) and

93 the platform is a powerful tool for assessing watershed nutrient dynamics because of the well-94 established relationships between phosphorus concentrations and discharge rate in tributaries of 95 the Red River, including the La Salle River (McCullough et al., 2012), which is the focus of the 96 research presented here.

97 The CRHM platform has been successfully used to simulate hydrological processes in a 98 number of contrasting catchments in Canada (Dornes et al., 2008; Fang and Pomeroy, 2008; Ellis 99 et al., 2010), globally in western China (Zhou et al., 2014), Patagonia (Krogh et al., 2015), 100 German Alps (Weber et al., 2016), and Spanish Pyrenees (Rasouli et al., 2014), and in the 101 intensively studied South Tobacco Creek watershed that drains from the more steeply sloped 102 Pembina/Manitoba Escarpment feature into the Red River in Manitoba (Mahmood et al., 2017). 103 However, CRHM has not yet been used to simulate hydrological processes in the intensively 104 managed lowland agricultural tributaries of the Red River such as the La Salle River. 105 Characteristics in these watersheds include extensive artificial surface drainage, channelization 106 of the stream network, historical drainage of wetlands, clay soils, high fertilizer input, high crop 107 yields, high livestock densities, and highly connected drainage areas with little surface storage. 108 Simulation of cold-region hydrological processes in this landscape is particularly challenging 109 because of the combined effect of climate and land use management on water transport. Large 110 volumes of runoff can be produced when snowmelt is routed over frozen soils (Shook and 111 Pomeroy, 2010; Shook and Pomeroy, 2012) and this process is emphasized where surface 112 drainage enhancements and roadside ditches speed transport (Brunet and Westbrook, 2012; 113 Pomeroy et al., 2014). As a result, flows in streams of the Red River watershed tend to exhibit a 114 flashy response to snowmelt with large volumes of runoff from roadside ditches entering into 115 relatively small river channels that are still covered by ice at time of melting. This in-channel ice

116 restricts flow and frequently causes flooding and backwater conditions to develop (Gray and 117 Prowse, 1993). These conditions can lead to error in discharge measurements calculated using 118 stage-rating curves (Mosley and McKerchar, 1993) and also invalidate important assumptions of 119 flow routing models (Fread, 1993), thus making accurate prediction of flow more challenging. 120 For this reason, using a non-calibrated, but flexible physically-based model platform is an 121 attractive alternative to models primarily calibrated based on discharge measurements. The 122 application of CRHM will enhance the understanding of the hydrological controls in tributaries 123 of the Red River since concurrent measurements such as SWE and soil moisture can also be 124 utilized to gauge the accuracy of model process representations independent of uncertainties 125 associated with in-stream flow representation or measurement. At the same time, the limitations 126 of existing conceptual models and/or potential problems with discharge measurement should be 127 more apparent in the absence of calibration (Spence et al., 2013).

128 While CRHM has performed well in a number of watersheds across Canada, its 129 performance has not been evaluated in intensively managed agricultural watersheds in the 130 Canadian Prairies, which is home to 80% of the country's agriculture (Wheater and Gober, 131 2015). Prairie agro-ecosystems are characterized by modified topography, annual cropping 132 systems, and enhanced hydrologic connectivity that set them apart from other cold regions 133 ecosystems and it has been challenging to accurately model these processes using other 134 platforms. The objective of this study was to perform hydrological simulations in a framework 135 specifically designed to represent agricultural systems typical of the Red River Basin, to identify 136 challenges hindering satisfactory simulation of these systems, and to utilize the process based 137 CRHM model to identify where conceptual hydrological models for cold agricultural regions 138 might be improved. Specifically, CRHM was used to simulate the hydrology of an intensively

139 managed agricultural sub-watershed of the Red River Valley and to gain insight about the 140 dominant hydrological controls of streamflow in this landscape that, although unique, embodies 141 many of the problems faced by other cold regions. For example, phosphorus transport from 142 farmland, which is a problem in the Red River Basin (Rattan et al., 2016; Yates et al., 2012), is 143 also an issue in many other cold-climate regions, including north-west European countries such 144 as Norway, Sweden, United Kingdom and Ireland (Ulén et al., 2007). The research presented 145 here provides insight into those periods of time, weather conditions, and associated process 146 representations with which poor model performance is associated, indicating either limitations of 147 model input data or the need for improved understanding and representation of watershed 148 hydrology in the region.

149

2. Material and Methods

150 *2.1 Study site*

The study was conducted in a 189 km² sub-catchment of the La Salle River watershed (LS-151 152 05OG008; Fig. 1a), a tributary of the larger Red River which is located in the central plains 153 region of Manitoba, Canada (Graveline and Larter, 2006). Only the sub-catchment was used in 154 the present study due to weather data availability in an hourly time-step, which was required to 155 force some physically-based processes in CRHM, such as the Prairie Blowing Snow Model 156 (Pomeroy and Li, 2000). The study watershed is underlain by lacustrine clay deposited in glacial 157 Lake Agassiz; these deposits consist of a lower, dark grey clay and a thinner upper unit of lighter 158 coloured, calcareous silty clay, with surface texture being predominantly clayey (La Salle 159 Redboine Conservation District, 2007). The study area is located in the Prairie Ecozone, with 160 mean annual temperature around 2.5°C, mean summer temperature of 16°C and mean winter 161 temperature of -13°C; the mean annual precipitation is 560 mm, out of which around 25% takes

place as snow, while the potential mean annual gross evapotranspiration is about 834 mm (LaSalle Redboine Conservation District, 2007).

164 2.2 Hydrological and meteorological observations

165 Daily streamflow observations between 1990 and 2013 were obtained from the 166 hydrometric data (HYDAT) database (Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2013) for 167 Water Survey of Canada (WSC) gauging station 05OG008 (La Salle River near Elie; Fig.1b) 168 located at the outlet of the study watershed. Data collection at this location was seasonal from 169 1990 to 1996, and has been continuous from 2002 to present. The annual monitoring period for this station spans from March 1st to October 31st, with no data available during winter months; 170 171 thus, analyses were carried out using calendar years rather than water years (i.e. October 1st to September 30th) since the hydrometric data does not span over more than a calendar year. A gap 172 173 in available flow data exists between flooding in 1997 and instrument replacement in 2001. 174 Only flow data is available from HYDAT for the period prior to 1996, while flow and water 175 level were both recorded from 2002 onwards. Notes in the HYDAT metadata pertained to 2004 176 and 2008 indicated equipment malfunctions resulting in loss of data. For this reason, the periods 177 from 1997-2001, 2004, and 2008 were not used for model assessment.

The gauging station 05OG008 is located 80 meters downstream from a small water level control structure, which raises concerns about ice jamming and backwater issues. The operation of the control structure is not changed throughout the year (i.e. no stop-logs removed) since the purpose of the structure is to increase the storage of the river channel during the summer months (when discharge is low). Therefore, stream discharge is not significantly affected by human operations of the control structure. Ice conditions are flagged in the HYDAT daily records, but no further detail is provided. It was assumed that ice conditions meant complete or major ice

185 cover at initial ice breakup. While ice conditions are flagged in HYDAT, backwater and flooding 186 conditions are not indicated for the site. Thus, field notes from site visits were acquired from 187 WSC and used to determine potential backwater conditions. The threshold for flagging these 188 conditions in the present analysis was based on the minimum water level for which backwater 189 was recorded by WSC technicians on the field. This level was 239.3 m, observed on May 19, 190 2011, which is only 0.2 m below the full supply level (FSL) of the water level control structure 191 (239.5 m). Potential backwater conditions were assumed whenever the water level was above 192 this threshold. Since water levels in the HYDAT database were only available after 2002, this 193 analysis was carried out between 2002 and 2013. These potential backwater periods are noted in 194 figures displaying measured discharge. However, the presence of backwater conditions, although 195 common, were not consistently documented on the WSC field notes to provide verification of 196 occurrence or impact on measurement accuracy; so even where backwater conditions were 197 suspected, all flow data was assumed accurate and utilized in assessment of model performance. 198 The hourly weather data used to force CRHM was obtained from closest Environment 199 Canada weather station (Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2015) with available data 200 (Fig. 1a). Nearby stations are located at Portage Southport Airport, Winnipeg International 201 Airport, and Marquette (26.6, 47.9, and 9.9 km from the geometric centre of the study area, 202 respectively). Air temperature, wind speed, and relative humidity were obtained from the Portage 203 Southport Airport, solar radiation was acquired from the station located at the Winnipeg 204 International Airport, and precipitation was acquired from the weather station in Marquette. 205 Precipitation was available in a daily time-step and was disaggregated to an hourly time-step

using HyetosR (Kossieris et al., 2013), which is a package for the temporal stochastic simulation

207 of rainfall process at fine time scales based on Bartlett-Lewis rectangular pulses rainfall model
208 (Koutsoyiannis and Onof, 2001).

209

2.3 Watershed delineation and HRU definition

210 The soils, topography, and land use datasets used as model inputs in this study were 211 derived according to the principles described by Liu et al. (2013) for prediction in ungauged 212 basins. The sub-basins within the La Salle watershed (Fig. 1a) were defined in a previous 213 modelling exercise using the watershed delineation tool in SWAT (Yang et al., 2014) and the 90-214 m digital elevation model (DEM) derived from the NASA Shuttle Radar Topography Mission 215 data. Soil datasets with scales ranging from 1:20,000 to 1:126,720 were obtained from the 216 Manitoba Land Initiative (MLI) database. The soils textures in sub-catchment were 94% clay, 217 4% silt clay loam, 1% silt clay, and 1% silt loam. The land use datasets were acquired from MLI 218 for non-agricultural land uses and from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC) for cropping 219 systems. Cropping systems, or typical cropping rotations, were derived from nine years (2001-220 2009) of records from the Manitoba Agricultural Services Corporation (MASC) crop insurance 221 database, as well as land use defined by the Ag-Capture tool between 2009 and 2012 that 222 included each parcel of land in the study area (Yang et al., 2014). The Ag-Capture tool is a 223 geospatial, agricultural land-use inventory and mapping tool developed by Agriculture and Agri-224 Food Canada (OECD, 2013) that validates remote-sensed land use classification using field 225 surveys. The cropping system assigned to each parcel was based on the dominant or most 226 common crop grown while its representative crop rotation was based on the proportion of the 227 other most common crops grown on the same land parcel over the entire period of record. 228 Cropping systems used in the present model and the crops therein are shown in Table 1.

Using SWAT's watershed delineation tool, the entire La Salle watershed was divided into 229 230 38 sub-basins (Fig. 1a) (Yang et al., 2014). The study area was comprised of sub-basins 2, 3, 5 231 and 33; they correspond to the gross drainage area for the gauging station at the outlet of the 232 overall watershed (05OG008; Fig.1b). The hydrological response units (HRUs) used by CRHM 233 in this study were also based on the HRUs previously defined for the SWAT model (Yang et al., 234 2014), where HRUs are defined based on unique combinations of land use, soil, and topography. 235 The HRU-definition strategy for applying cropping systems was based on the land-use split 236 method (supplement material).

237 Due to the highly altered nature of the drainage network in the watershed and its low relief, 238 data collection was undertaken to ensure accurate delineation of routing. Initially the stream 239 network was defined based on digital water feature data from the Manitoba Land Initiative (MLI) 240 (1:20,000 Designated Drains) with supplemental ephemeral streams digitized based on aerial 241 photograph interpretation. The drainage ditch dataset, mainly comprised of roadside ditches, 242 utilized a culvert inventory along with LiDAR DEM (vertical accuracy ± 0.15 m) analysis to 243 determine flow direction to create ditch line segments that passed through culverts and ultimately 244 connected to the stream network. The stream and drainage ditch datasets were merged together 245 to create the final drainage network for the model (supplement material).

246

2.4 CRHM module selection

The Cold Region Hydrological Modelling platform (CRHM) was used to develop a custom hydrological model for LS-05OG008 (a flow chart summarizing the analysis described in the following sections is provided as supplementary material). CRHM is a modular object-oriented platform that allows the creation of customizable models varying from conceptual to physicallybased representations of the study area, according to the data availability and uncertainty in

252 process parameters of the basin (Harder and Pomeroy, 2014). A detailed description of CRHM 253 and its modules, which are based on decades of cold-region hydrological research in western and 254 northern Canada, is available in Pomeroy et al. (2007), with an update for agricultural 255 applications in the Canadian Prairies described by Fang et al. (2010). Physically-based modules 256 were defined and arranged sequentially to simulate the dominant hydrological processes in LS-257 05OG008. Table 2 lists the modules selected, their function, and the sequence in which they 258 were entered into the customized model (a chart describing the module structure is provided as 259 supplementary material). Similar model group structures have been successfully used to simulate 260 hydrological processes in the prairie pothole region of the Canadian Prairies (Fang and Pomeroy, 261 2008; Fang et al., 2010). The same model structure was applied to the four sub-basins of LS-262 05OG008 (i.e., sub-basins 2, 3, 5 and 33). Each sub-basin was represented in the model by a 263 Group, which is a collection of modules executed in sequence for all HRUs and connected with 264 one another by a Muskingum routing group.

265

2.5 CRHM module parameterization

266 The CRHM software does not make provision for calibration utilizing fitted variables; 267 rather, parameters are selected based on the understanding of the hydrological system (Pomeroy 268 et al., 2007). Since the land-use split approach was used, the HRU distribution was held constant 269 over the simulation period, which allowed for a single set of parameters to be used in the model 270 for each HRU. Sub-basin and HRU physiographic parameters (i.e. area, elevation, slope, aspect, 271 and latitude) were obtained from the DEM and HRU reports generated by SWAT during the 272 watershed delineation and HRU definition for a previous SWAT simulation in the La Salle 273 River watershed (Yang et al., 2014). The parameters of the soil and recharge layers (e.g. soil 274 texture, soil porosity, saturated hydraulic conductivity, and water holding capacity) were

| 275 | obtained or derived from the Soil Survey Reports published by the Province of Manitoba |
|-----|--|
| 276 | (Michalyna et al., 1972; Ehrlich et al., 1953). The pore size distribution index (λ) was defined |
| 277 | based on soil textures associated with clay (Brooks and Corey, 1966; Corey, 1994). |
| 278 | Crop seeding dates were defined based on a 10-year average (2000-2009) for each crop |
| 279 | based on crop insurance data obtained from MASC. Harvest dates were based on the length of |
| 280 | the growing season defined by consultation with farmers in the study area. Leaf area index |
| 281 | (LAI), vegetation height, and stalk diameter for the different crops were obtained from the |
| 282 | dataset collected by AAFC for the SMAPVEX12 Campaign in 2012 (Kim et al., 2014). The |
| 283 | SMAPVEX12 field sites were located between 13 and 45 km south of LS-05OG008 and are |
| 284 | located within the Red River watershed in the same or similar ecoregions as the La Salle River |
| 285 | watershed (Lake Manitoba Plain or Interlake Plain). The Penman–Monteith method was used to |
| 286 | calculate evapotranspiration from crop HRUs, while the Priestley-Taylor method was used to |
| 287 | calculate evaporation from open-water HRUs (e.g. wetland, river channel). |
| 288 | Although located in the Canadian Prairies, the runoff routing in the LS-05OG008 sub- |
| 289 | catchment does not follow the typical sequence of land uses further west in this region described |
| 290 | by Fang et al. (2010) (i.e. fallow, stubble, and pasture routed to woodland and then to wetland, |
| 291 | open water, and river channel). Rather, the flat and intensively managed characteristics of the La |
| 292 | Salle watershed result in a lack of any typical routing sequence based on land use. For this |
| 293 | reason, uplands were routed directly to drainage channels in LS-05OG008. For each HRU, the |
| 294 | routing length was calculated as the median of the distances from each HRU to the drainage |
| 295 | network, as obtained using 'near' tool in ArcGIS 10.1 (ESRI, Redlands, California). The |
| 296 | distances to the drainage network were estimated from the 2009 land use coverage using the Ag- |
| 297 | Capture tool. |

298 The maximum depression storage of the HRUs was calculated using the methodology 299 described by Fang et al. (2010) for upland areas, where volume and area were calculated from 300 the same LiDAR DEM used to derive the final drainage network. Briefly, the 'fill' tool was used 301 to level off the original DEM and the 'cut/fill' tool used to calculate the area and volume from 302 the difference between the original and the leveled DEMs. Muskingum routing within and 303 between sub-basins of LS-05OG008 was setup according to Fang et al. (2013). The storage 304 parameter used in the Muskingum routing module was set to zero, based on the typical pattern 305 observed for regional agricultural runoff monitoring where individual diurnal runoff events at 306 edge-of-field begin as soon as melt starts around noon and stops due to refreezing at night 307 (Tiessen et al., 2010b). In-channel storage was calculated as total reach length (calculated in GIS 308 using the drainage network) divided by average flow velocity, which was estimated using 309 measured hydrographs and channel dimensions (i.e. average flow rate in the simulation period 310 divided by cross sectional area). The dimensionless constant that weights inflow and outflow was 311 set to 0.25, which is a common value for natural stream channels (Carter and Godfrey, 1960).

312

2.6 Assessment of model simulations

Model simulations were graphically and statistically assessed against streamflow data collected by WSC at station number 05OG008. The statistical metrics used for model assessment in a daily time-step were the Nash-Sutcliffe model efficiency (NSE), model bias (MB), the root mean square difference (RMSD), and the normalized root mean square difference (NMSD) (Fang et al., 2013). Although streamflow simulations were available between 1990 and 2013, some years could not be used for model assessment. Among those are the years of 1990 and 1991, which were used as the model warm-up period, and the years having data quality issues as documented in the WSC streamflow database HYDAT (version 10 issued on October 17, 2014).

321 Thus, the years of 1990-1991, 1997-2001, 2004, and 2008 were not used for model assessment.

322 Key aspects of the hydrological cycle influencing streamflow generation in cold regions 323 were also used to assess model performance. Simulated snow water equivalent (SWE) was 324 compared to SWE calculated from the depth of snow on ground measured at the Marquette 325 station using the following relationship (Pomeroy and Gray, 1995):

$$326 \qquad SWE = 0.01d_s \rho_s, \tag{1}$$

where d_s is the depth of snow (cm), ρ_s is the snow density, which was assumed to be 180 kg m⁻³ 327 328 based on the typical density range between new and settled snow (Paterson, 1994), and SWE is 329 same as defined above (mm). Simulated yearly cumulative evaporation from open water was 330 compared to gross evaporation values published for Portage La Prairie between 1992 and 2000 331 (Martin, 2002), which is the closest location with available data corresponding to the time period 332 of model simulations. Since both values were based on evaporation models and not measured, 333 the evaporation comparison was made only to verify that CRHM simulations were in agreement 334 with values expected for the study area. Simulated volumetric soil water content [VWC, expressed in mm of water in the soil profile, i.e. VMC $(m^3 m^{-3}) \times profile depth (mm)]$ was 335 336 compared to VWC simulated across Canada using the National Drought Model (NDM) 337 (Chipanshi et al., 2013). Similarly to evaporation, no direct measurements of soil moisture were 338 available in close proximity to the watershed; thus, the NDM dataset was used to verify only if 339 the VWC simulated by CRHM was within a reasonable range and followed the expected 340 seasonal trend.

2.7 Sensitivity analysis

In order to assess the suitability of a single storage parameter (K storage) in the Muskingum model for wet and dry years, a sensitivity analysis was carried out for both overland and drainage network HRUs. In the analysis, the K storage parameter was varied to 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 15 days for the upland HRUs and to 0, 1, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 8, 15, and 20 days for the river network. The sensitivity of the model performance to K storage was done through a graphical assessment of NSE and MB with variations of that variable.

348 2.8 Model falsification

349 Taking advantage of the flexible structure of the CRHM platform to simulate physically-350 based hydrological processes, model falsifications were performed to assess the impact of snow 351 sublimation, blowing snow (which includes both snow sublimation and transport), and frozen-352 soil infiltration on stream discharge in the study area. These processes are typical of the 353 Canadian Prairies and very influential to runoff generation in the region (Pomeroy et al., 2007). 354 A stepwise model falsification was achieved by sequentially removing the following processes 355 from the model: i) snow sublimation, ii) blowing snow, iii) frozen-soil infiltration, and iv) 356 blowing snow and frozen-soil infiltration, which is the combination of cases (ii) and (iii). The impact of model falsification was assessed for water years (October 1st – September 30th of the 357 358 following year) rather than the calendar year, since a complete time series was available from 359 model simulations. However, statistical metrics used for model assessment (i.e., NSE and MB) 360 were only calculated between March and October, which is the period for which observed 361 streamflow was available.

3. Results

363 *3.1 Flow characteristics in the study area between 1990 and 2013*

364 As typical of the cold-region conditions prevailing in the Canadian Prairies, no major 365 snowmelt events occur in the La Salle River over the winter and peak stream discharge usually 366 takes place in the spring (Table 3). Thirteen out of 15 years exhibited annual peak discharge with snowmelt. The median peak discharge was $6.7 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$, while the median annual discharge volume 367 was 1.25×10^7 m³ and the median water yield was 66 mm. Years with peak discharge above the 368 369 median corresponded to years with annual discharge volume above the median, due to the strong positive correlation between these two variables ($r^2=0.90$), reflecting that most of the annual 370 371 discharge occurs during spring and is associated with event runoff rather than baseflow. Those 372 years with peak discharge and annual discharge volume equal to or above median values were 373 considered wet years for model assessment purposes and include the years of 1996, 2005, 2006, 374 2009-2011, and 2013.

Seven out of 15 years had ice conditions at time of peak discharge, while two years had peak discharges one day after the end of the ice period (Table 3). Ice conditions at peak discharge were most common in years with cumulative and peak discharges equal to or below the median. Field observations at the site indicate that the absence of ice in wet years was likely due to faster-moving water at high discharge. However, ice moving downstream still may have impacted the flow regime at the gauging station through the formation of ice jams along the river channel and promotion of backwater conditions, which were relatively common (Table 3).

Seven out of nine years with both upstream and downstream level monitoring exhibited
potential backwater effects (Table 3; Fig. 2). Potential backwater events were generally more
associated with years of high discharges. Backwater in this reach could be caused by two factors.

The first being that the confluence of the Elm River channel and the La Salle River just downstream of the gauging station 05OG008 (Fig. 1b). Given the gentle topographic gradient of the area and the presence of the water level control structure, the water backs up in the reach of the La Salle River upstream of the confluence of the two channels. The second factor is the occurrence of ice-damming and potential for build-up from the 4-m dam at Starbuck located 28.6 km downstream following an elevation change of 3 m.

391

3.2 CRHM simulations of stream discharge

392 The majority of annual stream discharge occurs in spring and in particular with snowmelt, 393 making this the most important period for hydrological simulations to identify nutrient export 394 potential (Table 3). However, stream discharge measurements during the spring are also 395 associated with the greatest potential for model and measurement uncertainties related to ice 396 conditions and backwater issues. Given the hydrological importance of stream discharges driven 397 by snowmelt, model assessment was performed without removing questionable spring records 398 from the dataset. The only period removed from analysis was the backwater period in July of 399 2005 that occurred following an extreme rainfall runoff event (Table 3; Fig. 2) with a high 400 degree of known uncertainty regarding measurement accuracy caused by backwater and debris 401 buildup (according to WSC field notes), and representing disinformation for model assessment 402 (Beven, 2011).

Graphical comparison between observed and simulated stream discharge indicates that the model skill varied for different years (Fig. 3). Records of discharge measurements performed by WSC during site visits were obtained and are also shown in Fig. 3. Simulated time of peak discharge was generally in good agreement with observed values, except for three out of 15 years (i.e. 1993, 2003, and 2007). These three years had peak discharge and annual discharge volume

| 408 | equal to or below the medians for the 1990-2013 period (i.e. $6.7 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for peak discharge and |
|-----|--|
| 409 | $1.25 \times 10^7 \text{ m}^3$ for annual discharge volume). The magnitude of peak discharges was also |
| 410 | reasonably simulated by the model (Fig. 4), although with more variability than timing of peak. |
| 411 | Much of this variation was associated with years of discharge volume below the median (i.e. |
| 412 | 1994, 1995, 2002, and 2007) or large peak discharge (i.e. 2006, 2009, and 2011). There was no |
| 413 | direct relationship between overestimation of simulated peak discharges (Fig. 4) and |
| 414 | overestimation of annual discharge volumes (Fig. 5). However, there was a tendency for |
| 415 | simulated annual discharge volumes to be overestimated in low-discharge years (Fig. 5) and to |
| 416 | underestimate large peak discharges (Fig. 4). |
| 417 | Statistical metrics used to assess model performance are shown in Table 4. The results |
| 418 | confirm the trends shown in graphical analysis where the model had very good performance for |
| 419 | years with peak discharge equal or larger than the median peak discharge. The Nash-Sutcliffe |
| 420 | efficiency (NSE) was consistently above 0.65 and averaged 0.76 for 1992, 1996, 2005, 2006, |
| 421 | 2009, 2011, and 2013. The year 2010 was an exception, with NSE=0.36. For low discharge |
| 422 | years, NSE was negative, indicating that the model was no better than utilizing mean discharge |
| 423 | as a predictor of stream discharge. For the years with NSE > 0.65 , the model bias (MB) was |
| 424 | generally negative, although the average model bias was small (i.e. 5%). This was likely due to |
| 425 | the overall underestimation of peak discharges during those years. Conversely, MB was |
| 426 | generally positive for years with negative NSE, which correspond to years with annual discharge |
| 427 | volumes below the 1990-2013 median. This confirms the difficulty in simulating low discharges |
| 428 | as was observed in the graphical analysis. The root mean square difference (RMSD) and the |
| 429 | normalized root mean square difference (NRMSD) were small to moderate, given the range of |
| 430 | discharges comprised between minimum and peak discharges. |

3.3 CRHM simulation of SWE, evaporation, and VWC

432 The annual trend in SWE and time of melt was accurately captured by the model (Fig.6). 433 Differences in peak SWE (e.g. 1992 and 1996) were likely due to inter-annual variation in snow 434 density, which was not measured and can vary substantially with space and time (Pomeroy and 435 Gray, 1995). However, the assumed snow density value did not introduce a substantial bias into 436 SWE estimation, as most years had good agreement between simulated and observed peak SWE 437 values. CRHM was also able to simulate cumulative open water evaporation that compared well 438 with gross evaporation estimates for the years when data was available (Fig. 7). The average 439 cumulative gross evaporation estimated by AAFC at Portage La Prairie was 686 mm, while that 440 simulated by CRHM for open water was 682 mm. The soil moisture variation trend over the year 441 was also well simulated by CRHM in most years (Fig. 8). CRHM was generally able to capture 442 the trend in soil moisture content at the beginning of each growing season and to mimic its 443 depletion and recovery over the course of the summer and fall seasons, respectively. Larger 444 departures from the AAFC data in soil moisture occurred in dry years (e.g. 1995, 2003, and 445 2012). The results above are important since they imply that antecedent conditions were 446 reasonably well predicted, especially in wet years, with accurate representation of watershed 447 hydrological processes and that soil infiltration, soil freezing, and snowmelt runoff patterns can 448 be modelled with greater confidence.

449

3.4 Sensitivity analysis using the storage parameter in the Muskingum model

A sensitivity analysis was carried out using the storage parameter in the Muskingum model (K storage) for both overland and drainage network flow routing to investigate the adequacy of average values for both wet and dry years (Fig. 9). For wet years, the plot indicates equifinality (Beven, 2011), where different models have similar performance when K storage is in the range 454 between zero and four days for both upland and drainage network (Fig. 9a). The model bias for 455 peak flows aids model selection by defining a narrow band for which the bias is minimized (Fig. 456 9c). However, knowledge of the flow characteristics is still necessary to define the K storage 457 parameter. In this case, K storage of 0 and 3 days for upland and drainage network, respectively, 458 seem reasonable to maximize NSE and minimize peak-flow MB. Conversely, the model 459 performance for dry years tends to improve as K storage increases for both upland and drainage 460 network, although NSE is still in the negative range (Fig. 9b). The contour plot of peak-flow 461 model bias for dry years also suggests large K storage values since low bias is only found for 462 higher K storage values (Fig. 9d).

463 Despite the relative improvement, changing K storage in dry years did not impact model 464 performance appreciably. These results may suggest that the hydrological controls under dry 465 conditions in this watershed are not strongly topological (i.e. drainage network) but typological 466 (i.e. landscape elements) (Buttle, 2006). In order to assess this possibility, the CRHM option to 467 allow infiltration prior to the major melt event was selected in an attempt to emulate the effect of 468 preferential flow. The NSE and MB for dry years were, respectively, -27.87 and 2.0 (1994), -469 0.58 and 0.81 (1995), -9.6 and 1.7 (2002), -1.09 and 0.16 (2003), -0.43 and 0.69 (2007), and -470 0.17 and 0.25 (2012). These results indicate a potential influence of infiltration prior to the major 471 melt event (used here as a proxy for preferential flow) on model performance in two out of six 472 years (i.e. 2002 and 2012) when compared to the based model (Table 4). Inclusion of this 473 process in the model structure improved predictions more than 30% of the time, although NSE 474 values were still negative in all cases. However, processes other than infiltration could also be 475 influencing model performance, such as an enhanced role of depressional storage.

3.5 Model falsification

477 Comparison of the hydrographs between the base model and the falsified models indicates 478 that sublimation, blowing snow, and infiltration to frozen soils are influential processes in the 479 study area (Fig. 10). Turning off snow sublimation and blowing snow (i.e. snow sublimation and 480 transport combined) in the models resulted in increased peak discharges (Fig. 10c-d), while 481 removing frozen-soil infiltration reduced peak discharge with or without blowing snow (Fig. 482 10e-f). The average change in peak discharge due to sublimation inhibition increased 25.4%, 483 while the increase due to blowing snow inhibition was 39.1%; the effect of inhibiting frozen-soil 484 infiltration was the opposite, with reductions around 60% in peak discharge regardless of the 485 blowing snow process (Table 5). Despite the average increase in peak discharge, there were also 486 reductions in peak discharge in particular years when the snow sublimation and blowing snow 487 were removed (i.e. 1993-1994; 1994-1995; 2003-2004; 2006-2007). For frozen-soil infiltration 488 models, removing this process had a very consistent effect on peak discharge with reductions in 489 all but one year (i.e. 2001-2002).

One striking effect of the model falsification was that disrupting snow sublimation,
blowing snow, and frozen-soil infiltration caused a disconnection between peak discharge and
annual discharge, which was a feature of the observed stream discharge (section 3.1). In some
years, reductions in peak discharge were accompanied by increases in total discharge (e.g. 20032004 for snow sublimation and blowing snow falsifications; 2002-2003 for frozen-soil
infiltration models). In other years, the opposite was true (e.g. 2009-2010 for snow sublimation
and blowing snow falsifications; no instances for frozen-soil infiltration models; Table 5).

497 Models with snow processes disrupted also presented consistent increases in SWE over the
498 entire simulation period (Table 5). Noteworthy, there was no difference in SWE percent change

499 among the models with snow sublimation and blowing snow falsifications, which indicates no 500 influence of snow transport in SWE. No transport of snow out of the watershed was confirmed 501 by assessing the snow loss variable within CRHM, which indicated total losses smaller than 1 502 mm over the 21 years of simulation (i.e. including the spin-up years) in all cases.

503 The statistical metrics calculated for the falsified models (Table 6) confirmed the loss of 504 model performance when compared to the base model (Table 4), which highlights the 505 importance of snow sublimation, blowing snow, and infiltration to frozen soils processes for 506 accurately simulating stream discharge in the study area. Models with falsified snow processes 507 (i.e. snow sublimation and blowing snow) generally presented a loss of performance, although in 508 specific years, performance remained comparable (e.g. 2010) or improved slightly (e.g. 2005, 509 2006). This improvement was likely due to the increased peak discharge that offset the trend of 510 the model to underestimate large peak discharges in wet years (Fig. 4). Similarly to NSE, model 511 bias tended to become more extreme due to falsification of snow processes.

Loss of performance was observed with falsification of frozen-soil infiltration (including blowing snow falsification or not) in relation to the base model and was more severe in wet years due to drastic reduction in peak discharge (Fig. 10). However, performance tended to improve in dry years (e.g. 2005), which was likely due to an offset in the trend of the base model to overestimate discharges in those years. Reduced peak and total discharges due to falsification of frozen-soil infiltration process was due to increased infiltration.

4. Discussion

519 *4.1 Stream discharge uncertainty*

520 The assessment of the hydrological records of the La Salle River at the gauging station 521 05OG008 suggests that ice and backwater pose additional challenges to simulating streamflow in 522 the study area. Peak flows in the river usually took place under ice-impacted conditions or 523 directly after clearing of ice (Table 3), which may have impacted both the accuracy of discharge 524 measurements at the site (Environment and Climate Change Canada 2015) and hydrograph 525 pattern. Comments from WSC field notes identified a number of potential sources of uncertainty 526 for discharge measurements. For example, backwater conditions are reported in 2005, 2010 and 527 2011, which correspond to the period of backwater identified in this study. Overbank flow into 528 the riparian vegetation is reported for 2007, which also corresponds to the backwater period 529 identified in the present analysis.

530 Backwater during ice conditions may take place because the bottom of the ice cover causes 531 an increase in flow resistance (Gray and Prowse, 1993) and may affect flow magnitudes and 532 timing. Backing up of water from downstream ice jams after breakup may also impact 533 hydrograph shape promoting high peak flow after release and may increase uncertainty 534 associated with discharge measurements (ASCE, 1996). Increasing flows at the confluence of the 535 La Salle River and the Elm River channel, located downstream of 05OG008 may also create 536 backwater conditions where channel capacity is exceeded. According to the WSC field notes, 537 this seems to be the reason for the backwater in 2011, which was caused by the discharge from a 538 controlled breech in the dikes of the Assiniboine River being routed through the Elm River 539 Channel. Overall, backwater conditions seem to be quite frequent in the sub-catchment LS-540 05OG008 according to the present analysis and based on field observations. Due to changes in

flow conditions, discharge measurements are recommended on a regular basis after high flow
events or ice break-up; if a shift in the rating curve is necessary to accommodate the new flow
conditions, a complete new set of discharge measurements must be made (Coulson, 1991). Thus,
the peak flows in the observed records could have been exacerbated due to ice conditions and
backwater.

546

4.2 Effect of stream discharge uncertainty on model performance

547 Model performance may also be reduced during backwater periods. Flow routing was 548 calculated in CRHM using the Muskingum model, which is not accurate for rapidly raising 549 hydrographs routed through flat sloping rivers and neglects backwater effects due to tributary 550 inflows and presence of dams (Fread, 1993). These features are characteristic of the study area 551 and may have influenced the model simulations. Alternative distributed flow models such as the 552 Kinematic wave model and the Muskingum-Cunge model are also impacted by backwater effect, 553 which limits the selection of alternative methods for flood routing. Dynamic routing models that 554 solve the Saint-Venant equations are not limited by backwater conditions; however, no analytical 555 solution of the complete set of equations is available for most practical applications (Fread, 556 1993). Thus, numerical solutions based on finite-element or finite-difference schemes are usually 557 required. Computational inefficiency, numerical instability, and convergence are among the 558 drawbacks of such solutions, which explain the adoption of simpler routing methods in many 559 hydrological models. This remains a major challenge for the application of most hydrological 560 models to catchments such as the La Salle River watershed.

561 Ice covered and backwater conditions create added uncertainty during assessment of model 562 performance, making evaluation for LS-05OG008 more challenging, but this problem is likely to 563 be characteristic of other rivers in the Red River Valley given the relatively flat topography, the

presence of water level control structures/dams along the river channels, presence of ice during peak flows, and frequent backwater at the confluence of rivers and streams. It has been suggested that input errors in precipitation datasets are the dominant source of error in hydrological modelling, while errors in streamflow data are much smaller (Kavetski et al., 2006). While this premise is generally accepted, the results shown in the present study suggest that uncertainty regarding streamflow records in agricultural cold regions can be particularly complex.

571 Despite the uncertainty associated with representation of in-stream dynamics during ice 572 and backwater conditions, CRHM was able to capture the overall trend in streamflow with 573 satisfactory simulation of the timing and magnitude of wet years (when peak flows were above 574 the median). However, even in those years, the peak flows were underestimated by the model.

575 *4.3 Sensitivity analysis of the storage parameter in the Muskingum model*

576 Model performance could also have been affected by different routing conditions taking 577 place during dry and wet years. The contour plots of NSE and peak-flow MB for both wet and 578 dry years suggest that constant K storage values are not adequate to represent both hydrological 579 regimes and that dynamical storage might be present in the basin (Fig. 9). Constant values of the 580 Muskingum parameters make them dependent of the hydrological flow data used to derive them, 581 while it has been suggested that a more physically realistic approach is to allow the parameters to 582 vary in time and space according to flow variability (Guang-Te and Singh, 1992). Due to this 583 limitation, methodologies have been devised for application of the Muskingum model with 584 variable parameters (Guang-Te and Singh, 1992; Song et al., 2011). In the present study, the K 585 storage parameter was adequate to represent wet conditions only, since good model performance 586 was achieved by the model for those conditions. However, K storage alone was not enough to

explain the poor performance during dry years since the NSE was consistently negative even for large K storage values. Trends towards large K storage (i.e. slow movement of overland runoff and channel routing) and overestimation of discharge for dry years (Figs. 3 and 5) suggest that other physical processes such as dynamical macropores affecting infiltration through soil cracks could be underestimated during simulations that were designed to address wet condition flowpaths. In-channel processes such as water pooling and natural damming may also explain the large K storage values for drier years.

594

4.4 Model performance in wet years

595 This application of CHRM has a very high proportion of the watershed used as cropland 596 (87%), extremely level topography, and modest depressional storage, which is unique and 597 contrasts to other agricultural applications of CRHM in land use proportions and topographic 598 relief (Mahmood et al., 2017; Fang et al., 2010; Pomeroy et al., 2007; Pomeroy et al., 2014). 599 The land-use split method used in other modelling efforts in this watershed (Yang et al., 2014) to 600 represent crop rotations in a static fashion in a multi-year model exercise, seemed to work well 601 for the application in this study given the good simulations in wet years. Simulations of SWE, 602 evaporation, and VWC were validated by external datasets, indicating that the model state 603 variables were in good agreement with the major hydrological processes in the agricultural 604 landscape. Small yearly differences in evaporation were likely due to differences in input data, 605 location, and method, where AAFC applies Meyer's revised formula (Martin, 2002) for lakes 606 and CRHM uses the Priestley-Taylor procedure for wetlands and small lakes. Differences in soil 607 moisture values could be due to differences in soil properties used to calculate water holding 608 capacity of the soil. Good simulation of model state variables increases the confidence in the 609 runoff simulations and highlights the value of the physically-based approach used by CRHM to

610 represent agricultural landscapes. Proper simulation of these variables reinforces that the 611 physical description of the relevant hydrological processes are accurate for cold regions, 612 underpinning the use of models that do not require calibration, such as the one developed in the 613 present study for use in cold-climate agricultural areas. Proper simulation of state variables also 614 enables the model to assess other scenarios not simulated here. For example, changes in land use 615 could be simulated by altering model parameters.

616

4.5 Model performance in dry years

617 The model did not show satisfactory performance in dry years. Poor model performance in 618 dry years is not completely unexpected since low flows are generally more difficult to predict 619 than larger flows (Nicolle et al., 2014), particularly for small catchment areas (Stanfield et al., 620 2009). This difficulty may also have been aggravated if there was flow under or over ice. The 621 general pattern of flow is represented during drier years and overestimation appears not to be 622 caused by overestimation of baseflow; rather, problems are most evident as overestimation of 623 peak flows (e.g. years 1994 and 2002 in Fig. 3). While drought conditions and in-stream flow 624 requirements are important considerations on the Prairies from a land use and water management 625 perspective (Fang and Pomeroy, 2007), the smaller magnitude events account for very little of 626 the overall export of water and phosphorus from the La Salle (Corriveau et al., 2013) and CRHM 627 appears to be a promising tool for physically-based simulation of the impact of management 628 change on watershed hydrology in intensively agricultural tributaries of the Red River where 629 elevated nutrient export is of particular concern.

Emulation of preferential flow by allowing infiltration prior to the major melt event
resulted in improved simulations more than 30% of the time in dry years, which suggests that
this mechanism as a hydrological control influencing stream discharge under dry conditions.

633 While the method chosen does not capture all the complex nuances of preferential flow, it does 634 allow for enhanced infiltration and mimics the same effects of this mechanism. Interestingly, the 635 two years that resulted in improved simulations (i.e. 2002 and 2012), were dry years preceded by 636 years with wet springs and dry summers. In 2001, well-above-normal precipitation in the spring 637 was followed by dry summer and fall (Wheaton et al., 2008). The same was observed in 2011 638 (Cordeiro et al., 2014). This similar trend highlights the importance of antecedent conditions on 639 preferential flow. In contrast, there was no improvement in dry years followed by dry years (i.e. 640 1995 and 2003). It is likely that the simulation of infiltration prior to melt was insufficient to 641 account for the magnitude of preferential flow upon prolonged desiccation of the cracking soils 642 in the study area. Granger et al. (1984) showed that dry cracking clays created conditions of 643 "unlimited" infiltration into frozen soils – such high infiltration rates would provide model 644 outputs more similar to streamflow observations. Regardless, the physically-based nature of the 645 simulations suggests that preferential flow deserves investigation as one of the major 646 hydrological controls driving stream discharge in dry years.

647 Preferential flow through soil cracking is inherently linked to soil moisture content, which 648 itself can also affect streamflow in dry years. A sensitivity analysis of prairie snowmelt to 649 drought in Creighton catchment in Saskatchewan using CRHM indicated that large reductions in 650 stream discharge could be driven by reduced winter precipitation, increased winter air 651 temperature, and decreased soil moisture content in the fall (Fang and Pomeroy, 2007). While the area of the Creighton catchment corresponds to less than 10% (i.e. 11.4 km²) of that of the 652 study area in the present study (i.e. 189 km^2), it had soils in the low-permeability range (i.e. silt 653 654 clay and clay loams) and 85% of the land use under cultivated land (stubble or fallow fields), which makes it similar to the characteristics of the La Salle watershed. Simulations of soil 655

656 moisture (Fig.8) showed a trend to overestimation in dry years (e.g. 1995, 2003, and 2012), 657 which again highlights the importance of antecedent conditions to stream discharge generation 658 and the need to improve the model representation during droughts. In fact, investigations in the 659 Canadian Prairies, although in an internally-drained basin, indicated that snowmelt infiltration is 660 very sensitive to soil moisture in the fall and that hydrologic droughts emerged from low soil 661 moisture conditions (Fang and Pomeroy, 2008). Together these studies indicate the need for 662 further research and improved representation of those processes controlling infiltration and 663 routing of runoff in cold regions with dry antecedent conditions.

664

4.6 Model falsifications

665 The analysis of model falsification indicates that snow sublimation and blowing snow, as 666 well as infiltration to frozen soils, are crucial for accurate simulations of stream discharge in the 667 flat, intensively-managed agricultural watershed of the La Salle River. The prominence of snow 668 sublimation effects over snow transport contrasts with results observed for mountain 669 environments where greater importance of snow transport has been identified (Zhou et al., 2014). 670 However, snow transport and redistribution within this watershed was still of importance, despite 671 no loss of snow from the watershed. Inclusion of snow transport affected peak and total 672 discharge, with a more pronounced effect on the latter (Table 5). Inhibition of blowing snow 673 sublimation caused a reduction in total discharge in two out of 21 years (9% of the time) when 674 compared to the base model, while inhibition of both blowing snow sublimation and transport 675 caused a reduction of this variable in eight out of 21 years (38% of the time). Accumulation of 676 snow within watersheds tends to occur in association with steep hills and valley slopes (Pomerov 677 and Goodison, 1997). In the lower slope landscape of the La Salle watershed, snow accumulates 678 in deep drainage ditches and stream channels. If snow transport is inhibited, the stimulated

679 accumulation of snow in these topographic features decreases and greater snow melt is simulated 680 for upland areas. This increases potential for infiltration, which could explain the reduction in 681 total discharge associated with inhibition of snow transport. This pattern stresses the importance 682 of internal snow redistribution within the watershed to stream discharge generation despite snow 683 transport not impacting peak discharge to the same extent as blowing snow sublimation. The 684 pattern also provides some insight into the potential for wind barrier sites such as shelterbelts to 685 retain snow in upland areas (Steyn et al., 1997) to encourage infiltration and reduce runoff 686 generation with melt.

687 Regarding infiltration into frozen soils, falsifying this process had an overriding effect over 688 falsification of blowing snow since peak discharge was consistently reduced in these models, 689 despite the trend of increased peak and total discharge arising from falsification of snow 690 processes (Table 5). These results emphasize the importance of infiltration to stream discharge 691 generation. This process has been indirectly discussed by Gray et al. (1986) and van der Kamp et 692 al. (2003), who observed reduced runoff for land uses with enhanced infiltration. Since runoff is 693 the primary source of stream discharge in the Canadian Prairies (Shook and Pomeroy, 2012; 694 Shook and Pomeroy, 2010), the importance of frozen soil infiltration could be more pronounced 695 than that of the blowing snow process to streamflow generation. The model falsification 696 indicates that peak discharges would be reduced, on average, by 61% where infiltration into 697 frozen-soil is modelled at non-frozen rates, while inhibition of snow processes would increase 698 peak discharges by 39% on average.

699

5. Summary and conclusions

Simulation of streamflow in an intensively managed agricultural tributary of the Red River
(the La Salle River) with the CRHM platform revealed a number of topics for which knowledge

702 of hydrological processes in the region and model representation might be improved. 1) Ice and 703 backwater issues are likely to contribute to increased uncertainty in both measured hydrometric 704 data and model representations for tributaries of the Red River. Among the drivers of these 705 issues are the low relief of topography of the region, the presence of water level control 706 structures/dams along the river channel, presence of ice during peak flows, and frequent 707 confluences of streams and artificial channels. Future modelling efforts in the region should 708 focus on estimating the model uncertainty arising from ice and dam effects on hydrometric data. 709 2) Simulation of low flow years remains challenging in the La Salle, as is commonly reported for 710 other hydrological models. In low flow years, discharge was overestimated by 90% and a 711 sensitivity analysis of the storage parameter of the Muskingum routing model indicated that 712 averaging this parameter is not adequate for the study area. Also, improved simulations in dry 713 years through emulation of preferential flow by allowing infiltration prior to the major melt event 714 suggest that even where frozen soil predominates, preferential flow may be an important 715 hydrological feature under dry conditions for the high clay content soils of the Red River Valley. 716 As such, dynamic representation of processes such as infiltration through macropores may 717 require revision for drier conditions in the Red River Valley. Research efforts using modelling 718 frameworks should try to implement simulation of preferential flow pathways, especially under 719 dry conditions.

Despite the potential to improve model representation identified through this research, it is also evident that performance metrics for the CRHM platform indicate very good simulation of peak and annual cumulative flows in the La Salle River where flows were equal to or above median values (under normal to wet conditions). On average, annual discharge was underestimated by only 5% in wet years. The good performance of the model in average or

above-average flow years indicates that CRHM simulations are likely appropriate for use in
regional nutrient-transport assessments where export is largely determined by hydrological
drivers in the study area and for assessment of land-use and climate change impacts on
streamflow.

Falsifications of blowing snow transport and sublimation, and infiltration to frozen soils processes in the validated base model indicate that these processes were very influential to stream discharge generation. Inhibition of snow sublimation would represent an average increase in peak discharge around 25%, while inhibition of blowing snow, which includes both snow sublimation and transport, would cause an increase in peak discharge around 39%. Simulation of infiltration without changes to model structure to account for frozen-soils would cause a reduction in peak discharge around 61%.

736 **7. Team list**

Sampsa Hamalainen (Land use analyst; Science and Technology Branch, Agriculture and
Agri-Food Canada); Patsy Michiels (Land resource analyst; Science and Technology Branch,
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada); Kurt Gottfried (GIS specialist; Science and Technology
Branch, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada); Zhiqiang Yu (Post-doctoral Fellow; Science and
Technology Branch, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada).

742

8. Copyright statement

Authors grant Copernicus Publications a licence to publish the article and identify itself as the original publisher and commercial rights to produce hardcopy volumes of the journal for purchase by libraries and individuals. Authors grant any third party the right to use the article

| 746 | freely according to the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License and under the stipulation that |
|-----|---|
| 747 | the original authors are given credit and the appropriate citation details are mentioned. |
| 748 | 9. Code availability |
| 749 | CRHM codes are available through model developers. Details can be found at |
| 750 | http://www.usask.ca/hydrology/CRHM.php. |
| 751 | 10. Data availability |
| 752 | The weather and hydrometric datasets used in this research are publicly accessible through |
| 753 | the Government of Canada's Open Data portal (http://open.canada.ca) and Environment and |
| 754 | Climate Change Canada websites: |
| 755 | Weather Data: Environment and Climate Change Canada. 2015. Historical Climate Data. |
| 756 | Available at: http://climate.weather.gc.ca/. Access: February 22, 2016. |
| 757 | Hydrometric data: Environment and Climate Change Canada. 2015. HYDAT Database- |
| 758 | National Water Data Archive. Available at: https://ec.gc.ca/rhc- |
| 759 | wsc/default.asp?lang=En&n=9018B5EC-1. Access: February 22, 2016. |
| 760 | 11. Appendices |
| 761 | The manuscript has no appendices |
| 762 | 12. Supplement link |
| 763 | Plot data to be uploaded and link to be generated. |
| 764 | 13. Author contribution |
| 765 | M.R.C. Cordeiro, H.F. Wilson, and J. Vanrobaeys conceived the modelling objectives, |
| 766 | scope, and strategy; M.R.C. Cordeiro and J. Vanrobaeys acquired the input data; M.R.C. |

Cordeiro, J.P. Pomeroy, and X. Fang developed the custom model for analysis; M.R.C. Cordeiro,
H.F. Wilson performed data analysis; M.R.C. prepared manuscript with contribution from all coauthors.

770

0 **14. Acknowledgements**

771 This research was supported by funding under Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's

772 Growing Forward 2 program. The authors thank the input provided by Jarrett Powers and the soil

moisture data provided by Catherine Champagne from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada.

774 Collaboration in the preparation of model input data with Dr. Zhiqiang Yu and our discussions

- about characteristics of the watershed are greatly appreciated.
- 776 **15. Disclaimer**
- 777 <mark>aaa</mark>

778 **16. References**

ASCE: Hydrology Handbook, Second ed., ASCE, 1996.

780 Ayers, H. D.: Influence of soil profile and vegetation characteristics on net rainfall supply to

- runoff, Hydrology Symposium No. 1: Spillway Design Floods, 1959, 198-205.
- 782 Benoy, G. A., Jenkinson, R. W., Robertson, D. M., and Saad, D. A.: Nutrient delivery to Lake
- 783 Winnipeg from the Red—Assiniboine River Basin A binational application of the SPARROW
- model, Canadian Water Resources Journal / Revue canadienne des ressources hydriques, 41,
- 785 429-447, 10.1080/07011784.2016.1178601, 2016.
- 786 Beven, K. J.: Rainfall-Runoff Modelling: The Primer, Wiley, West Sussex, UK, 2011.
- 787 Blanke, A., Rozelle, S., Lohmar, B., Wang, J., and Huang, J.: Water saving technology and
- saving water in China, Agricultural Water Management, 87, 139-150,
- 789 10.1016/j.agwat.2006.06.025, 2007.
- Brooks, R. H., and Corey, A. T.: Properties of porous media affecting fluid flow, Journal of theIrrigation and Drainage Division, 92, 61-88, 1966.
- 792 Brunet, N. N., and Westbrook, C. J.: Wetland drainage in the Canadian prairies: Nutrient, salt
- and bacteria characteristics, Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 146, 1-12,
- 794 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.09.010</u>, 2012.

- Brunt, D.: Notes on radiation in the atmosphere. I, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological
 Society, 58, 389-420, 10.1002/qj.49705824704, 1932.
- 797 Buttle, J.: Mapping first-order controls on streamflow from drainage basins: the T3 template,
- 798 Hydrological Processes, 20, 3415-3422, 10.1002/hyp.6519, 2006.
- 799 Carter, R. W., and Godfrey, R. G.: Storage and flood routing, USGS, Washington, D.C., 1960.

800 Cherkauer, K. A., Bowling, L. C., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Variable infiltration capacity cold land

- 801 process model updates, Global and Planetary Change, 38, 151-159,
- 802 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(03)00025-0</u>, 2003.
- 803 Chipanshi, A. C., Warren, R. T., L'Heureux, J., Waldner, D., McLean, H., and Qi, D.: Use of the
- 804 National Drought Model (NDM) in Monitoring Selected Agroclimatic Risks Across the
- 805 Agricultural Landscape of Canada, Atmosphere-Ocean, 51, 471-488,
- 806 10.1080/07055900.2013.835253, 2013.
- 807 Chow, V. T.: Handbook of Applied Hydrology: A Compendium of Water-Resources
- 808 Technology, v. 1, McGraw-Hill, 1964.
- 809 Cordeiro, M. R. C., Sri Ranjan, R., Ferguson, I. J., and Cicek, N.: Nitrate, phosphorus, and salt
- 810 export through subsurface drainage from corn fields in the Canadian Prairies, Transactions of the
- 811 American Society of Agricultural Engineers, 57, 43-50, 10.13031/trans.57.10370, 2014.
- 812 Corey, A. T.: Mechanics of Immiscible Fluids in Porous Media, Water Resources Publications,813 1994.
- 814 Corriveau, J., Chambers, P., and Culp, J.: Seasonal Variation in Nutrient Export Along Streams
- 815 in the Northern Great Plains, Water Air Soil Pollut, 224, 1-16, 10.1007/s11270-013-1594-1,
 816 2013.
- 817 Coulson, C. H.: Manual of Operational Hydrology in British Columbia, Ministry of
- 818 Environment, Water Management Division, Hydrology Section, Victoria, BC, 234 pp., 1991.
- 819 Desaulniers, K. L., and Gritzner, C. F.: Northern America, Facts On File, Incorporated, 2006.
- 820 Dornes, P. F., Tolson, B. A., Davison, B., Pietroniro, A., Pomeroy, J. W., and Marsh, P.:
- 821 Regionalisation of land surface hydrological model parameters in subarctic and arctic
- 822 environments, Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C, 33, 1081-1089,
- 823 10.1016/j.pce.2008.07.007, 2008.
- B24 Douglas-Mankin, K. R., Srinivasan, R., and Arnold, J. G.: Soil and Water Assessment Tool
 (SWAT) Model: Current Developments and Applications, 53, 10.13031/2013.34915, 2010.
- 826 Ehrlich, W. A., Poyser, E. A., Pratt, L. E., and Ellis, J. H.: Report of Reconnaissance Soil Survey
- 827 of Winnipeg and Morris Map Sheet Areas, Manitoba Department of Agriculture, Winnipeg,
- 828 MBSoils Report No. 5, 1953.
- 829 Ellis, C. R., Pomeroy, J. W., Brown, T., and MacDonald, J.: Simulation of snow accumulation
- and melt in needleleaf forest environments, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14, 925-940,
 10.5194/hess-14-925-2010, 2010.
- 832 Environment and Climate Change Canada: HYDAT Database Water Environment Canada,
- edited by: Canada, E., 2013.

- 834 Ice Conditions Warning WaterOffice Environment Canada:
- 835 <u>http://wateroffice.ec.gc.ca/ice_conditions_e.html</u>, 2015.
- Historical Climate Data: <u>http://climate.weather.gc.ca/index_e.html#access</u>, access: 2015.06.16,
 2015.
- 838 Fang, X., and Pomeroy, J. W.: Snowmelt runoff sensitivity analysis to drought on the Canadian
- 839 prairies, Hydrological Processes, 21, 2594-2609, 10.1002/hyp.6796, 2007.
- Fang, X., and Pomeroy, J. W.: Drought impacts on Canadian prairie wetland snow hydrology,
- 841 Hydrological Processes, 22, 2858-2873, 10.1002/hyp.7074, 2008.
- 842 Fang, X., Pomeroy, J. W., Westbrook, C. J., Guo, X., Minke, A. G., and Brown, T.: Prediction of
- snowmelt derived streamflow in a wetland dominated prairie basin, Hydrology and Earth System
- 844 Sciences, 14, 991-1006, 10.5194/hess-14-991-2010, 2010.
- Fang, X., Pomeroy, J. W., Ellis, C. R., MacDonald, M. K., DeBeer, C. M., and Brown, T.: Multi-
- 846 variable evaluation of hydrological model predictions for a headwater basin in the Canadian
- Rocky Mountains, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 17, 1635-1659, 10.5194/hess-171635-2013, 2013.
- Fread, D.: Flow Routing, in: Handbook of Hydrology, edited by: Maidment, D. R., McGrawHill, New York, 10.11-10.36, 1993.
- 851 Garnier, B. J., and Ohmura, A.: The evaluation of surface variations in solar radiation income,
- 852 Solar Energy, 13, 21-34, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0038-092X(70)90004-6</u>, 1970.
- 853 Granger, R., Gray, D., and Dyck, G.: Snowmelt infiltration to frozen prairie soils, Canadian
- S54 Journal of Earth Sciences, 21, 669-677, 10.1016/0148-9062(85)92399-X, 1984.
- Granger, R., and Gray, D.: A net radiation model for calculating daily snowmelt in open
 environments, Nordic hydrology, 21, 217-234, 1990.
- 857 Graveline, P. G., and Larter, j.: La Salle Redboine Conservation District: La Salle River
- 858 watershed assessment survey with emphasis on La Salle River, Elm River, Elm Creek channel, 850 and The King drain 2005 Winning MP 107 2006
- and The King drain 2005, Winnipeg, MB, 107, 2006.
- 860 Gray, D., Pomeroy, J., and Granger, R.: Prairie snowmelt runoff, Proceedings, water research
- themes, conference commemorating the official opening of the National Hydrology ResearchCentre, 1986, 49-68.
- Gray, D., and Prowse, T.: Snow and Floating Ice, in: Handbook of Hydrology, edited by:
 Maidment, D. R., McGraw-Hill, New York, 7.1-7.58, 1993.
- Gray, D. M., Landine, P. G., and Granger, R. J.: Simulating infiltration into frozen Prairie soils in streamflow models, Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 22, 464-472, 10.1139/e85-045, 1985.
- Gray, D. M., and Landine, P. G.: Albedo model for shallow prairie snow covers, Canadian
 Journal of Earth Sciences, 24, 1760-1768, 10.1139/e87-168, 1987.
- 869 Gray, D. M., and Landine, P. G.: An energy-budget snowmelt model for the Canadian Prairies,
- 870 Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 25, 1292-1303, 10.1139/e88-124, 1988.
- 871 Grizzetti, B., Bouraoui, F., Granlund, K., Rekolainen, S., and Bidoglio, G.: Modelling diffuse
- 872 emission and retention of nutrients in the Vantaanjoki watershed (Finland) using the SWAT

- model, Ecological Modelling, 169, 25-38, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(03)00198-4</u>,
 2003.
- Guang-Te, W., and Singh, V. P.: Muskingum method with variable parameters for flood routing
- in channels, Journal of Hydrology, 134, 57-76, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(92)90028-T</u>,
 1992.
- Harder, P., and Pomeroy, J. W.: Hydrological model uncertainty due to precipitation-phase
 partitioning methods, Hydrological Processes, 28, 4311-4327, 10.1002/hyp.10214, 2014.
- 880 Kavetski, D., Kuczera, G., and Franks, S. W.: Bayesian analysis of input uncertainty in
- hydrological modeling: 1. Theory, Water Resources Research, 42, n/a-n/a,
- 882 10.1029/2005WR004368, 2006.
- Kim, S.-b., Huang, H.-t., Tsang, L., Jackson, T., McNairn, H., and Zyl, J. v.: Soil moisture
- retrieval using L-band time-series SAR data from the SMAPVEX12 experiment, EUSAR 2014;
 10th European Conference on Synthetic Aperture Radar; Proceedings of, 2014, 1-4.
- King, K. W., Williams, M. R., Macrae, M. L., Fausey, N. R., Frankenberger, J., Smith, D. R.,
- 887 Kleinman, P. J. A., and Brown, L. C.: Phosphorus transport in agricultural subsurface drainage:
- 888 A review, Journal of Environmental Quality, 44, 467-485, 10.2134/jeq2014.04.0163, 2015.
- Knisel, W. G., and Turtola, E.: Gleams model application on a heavy clay soil in Finland,
 Agricultural Water Management, 43, 285-309, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3774(99)00067-</u>
 0, 2000.
- 892 Kossieris, P., Tyralis, H., Koutsoyiannis, D., and Efstratiadis, A.: HyetosR: A package for
- temporal stochastic simulation of rainfall at fine time scales. R package version 0.0-2.
- 894 <u>http://www.itia.ntua.gr/</u>, 2013.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., and Onof, C.: Rainfall disaggregation using adjusting procedures on a
 Poisson cluster model, Journal of Hydrology, 246, 109-122, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-</u>
 1694(01)00363-8, 2001.
- 898 Krogh, S. A., Pomeroy, J. W., and McPhee, J.: Physically based mountain hydrological modeling
- using reanalysis data in Patagonia, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 16, 172-193, 10.1175/jhm-d13-0178.1, 2015.
- 901 La Salle Redboine Conservation District: La Salle River Watershed State of the Watershed
- 902 Report, Holland, Manitoba, 296, 2007.
- Leavesley, G. H., Lichty, R. W., Troutman, B. M., and Saindon, L. G.: Precipitation-runoff
 modeling system; user's manual, USGS Water-Resources Investigations, 1983.
- Li, H., Sivapalan, M., Tian, F., and Liu, D.: Water and nutrient balances in a large tile-drained agricultural catchment: a distributed modeling study, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 14,
- 907 2259-2275, 10.5194/hess-14-2259-2010, 2010.
- 908 Liu, S., Mo, X., Liu, C., Xia, J., and Zhao, W.: How to maximize the predictive value of
- 909 available data in ungauged basins? Chinese lesson, in: Putting Prediction in Ungauged Basins
- 910 into Practice, edited by: Pomeroy, J. W., and Whitfield, P. H., Canadian Water Resources
- 911 Association, 2013.

- 912 Liu, Y. B., Yang, W. H., Gharabaghi, B., Liu, J. Z., Wu, H., and Yarotski, J.: Characterizing
- snow redistribution in SWAT for simulating spatially distributed snowmelt runoff in cold
- 914 regions, Nonlinear Science Letters C: Nano, Biology and Environment, 2, 1-8, 2012.
- 915 Mahmood, T. H., Pomeroy, J. W., Wheater, H. S., and Baulch, H. M.: Hydrological responses to
- 916 climatic variability in a cold agricultural region, Hydrological Processes, 31, 854-870,
 917 10.1002/hyp.11064, 2017.
- 918 Martin, F. R. J.: Gross Evaporation for the 30 Year Period 1971-2000 in the Canadian Prairies,
- Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Prairie Farm Rehabilitation Administration, Technical
 Service, Regina, SK., 2002.
- 921 McCullough, G. K., Page, S. J., Hesslein, R. H., Stainton, M. P., Kling, H. J., Salki, A. G., and
- 922 Barber, D. G.: Hydrological forcing of a recent trophic surge in Lake Winnipeg, Journal of Great
- 923 Lakes Research, 38, Supplement 3, 95-105, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2011.12.012</u>, 2012.
- Michalyna, W., Smith, R. E., and Milne, R. A.: Soils of the Portage La Prairie Area, Manitoba
 Department of Agriculture, Winnipeg, MBSoil Report No. 17, 1972.
- 926 Monteith, J.: Evaporation and environment, Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol, 1965, 4.
- 927 Mosley, M. P., and McKerchar, A. I.: Streamflow, in: Handbook of Hydrology, edited by:
- 928 Maidment, D. R., McGraw-Hill, New York, 8.1-8.35, 1993.
- 929 Mou, L., Tian, F., Hu, H., and Sivapalan, M.: Extension of the Representative Elementary
- 930 Watershed approach for cold regions: constitutive relationships and an application, Hydrology
- 931 and Earth System Sciences, 12, 565-585, 10.5194/hess-12-565-2008, 2008.
- 932 Nicolle, P., Pushpalatha, R., Perrin, C., François, D., Thiéry, D., Mathevet, T., Le Lay, M.,
- 933 Besson, F., Soubeyroux, J. M., Viel, C., Regimbeau, F., Andréassian, V., Maugis, P., Augeard,
- 934 B., and Morice, E.: Benchmarking hydrological models for low-flow simulation and forecasting
- on French catchments, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 18, 2829-2857, 10.5194/hess-18-
- 936 2829-2014, 2014.
- 937 OECD: Canada case study, in: Providing Agri-environmental Public Goods through Collective
 938 Action, OECD Publishing, Paris, France, 155–170, 2013.
- Parry, M. L., Carter, T. R., and Konijn, N. T.: The Impact of Climatic Variations on Agriculture:
 Volume 1: Assessment in Cool Temperate and Cold Regions, Springer Science, 1988.
- 941 Paterson, W. S. B.: The Physics of Glaciers, Butterworth/Heinemann, 1994.
- Pomeroy, J., and Li, L.: Prairie and arctic areal snow cover mass balance using a blowing snow
 model, Journal of Geophysical Research, 105, 26619-26634, 2000.
- 944 Pomeroy, J. W., and Gray, D. M.: Snowcover accumulation, relocation and management, 2,
 945 Environment Canada, 1995.
- 946 Pomeroy, J. W., and Goodison, B. E.: Winter and snow, in: The Surface Climates of Canada,
- 947 edited by: Bailey, W. G., Oke, T. R., and Rouse, W., MCGill-Queen's University Press,
- 948 Montreal, 68-100, 1997.
- Pomeroy, J. W., Gray, D. M., Brown, T., Hedstrom, N. R., Quinton, W. L., Granger, R. J., and
- 950 Carey, S. K.: The cold regions hydrological model: a platform for basing process representation

- and model structure on physical evidence, Hydrological Processes, 21, 2650-2667,
- 952 10.1002/hyp.6787, 2007.
- 953 Pomeroy, J. W., Shook, K., Fang, X., Dumanski, S., Westbrook, C., and Brown, T.: Improving
- and Testing the Prairie Hydrological Model at Smith Creek Research Basin. Report No. 14.,
- 955 Centre for Hydrology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, 2014.
- 956 Priestley, C. H. B., and Taylor, R. J.: On the Assessment of Surface Heat Flux and Evaporation
- Using Large-Scale Parameters, Monthly Weather Review, 100, 81-92, 10.1175/1520-
- 958 0493(1972)100<0081:OTAOSH>2.3.CO;2, 1972.
- Rahman, M. M., Lin, Z., Jia, X., Steele, D. D., and DeSutter, T. M.: Impact of subsurface
- drainage on streamflows in the Red River of the North basin, Journal of Hydrology, 511, 474483, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.01.070</u>, 2014.
- 962 Rasouli, K., Pomeroy, J. W., Janowicz, J. R., Carey, S. K., and Williams, T. J.: Hydrological
- sensitivity of a northern mountain basin to climate change, Hydrological Processes, 28, 4191-
- 964 4208, 10.1002/hyp.10244, 2014.
- Rattan, K. J., Corriveau, J. C., Brua, R. B., Culp, J. M., Yates, A. G., and Chambers, P. A.:
- 966 Quantifying seasonal variation in total phosphorus and nitrogen from prairie streams in the Red
- 967 River Basin, Manitoba Canada, Science of The Total Environment,
- 968 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.073</u>, 2016.
- 969 Roste, J.: Development and Evaluation of a Canadian Prairie Nutrient Transport Model. M.Sc.
- 970 Thesis., M.Sc., Department of Geography and Planning, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon,971 SK., 2015.
- Schierhorn, F., Faramarzi, M., Prishchepov, A. V., Koch, F. J., and Müller, D.: Quantifying yield
 gaps in wheat production in Russia, Environmental Research Letters, 9, 084017, 2014a.
- 974 Schierhorn, F., Müller, D., Prishchepov, A. V., Faramarzi, M., and Balmann, A.: The potential of
- 975 Russia to increase its wheat production through cropland expansion and intensification, Global
- 976 Food Security, 3, 133-141, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2014.10.007</u>, 2014b.
- Sharp, P. F.: The Northern Great Plains: A Study in Canadian-American Regionalism, The
 Mississippi Valley Historical Review, 39, 61-76, 10.2307/1902844, 1952.
- 979 Shook, K., and Pomeroy, J.: Changes in the hydrological character of rainfall on the Canadian
- 980 prairies, Hydrological Processes, 26, 1752-1766, 10.1002/hyp.9383, 2012.
- 981 Shook, K. R., and Pomeroy, J. W.: Hydrological effects of the temporal variability of the
- multiscaling of snowfall on the Canadian prairies, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 14, 1195-1203,
 10.5194/hess-14-1195-2010, 2010.
- 785 10.5174/IICSS-14-1175-2010, 2010.
- Sicart, J. E., Pomeroy, J. W., Essery, R. L. H., and Bewley, D.: Incoming longwave radiation to
 melting snow: observations, sensitivity and estimation in Northern environments, Hydrological
- 986 Processes, 20, 3697-3708, 10.1002/hyp.6383, 2006.
- 987 Song, X.-m., Kong, F.-z., and Zhu, Z.-x.: Application of Muskingum routing method with
- variable parameters in ungauged basin, Water Science and Engineering, 4, 1-12,
- 989 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.3882/j.issn.1674-2370.2011.01.001</u>, 2011.

- 990 Spence, C., Whitfield, P. H., Pomeroy, J. W., Pietroniro, A., Burn, D. H., Peters, D. L., and St-
- 991 Hilaire, A.: A review of the Prediction in Ungauged Basins (PUB) decade in Canada, Canadian
- 992 Water Resources Journal / Revue canadienne des ressources hydriques, 38, 253-262,
- 993 10.1080/07011784.2013.843867, 2013.
- 994 Stanfield, L. W., Kilgour, B., Todd, K., Holysh, S., Piggott, A., and Baker, M.: Estimating
- 995 Summer Low-Flow in Streams in a Morainal Landscape using Spatial Hydrologic Models,
- 996 Canadian Water Resources Journal / Revue canadienne des ressources hydriques, 34, 269-284,
- 997 10.4296/cwrj3403269, 2009.
- 998 Steyn, D. G., Schmid, H. P., Walmsley, J. L., and Wilson, J. D.: Spatial variability in surface 999 climates, in: The Surface Climates of Canada, edited by: Bailey, W. G., Oke, T. R., and Rouse,
- 1000 W., MCGill-Queen's University Press, Montreal, 44-67, 1997.
- 1001 Tian, F., Hu, H., Lei, Z., and Sivapalan, M.: Extension of the Representative Elementary
- 1002 Watershed approach for cold regions via explicit treatment of energy related processes,
- 1003 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 10, 619-644, 10.5194/hess-10-619-2006, 2006.
- 1004 Tiessen, K. H. D., Elliott, J. A., Yarotski, J., Lobb, D. A., Flaten, D. N., and Glozier, N. E.:
- 1005 Conventional and conservation tillage: Influence on seasonal runoff, sediment, and nutrient
- 1006 losses in the Canadian Prairies, J. Environ. Qual., 39, 964-980, 10.2134/jeq2009.0219, 2010a.
- 1007 Tiessen, K. H. D., Elliott, J. A., Yarotski, J., Lobb, D. A., Flaten, D. N., and Glozier, N. E.:
- 1008 Conventional and conservation tillage: influence on seasonal runoff, sediment, and nutrient
- 1009 losses in the Canadian Prairies, Journal of Environmental Quality, 39, 964-980,
- 1010 10.2134/jeq2009.0219, 2010b.
- 1011 Ulén, B., Bechmann, M., Fölster, J., Jarvie, H. P., and Tunney, H.: Agriculture as a phosphorus
- 1012 source for eutrophication in the north-west European countries, Norway, Sweden, United
- 1013 Kingdom and Ireland: a review, Soil Use & Management, 23, 5-15, 10.1111/j.1475-
- 1014 2743.2007.00115.x, 2007.
- 1015 van der Kamp, G., Hayashi, M., and Gallen, D.: Comparing the hydrology of grassed and
- 1016 cultivated catchments in the semi-arid Canadian prairies, Hydrological Processes, 17, 559-575,
 1017 10.1002/hyp.1157, 2003.
- Walmsley, J., Taylor, P., and Salmon, J.: Simple guidelines for estimating windspeed variations
 due to small-scale topographic features–an update, Climatological bulletin, 23, 3-14, 1989.
- Wang, H., Liu, C., and Zhang, L.: Water-saving agriculture in China: An overview, in: Advancesin Agronomy, Academic Press, 135-171, 2002.
- 1022 Watson, B. M., McKeown, R. A., Putz, G., and MacDonald, J. D.: Modification of SWAT for
- 1023 modelling streamflow from forested watersheds on the Canadian Boreal PlainThis article is one
- 1024 of a selection of papers published in this Supplement from the Forest Watershed and Riparian
- 1025 Disturbance (FORWARD) Project, Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science, 7, 145-
- 1026 159, 10.1139/s09-003, 2008.
- 1027 Weber, M., Bernhardt, M., Pomeroy, J. W., Fang, X., Härer, S., and Schulz, K.: Description of
- 1028 current and future snow processes in a small basin in the Bavarian Alps, Environmental Earth
- 1029 Sciences, 75, 1223, 10.1007/s12665-016-6027-1, 2016.

- 1030 Wheater, H. S., and Gober, P.: Water security and the science agenda, Water Resources
- 1031 Research, 51, 5406-5424, 10.1002/2015WR016892, 2015.
- 1032 Wheaton, E., Kulshreshtha, S., Wittrock, V., and Koshida, G.: Dry times: hard lessons from the
- 1033 Canadian drought of 2001 and 2002, The Canadian Geographer, 52, 241-262, 10.1111/j.1541-
- 1034 0064.2008.00211.x, 2008.
- 1035 Wishart, D. J.: Encyclopedia of the Great Plains, University of Nebraska Press, 2004.
- 1036 Yang, Q., Meng, F.-R., Zhao, Z., Chow, T. L., Benoy, G., Rees, H. W., and Bourque, C. P. A.:
- 1037 Assessing the impacts of flow diversion terraces on stream water and sediment yields at a
- watershed level using SWAT model, Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 132, 23-31,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2009.02.012, 2009.
- 1040 Yang, Q., Benoy, G. A., Chow, T. L., Daigle, J. L., Bourque, C. P., and Meng, F. R.: Using the
- 1041 soil and water assessment tool to estimate achievable water quality targets through
- 1042 implementation of beneficial management practices in an agricultural watershed, Journal of
- 1043 environmental quality, 41, 64-72, 10.2134/jeq2010.0250, 2012.
- 1044 Yang, Q., Leon, L. F., Booty, W. G., Wong, I. W., McCrimmon, C., Fong, P., Michiels, P.,
- 1045 Vanrobaeys, J., and Benoy, G.: Land use change impacts on water quality in three Lake
- 1046 Winnipeg watersheds, Journal of Environment Quality, 43, 1690-1701,
- 1047 10.2134/jeq2013.06.0234, 2014.
- 1048 Yates, A. G., Culp, J. M., and Chambers, P. A.: Estimating nutrient production from human
- 1049 activities in subcatchments of the Red River, Manitoba, Journal of Great Lakes Research, 38,
- 1050 Supplement 3, 106-114, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2011.04.009</u>, 2012.
- 1051 Zhang, Z., Kane, D. L., and Hinzman, L. D.: Development and application of a spatially-
- 1052 distributed Arctic hydrological and thermal process model (ARHYTHM), Hydrological
- 1053 Processes, 14, 1017-1044, 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(20000430)14:6<1017::AID-
- 1054 HYP982>3.0.CO;2-G, 2000.
- 1055 Zhou, J., Pomeroy, J. W., Zhang, W., Cheng, G., Wang, G., and Chen, C.: Simulating cold
- 1056 regions hydrological processes using a modular model in the west of China, Journal of
- 1057 Hydrology, 509, 13-24, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.11.013</u>, 2014.
- 1058

| | | | | | | Occu | rrence | |
|--------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|------|------|--------|-------|
| HRU ID | HRU acronym [†] | Cropping system/Land use | Crop | Soil texture | SB 2 | SB 3 | SB 5 | SB 33 |
| 1 | IVPO/SICL | Irrigated Vegetable | Potato | Silty clay loam | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| 2 | IVPO/C | Irrigated Vegetable | Potato | Clay | No | Yes | No | No |
| 3 | IVCR/SICL | Irrigated Vegetable | Carrot | Silty clay loam | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| 4 | IVCR/C | Irrigated Vegetable | Carrot | Clay | No | Yes | No | No |
| 5 | PRSB/SIC | Pulse Non-Row | Soybean | Silty clay | No | Yes | No | No |
| 6 | PRSB/C | Pulse Non-Row | Soybean | Clay | No | Yes | No | No |
| 7 | PFAF/C | Perennial Forage | Alfalfa | Clay | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| 8 | PRSW/SIC | Pulse Non-Row | Spring wheat | Silty clay | No | Yes | No | No |
| 9 | PRSW/C | Pulse Non-Row | Spring wheat | Clay | No | Yes | No | No |
| 10 | PFSW/C | Perennial Forage | Spring wheat | Clay | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| 11 | OSSW/C | Oilseed - Spring Cereal | Spring wheat | Clay | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 12 | FCSW/C | Fall Cereal | Spring wheat | Clay | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| 13 | FCWW/C | Fall Cereal | Winter wheat | Clay | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| 14 | PRCA/SIC | Pulse Non-Row | Canola | Silty clay | No | Yes | No | No |
| 15 | PRCA/C | Pulse Non-Row | Canola | Clay | No | Yes | No | No |
| 16 | OSCA/C | Oilseed - Spring Cereal | Canola | Clay | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 17 | FCCA/C | Fall Cereal | Canola | Clay | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| 18 | FYDL/SICL | Feedlot | _ | Silty clay loam | No | No | No | Yes |
| 19 | FYDL/C | Feedlot | _ | Clay | Yes | No | No | No |
| 20 | URLD/SICL | Urban (low density) | _ | Silty clay loam | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| 21 | URLD/C | Urban (low density) | _ | Clay | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 22 | URMD/SIL | Urban (medium density) | _ | Silt loam | No | No | Yes | No |
| 23 | URMD/SICL | Urban (medium density) | _ | Silty clay loam | Yes | No | No | No |
| 24 | URMD/SIC | Urban (medium density) | _ | Silty clay | No | Yes | No | No |
| 25 | URMD/C | Urban (medium density) | _ | Clay | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| 26 | WETL/WA | Wetand/water | _ | — | Yes | No | No | No |
| 27 | River Channel | River | _ | - | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |

Table 1. List of hydrologic response units (HRUs) used in the LS-05OG008 watershed.

1060

1059

[†] First two letters indicate cropping system/land use; third and fourth letters indicate crop; letter(s) after the slash indicate soil texture.

Table 2. Modules used in the customized CRHM model to simulate hydrological process in the LS-05OG008 watershed.

| Sequence [†] | Module | Description |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Basin | Holds commonly used physical and control parameters |
| 2 | Solar radiation | Calculates theoretical global radiation, direct and diffuse solar radiation, as well as maximum sunshine hours based on latitude, elevation, ground slope, and azimuth (Garnier and Ohmura, 1970). This module provides radiation input to modules 3, 8, and 13 |
| 3 | Observation | Adjusts the temperature and precipitation variables in the input weather data to variations in environmental lapse rate, elevation, and wind-induced under-catch |
| 4 | Crop growth | Simulates a linear crop development over the growing season |
| 5 | Sunshine hours | Estimates sunshine hours from incoming shortwave radiation and provide input to modules 8 and 13 |
| 6 | Walmsley's windflow | A parametric version of the wind flow model (Walmsley et al., 1989) that adjusts the wind speed change due to local topographic features and provides input to module 11 |
| 7 | Long-wave radiation | Estimates incoming long-wave radiation from the air temperature and the atmospheric transmittance, which is estimated from measured short-wave radiation and theoretical global radiation and provides input to module 13 (Sicart et al., 2006) |
| 8 | Summer net radiation | Estimates the snow-free net all-wave radiation from the calculated short-wave radiation by Garnier and Ohmura (1970) and the caculated net long-wave radiation (Brunt, 1932) using sunshine hours, air temperature and humidity (Granger and Gray, 1990) and provides inputs to module 9 |
| 9 | Evaporation | Estimates actual evapotranspiration from unsaturated surfaces (Monteith, 1965) and evaporation from saturated surfaces such as stream channels (Priestley and Taylor, 1972). These algorithms modify the moisture content in the interception, ponded surface water, and soil column stores, as well as in the stream channel |
| 10 | Canopy | Estimates the snowfall and rainfall intercepted by the forest canopy and updates the under-canopy snowfall and rainfall and calculates short-wave and long-wave sub-canopy radiation (Ellis et al., 2010) with options for open environment (no canopy adjustment of snow mass and energy) and forest environment (adjustment of snow mass and energy from forest canopy) |
| 11 | Prairie Blowing Snow Model (PBSM) | Simulates snow sublimation and transport between HRUs (Pomeroy and Li, 2000) |
| 12 | Albedo | Estimates the snow albedo during the winter and the melt period (Gray and Landine, 1987). This module indicates the beginning of melt for module 13 |
| 13 | Energy-Budget Snowmelt Model (EBSM) | Estimates snowmelt for snowpack in the Canadian Prairies (Gray and Landine, 1988) by calculating the energy balance of radiation, sensible heat, latent heat, ground heat, advection from rainfall, and change in internal energy |
| 14 | Infiltration | Estimates snowmelt infiltration into frozen soils (Gray et al., 1985) and rainfall into unfrozen soils based on texture and ground cover (Ayers, 1959) |
| 15 | Hydraulic conductivity estimator | Darcy's law for unsaturated flow is used to calculate the drainage factors of module 16 utilizing the unsaturated hydraulic conductivity calculated using Brooks and Corey relationship (Fang et al., 2013) |
| 16 | Soil | Estimates the soil moisture, groundwater flow, and interactions between ground- and surface-water throughout the |

| | | | year (Leavesley et al., 1983; Dornes et al., 2008; Fang et al., 2010) |
|------|-----|---------------------------------|---|
| | 17 | Volumetric soil moisture | Converts soil moisture to volumetric equivalent using the variables from module 16 and determines fall status for |
| | | | module 14 |
| | 18 | Muskingum routing | Routes runoff between HRU and sub-basins using the Muskingum method (Chow, 1964) |
| 1062 | † S | sequence in which the modules w | vere entered into each CRHM Group using the Macro window. |

| | Water | | | Discharge | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|--|---|
| Year | Yield | Annual [†] | Snowmelt | Snowmelt | Peak | Peak | Ice conditions | Backwater |
| | (mm) | (m^3) | (m^{3}) | Proportion (%) | $(m^3 s^{-1})$ | Date | | |
| 1992 | 64 | 1.21×10^{7} | 7.27×10^{6} | 60 | 6.7 | April 10 th | March 1 st – April 12 th | No data for analysis |
| 1993 | 66 | $1.25 	imes 10^7$ | 4.51×10^{6} | 36 | 5.6 | April 07 th | March 1 st – April 10 th | No data for analysis |
| 1994 | 18 | $3.33 	imes 10^6$ | 9.32×10^5 | 28 | 0.7 | April 10 th | March 1 st – April 16 th | No data for analysis |
| 1995 | 61 | 1.15×10^{7} | $6.56 	imes 10^6$ | 57 | 5.0 | March 31 st | March 1 st – April 15 th | No data for analysis |
| 1996 | 99 | $1.87 	imes 10^7$ | $1.35 	imes 10^7$ | 72 | 13.5 | April 29 th | March 1 st – April 28 th | No data for analysis |
| 2002 | 10 | $1.94 	imes 10^6$ | $1.94 	imes 10^6$ | 100 | 1.6 | April 16 th | March 1 st – April 16 th | No backwater |
| 2003 | 18 | $3.49 	imes 10^6$ | $3.49 	imes 10^6$ | 100 | 2.1 | April 2 nd | March 1 st – April 09 th | No backwater |
| 2005^{\ddagger} | 83 | 1.57×10^7 | 4.54×10^6 | 29 | 10.0 | April 08 th | March 1 st – April 04 th | April 3 rd – April 14 th |
| | | | | | | | | June 29 th – July 24 th |
| 2006 | 115 | $2.18 	imes 10^7$ | $2.18 	imes 10^7$ | 100 | 16.5 | April 10 th | March 1 st – April 07 th | April 3 rd – April 19 th |
| 2007 | 38 | $7.26 	imes 10^6$ | $7.26 	imes 10^6$ | 100 | 4.6 | April 12 th | March 1 st – April 05 th | March 31 st – April 13 th |
| 2009 | 89 | 1.69×10^{7} | 1.69×10^{7} | 100 | 13.3 | April 17 th | March 1 st – April 16 th | April 11 th – April 26 th |
| 2010 | 110 | $2.09 	imes 10^7$ | $1.04 	imes 10^7$ | 50 | 10.7 | June 1 st | March 1 st – April 05 th | May 30^{th} – June 06^{th} |
| | | | | | | | | September 2 nd – September 3 rd |
| 2011 | 150 | $2.84 	imes 10^7$ | $1.59 	imes 10^7$ | 56 | 15.7 | April 13 th | March 1 st – April 13 th | April 04 th – April 20 th |
| | | | | | | | | $May 20^{th} - May 21^{st}$ |
| 2012 | 26 | 4.89×10^6 | 1.71×10^6 | 35 | 2.5 | May 29 th | March 1 st – March 24 th | No backwater |
| 2013 | 76 | $1.44 	imes 10^7$ | 9.38×10^{6} | 65 | 9.4 | May 04 th | March 1 st – May 1 st | April 28 th – May 08 th |

Table 3. Streamflow characteristics of the study area for the years used in the analysis.

1063

[‡] Backwater issues late in the summer; [†] Total flow from March 1st to October 31st.

| RMSD 0.51 1.13 0.68 | NRMSD 0.89 1.92 |
|------------------------------|---|
| 0.51 1.13 0.68 | 0.89 1.92 |
| 1.13 | 1.92 |
| 0.68 | |
| 0.00 | 4.31 |
| 1.10 | 2.02 |
| 1.00 | 1.14 |
| 0.96 | 3.92 |
| 0.69 | 1.58 |
| 0.93 | 0.77 |
| 2.31 | 0.84 |
| 1.65 | 1.81 |
| 2.18 | 1.02 |
| 1.50 | 1.52 |
| 0.98 | 0.73 |
| 0.27 | 1.16 |
| 0.91 | 1.34 |
| | $\begin{array}{c} 0.68\\ 1.10\\ 1.00\\ 0.96\\ 0.69\\ 0.93\\ 2.31\\ 1.65\\ 2.18\\ 1.50\\ 0.98\\ 0.27\\ 0.91\\ \end{array}$ |

Table 4. Metrics used for model assessment.

| | | Snow sublimation | |] | Blowing snow | 7 | Froz | en-soil infiltra | ation | Froz | en-soil infiltra d blowing sno | ution ww | |
|------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Water Year | Peak discharge | Total discharge | SWE | Peak discharge | Total discharge | SWE | Peak discharge | Total discharge | SWE | Peak discharge | Total discharge | SWE | 3 |
| 1992-1993 | 39.4 | 12.3 | 23.0 | 69.5 | 7.1 | 23.0 | -65.7 | -2.8 | 0.0 | -65.0 | -5.3 | 23.0 | |
| 1993-1994 | -3.8 | 2.1 | 24.8 | -2.0 | -2.4 | 24.8 | -34.6 | 18.4 | 0.0 | -34.4 | 24.4 | 24.8 | |
| 1994-1995 | -11.3 | -1.9 | 41.8 | -13.1 | -1.5 | 41.8 | -77.9 | -24.3 | 0.0 | -78.1 | -25.3 | 41.8 | |
| 1995-1996 | 24.3 | 8.7 | 39.5 | 47.4 | 5.3 | 39.5 | -78.5 | -29.2 | 0.0 | -82.1 | -38.0 | 39.5 | |
| 1996-1997 | 180.1 | 45.7 | 39.7 | 350.5 | 47.3 | 39.7 | -32.7 | 8.4 | 0.0 | -43.1 | -12.5 | 39.7 | |
| 1997-1998 | 29.5 | 11.4 | 19.9 | 33.6 | -1.3 | 19.9 | -75.5 | 5.4 | 0.0 | -75.4 | 5.3 | 19.9 | |
| 1998-1999 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 62.8 | 3.1 | -2.4 | 62.8 | -42.4 | 43.4 | 0.0 | -41.8 | 46.0 | 62.8 | |
| 1999-2000 | 14.3 | 4.8 | 30.4 | 14.6 | 1.6 | 30.4 | -41.4 | 8.1 | 0.0 | -41.3 | 7.2 | 30.4 | |
| 2000-2001 | 19.0 | 17.0 | 13.9 | 16.0 | 18.4 | 13.9 | -79.7 | -12.8 | 0.0 | -79.7 | -17.9 | 13.9 | |
| 2001-2002 | 25.1 | 10.3 | 22.0 | 30.5 | 3.4 | 22.0 | 21.9 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 12.6 | 22.0 | |
| 2002-2003 | 17.0 | 6.1 | 151.3 | 23.8 | -1.9 | 151.3 | -72.6 | 42.7 | 0.0 | -72.2 | 44.1 | 151.3 | |
| 2003-2004 | -8.2 | 9.2 | 17.3 | -1.3 | 16.3 | 17.3 | -80.1 | -21.3 | 0.0 | -79.8 | -26.0 | 17.3 | |
| 2004-2005 | 30.4 | 9.1 | 19.4 | 27.5 | 2.2 | 19.4 | -60.0 | -7.1 | 0.0 | -60.0 | -12.4 | 19.4 | |
| 2005-2006 | 16.2 | 8.9 | 22.3 | 10.5 | 1.0 | 22.3 | -89.8 | -27.0 | 0.0 | -92.4 | -30.8 | 22.3 | |
| 2006-2007 | -4.0 | 18.9 | 28.7 | -4.0 | 9.6 | 28.7 | -84.7 | -11.1 | 0.0 | -84.5 | -15.0 | 28.7 | |
| 2007-2008 | 99.3 | 15.4 | 53.0 | 89.3 | -4.5 | 53.0 | -52.0 | 14.5 | 0.0 | -62.3 | 10.0 | 53.0 | |
| 2008-2009 | 36.5 | 10.7 | 16.2 | 49.1 | 5.2 | 16.2 | -80.4 | -4.1 | 0.0 | -76.2 | -2.7 | 16.2 | |
| 2009-2010 | 1.7 | -0.6 | 23.6 | 22.3 | -5.8 | 23.6 | -36.1 | 6.6 | 0.0 | -37.0 | 5.8 | 23.6 | |
| 2010-2011 | 2.9 | 6.5 | 19.6 | 21.8 | 5.6 | 19.6 | -80.7 | -18.8 | 0.0 | -83.8 | -24.7 | 19.6 | |
| 2011-2012 | 16.1 | 5.0 | 54.2 | 4.0 | -2.2 | 54.2 | -53.8 | 36.9 | 0.0 | -53.2 | 38.9 | 54.2 | |
| 2012-2013 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 13.1 | 28.0 | 5.6 | 13.1 | -79.5 | -29.4 | 0.0 | -82.8 | -34.3 | 13.1 | |
| Average | 25.4 | 9.8 | 35.1 | 39.1 | 5.1 | 35.1 | -60.8 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -62.0 | -2.4 | 35.1 | |

Table 5. Percent change in peak discharge, total discharge, and snow water equivalent (SWE) between falsified models and base models.

| Year | Snow sul | blimation | Blowin | Blowing snow Frozen-soil Frozen-soil infiltration and blowing snow | | | infiltration | |
|---------|----------|-----------|--------|--|--------|--------|--------------|-------|
| - | NSE | MB | NSE | MB | NSE | MB | NSE | MB |
| 1992 | -0.43 | 0.17 | -0.62 | 0.10 | -0.21 | -10.80 | -0.15 | -0.10 |
| 1993 | -0.80 | 0.47 | -0.59 | 0.40 | -0.02 | 13.60 | 0.02 | 0.09 |
| 1994 | -29.18 | 2.09 | -28.89 | 1.91 | -11.43 | 216.00 | -11.54 | 2.22 |
| 1995 | -0.23 | 0.77 | -0.59 | 0.79 | 0.31 | 26.30 | 0.34 | 0.23 |
| 1996 | 0.48 | 0.64 | 0.12 | 0.59 | 0.22 | -6.90 | 0.22 | -0.21 |
| 2002 | -39.64 | 2.80 | -41.84 | 2.46 | -1.37 | 85.00 | -0.73 | 0.67 |
| 2003 | -1.52 | 0.30 | -1.74 | 0.13 | -0.14 | 9.80 | -0.01 | 0.05 |
| 2005 | 0.89 | 0.03 | 0.90 | -0.08 | -0.05 | -40.90 | -0.05 | -0.50 |
| 2006 | 0.83 | -0.29 | 0.81 | -0.35 | -0.18 | -77.20 | -0.19 | -0.81 |
| 2007 | -0.86 | 1.13 | -0.88 | 0.96 | -0.10 | -30.10 | 0.00 | -0.44 |
| 2009 | 0.68 | -0.16 | 0.66 | -0.16 | -0.07 | -64.50 | 0.02 | -0.63 |
| 2010 | 0.35 | -0.26 | 0.33 | -0.30 | 0.30 | -28.80 | 0.31 | -0.31 |
| 2011 | 0.89 | -0.23 | 0.86 | -0.24 | 0.10 | -47.30 | 0.10 | -0.53 |
| 2012 | -1.16 | 0.38 | -0.64 | 0.26 | -0.14 | 63.40 | -0.29 | 0.68 |
| 2013 | 0.67 | 0.39 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.01 | -12.00 | 0.05 | -0.19 |
| Average | -4.60 | 0.55 | -4.80 | 0.46 | -0.85 | 6.37 | -0.79 | 0.02 |

Table 6. Selected statistical metrics (i.e. NSE and Model Bias) for falsified models.





Survey Canada gauging station 05OG008 (b).





Figure 2. Yearly hydrographs indicating periods of potential backwater issues. Years of 2002, and 2003 not presented since these years did not have backwater issues. Years of 2004 and 2008 were not included in the analysis since quality issues were identified in the metadata of the records. The period between lines in 2005 indicate the records removed from the dataset during model assessment.



1083Figure 3. Comparison of observed and simulated stream discharge between 1992 and 2013 for years with1084good records in the HYDAT database.



Figure 4. Comparison of observed and simulated peak discharge between 1992 and 2013 for years with good records in the HYDAT database. No data available in the HYDAT database between 1997 and 2001. Years of 2004 and 2008 were not included in the analysis since quality issues were identified in the metadata of the records.





1092Figure 5. Comparison of observed and simulated annual cumulative discharge between 1992 and 2013 for1093years with good records in the HYDAT database.



1096 Figure 6. Comparison of observed and simulated snow water equivalent (SWE) between 1992 and 2013 for years with good records in the HYDAT database. SWE was calculated assuming a snow density of 180 kg m⁻³.



1100Figure 7. Comparison of evaporation estimates produced by the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC)1101and CRHM between 1992 and 1996.





Figure 8. Comparison between simulated volumetric soil water content (VWC; expressed in mm of water in the soil profile) produced by the National Drought Model (NDM) and CRHM between 1992 and 2013 for years with good records in the HYDAT database.









1112Figure 10. Observed hydrograph (a) and model simulations (1992-2013) for the base model (b) and the1113different model falsifications, which include inhibition of snow sublimation (c), blowing snow (d), frozen-soil1114infiltration (e) and frozen-soil infiltration combined with blowing snow (f). Model spin-up period shown for1115reference only.