

We would like to thank the editor's decision regarding the revision of our manuscript. We are greatly thankful for the insightful and constructive comments from the two reviewers. We have carefully studied them and revised the manuscript accordingly. This document contains our specific responses to the comments.

**Responses to Anonymous Referee #1's Comments:**

This manuscript reviews the present status, limitations and future challenges of hydrological modelling in glacierized, data-scarce Central Asia. It is a comprehensive review on recent development in hydrological modeling in glacierized catchments of Central Asia. The authors summarized hydrological responses to climate change during the past few decades and for the future period in literatures. Importantly, the limitations of hydrological modeling in the glacierized regions are summarized and discussed in terms of model inputs, model structure and model calibration. This is an interesting and important study, in particular, to discuss the specific module (glacier melt) and its limitations in hydrological modeling in Central Asia. I believe that the manuscript shed light on data-sharing and multi-objective calibration that should be effective to help us understand the hydrological processes in data-scarce Central Asia. I believe this manuscript has provided a comprehensive and timely review and deserves to be published in Hydrology and Earth System Sciences. However, the following major comments should be addressed in the further revision processes.

(1) Studies on fieldwork, e.g., glacier retreat monitoring, glacier melt modeling, should be additionally reviewed and discussed.

Response: Thanks for your advice. We have added the general glacier retreat monitoring in Section 1 (Page 1 Lines 26-29) in the revised version.

The glacier melt modeling, e.g., hydrological modelling of single glacier, was additionally reviewed and added in Table 2. For example, the hydrological modelling of test site "Abramov" in Syr Darya and "Oigaing" in Amu Darya were added. This study focuses on the glacio-hydrological modeling, e.g., conclusions, limitations and directions, in the Tianshan Mountains. To make this clear, we added the explanation in our manuscript in Section 3.2.

Page 1 Lines 26-29: According to Farinotti et al. (2015), the overall decrease in total glacier area and mass from 1961 to 2012 to be  $18 \pm 6\%$  and  $27 \pm 15\%$ , respectively. These values correspond to a total area loss of  $2,960 \pm 1,030 \text{ km}^2$ , and an average glacier mass change rate of  $5.4 \pm 2.8 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$ .

Page 8 Line 14: This paper focuses primarily on glacier melt modules. It does not include the individual glacier dynamics.

(2) Line 161-209: The glacier melt model (Lines 183-192) should come first in section 3.2, and then its coupling with hydrological models (for example, distributed hydrological models).

Response: Thanks for your comment. We have revised accordingly in the revised version.

### 3.2 Glacier melt modelling

Glacier melt accounts for a large part of the discharge for the alpine basins in Central Asia as discussed above. However, most hydrological modeling does not include glacier melt and accumulation processes. For example, Liu et al. (2010) failed to account for the glacier processes in VIC model in the Tarim river; Peng and Xu (2010) missed the glacier module in Xin'anjiang and Topmodel; Fang et al. (2015a) failed to account for glacier processes though the glacier melt could contribute up to 10% of discharge of the Kaidu River Basin. Similarly, in their research on the Yarkant River Basin, Liu et al. (2016a) neglected to include the influence of glacier melt in the SWAT and MIKE-SHE model, even though the glacier covered an area of 5574 km<sup>2</sup>. The most widely used hydrological models, such as the distributed SWAT, the MIKE-SHE model and the conceptual SRM model, do not as a rule calculate glacier melt processes, despite the fact that excluding the glacier processes could induce large errors when evaluating future climate change impact. Glacier processes are complex, in that glacier melt will at first increase due to the rise in ablation and lowering of glacier elevation, and then, after reaching its peak, will decrease due to the shrinking in glacier area (Xie et al., 2006). Moreover, simulation errors can be re-categorized as precipitation or glacier melt water and consequently result in a greater uncertainty in the water balance in high mountain areas (Mayr et al., 2013).

During the last few decades, a large variety of melt models have been developed (Hock, 2005). Previous studies have investigated glacier dynamics for the mountainous regions. Among these studies, Hock (2005) reviewed glacier melt related processes at the surface-atmosphere interface ranging from simple temperature-index to sophisticated energy-balance models. Glacier models that are physical-based (e.g., mass-energy fluxes and glacier flow dynamics) depend heavily on detailed knowledge of local topography and hydrometeorological data, which are generally limited in high mountain regions (Michlmayr et al., 2008). Hence, they mostly applied to well-documented glaciers and have few applications in basin-scale hydrological models.

The temperature-index method (or its variants), which only requires temperature for meteorological input, is widely used to calculate glacier melt (Konz and Seibert, 2010). As is illustrated by Oerlemans and Reichert (2000), glaciers can be reconstructed from long-term meteorological record, e.g., summer temperature is the dominant factor for glaciers in a dry climate (e.g., Abramov glacier). In recent years, hydrologists were trying to add other meteorological variables into the calculations of glacier melt, e.g., Zhang et al. (2007b), included potential clear sky direct solar radiation in the degree-day model, and Yu et al. (2013) stated that accumulated temperature is more effective than daily average temperature for calculating the snowmelt runoff model. Using degree-day calculation is much simpler than using energy balance approaches and could actually produce comparable or better model performance when applied in mountainous basins (Ohmura, 2001).

More recently, the melt module has been incorporated into different kinds of hydrological models. Zhao et al. (2015) integrated a degree-day glacier melt algorithm into a macroscale hydrologic Variable Infiltration Capacity model (VIC) and indicated that annual and summer river runoff volumes would decrease by 9.3% and 10.4%, respectively, for reductions in glacier areas of 13.2% in the Kumalike River Basin. Hagg et al. (2013) analyzed anticipated glacier and runoff changes in the Rukhk catchment's upper Amu-Darya basin up to 2050 using the HBV-ETH model by

including glacier and snow melt processes. Their results showed that with temperature increases of 2.2 °C and 3.1 °C, the current glacier extent of 431 km<sup>2</sup> will reduce by 36% and 45%, respectively. Luo et al. (2013) taking the Manas River Basin as a case study, investigated the glacier melt processes by including the algorithm of glacier melt, sublimation/evaporation, accumulation, mass balance and retreat in a SWAT model. The results showed that glacier melt contributed 25% to streamflow, although the glacier area makes up only 14% of the catchment drainage area.

(3) If one want to quantify the hydrologic responses to climate change in central Asia (section 2.1), it would be interesting to compare researches on other parts of the world (e.g., the Alps, the Andes, the Himalayas, etc.). That would be more relevant to a general expectation in glacierized catchments.

Response: According to the reviewer's comment, we added Section 2.3 to compare hydrological responses for different glacierized regions worldwide including the responses of glacier runoff to climate change. Their common characteristics and differences are also addressed.

### **2.3 Glacio-hydrological responses to climate change: a comparison**

The hydrological responses to climate change of several major glacierized mountainous regions are also discussed to make a comparison to that in the glacierized Tienshan Mountains. For the Himalaya–Hindu Kush region, investigations suggested that a regression of the maximum spring streamflow period in the annual cycle by about 30 days, and annual runoff decreased by about 18% for the snow-fed basin, while increased by about 33% for the glacier-fed basin using the Satluj Basin as a typical region (Singh et al., 2005). For the Tibetan Plateau, the glacier retreat could lead to expansion of lakes, e.g., glacier mass loss between 1999 and 2010 contributed to about 11.4% ~ 28.7% of three glacier-fed lakes, Siling Co, Nam Co and Pung Co (Lei et al., 2013). Analysis from groundwater storage indicated that the groundwater for the major basins in the Tibetan Plateau has increased during 2003–2009 with a trend rate of  $+1.86 \pm 1.69 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$  for the Yangtze River Source Region,  $+1.14 \pm 1.39 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}$  for the Yellow River Source Region (Xiang et al., 2016).

For the South American Andes, the melting at the glacier summit has been occurred. With the continually increased temperature, though glacier melt was dominated by maybe other processes in some regions, the probability seems high that the current glacier melting will continue. As the loss of glacier water, the current dry-season water resources will be heavily depleted once the glaciers have disappeared (Barnett et al., 2005).

For the Alps Mountains, many investigations have been implemented, ranging from glacier scale modelling to large basin scale or region scale modelling (Finger et al., 2015; Abbaspour et al., 2015). Glacier melt water provided about  $5.28 \pm 0.48 \text{ km}^3 \text{ a}^{-1}$  of freshwater during 1980–2009. About 75% of this volume occurred during July–September, providing water for large low-lying rivers including the Po, the Rhine and the Rhône (Farinotti et al., 2016). Under the context of climate change, decreases in both annual and summer runoff contributions are anticipated. For example, annual runoff contributions from presently glacierized surfaces are expected to decrease by 16% by 2070–2099, despite of nearly unchanged contributions from precipitation under RCP

4.5 (Farinotti et al., 2016).

For the glacierized regions, they have something in common. The annual runoff is likely to reduce in a warming climate with high spatial-temporal variation at the middle or end of the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Seasonally, increased snowmelt runoff and water shortage of summer runoff with the disappearing glaciers are expected. However, there are also differences in the responses of hydrological processes to climate change. For example, the contrasting climate change impact on river flows from glacierized catchments in the Himalayan and Andes Mountains (Ragettli et al., 2016). In the Langtang catchment in Nepal, increased runoff is expected with limited shifts between seasons while for the Juncal catchment in Chile, the runoff has already been decreasing. These qualitative or quantitative differences are mainly caused by glaciation ratio, regional weather pattern and glacier property (Hagg & Braun, 2005).

However, for many glacierized catchment in the Tianshan Mountains, currently or for the next several decades, the runoff appears to be normal or even increasing trend, making an illusion of better prospect. What worth particularly mentioning is that, once the glacier storage (fossil water) melts away, the water system is likely to go from plenty to want, leading to water crisis given the increasing water demand.

(4) Line 82-84: need references on that.

Response: According to the reviewer's comment, we added the reference in the revised manuscript. For example, the runoff of the Kumalike river (glaciation being 16.2%) has increased 26.2% compared to 14.9% of the Toxkan River (glaciation being 4.2%) (Duethmann et al., 2015). This is also supported by the Karatal river (Kaldybayev et al., 2016) and the Toudao Gou river.

The added reference:

Kaldybayev, A., Chen, Y., Issanova, G., Wang, H., and Mahmudova, L.: Runoff response to the glacier shrinkage in the Karatal river basin, Kazakhstan, *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 9, 1-8, doi:10.1007/s12517-015-2106-y, 2016.

(5) Line 85: Reorganize this sentence “glacier inflection points will or have already appeared, the amount of surface water will probably decline or remain at a high state of fluctuation”.

Response: This sentence has been revised to “With further warming and the resulted acceleration of glacier retreat, glacier inflection points will or have already appeared. The amount of surface water will probably decline or keep high volatility due to glacial retreat and reduced storage capacity of glaciers (Chen et al., 2015).”

(6) Line 100: Section 3.1 should be “Meteorological input in hydrologic .. “ instead of “Input in hydrologic: : :”. Only the meteorological inputs are discussed here and other inputs (soil, snow cover, landuse) are not well described.


Response: We have updated it accordingly.

## Responses to Referee #2's Comments:

This manuscript comprehensively reviews hydrological modelling conducted in glacierized catchments in Central Asia. It is a very interesting synthesis focusing on the current limits, challenges and directions of hydrological modelling. The authors point it out that it is lack of glacier submodel and points directions for future hydrological modelling in those regions. The manuscript reads well. I recommend the manuscript to be accepted by HESS after a moderate to major revision is conducted. Following are my critical suggestions/comments for improving its quality.

1. Glacierized catchments in Central Asia should be delineated. I cannot find spatial distribution of each catchment.

Response: The boundary of each catchment included in our study was added in the revised Figure 1 based on the DEM derived flow direction. Glacier information was obtained from RGI (Randolph Glacier Inventory).



**Figure 1:** Map of Central Asian headwaters with main river basins or hydrological regions, namely the Tarim River Basin, the watersheds in the northern slope of the Tianshan Mountains, the Issyk Lake Basin, the Ili River Basin, the Amu Darya and the Syr Darya Basins. Lake outlines are from Natural Earth (<http://www.naturalearthdata.com/>). River system is derived based on elevations of SRTM 90 m data. Glacier information was obtained from RGI (Randolph Glacier Inventory).

2. Need a table summarising attributes of the major catchments (i.e. Ili River, the Amu Darya, the Syr Darya, Tarim River, etc), including climate, soil, vegetation and glacier ratio, etc.

Response: Thank you for your advice. We added Table 1 summarizing the attributes of the major catchments.

**Table 1:** Summary of climatic and underlying conditions of the basins. The topography is based on SRTM data, glacier data is from RGI (Randolph glacier inventory), and climate is based on the world map of the Koppen-Geiger climate classification. Vegetation is from the land use data from Xinjiang institute of Ecology and

Geography.

Catchment	Tarim River Basin	Catchments in northern TS China	Issyk Lake Basin	Ili River Basin	Amu Darya Basin	Syr Darya Basin
Location	Surrounded by the Tianshan Mountains and the Kunlun Mountains	Northern Tianshan	Western Tianshan	Western Tianshan Valley	Western Tianshan and Pamir	Western Tianshan
<b>Topography</b>						
Basin area (km <sup>2</sup> )	868,811	126,463	102,396	429,183	674,848	442,476
Percentage of elevation > 3000m (%)	28.00%	13.80%	14.50%	4.60%	20.50%	9.50%
Glaciation area (km <sup>2</sup> )	15789	1795	994	2170	9080	1850
<b>Climate</b>						
Dominant climate	Arid cold	Arid cold	Arid cold; continental	Arid cold; continental	Arid cold; snow	Arid cold
<b>Vegetation</b>						
Forest percent (%)	0.7	10.4	6.4	4.1	10.9	2.5
Pasture percent (%)	16.7	14.5	31.2	28.6	19.4	17.3
Percent of water, snow, ice (%)	5.4	3.9	7.8	5.3	5.3	2.8

3. Table 1 should be more explicit. Please separate catchments to hydrological model. This table needs to match the table I recommend in point 2. Reviewers can then easily find catchments where how many hydrological modeling studies have been carried out in literature.

Response: Thank you for your valuable suggestions. We separated the models for each catchment (including each sub-catchment) in Table 2.

**Table 2:** Summary of hydrological modeling in glacierized central Asian catchments

Catchments	Models	Major conclusions	Innovations and Limitations	References
<b>Tarim River</b>				
Tarim River Basin	Modified two-parameter semi-distributed water balance model	Improved the original two-parameter monthly water balance model by incorporating the topographic indexes and could get comparable results with the TOPMODEL model and Xin'anjiang model.		
	TOPMODEL model	In the Aksu River, runoff was more closely related to precipitation, while in the Hotan River, it was more closely related to temperature.	Less input data are required; Lack of glacier and snowmelt processes.	(Peng and Xu, 2010; Chen et al., 2006)
	Xin'anjiang model	Runoffs of the Aksu, Yarkand and Hotan river exhibited increasing tendencies in 2010 and 2020 under different scenarios generated from the reference years.		
	Projection Pursuit Regression (PPR) model	If temperature rises 0.5-2.0 °C, runoff will increase with temperature for the Aksu, Yarkand and Hotan River.	Lack of physical basis.	(Wu et al., 2003)
	VIC	For the Tarim River, runoff will decrease slightly in 2020-2025 based on HadCM3 under A2 and B2 when not considering glacier melt.	Lack of glacier module.	(Liu et al., 2010)
Tairan River	Modified degree-day model including potential clear sky direct solar radiation coupled with a linear reservoir model	Glacier runoff increases linearly with temperature over these ranges whether or not the debris layer is taken into consideration. The glacier runoff is less sensitive to temperature change in the debris covered area than the debris free area.	Considered the effect of solar radiation and quantified the debris effect.	(Zhang et al., 2007b)
<b>Aksu River including Kumalike and Toxkan River</b>				
	Xin'anjiang model	Precipitation has a weak relationship with runoff in the Kumalike River.	Joined the snowmelt module. The model could not well capture the snowmelt/precipitation induced peak streamflow.	(Wang et al., 2012a)
	VIC-3L model	Glacier melt, snowmelt and rainfall accounted for 43.8%, 27.7 and 28.5% of the discharge for the Kumalike River while 23.0%, 26.1% and 50.9% for the Toxkan River. For the Kumalike River and the Toxkan River, the runoff have increased 13.6% and 44.9% during 1970-2007, and 94.5% and 100% of the increases were attributed by precipitation	The model performance was obviously improved through coupling a degree-day glacier-melt scheme, but accurately estimating areal precipitation in alpine regions still remains.	(Zhao et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015)

		increase. For the Kumalike River, glacier area will reduce by >30% resulting in decreased melt water in summer and annual discharge (about 2.8%–19.4% in the 2050s).		
	SWIM model	The model is capable to reproduce the monthly discharge at the downstream gauge well using the local irrigation information and the observed upstream inflow discharges. About 18% of the incoming headwater resources consumed until the gauge Xidaqiao, and about 30 % additional water is consumed along the river reaches between Xidaqiao and Alar. Different irrigation scenarios were developed and showed that the improvement of irrigation efficiency was the most effective measure for reducing irrigation water consumption and increasing river discharge downstream.	Investigated the glacier lake outburst floods using a modeling tool in the Aksu River. Inclusion of an irrigation module and a river transmission losses module of the SWIM model. Model uncertainties are largest in the snow and glacier melt periods.	(Huang et al., 2015; Wortmann et al., 2014)
	WASA model	Glacier melt contributes to 35–48% and 9–24% for the Kumalike River and the Toxkan River. For the Kumalike River, glacier geometry changes lead to a reduction of 14–23% of streamflow increase compared to constant glacier geometry.	The model considered changes in glacier geometry (e.g., glacier area and surface elevation). It used a multi-objective calibration based on glacier mass balance and discharge.	(Duethmann et al., 2015)
	The temperature and precipitation revised AR(p) model; NAM rainfall-runoff model	The AR(p) model is capable to predict the streamflow in the Aksu River Basin.	Needing less hydrological and meteorological data.	(Ouyang et al., 2007)
	MIKE-SHE model	Compared remote sensing data and station based data in simulating the hydrological processes. Remote sensing data is comparable to conventional data. RS data could partly overcome the lack of necessary hydrological model input data in developing or remote regions.	Missing glacier melt; Lack of observation to verify the meteorological condition in the mountainous regions.	(Liu et al., 2012a; Liu et al., 2013)
	HBV model	When the base runoff is $100 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , the critical rainfalls for primary and secondary warning flood are 50 mm and 30 mm respectively for the Kaidu River.	It underestimated the peak streamflow while overestimated the base flow.	(Fan et al., 2014)
Kaidu River	SRM including potential clear sky direct solar radiation and the effective active temperature.	Spring streamflow is projected to increase in the future based on HadCM3.	Limited observations resulted in low modeling precision. The APHRODITE precipitation performed good in hydrological modeling in the Kaidu River.	(Zhang et al., 2007a; Zhang et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014).
	SWAT	Precipitation and temperature lapse rates account for 64.0% of model uncertainty. Runoff increases under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 compared to 1986-2005 based on a cascade of RCM, bias correction and SWAT model.	Quantified uncertainty resulted from the meteorological inputs.	(Fang et al., 2015a; Fang et al., 2015c)
	Modified system dynamics model	Simulations of low-flow and normal-flow are much better than the high-flow, and spring-peak flow are better than the summer pecks in the Kaidu River.	The modified model was robust by modified snowmelt process and soil temperature for each layer to describe water movement in soil.	(Zhang et al., 2016)
Yarkand river	MIKE-SHE model	Simulated snow pack using station data differs significantly from that using remote sensing data.	Lack of glacier moduld	Liu et al., 2016b
	Integrating Wavelet Analysis (WA) and back-propagation artificial neural network (BPANN)	Runoff presented an increasing trend similar with temperature and precipitation at the time scale of 32-years. But at the 2, 4, 8, and 16-year time-scale, runoff presented non-linear variation.	Interpreted the nonlinear characteristics of the hydro-climatic process using statistic method.	(Xu et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2014;)

	Degree-day model	Decreasing rate of glacier mass was 4.39 mm a <sup>-1</sup> resulting in a runoff increasing trend of 0.23 × 10 <sup>8</sup> m <sup>3</sup> a <sup>-1</sup> during 1961 - 2006. Sensitivity of mass balance to temperature is 0.16 mm a <sup>-1</sup> °C <sup>-1</sup> . Glacier runoff will increase 13%-35% during 2011-2050 compared to 1960-2006 with obvious increase in Summer.	The glacier dynamics are considered and the area-volume scaling factor is calibrated using remote sensing data.	(Xie et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2012a; Zhang et al., 2012b)
<b>Tizunafu River</b>	SRM including snow albedo	It could well simulate the runoff of the Tizinapu River. Runoff is dominated by precipitation and temperature lapse rates and snow albedo.	Lack of glacier module.	(Li and Williams, 2008)
<b>Hotan River</b>	Integrating Wavelet Analysis (WA) and back-propagation artificial neural network (BPANN)	For the Hotan River, runoff correlates well with the 0 °C level height in summer for the north slope of Kunlun Mountains.	Interpreted the nonlinear characteristics of the hydro-climatic process.	(Xu et al., 2011)
<b>Catchments in northern slope of TS China</b>				
<b>Manas River</b>	1) SWAT model 2) SRM model 3) EasyDHM model	1) Glacier area decreased by 11% during 1961-1999 and glacier melt contributes 25% of discharge. 2) Better simulation of snowmelt runoff than rainfall-runoff by the SRM.	1) Both the glacier melt module and two-reservoir method were included in the hydrological simulations. 2) Snow cover calculation algorithm is added to validate model performance.	SWAT: (Yu et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2012; Luo et al., 2013; Gan and Luo, 2013) SRM: (Yu et al., 2013) EasyDHM: (Xing et al., 2014)
<b>Urumqi River</b>	1) Isotope Hydrograph Separation (IHS) 2) water balance model 3) HBV model 4) Exponential regression 5) SRM model 6) THmodel model	1) Runoff has risen by 10.0% during 1950-2009; Glacier melt water contributes to 9% of runoff. 2) The cumulative mass balance of the glacier was -13.69 m during 1959-2008; proportion of glacier runoff increased from 62.8% to 72.1%. 3) For a glacierized catchment (18%), the discharge will increase by 66 ± 35% or decrease by 40 ± 13% if the glacier size keeps unchanged or glacier disappears in 2041-2060. 4) Glacier runoff is critically affected by the ground temperature.	1) The IHS method has overwhelming potential in analyzing hydrological components for ungauged watersheds. 2) Focusing on the glacierized and ablation area. 3) Considering future runoff under different glacier change scenarios. 4) This study shed light on glacier runoff estimation based on ground temperature for data scarce regions. 5) Calculated the curve of snow cover shrinkage based on MODIS data. 6) An energy balance model is proposed to close the balance equation of soil freezing and thawing.	(Kong and Pang, 2012; Sun et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2015b; Chen et al., 2012; Huai et al., 2013; Mou et al., 2008; )
<b>Ebinur Lake Catchment including Jinghe River, Kuytun River and Bortala River</b>	SWAT model and the sequential cluster method Runoff Controlled Auto Regressive (CAR) model	For the Jinghe River, 85.7% of the runoff reduction is caused by human activity and 14.3% by climate change. Runoff of three rivers in Ebinur Lake catchment exhibited different changes: Jinghe River and Kuytun River exhibited a slightly increasing trend, but an adverse trend in the Bortala River. In a warm-humid scenario, runoff in the Jinghe River and Bortala River will increase, it will decrease in the Kuytun River.	Identified the effects of human activities and climate change on runoff. The CAR is based on past and present values without physical basis.	(Dong et al., 2014; Yao et al., 2014)
<b>Juntang u Basin:</b>	Distributed Snowmelt Runoff Model (DHSVM)	The coupled WRF and DHSVM model could predict 24h snowmelt runoff with relative error within 15%.	MODIS snow cover and the calculated snow depth data are used in the snowmelt runoff modeling.	(Zhao et al., 2009)
<b>Issyk Lake Basin</b>				
<b>Small rivers around the Issyk Lake</b>	Degree-day approach	Runoff contribution is varying in a broad range depending on the degree of glacierization in the particular sub-catchment. All rivers showed a relative increase in annual river runoff ranging between 3.2 and 36%.	The glacier melt runoff fraction at the catchment outlet can be considerably overestimated.	(Dikich and Hagg, 2003)



<b>Chu River</b>	SWAT-RSG model	General decrease was expected in glacier runoff (-26.6% to -1.0%), snow melt (-21.4% to +1.1%) and streamflow (-27.7% to -6.6%); Peak streamflow will be put forward for one month.	Use the glacier dynamics and assessed the model performance based on both streamflow and glacier area.	(Ma et al., 2015)
<b>Ili River Basin</b>				
<b>Gongnaisi River</b>	SRM model	For the runoff is sensitive to snow cover area and temperature. If temperature increases 4 °C, the runoff will decrease by 9.7% with snow coverage and runoff shifting forward.	SRM is capable to model the snowmelt runoff.	(Ma and Cheng, 2003)
<b>Tekes River</b>	SWAT model	Glaciers retreated about 22% since 1970s, which was considerably higher than the Tianshan average (4.7%) and China average (11.5%), resulting in a decrease of proportion of precipitation recharged runoff from 9.8% in 1966-1975 to 7.8% in 2000-2008.	Using two land use data and two Chinese glacier inventories, the model could well reproduce streamflow.	(Xu et al., 2015)
<b>Ili River</b>	MS-DTVGM model	Daily runoff correlated closely with snowmelt, suggesting a snowmelt module is indispensable.	This method reduced dependence on conventional observation, and was applied to the Ili River basin where traditional data are scarce.	(Cai et al., 2014)
	Water Balance	Water decrease in 1911-1986 in the middle and lower reaches of the Lake Balkhash is due to decreased rainfall and reservoirs storage.	—	(Kezer and Matsuyama, 2006; Guo et al., 2011)
<b>Amu Darya and Syr Darya Basin</b>				
<b>Amu Darya and Syr Darya Basin</b>	STREAM	The runoff of the Syr Darya declined considerably over the last 9000 years. For the Amu Darya and Syr Darya Basin, the glacier covered areas have decrease 21% and 22% in 2001-2010 compared to the baseline (1960-1990). The runoff of the Syr Darya is not so sensitive to future warming compared to the past 9000 years. For the Amu River Basin, 20-25% of the glaciers will retain under a temperature increment being 4-5 °C and precipitation increase rate being 3%/ °C. For the Syr Darya, runoff under the A2 and B2 scenarios will increase 3%-8% in 2010-2039, with sharpened spring peak and a slight lowered runoff from late June to August.	Simulated long term discharge for the Holocene and future period. The model includes the calculation of rainwater, snowmelt water and glacier runoff (based on the glacier altitude and equilibrium lime altitude).	(Aerts et al., 2006; Savoskul et al., 2003; Savoskul et al., 2004; Savoskul et al., 2013)
	AralMountain model	For the Amu Darya, glacier melt and snowmelt contribute to 38% and 26.9% of runoff, while for the Syr Darya, the proportions are 10.7% and 35.2%. Glacier retreat by 46.4% - 59.5% depending on selected GCM. For the Syr Darya, average water supply to the downstream will decrease by 15% for 2021-2030 and 25% for 2041-2050. For the Amu Darya the expected decreases are 13% (2021-2030) and 31% (2041-2050).	Fully simulated the hydrological processes.	(Immerzeel et al., 2012a)
<b>Test sites “Abramov” in SyrDarya and “Oigaing” in Amu Darya</b>	HBV-ETH and OEZ model	Overall good model performances were achieved with the maximum discrepancy of simulated and observed monthly runoff within 20 mm. General enhanced snowmelt during spring and a higher flood risk in summer are predicted under a doubling atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentration with greatest runoff increases occurring in August for the highly glaciated catchments and in June for the Nival catchment.	It considered geographical, topographical and hydrometeorological features of test sites, and reduced modeling uncertainties. This procedure requires a lot meteorological and land surface data and knowledge of the modeler.	(Hagg et al., 2007)
<b>Panj River</b>	HBV-ETH	For the upper Panj catchment, the current glacier extent will decrease by 36% and 45%, respectively, assuming temperature increment being 2.2 °C and 3.1 °C.	Application of glacier parameterization scheme.	(Hagg et al., 2013)

Naryn River	SWAT with glacier module	Glacier area has decreased 7.3% during 1973-2002. Glaciers will recede with only 8% of the small glaciers remain by 2100 under RCP8.5 and net glacier melt runoff will reach peak in about 2040 and decrease later.	Incorporated glacier dynamics and validated the model using two glacier inventories.	(Gan et al., 2015)
Syr Darya	NAM model with a separate Land-ice model	Glacier volume will lose 31% $\pm$ 4% under SRES A2 until 2050s, and the runoff peak will shift forward by 30–60 days from the current spring/early summer towards a late winter/early spring runoff regime.	The NAM model was improved to be robust using only five freely calibrated parameters.	(Siegfried et al., 2012)

4. Table 1 can be clear by reorganisation. Following is just an example. Catchment study hydrological modelling submodels major conclusions limit.

Response: We have updated Table 1 according to your comment. Please refer to the responses to Point 3 above.

5. Need more discussion on how to improve glacier melt simulation. In section 3.2 Glacier melt, authors point that major challenge for glacier melt simulation includes lack of glacier variation data and lack of glacier mass balance data. It looks that it is a widely existed issues for hydrological modelling in high-elevation and high-latitude regions. The question is how hydrologists can improve glacier melt simulations. I think that author can have more in-depth discussion in Section 4 (i.e. if the observations incorporate into remote sensing observation to improving glacier melt observation; model parametrization to balance equifinality and submodels; which kind of glacier models should be applied for), which will really benefit this manuscript.

Response: Thanks for your comment.

In the revised manuscript, we discussed more thoroughly on how to improve the glacier melt simulation based on the present publications. First of all, Section 4.2 stressed the significance of integrated use of multiple dataset, e.g., remote sensing data, isotope tracer, observed single glacier mass balance. Section 4.3 expresses the same idea of “model parameterization”. We try to emphasize the significance of multi-objective calibration and validation to handle the “equifinality” effects, especially when one or several modules are missing. In addition, some discussions are taken on the current and future development of hydrological models for the Tianshan Mountains.

#### 4.2 Integration of different data sources

After appropriate preprocessing, several types of data, including remote sensing and reanalysis, could be used in hydrological modeling, as Liu et al. (2013) indicated that remote sensing data could reproduce comparable results with the traditional station data. In recent years, isotope data are increasingly used to define water components (Sun et al., 2016) and it would be a fortune for hydrologists to validate their models, or even calibrate the models (Fekete et al., 2006). The overall idea here is to build and integrate more comprehensive datasets in order to improve hydrological modeling. An example of this approach can be found in Naegeli et al. (2013), who

attempted to construct a worldwide dataset of glacier thickness observations compiled entirely from a literature review.

### **4.3 Multi-objective calibration and validation**

A hydrological model should not just "mimic" observed discharge but also reproduce snow accumulation and melt dynamics or the glacier mass change (e.g. Konz and Seibert, 2010). As discussed previously, hydrological models that are calibrated based on discharge alone may be of high uncertainty and even "equifinality" for different parameters or inputs. This could happen especially when one or several modules are missing. For example, one might overestimate the mountainous precipitation or underestimate the evapotranspiration if the glacier melt module is missing. Therefore, it is suggested to account for each hydrological component as much as possible. We strongly suggest the use of multi-objective functions and multi-metrics to calibrate and evaluate hydrological models. Compared to single objective calibration, which was dependent on the initial starting location, multi-objective calibration provides more insight into parameter sensitivity and helps to understand the conflicting characteristics of these objective functions (Yang et al., 2014). Therefore, use different kinds of data and objective functions could improve a hydrological model and provide more realistic results.

For the data-scarce Tienshan Mountains, however, we do not recommend an over-complex or physicalized modelling of each component as lack of validation data which may result in equifinality discussed previously when the climate system stays stable. The more empirical models (enhanced temperature index approaches) could reproduce comparable results with the sophisticated, fully-physical based models (Hock, 2005). What worth mentioning is that, the physically-based glacier models are more advancing when quantify future dynamics of glaciers and glacier/snow redistribution when the climatic and hydrologic systems are not stable (Hock, 2005). The physical models should be further developed and used in glacier modelling as long as there is enough input and validation data

6. Line 270. Semicolon should be after 'text'.

Response: We have corrected it.

# Hydrological modeling in glacierized catchments of Central Asia: status and challenges

Yaning Chen<sup>1</sup>, Weihong Li<sup>1</sup>, Gonghuan Fang<sup>1</sup>, Zhi Li<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, 830011, China

Correspondence to: Yaning Chen (chenyn@ms.xjb.ac.cn)

**Abstract.** ~~Glaciers~~ Melt water from glacierized catchments are one of the most important water supplies ~~of glacierized catchments~~ in Central Asia. Therefore, the effects of climate change on glaciers, snow cover and permafrost will have increasingly significant consequences for runoff. Hydrological modeling has become an indispensable research approach to water resources management in large glacierized river basins, but there is a lack of focus in the modeling of glacial discharge. This paper reviews the status of hydrological modeling in glacierized catchments of Central Asia, discussing the limitations of the available models and extrapolating these to future challenges and directions. After reviewing recent efforts, we conclude that the main sources of uncertainty in assessing the regional hydrological impacts of climate change are the unreliable and incomplete datasets and the lack of understanding of the hydrological regimes of glacierized catchments of Central Asia. Runoff trends indicate a complex response of catchments to changes in climate. For future variation of water resources, it is essential to quantify the responses of hydrologic processes to both climate change and shrinking glaciers in glacierized catchments, and scientific focus should be on reducing these uncertainties.

## 1 Introduction

Climate change is widely anticipated to exacerbate water stress in Central Asia in the near future (Siegfried et al., 2012), as the vast majority of the arid lowlands in the region are highly dependent on glacier melt water supplied by the Tianshan Mountains, which are known as the ‘water tower’ of Central Asia (Hagg et al., 2007; Sorg et al., 2012; Lutz et al., 2014). In fact, in the alpine river basins of the northern Tianshans, glacier melt water contributes 18-28% to annual runoff and 40-70% to summer runoff (Aizen et al., 1997), so climate-driven changes in glacier/snow-fed runoff regimes have significant effects on water supplies (Immerzeel et al., 2010; Kaser et al., 2010).

According to a study conducted by the Eurasian Development Bank, changes in temperature and precipitation in Central Asia have led to rapid regression in glaciers (Ibatullin et al., 2009). According to Farinotti et al. (2015), the overall decrease in total glacier area and mass from 1961 to 2012 to be 18±6% and 27±15%, respectively. These values correspond to a total area loss of 2,960±1,030 km<sup>2</sup>, and an average glacier mass change rate of 5.4±2.8 Gt yr<sup>-1</sup>. If the warming projections developed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) prove to be true, the glacierized river systems in

Central Asia will undergo unfavorable [hydrological changes, e.g., altered](#) seasonal distribution, [increased flood risk, higher and intense spring discharge and water deficiency in hot and dry summer periods](#), especially given the sharp rise in water demand ([Hagg et al., 2006](#); Siegfried et al., 2012). The development of hydrological models on accounting for changes in current and future runoff is therefore crucial for water resources allocation in river basins, and includes understanding climatic variability as well as the impact of human activities on climate (Bierkens, 2015).

Hydrological modeling is an indispensable approach to water resources research and management in large river basins. Such models help researchers understand past and current changes and provide a way to explore the implications of management decisions and imposed changes. The purpose of hydrological modeling on a river basin scale is primarily to support decision-making for water resources management, which can be summarized as resource assessment, vulnerability assessment, impact assessment, flood risk assessment, prediction, and early warning (World Meteorological Organisation, 2009). It is important to choose the most suitable hydrological model for a particular watershed based on the area's climate, hydrology, and underlying surface conditions.

The Tianshan Mountains span several countries and sub-regions, creating a decentralized political entity of complex multi-national and multi-ethnic forms. There are three large transboundary international rivers originated in the high mountains of Central Asia. In an international river, hydrological changes are related to the interests of the abutting riparian countries (Starodubtsev and Truskavetskiy, 2011; Xie et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2015). However, as conflicts between political states may arise for any number of reasons (political, cultural, etc.), transboundary issues may result in fragmented research and thus limit the development of hydrological modeling.

Amid this potential hindrance to robust research efforts, the effect of climate change on glaciers, permafrost and snow cover is having increasing impacts on runoff in glacierized Central Asian catchments. However, solid water is seldom explicitly considered within hydrological models due to the lack of complete glacier data. Our knowledge of snow/glacier changes and their responses to climate forcing is still mostly incomplete. ~~In addition to the high-altitude issue, most existing soil water transfer models are flawed in that they do not include frost effects (Bayard and Stähli, 2005).~~ Analysis of current and future water resources variations in Central Asia may promote adaptation strategies to alleviate the negative impacts of expected increased variability in runoff changes resulting from climate change.

In this paper, we review hydrological modeling efforts in five major river basins originating from the Tianshan Mountains in Central Asia, namely the Tarim River Basin, the watersheds in the northern slope of the Tianshan Mountains (which includes ~~10~~ [several small](#) river basins), the Issyk Lake Basin, the Ili River Basin, and the Amu Darya and Syr Darya Basins (Fig. 1). [The topographical characteristics, climate, vegetation together with the glacierized area are listed in Table 1.](#) We ~~also~~ examine the types, purpose and use of existing models and assess the constraints and gaps in knowledge. The current lack of understanding of high-altitude hydrological regimes is causing uncertainty in assessing the regional hydrological impacts of climate change (Miller et al., 2012). Snow and glacial melt as supplies of solid water are a key element in streamflow regimes (Lutz et al., 2014), so it is necessary to include glacier mass balance estimates in the model calibration procedure (Schaeffli et al., 2005; Stahl et al., 2008; Konz and Seibert, 2010; Mayr et al., 2013). ~~The integration of hydrological models~~

~~and remote sensing techniques is a promising future direction, but better coordination among researchers internationally could improve the effectiveness of model development in the short term.~~

## 2 Modeling hydrological responses to climate change

Changes in the amount and seasonal distribution of river runoff may have severe implications for water resources management in Central Asia. “Glacier runoff” is defined as the total runoff generated from the melting of glaciers, snow and glacier, but can also include liquid precipitation on glacierized areas (Unger-Shayesteh et al., 2013). A large number of hydrological models applied in glacierized catchments of Central Asia are basin-scale models, which contain empirical hydrological models as well as distributed hydrological models (Table 1). [These glacio-hydrological models are useful tools for anticipating and evaluating the impacts of climate changes in the headwater catchments of the main Asian rivers \(Miller et al., 2012\).](#)

~~In the headwater catchments of the main Asian rivers, glaciohydrological models are useful tools for anticipating and evaluating the impacts of climate changes (Miller et al., 2012). However, because mass balance data derived from the glaciological method are only available for individual glaciers, geodetic glacier mass balances may be applied as an alternative (Jost et al., 2012). Most studies did not take into account parameter uncertainties, and observations on mass balances were not considered in model calibration or overestimation of glacier melt (Stahl et al., 2008; Schaepli and Huss, 2011).~~

### 2.1 Current and future runoff changes

River runoff responds in a complex way to variation in climate and the cryosphere. At the same time, runoff changes also depend on dominant runoff components. Table 2+ shows that annual runoff anomalies have increased to some extent (except in the western Tianshan Mountains) and inconsistencies between changes in precipitation and runoff have occurred in heavily glacierized catchments. In rivers fed by snow and glaciers, ~~summer~~ runoff has increased (e.g., in northern Tianshan Mountains) and rising temperatures dominate the runoff changes by, for instance, increasing the snow/glacier melt and decreasing snowfall fraction (ratio of solid precipitation to liquid precipitation) (Chen, 2014). Khan and Holko (2009) compared runoff changes with variations in snow cover area and snow depth. They suggested that the mismatch between decreasing trends in snow indicators and the increasing river runoff could be the result of enhanced glacier melting. Heavily glacierized river basins showed mainly positive runoff trends in the past few decades (simulated under different scenarios in the head rivers of the Tarim River Basin), while those with less or no glacierization exhibited wide variations in runoff (Duethmann et al., 2015; Kaldybayev et al., 2016).

~~However, with~~ [With](#) further warming and the resulted acceleration of glacier retreat, glacier inflection points will or have already appeared. ~~The~~ [The](#) amount of surface water will probably decline or [keep high volatility due to glacial retreat and reduced storage capacity of glaciers](#) ~~remain at a high state of fluctuation~~ (Chen et al., 2015). For instance, near future runoffs

are projected to increase to some extent, with increments of 13%-35% during 2011-2050 for the Yarkand River, 4% -6% in 2020-2039 for the Kaidu River, 23% in 2020 for the Hotan River (Table 2). [For the long-term, however, total runoff is projected to be smaller than today. The hydrological responses to climate change around the world were discussed in Section 1.3.](#)

## **2.1.2 Contribution of glacier/snow melting water in river runoff**

Kemmerikh (1972) estimated the contribution of groundwater, snow and glacier melt to the total runoff of the alpine rivers in Central Asia. Based on the hydrograph separation methodology, the glacier melt contribution ranged between 5% and 40% in the plains and around 70% in upstream basins. ~~More specially, glacier melt contributes 31%~36% of discharge of the Aksu River Basin (the largest head-water of Tarim River Basin) (Sun et al., 2016).~~

Distributed hydrological models provide a more useful tool for the investigation of changes in different runoff components. For example, the VIC model was used to calculate the components of runoff in the source river for the Tarim, ~~China's largest inland river.~~ [River](#). The results showed that, in terms of runoff, glacier meltwater, snowmelt water and rainfall accounted for 43.8%, 27.1% and 28.5% of the Kumalike River, and 23%, 26.7% and 50.9% of the Toxkan River, respectively. [This result is comparable to the conclusion that glacier melt accounting for 31%~36% based on isotope tracer \(Sun et al., 2016\).](#) However, accurately quantifying the contributions of glacier melt, snow melt and rainfall to runoff in Central Asian streams is challenging (Unger-Shayesteh et al., 2013).

## **2.3 Glacio-hydrological responses to climate change: a comparison**

[To analyse the hydrological responses to climate change of the glacierized Tianshan Mountains, the responses of several major glacierized mountainous regions are discussed. For the Himalaya-Hindu Kush region, investigations suggested that a regression of the maximum spring streamflow period in the annual cycle by about 30 days, and annual runoff decreased by about 18% for the snow-fed basin, while increased by about 33% for the glacier-fed basin using the Satluj Basin as a typical region \(Singh et al., 2005\). For the Tibetan Plateau, the glacier retreat could lead to expansion of lakes, e.g., glacier mass loss between 1999 and 2010 contributed to about 11.4% ~ 28.7% of three glacier-fed lakes, Siling Co, Nam Co and Pung Co \(Lei et al., 2013\). Analysis from groundwater storage indicated that the groundwater for the major basins in the Tibetan Plateau has increased during 2003-2009 with a trend rate of  \$+1.86 \pm 1.69 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}\$  for the Yangtze River Source Region,  \$+1.14 \pm 1.39 \text{ Gt yr}^{-1}\$  for the Yellow River Source Region \(Xiang et al., 2016\).](#)

[For the South American Andes, the melting at the glacier summit has been occurred. With the continually increased temperature, though glacier melt was dominated by maybe other processes in some regions, the probability seems high that the current glacier melting will continue. As the loss of glacier water, the current dry-season water resources will be heavily depleted once the glaciers have disappeared \(Barnett et al., 2005\).](#)

[For the Alps Mountains, many investigations have been implemented, ranging from glacier scale modelling to large basin scale or region scale modelling \(Finger et al., 2015; Abbaspour et al., 2015\). Glacier melt water provided about  \$5.28 \pm 0.48\$](#)

5 km<sup>3</sup> a<sup>-1</sup> of freshwater during 1980–2009. About 75% of this volume occurred during July–September, providing water for large low-lying rivers including the Po, the Rhine and the Rhône (Farinotti et al., 2016). Under the context of climate change, decreases in both annual and summer runoff contributions are anticipated. For example, annual runoff contributions from presently glacierized surfaces are expected to decrease by 16% by 2070–2099, despite of nearly unchanged contributions from precipitation under RCP 4.5 (Farinotti et al., 2016).

10 For the glacierized regions, they have something in common. The annual runoff is likely to reduce in a warming climate with high spatial-temporal variation at the middle or end of the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Seasonally, increased snowmelt runoff and water shortage of summer runoff with the disappearing glaciers are expected. However, there are also differences in the responses of hydrological processes to climate change. For example, the contrasting climate change impact on river flows from glacierized catchments in the Himalayan and Andes Mountains (Ragetti et al., 2016). In the Langtang catchment in Nepal, increased runoff is expected with limited shifts between seasons while for the Juncal catchment in Chile, the runoff has already been decreasing. These qualitative or quantitative differences are mainly caused by glaciatio ratio, regional weather pattern and glacier property (Hagg & Braun, 2005).

15 However, for many glacierized catchment in the Tianshan Mountains, currently or for the next several decades, the runoff appears to be normal or even increasing trend, making an illusion of better prospect. What worth particularly mentioning is that, once the glacier storage (fossil water) melts away, the water system is likely to go from plenty to want, leading to water crisis given the increasing water demand.

### 3 Limitations of the available hydrological models

#### 3.1 **Inputs** Meteorological inputs in hydrological modeling and prediction

20 In mountainous regions of Central Asia, meteorological input uncertainty could account for over 60% of model uncertainty (Fang et al., 2015a). The greatest challenge in hydrological modeling is lack of robust and reliable complete meteorological data, especially since the collapse of the Soviet Union in the late 1980s. In this section, the value and limitations of different datasets used in hydrological modeling (e.g., station data, remote sensing data) and future prediction (e.g., GCMs, RCMs) are discussed.

##### 25 3.1.1 Station-scale observational data

Traditionally, hydrological models are forced by station-scale meteorological data in or near the studied watershed (e.g., Fang et al., 2015a; Peng and Xu, 2010). However, station-scale data can only describe the climate at a specific point in space and time, and most of them located at the foot of mountains. This limitation needs to be taken into consideration when interpolating station data into basin scale under rugged terrain. Applying in-situ observational meteorological data at individual stations is also associated with other challenges, as detailed below.

30 (1) Lack of stations



One of the greatest challenges inherent in station-scale meteorological data is the low density of meteorological stations. As the mountainous regions of Central Asia are characterized by complex terrain, it is inaccurate to represent the climatic conditions of basins using data from limited stations. Some researchers (Liu et al., 2016b; Fang et al., 2015a) have addressed this challenge by attempting to interpolate temperature/precipitation into a basin scale using elevation bands, based on the assumption that climate variables increase or decrease with elevation. Temperature lapse rates could also be validated using the Integrated Global Radiosonde Archive (IGRA) dataset (Li and Williams, 2008). However, this modification could not take account of the source of water vapor and mountain aspect for basins with complex landform. Due to the fact that uniform precipitation gradients cannot be derived and temperature lapse rates are not constant throughout the year (Immerzeel et al., 2014), it is a stretch to use elevation bands to interpolate station-scale climate into basin-scale climate.

## 10 (2) Lack of homogeneity test

Most hydrological modeling studies do not factor in errors in observations, even though homogeneous climate records are required in hydrological design. In Central Asia, changes in station regulation protocols or relocation of stations also lead to observational errors. Checking the input data should be the first step in hydrological modeling due to “Garbage In Yields Garbage Out”.

## 15 3.1.2 Remote sensing data and reanalysis data

Remote sensing and reanalysis data are increasingly being used in hydrological modeling. Liu et al. (2012a; 2016b) evaluated remote sensing precipitation data of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) and temperature data of Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS). The results indicated that snow storage and snowpack that were modelled using the remote sensing climate are different from those modelled using station-scale observational data. The model forced by the remote sensing data showed better performance in spring snowmelt (Liu et al., 2012a). Huang et al. (2010a) analyzed the input uncertainty of remote sensing precipitation data interpreted from FY-2. In addition to meteorological data, surface information interpreted from satellite images, e.g., soil moisture, land use and snow cover, can also be used in hydrologic modeling (Cai et al., 2014).

As demonstrated in numerous research studies, data assimilation holds considerable potential for improving hydrological predictions (Liu et al., 2012b). Cai et al. (2014) used Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) 3h air temperature data to force the MS-DTVGM model, while Duethmann et al. (2015) used the Watch Forcing Data based on ERA-40 (WFD-E40) to force the hydrological model, and Li et al. (2014) applied the interpolated precipitation dataset (APPRODITE) to force the SRM model.

Remote sensing and reanalysis data are supposed for use in large-scale hydrological modeling due to their low spatial resolution. Another limitation in using remote sensing and reanalysis data is that these data are biased to some extent. For example, the TRMM data are mostly valuable only for tropical regions, and reanalysis data, including ERA-40, NCEP/NCAR and GPCC, fail to reveal any significant correlation with station data (Sorg et al., 2012).

Given the advantages and disadvantages of observation data, remote sensing data and reanalysis data, a better approach would be to combine observations and other datasets in hydrological modeling.

### 3.1.3 GCM or RCM outputs

GCMs or RCMs provide climate variables for evaluating future hydrological processes. However, the greatest challenges in applying these datasets are their low spatial resolutions (e.g., the spatial resolution of GCMs in CMIP5 ranges from 0.75 ° to 3.25 °) and considerable biases. In addition, different GCMs or RCMs generally give different climate projections. Therefore, when forcing a hydrological model using the outputs of climate models, the evaluation results depend heavily on the selection of GCMs and consequently result in higher uncertainty in GCMs than that in other sources (e.g., scenarios, hydrological models, downscaling etc.) (Bosshard et al., 2013).

Many downscaling methods have been developed to overcome these drawbacks. Although some statistical downscaling methods such as SDSM (Wilby et al., 2002) are widely used in climate change impact studies, their use in the mountainous regions of Central Asia is rare due to the lack of fine observational data to downscale GCM outputs. To overcome the data scarcity for this region, Fang et al. (2015b) evaluated different bias correction methods in downscaling the outputs of one RCM model and used the bias-corrected climate to force a hydrological model in the data-scarce Kaidu River Basin. Liu et al. (2011) used perturbation factors to downscale the GCM outputs and force the hydrological model.

### 3.2 Glacier melt modelling

~~Glacier models that are physical based (e.g., mass energy fluxes and glacier flow dynamics) depend heavily on detailed knowledge of local topography and hydrometeorological data, which are generally limited in high mountain regions (Michlmayr et al., 2001). The temperature index method (or its variants), which only requires temperature for meteorological input, is widely used to calculate glacier melt (Konz and Seibert, 2010). In recent years, hydrologists were trying to add other meteorological variables into the calculations of glacier melt, e.g., Zhang et al. (2007b), included potential clear sky direct solar radiation in the degree day model, and Yu et al. (2013) stated that accumulated temperature is more effective than daily average temperature for calculating the snowmelt runoff model. Using degree day calculation is much simpler than using energy balance approaches and could actually produce comparable or better model performance when applied in mountainous basins (Ohmura, 2001).~~

~~Several limitations could be concluded in the glacier process modeling in the previous studies:~~

Glacier melt accounts for a large part of the discharge for the alpine basins in Central Asia as discussed above. However, most hydrological modeling does not include glacier melt and accumulation processes. For example, Liu et al. (2010) failed to account for the glacier processes through in VIC model in the Tarim river; Peng and Xu (2010) missed the glacier module in Xin'anjiang and Topmodel; Fang et al. (2015a) failed to account for glacier processes though the glacier melt could contribute up to 10% of discharge of the Kaidu River Basin. Similarly, in their research on the Yarkant River Basin, Liu et al. (2016a) neglected to include the influence of glacier melt in the SWAT and MIKE-SHE model, even though the glacier covered an area of 5574 km<sup>2</sup>. The most widely used hydrological models, such as the distributed SWAT, the MIKE-SHE model and the conceptual SRM model, do not as a rule calculate glacier melt processes, despite the fact that excluding the glacier processes could induce large errors when evaluating future climate change impact. Glacier processes are complex, in

that glacier melt will at first increase due to the rise in ablation and lowering of glacier elevation, and then, after reaching its peak, will decrease due to the shrinking in glacier area (Xie et al., 2006). Moreover, simulation errors can be re-categorized as precipitation or glacier melt water and consequently result in a greater uncertainty in the water balance in high mountain areas (Mayr et al., 2013).

5 During the last few decades, a large variety of melt models have been developed (Hock, 2005). Previous studies have investigated glacier dynamics for the mountainous regions. Among these studies, Hock (2005) reviewed glacier melt related processes at the surface-atmosphere interface ranging from simple temperature-index to sophisticated energy-balance models. Glacier models that are physical-based (e.g., mass-energy fluxes and glacier flow dynamics) depend heavily on detailed knowledge of local topography and hydrometeorological data, which are generally limited in high mountain regions (Michlmayr et al., 2008). Hence, they mostly applied to well-documented glaciers and have few applications in basin-scale hydrological models.

10 The temperature-index method (or its variants), which only requires temperature for meteorological input, is widely used to calculate glacier melt (Konz and Seibert, 2010). As is illustrated by Oerlemans and Reichert (2000), glaciers can be reconstructed from long-term meteorological record, e.g., summer temperature is the dominant factor for glaciers in a dry climate (e.g., Abramov glacier). In recent years, hydrologists were trying to add other meteorological variables into the calculations of glacier melt, e.g., Zhang et al. (2007b), included potential clear sky direct solar radiation in the degree-day model, and Yu et al. (2013) stated that accumulated temperature is more effective than daily average temperature for calculating the snowmelt runoff model. Using degree-day calculation is much simpler than using energy balance approaches and could actually produce comparable or better model performance when applied in mountainous basins (Ohmura, 2001).

15 More recently, the melt module has been incorporated into different kinds of hydrological models. Zhao et al. (2015) integrated a degree-day glacier melt algorithm into a macroscale hydrologic Variable Infiltration Capacity model (VIC) and indicated that annual and summer river runoff volumes would decrease by 9.3% and 10.4%, respectively, for reductions in glacier areas of 13.2% in the Kumalike River Basin. Hagg et al. (2013) analyzed anticipated glacier and runoff changes in the Rukhk catchment's upper Amu-Darya basin up to 2050 using the HBV-ETH model by including glacier and snow melt processes. Their results showed that with temperature increases of 2.2 °C and 3.1 °C, the current glacier extent of 431 km<sup>2</sup> will reduce by 36% and 45%, respectively. Luo et al. (2013) taking the Manas River Basin as a case study, investigated the glacier melt processes by including the algorithm of glacier melt, sublimation/evaporation, accumulation, mass balance and retreat in a SWAT model. The results showed that glacier melt contributed 25% to streamflow, although the glacier area makes up only 14% of the catchment drainage area.

20 Glacier models that are physical based (e.g., mass-energy fluxes and glacier flow dynamics) depend heavily on detailed knowledge of local topography and hydrometeorological data, which are generally limited in high mountain regions (Michlmayr et al., 2008). Hence, they have few applications in basin scale hydrological models. The temperature index method (or its variants), which only requires temperature for meteorological input, is widely used to calculate glacier melt (Konz and Seibert, 2010). In recent years, hydrologists were trying to add other meteorological variables into the

~~calculations of glacier melt, e.g., Zhang et al. (2007b), included potential clear sky direct solar radiation in the degree-day model, and Yu et al. (2013) stated that accumulated temperature is more effective than daily average temperature for calculating the snowmelt runoff model. Using degree-day calculation is much simpler than using energy balance approaches and could actually produce comparable or better model performance when applied in mountainous basins (Ohmura, 2001). Several limitations could be concluded in the glacier process modeling in the previous studies.~~

#### 1) ~~Lack~~Paucity of glacier variation data

The existing glacier dataset, which includes the World Glacier Inventory (WGI), the Randolph Glacier Inventory (RGI), and global land ice measurements from space (GLIMS), has been developed rapidly. These data, however, generally focus on glaciers in the present time or those existing in the former Soviet Union. So, for example, the source data of WGI is from 1940s-1960s, and the GLIMS for the Amu Darya Basin is from 1960 to 2004 (Donald et al., 2015). These data can depict the characteristics of the glacier status, but fail to reproduce glacier variation annually. Only a few glaciers (e.g., ~~the~~Abramov, Tuyuksu, Urumqi NO. 1 Glacier, etc.) have long-term variation measurements (Savoskul and Smakhtin, 2013). CAWa is intended to contribute to a reliable regional data basis of Central Asia from the monitoring stations, sampling and remote sensing. The missing glacier variation information leads to a misrepresentation of glacier dynamics.

#### 2) Lack of glacier mass balance data

Glacier measurements reproduced by remote sensing data usually give glacier area instead of glacier water equivalent, so errors will occur when converting glacier area to glacier mass. Glaciologists normally use a specified relation (e.g., empirical) between glacier volume and glacier area to estimate glacier mass balance (Stahl et al., 2008; Luo et al., 2013). Aizen et al. (2007) applied the radio-echo sounding approach to obtain glacier ice volume.

This paper focuses primarily on glacier melt modules. It does not include the individual glacier dynamics. It does not discuss snow melt processes, as hydrological models generally include them either in a degree-day approach or energy balance basis. Furthermore, this paper does not analyze water route processes or evapotranspiration because there are several ways to simulate soil water storage change and model evapotranspiration (Bierkens, 2015).

### 3.3 Model calibration and validation

For model calibration, two important issues are discussed here: the length of the calibration period, and objective functions. Generally, hydrological modeling requires several years' calibration. For example, Yang et al. (2012) indicated that a 5-year warm up is sufficient before hydrological model calibration and a 4-year calibration could obtain satisfactory model performance. More venturesomely, a 6-month calibration could lead to good model performance for an arid watershed (Sun et al., 2016). Konz and Seibert (2010) stated that one year's calibration of using glacier mass balances could effectively improve the hydrological model. Selecting the appropriate calibration period is significant, as model performance could depend on calibration data. Refsgaard (1997) used a split-sample procedure to obtain better model calibration and validation more effectively and efficiently.

Most studies on calibration procedures in hydrology have examined goodness-of-fit measures based on simulated and observed runoff. However, as the hydrological sciences develop further, multi-objective calibration is emerging as the preferred approach. It not only includes multi-site streamflow (which has proved to be advantageous compared to single-site calibration (Wang et al., 2012b), but also involves multiple examined hydrological components. Most of the studies reviewed here use the discharge to calibrate and validate the hydrological model, yet Gupta et al. (1998) argued that a strong “equifinality effect” may exist due to the compensation effect, where an underestimation of precipitation may be compensated by an overestimation of glacier melt, and vice versa. Stahl et al. (2008) suggested that observations on mass balances should be used for model calibration, as large uncertainties exist in the data-scarce alpine regions. Therefore, multi-criteria calibration and validation is necessary, especially for glacier/snow recharged regions.

Many recent studies have attempted to include mass balance data into model calibration (Stahl et al., 2008; Huss et al., 2008; Konz and Seibert, 2010; Parajuli et al., 2009). Duethmann et al. (2015) used a multi-objective optimization algorithm that included objective functions of glacier mass balance and discharge to calibrate the hydrological model WASA. Another approach for improving model efficiency is to calibrate the glacier melt processes and the precipitation dominated processes separately (Immerzeel et al., 2012b). Further, in addition to the mass balance data used to calibrate the hydrological model, the glacier area /volume scaling factor can also be calibrated with the observed glacier area change monitored by remote sensing data (Zhang et al., 2012b). Soil moisture data depicting water storage conditions can also be used.

#### **4 Future challenges and directions**

Modeling hydrological processes and understanding hydrological changes in mountainous river basins will provide important insight into future water availability for downstream regions of the basins. In modeling the glacierized catchments of Central Asia, the greatest challenge still remains the lack of reliable and complete data, including meteorological data, glacier data, and surface conditions. This challenge is very difficult to overcome due to the inaccessibility of the terrain and the oftentimes conflicting politics of the countries that share the region. Even so, future efforts could be focused on constructing additional stations and doing more observations (e.g., the AKSU-TARIM project <http://www.aksu-tarim.de/>).

For alpine basins with scarce data, knowledge about water generation processes and the future impact of climate change on water availability is also poor. Moreover, the contribution of glacier melt varies significantly among basins and even along river channels, adding even more complexity to hydrological responses to climate change.

Uncertainty should always be analyzed and calculated in hydrological modeling, especially when evaluating climate change impact studies that contain a cascade of climate models, downscaling, bias correction and hydrological modeling whose uncertainties are currently insufficiently quantified (Johnston and Smakhtin, 2014). The evaluation contains uncertainty in each part of the cascade, such as climate modeling uncertainty, hydrological modeling uncertainty (i.e., input uncertainty, structure or modules uncertainty and parameter uncertainty), all of which could lead to a considerably wide bandwidth

compared to the changes of the water resources. In contrast, by taking into account all of these uncertainties, reliable evaluation of model confidence could be acquired by decision-makers and peers.

#### 4.1 Publication of model setups and input data

5 As was suggested by Johnston and Smakhtin (2014), the publication of model setups and input data is necessary for other researchers to replicate the modeling or build coherent nested models. From these setups and data, researchers can build their own models from existing work rather than starting from scratch. Another advantage of researchers sharing their work is to help each other evaluate existing models from other viewpoints.

#### 4.2 Integration of different data sources

10 After appropriate preprocessing, several types of data, including remote sensing and reanalysis, could be used in hydrological modeling, as [Liu et al. \(2013\) indicated that remote sensing data could reproduce comparable results with the traditional station data. In recent years, isotope data are increasingly used to define water components \(Sun et al., 2016\) and it would be a fortune for hydrologists to validate their models, or even calibrate the models \(Fekete et al., 2006\).](#) The overall idea here is to build and integrate more comprehensive datasets in order to improve hydrological modeling. An example of this approach can be found in Naegeli et al. (2013), who attempted to construct a  
15 worldwide dataset of glacier thickness observations compiled entirely from a literature review.

#### 4.3 Multi-objective calibration and validation

[A hydrological model should not just "mimic" observed discharge but also reproduce snow accumulation and melt dynamics or the glacier mass change \(e.g. Konz and Seibert, 2010\).](#) As discussed previously, hydrological models that are calibrated based on discharge alone may be of high uncertainty. ~~One solution is to use multi-objective functions and multi-metrics to calibrate and evaluate hydrological models.~~ and even "equifinality" for different parameters or inputs. This could happen especially when one or several modules are missing. For example, one might overestimate the mountainous precipitation or underestimate the evapotranspiration if the glacier melt module is missing. Therefore, it is suggested to account for each hydrological component as much as possible. We strongly suggest the use of multi-objective functions and multi-metrics to calibrate and evaluate hydrological models. Compared to single objective calibration, which was dependent on the initial  
20 [starting location, multi-objective calibration provides more insight into parameter sensitivity and helps to understand the conflicting characteristics of these objective functions \(Yang et al., 2014\).](#) Therefore, use different kinds of data and objective functions could improve a hydrological model and provide more realistic results.  
[For the data-scarce Tienshan Mountains, however, we do not recommend an over-complex or physicalized modelling of each component as lack of validation data which may result in equifinality discussed previously when the climate system stays stable. The more empirical models \(enhanced temperature index approaches\) could reproduce comparable results with the sophisticated, fully-physical based models \(Hock, 2005\). What worth mentioning is that, the physically-based glacier](#)  
25  
30

[models are more advancing when quantify future dynamics of glaciers and glacier/snow redistribution when the climatic and hydrologic systems are not stable \(Hock, 2005\). The physical models should be further developed and used in glacier modelling as long as there is enough input and validation data](#)

5 Having a reliable hydrological model is important for understanding and modeling water changes, which are key issues of water resources management. The developments and associated challenges described in this paper are extrapolations of current trends and are likely to be the focus of research in the coming decades.

### Author contribution

Yaning Chen and Weihong Li wrote the main manuscript text; Gonghuan Fang and Zhi Li prepared Fig. 1 and gave some assistance to paper searching and reviewing. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

### 10 Acknowledgment

The research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China ([41630859](#); 41471030) and the CAS "Light of West China" Program (2015-XBQN-B-17).

### References

- 15 [Abbaspour, K. C., Rouholahnejad, E., Vaghefi, S., Srinivasan, R., Yang, H., and Kløve, B.: A continental-scale hydrology and water quality model for Europe: Calibration and uncertainty of a high-resolution large-scale SWAT model, \*J Hydrol\*, 524, 733-752, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.03.027>, 2015.](#)
- Aerts, J., Renssen, H., Ward, P., De Moel, H., Odada, E., Bouwer, L., and Goosse, H.: Sensitivity of global river discharges under Holocene and future climate conditions, *Geophys Res Lett*, 33, 2006.
- 20 Aizen, V., Aizen, E., and Kuzmichonok, V.: Glaciers and hydrological changes in the Tien Shan: simulation and prediction, *Environ Res Lett* 2, 045019, 2007.
- Aizen, V. B., Aizen, E. M., Melack, J. M., and Dozier, J.: Climatic and hydrologic changes in the Tien Shan, central Asia, *J Climate*, 10, 1393-1404, 1997.
- [Barnett, T. P., Adam, J. C., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Potential impacts of a warming climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions, \*Nature\*, 438, 303-309, 2005.](#)
- 25 Bayard, D., Stähli, M., Parriaux, A., and Flüeler, H.: The influence of seasonally frozen soil on the snowmelt runoff at two Alpine sites in southern Switzerland, *J Hydrol*, 309, 66-84, 2005.
- Bierkens, M. F. P.: Global hydrology 2015: State, trends, and directions, *Water Resour Res*, 51, 4923-4947, doi:10.1002/2015WR017173, 2015.
- 30 Bosshard, T., Carambia, M., Goergen, K., Kotlarski, S., Krahe, P., Zappa, M., and Schär, C.: Quantifying uncertainty sources in an ensemble of hydrological climate-impact projections, *Water Resour Res*, 49, 1523-1536, doi:10.1029/2011WR011533, 2013.
- Cai, M., Yang, S., Zeng, H., Zhao, C., and Wang, S.: A distributed hydrological model driven by multi-source spatial data and its application in the Ili River Basin of Central Asia, *Water Resour Manag*, 28, 2851-2866, 2014.
- 35 Chen, R., Qing, W., Liu, S., Han, H., He, X., Wang, J., and Liu, G.: The relationship between runoff and ground temperature in glacierized catchments in China, *Environ Earth Sci*, 65, 681-687, 2012.

- Chen, Y., Takeuchi, K., Xu, C. C., Chen, Y. P., and Xu, Z. X.: Regional climate change and its effects on river runoff in the Tarim Basin, China, *Hydrol Process*, 20, 2207-2216, doi:10.1002/hyp.6200, 2006.
- Chen, Y., *Water resources research in Northwest China*. Springer Science & Business Media, doi: 10.1007/978-94-017-8017-9, 2014.
- 5 Chen, Y., Li, Z., Fan, Y., Wang, H., and Deng, H.: Progress and prospects of climate change impacts on hydrology in the arid region of northwest China, *Environ Res*, 139, 11-19, 2015.
- Dikich, A., and Hagg, W.: ABHANDLUNGEN-Climature driven changes of glacier runoff in the Issyk-Kul Basin, Kyrgyzstan, *Zeitschrift für Gletscherkunde und Glazialgeologie*, 39, 75-86, 2003, (In Russian).
- Donald, A., Ulrich, K., and Caleb, P.: *The Role of Glaciers in the Hydrologic Regime of the Amu Darya and Syr Darya Basins*, Washington, D.C., 2015.
- 10 Dong, W., Cui, B., Liu, Z., and Zhang, K.: Relative effects of human activities and climate change on the river runoff in an arid basin in northwest China, *Hydrol Process*, 28, 4854-4864, 2014.
- Duethmann, D., Bolch, T., Farinotti, D., Kriegel, D., Vorogushyn, S., Merz, B., Pieczonka, T., Jiang, T., Su, B. D., and Guntner, A.: Attribution of streamflow trends in snow and glacier melt-dominated catchments of the Tarim River, Central Asia, *Water Resour Res*, 51, 4727-4750, doi:10.1002/2014wr016716, 2015.
- 15 Fan, J., Jiang, Y., Chen, Y., Chen, P., Bai, S., and Yu, X.: The Critical Rainfall Calculation in Kaidu River Based on HBV Hydrological Model, *Desert and Oasis Meteorology*, 8, 31-35, 2014.
- Farinotti, D., Longuevergne, L., Moholdt, G., Duethmann, D., Mölg, T., Bolch, T., Vorogushyn, S., and Guntner, A.: Substantial glacier mass loss in the Tien Shan over the past 50 years, *Nat. Geosci.*, 8, 716-722, doi:10.1038/ngeo2513, 2015.
- 20 [Farinotti, D., Pistocchi, A., and Huss, M.: From dwindling ice to headwater lakes: could dams replace glaciers in the European Alps?, \*Environ Res Lett\* 11, 054022, 2016.](#)
- Fang, G., Yang, J., Chen, Y., Xu, C., and De Maeyer, P.: Contribution of meteorological input in calibrating a distributed hydrologic model in a watershed in the Tianshan Mountains, China, *Environ Earth Sci*, 74, 2413-2424, doi:10.1007/s12665-015-4244-7, 2015a.
- 25 Fang, G., Yang, J., Chen, Y., and Zammit, C.: Comparing bias correction methods in downscaling meteorological variables for a hydrologic impact study in an arid area in China, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc*, 19, 2547-2559, 2015b.
- Fang, G., Yang, J., Chen, Y., Zhang, S., Deng, H., Liu, H., and De Maeyer, P.: Climate Change Impact on the Hydrology of a Typical Watershed in the Tianshan Mountains, *Advances in Meteorology*, 2015, 1-10, doi:10.1155/2015/960471, 2015c.
- 30 Fekete, B. M., Gibson, J. J., Aggarwal, P., and Vörösmarty, C. J.: Application of isotope tracers in continental scale hydrological modeling, *J Hydrol*, 330, 444-456, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.04.029, 2006.
- [Finger, D., Vis, M., Huss, M., and Seibert, J.: The value of multiple data set calibration versus model complexity for improving the performance of hydrological models in mountain catchments, \*Water Resour Res\*, 51, 1939-1958, 2015.](#)
- Gan, R., and Luo, Y.: Using the nonlinear aquifer storage-discharge relationship to simulate the base flow of glacier- and snowmelt-dominated basins in northwest China, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 17, 3577-3586, doi:10.5194/hess-17-3577-2013, 2013.
- 35 Gan, R., Luo, Y., Zuo, Q. T., and Sun, L.: Effects of projected climate change on the glacier and runoff generation in the Naryn River Basin, Central Asia, *J Hydrol*, 523, 240-251, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.057, 2015.
- Guo, L. D., Xia, Z. Q., Zhou, H. W., Huang, F., and Yan, B.: Hydrological Changes of the Ili River in Kazakhstan and the Possible Causes, *J Hydroaul Eng*, 20, 7, doi:10.1061/(asce)he.1943-5584.0001214, 2015.
- 40 Gupta, H. V., Sorooshian, S., and Yapo, P. O.: Toward improved calibration of hydrologic models: Multiple and noncommensurable measures of information, *Water Resour Res*, 34, 751-763, 1998.
- [Hagg, W., and Braun, L.: The influence of glacier retreat on water yield from high mountain areas: comparison of Alps and Central Asia, \*Climate and Hydrology in mountain areas\*, 18, 263-275, 2005.](#)
- 45 Hagg, W., Braun, L., Weber, M., and Becht, M.: Runoff modelling in glacierized Central Asian catchments for present-day and future climate, *Nordic Hydrology*, 93-105, 2006.
- Hagg, W., Braun, L. N., Kuhn, M., and Nesgaard, T. I.: Modelling of hydrological response to climate change in glacierized Central Asian catchments, *J Hydrol*, 332, 40-53, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.06.021, 2007.
- Hagg, W., Hoelzle, M., Wagner, S., Mayr, E., and Klose, Z.: Glacier and runoff changes in the Rukhk catchment, upper Amu-Darya basin until 2050, *Global Planet Change*, 110, Part A, 62-73, doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.05.005, 2013.
- 50



- Hock, R.: [Glacier melt: a review of processes and their modelling, \*Progress in physical geography\*, 29, 362-391, 2005.](#)
- Huai, B., Li, Z., Sun, M., and Xiao, Y.: Snowmelt runoff model applied in the headwaters region of Urumqi River, *Arid land Geography*, 36, 41-48, 2013, (in Chinese with English abstract).
- 5 Huang, S., Krysanova, V., Zhai, J., and Su, B.: Impact of Intensive Irrigation Activities on River Discharge Under Agricultural Scenarios in the Semi-Arid Aksu River Basin, Northwest China, *Water Resour Manag*, 29, 945-959, doi:10.1007/s11269-014-0853-2, 2015.
- Huang, Y., Chen, X., Bao, A., and Ma, Y.: Distributed Hydrological Modeling in Kaidu Basin: MIKE-SHE Model Calibration and Uncertainty Estimation, *J Glaciol Geocryol*, 32, 567-572, 2010a, (In Chinese with English abstract).
- 10 Huang, Y., Chen, X., and MA, Y.: Simulation of Runoff Process in Mountainous Basin of Tarim River based on Distributed Hydrological Model and Uncertainty Analysis, *J Desert Res*, 30, 1234-1238, 2010b, (In Chinese with English abstract).
- Huss, M., Farinotti, D., Bauder, A., and Funk, M.: Modelling runoff from highly glacierized alpine drainage basins in a changing climate, *Hydrol Process*, 22, 3888-3902, doi:10.1002/hyp.7055, 2008.
- Ibatullin, S., Yasinsky, V., and Mironenkov, A.: Impacts of climate change on water resources in Central Asia, Sector report of the Eurasian development bank, 42, 2009.
- 15 Immerzeel, W., Lutz, A., and Droogers, P.: Climate change impacts on the upstream water resources of the Amu and Syr Darya River basins, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 1-103, 2012a.
- Immerzeel, W. W., Van Beek, L. P., and Bierkens, M. F.: Climate change will affect the Asian water towers, *Science*, 328, 1382-1385, 2010.
- Immerzeel, W. W., Van Beek, L., Konz, M., Shrestha, A., and Bierkens, M.: Hydrological response to climate change in a glacierized catchment in the Himalayas, *Climatic change*, 110, 721-736, 2012b.
- 20 Immerzeel, W. W., Petersen, L., Ragetti, S., and Pellicciotti, F.: The importance of observed gradients of air temperature and precipitation for modeling runoff from a glacierized watershed in the Nepalese Himalayas, *Water Resour Res*, 50, 2212-2226, doi:10.1002/2013WR014506, 2014.
- Ji, X., and Luo, Y.: The influence of precipitation and temperature input schemes on hydrological simulations of a snow and glacier melt dominated basin in Northwest China, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc Disc*, 10, 807-853, 2013.
- 25 Johnston, R., and Smakhtin, V.: Hydrological Modeling of Large river Basins: How Much is Enough?, *Water Resour Manag*, 28, 2695-2730, doi:10.1007/s11269-014-0637-8, 2014.
- ~~Jost, G., Moore, R. D., Menounos, B., and Wheate, R.: Quantifying the contribution of glacier runoff to streamflow in the upper Columbia River Basin, Canada, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc*, 16, 849-860, doi:10.5194/hess-16-849-2012, 2012.~~
- 30 Kaldybayev, A., Chen, Y., Issanova, G., Wang, H., and Mahmudova, L.: Runoff response to the glacier shrinkage in the Karatal river basin, Kazakhstan, *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, 9, 1-8, doi:10.1007/s12517-015-2106-y, 2016.
- Kaser, G., Großhauser, M., and Marzeion, B.: Contribution potential of glaciers to water availability in different climate regimes, *PNAS*, 107, 20223-20227, 2010.
- Kemmerikh, A. O.: Rol' lednikov v stoke rek Sredney Azii. The role of glaciers for river runoff in Central Asia, *Materialy Glaciologicheskikh Issledovaniy (Data of Glaciological Studies)*, 20, 1972.
- 35 Khan, V., and Holko, L.: Snow cover characteristics in the Aral Sea Basin from different data sources and their relation with river runoff, *J Marine Syst*, 76, 254-262, 2009.
- Kong, Y., and Pang, Z.: Evaluating the sensitivity of glacier rivers to climate change based on hydrograph separation of discharge, *J Hydrol*, 434, 121-129, 2012.
- 40 Kononov, V. G.: Estimation and Forecast of Components of Runoff in the River Basins of Central Asia, *Izvestiya Rossiiskoi akademii nauk. Seriya geograficheskaya*, 72-84, 2015.
- Konz, M., and Seibert, J.: On the value of glacier mass balances for hydrological model calibration, *J Hydrol*, 385, 238-246, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.02.025, 2010.
- [Lei, Y., Yao, T., Bird, B. W., Yang, K., Zhai, J., and Sheng, Y.: Coherent lake growth on the central Tibetan Plateau since the 1970s: Characterization and attribution, \*J Hydrol\*, 483, 61-67, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.01.003, 2013.](#)
- 45 Li, L., and Simonovic, S.: System dynamics model for predicting floods from snowmelt in North American prairie watersheds, *Hydrol Process*, 16, 2645-2666, 2002.
- Li, L., Shang, M., Zhang, M., Ahmad, S., and Huang, Y.: Snowmelt runoff simulation driven by APHRODITE precipitation dataset, *Adv Water Sci*, 25, 53-59, 2014, (In Chinese with English abstract).
- 50 Li, X., and Williams, M. W.: Snowmelt runoff modelling in an arid mountain watershed, Tarim Basin, China, *Hydrol*

- Process, 22, 3931-3940, 2008.
- Li, Z., Wang, W., Zhang M., Wang F., and Li, H.: Observed changes in streamflow at the headwaters of the Urumqi River, eastern Tianshan, central Asia, *Hydrol Process*, 24, 217-224, doi: 10.1002/hyp.7431, 2010.
- 5 Liu, J., Liu, T., Bao, A., De Maeyer, P., Feng, X., Miller, S. N., and Chen, X.: Assessment of Different Modelling Studies on the Spatial Hydrological Processes in an Arid Alpine Catchment, *Water Resour Manag*, 30, 1757-1770, 2016a.
- Liu, J., Liu, T., Bao, A., De Maeyer, P., Kurban, A., and Chen, X.: Response of Hydrological Processes to Input Data in High Alpine Catchment: An Assessment of the Yarkant River basin in China, *Water*, 8, 181, 2016b.
- Liu, T., Willems, P., Pan, X. L., Bao, A. M., Chen, X., Veroustraete, F., and Dong, Q. H.: Climate change impact on water resource extremes in a headwater region of the Tarim basin in China, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc*, 15, 3511-3527, doi:10.5194/hess-15-3511-2011, 2011.
- 10 Liu, T., Willems, P., Feng, X. W., Li, Q., Huang, Y., Bao, A. M., Chen, X., Veroustraete, F., and Dong, Q. H.: On the usefulness of remote sensing input data for spatially distributed hydrological modelling: case of the Tarim River basin in China, *Hydrol Process*, 26, 335-344, doi:10.1002/hyp.8129, 2012a.
- Liu, T., Fang, H., Willems, P., Bao, A. M., Chen, X., Veroustraete, F., and Dong, Q. H.: On the relationship between historical land-use change and water availability: the case of the lower Tarim River region in northwestern China, *Hydrol Process*, 27, 251-261, doi:10.1002/hyp.9223, 2013.
- 15 Liu, Y., Weerts, A. H., Clark, M., Franssen, H. J. H., Kumar, S., Moradkhani, H., Seo, D. J., Schwanenberg, D., Smith, P., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., van Velzen, N., He, M., Lee, H., Noh, S. J., Rakovec, O., and Restrepo, P.: Advancing data assimilation in operational hydrologic forecasting: progresses, challenges, and emerging opportunities, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc*, 16, 3863-3887, doi:10.5194/hess-16-3863-2012, 2012b.
- 20 Liu, Z., Xu, Z., Huang, J., Charles, S. P., and Fu, G.: Impacts of climate change on hydrological processes in the headwater catchment of the Tarim River basin, China, *Hydrol Process*, 24, 196-208, doi:10.1002/hyp.7493, 2010.
- Luo, Y., Arnold, J., Allen, P., and Chen, X.: Baseflow simulation using SWAT model in an inland river basin in Tianshan Mountains, Northwest China, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc*, 16, 1259-1267, doi:10.5194/hess-16-1259-2012, 2012.
- 25 Luo, Y., Arnold, J., Liu, S., Wang, X., and Chen, X.: Inclusion of glacier processes for distributed hydrological modeling at basin scale with application to a watershed in Tianshan Mountains, northwest China, *J Hydrol*, 477, 72-85, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.11.005, 2013.
- Lutz, A., Immerzeel, W., Shrestha, A., and Bierkens, M.: Consistent increase in High Asia's runoff due to increasing glacier melt and precipitation, *Nature Clim Change*, 4, 587-592, 2014.
- 30 Ma, H., and Cheng, G.: A test of Snowmelt Runoff Model (SRM) for the Gongnaisi River basin in the western Tianshan Mountains, China, *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 48, 2253-2259, 2003.
- Ma, C., Sun, L., Liu, S., Shao, M. a., and Luo, Y.: Impact of climate change on the streamflow in the glacierized Chu River Basin, Central Asia, *Journal of Arid Land*, 7, 501-513, 2015.
- Ma, Y., Huang, Y., Chen, X., Li, Y., and Bao, A.: Modelling Snowmelt Runoff under Climate Change Scenarios in an Ungauged Mountainous Watershed, Northwest China, *Math Probl Eng*, doi:10.1155/2013/808565, 2013.
- 35 Mayr, E., Hagg, W., Mayer, C., and Braun, L.: Calibrating a spatially distributed conceptual hydrological model using runoff, annual mass balance and winter mass balance, *J Hydrol*, 478, 40-49, 2013.
- Michlmayr, G., Lehning, M., Koboltschnig, G., Holzmann, H., Zappa, M., Mott, R., and Schoener, W.: Application of the Alpine 3D model for glacier mass balance and glacier runoff studies at Goldbergkees, Austria, *Hydrol Process*, 22, 3941-3949, doi:10.1002/hyp.7102, 2008.
- 40 Miller, J. D., Immerzeel, W. W., and Rees, G.: Climate change impacts on glacier hydrology and river discharge in the Hindu Kush-Himalayas: a synthesis of the scientific basis, *Mt Res Dev*, 32, 461-467, 2012.
- Mou, L., Tian, F., Hu, H., and Sivapalan, M.: Extension of the Representative Elementary Watershed approach for cold regions: constitutive relationships and an application, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc*, 12, 565-585, 2008.
- 45 Naegeli, K., Gärtner-Roer, I., Hagg, W., Huss, M., Machguth, H., and Zemp, M.: Worldwide dataset of glacier thickness observations compiled by literature review, *EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts*, 2013, 3077, 2013.
- [Oerlemans, J., and Reichert, B.: Relating glacier mass balance to meteorological data by using a seasonal sensitivity characteristic, \*J Glaciol\*, 46, 1-6, 2000.](#)
- Ohmura, A.: Physical basis for the temperature-based melt-index method, *J Appl Meteor*, 40, 753-761, 2001.
- 50 Ouyang, R., Cheng, W., Wang, W., Jiang, Y., Zhang, Y., and Wang, Y.: Research on runoff forecast approaches to the Aksu

- River basin, *Sci. China Ser. D-Earth Sci.*, 50, 16-25, 2007.
- Parajuli, P. B., Nelson, N. O., Frees, L. D., and Mankin, K. R.: Comparison of AnnAGNPS and SWAT model simulation results in USDA-CEAP agricultural watersheds in south-central Kansas, *Hydrol Process*, 23, 748-763, doi:10.1002/hyp.7174, 2009.
- 5 Peng, D. Z., and Xu, Z. X.: Simulating the Impact of climate change on streamflow in the Tarim River basin by using a modified semi-distributed monthly water balance model, *Hydrol Process*, 24, 209-216, doi:10.1002/hyp.7485, 2010.
- [Ragetti, S., Immerzeel, W. W., and Pellicciotti, F.: Contrasting climate change impact on river flows from high-altitude catchments in the Himalayan and Andes Mountains, \*PNAS\*, 113, 9222-9227, 2016.](#)
- 10 Refsgaard, J. C.: Parameterisation, calibration and validation of distributed hydrological models, *J Hydrol*, 198, 69-97, doi:10.1016/s0022-1694(96)03329-x, 1997.
- Savoskul, O., and Smakhtin, V.: Glacier systems and seasonal snow cover in six major Asian river basins: water storage properties under changing climate, International Water Management Institute, 2013.
- Savoskul, O. S., Chevnina, E. V., Perziger, F. I., Vasilina, L. Y., Baburin, V. L., Danshin, A. I., Matyakubov, B., and Murakaev, R. R.: Water, climate, food, and environment in the Syr Darya Basin, Contribution to the project ADAPT, 15 Adaptation strategies to changing environments, 2003.
- Savoskul, O. S., Shevnina, E. V., Perziger, F., Barburin, V., and Danshin, A.: How Much Water will be Available for Irrigation in the Future? The Syr Darya Basin (Central Asia), in: Climate change in contrasting river basins: adaptation strategies for water, food and environment, edited by: Aerts, J. C., and Droogers, P., 93-113, 2004.
- Schaeffli, B., Hingray, B., Niggli, M., and Musy, A.: A conceptual glacio-hydrological model for high mountainous 20 catchments, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 9, 95-109, doi:10.5194/hess-9-95-2005, 2005.
- Schaeffli, B., and Huss, M.: Integrating point glacier mass balance observations into hydrologic model identification, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc*, 15, 1227-1241, doi:10.5194/hess-15-1227-2011, 2011.
- Siegfried, T., Bernauer, T., Guennet, R., Sellars, S., Robertson, A. W., Mankin, J., Bauer-Gottwein, P., and Yakovlev, A.: Will climate change exacerbate water stress in Central Asia?, *Climatic Change*, 112, 881-899, doi:10.1007/s10584-011- 25 0253-z, 2012.
- [Singh, P., and Bengtsson, L.: Impact of warmer climate on melt and evaporation for the rainfed, snowfed and glacierfed basins in the Himalayan region, \*J Hydrol\*, 300, 140-154, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.06.005, 2005.](#)
- Sorg, A., Bolch, T., Stoffel, M., Solomina, O., and Beniston, M.: Climate change impacts on glaciers and runoff in Tien Shan (Central Asia), *Nature Clim Change*, 2, 725-731, 2012.
- 30 Stahl, K., Moore, R., Shea, J., Hutchinson, D., and Cannon, A.: Coupled modelling of glacier and streamflow response to future climate scenarios, *Water Resour Res*, 44, 2008.
- Starodubtsev, V., and Truskavetskiy, S.: Desertification processes in the Ili River delta under anthropogenic pressure, *Water Resour.*, 38, 253-256, 2011.
- Shen, Y., Wang, G., Ding, y., Su, H., Mao, W., and Wang, S.: Changes in Merzbacher Lake of Inylchek Glacier and Glacial 35 Flash Floods in Aksu River Basin, Tianshan during the Period of 1903-2009, *J Glaciol Geocryol*, 31, 993-1002, 2009, (In Chinese with English abstract).
- Sun, C., Li, W., Chen, Y., Li, X., and Yang, Y.: Isotopic and hydrochemical composition of runoff in the Urumqi River, Tianshan Mountains, China, *Environ Earth Sci*, 74, 1521-1537, 2015a.
- Sun, C. J., Chen, Y. N., Li, X. G., and Li, W. H.: Analysis on the streamflow components of the typical inland river, 40 Northwest China, *Hydrol. Sci. J.-J. Sci. Hydrol.*, 61, 970-981, doi:10.1080/02626667.2014.1000914, 2016.
- Sun, M., Li, Z., Yao, X., and Jin, S.: Rapid shrinkage and hydrological response of a typical continental glacier in the arid region of northwest China-taking Urumqi Glacier No. 1 as an example, *Ecohydrol*, 6, 909-916, 2013.
- Sun, M., Li, Z., Yao, X., Zhang, M., and Jin, S.: Modeling the hydrological response to climate change in a glacierized high mountain region, northwest China, *J Glaciol*, 61, 127-136, 2015b.
- 45 Sun, W., Wang, Y., Cui, X., Yu, J., Zuo, D., and Xu, Z.: Physically-based distributed hydrological model calibration based on a short period of streamflow data: case studies in two Chinese basins, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 2016, 1-20, doi:10.5194/hess-2016-192, 2016.
- Unger-Shayesteh, K., Vorogushyn, S., Farinotti, D., Gafurov, A., Duethmann, D., Mandychev, A., and Merz, B.: What do we know about past changes in the water cycle of Central Asian headwaters? A review, *Global Planet Change*, 110, 4-25,

- 2013.
- Wang, D., Liu, J., Hu, L., and Zhang, M.: Monitoring and Analyzing the Glacier Lake Outburst Floods and Glacier Variation in the Upper Yarkant River, Karakoram, *J Glaciol Geocryol*, 31, 2009, (In Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang, P., Jiang, H., and Mu, Z.: Simulation of runoff process in headstream of Aksu River, *Journal of Water Resources and Water Engineering*, 23, 51-57, 2012a, (In Chinese with English abstract).
- 5 Wang, S., Zhang, Z., Sun, G., Strauss, P., Guo, J., Tang, Y., and Yao, A.: Multi-site calibration, validation, and sensitivity analysis of the MIKE SHE Model for a large watershed in northern China, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc*, 16, 4621-4632, doi:10.5194/hess-16-4621-2012, 2012b.
- Wilby, R. L., Dawson, C. W., and Barrow, E. M.: SDSM—a decision support tool for the assessment of regional climate change impacts, *Environ Modell Softw*, 17, 145-157, 2002.
- 10 World Meteorological Organisation: Guide to Hydrological Practices. WMO-No. 168, 2009.
- Wortmann, M., Krysanova, V., Kundzewicz, Z. W., Su, B., and Li, X.: Assessing the influence of the Merzbacher Lake outburst floods on discharge using the hydrological model SWIM in the Aksu headwaters, Kyrgyzstan/NW China, *Hydrol Process*, 28, 6337-6350, doi:10.1002/hyp.10118, 2014.
- 15 Wu, S., Han, P., Li, Y., Xue, Y., and Zhu, Z.: Predicted Variation Tendency of the Water Resources in the Headwaters of the Tarim River, *J Glaciol Geocryol*, 26, 708-711, 2003, (In Chinese with English abstract).
- [Xiang, L., Wang, H., Steffen, H., Wu, P., Jia, L., Jiang, L., and Shen, Q.: Groundwater storage changes in the Tibetan Plateau and adjacent areas revealed from GRACE satellite gravity data, \*Earth Planet Sc Lett\*, 449, 228-239, 2016.](#)
- Xie, L., Long, A., Deng, M., Li, X., and Wang, J.: Study on Ecological Water Consumption in Delta of the Lower Reaches of Ili River, *J Glaciol Geocryol*, 33, 1330-1340, 2011.
- 20 Xie, Z.-C., Wang, X., Feng, Q.-H., Kang, E. s., Liu, C.-H., and Li, Q.-Y.: Modeling the response of glacier systems to climate warming in China, *Ann Glaciol*, 43, 313-316, 2006.
- Xing, K., Lei, X., Lei, X., and Jin, S.: Application of distributed hydrological model EsayDHM in runoff simulation of Manasi river basin, *J Water Res Water Eng*, 20-23, 2014, (In Chinese with English abstrat).
- 25 Xu, B., Lu, Z., Liu, S., Li, J., Xie, J., Long, A., Yin, Z., and Zou, S.: Glacier changes and their impacts on the discharge in the past half-century in Tekes watershed, Central Asia, *Phys Chem Earth Pt A/B/C*, 89, 96-103, 2015.
- Xu, J., Chen, Y., Li, W., Nie, Q., Song, C., and Wei, C.: Integrating wavelet analysis and BPANN to simulate the annual runoff with regional climate change: a case study of Yarkand River, Northwest China, *Water Resour Manag*, 28, 2523-2537, 2014.
- 30 Xu, J., Chen, Y., Li, W., Yang, Y., and Hong, Y.: An integrated statistical approach to identify the nonlinear trend of runoff in the Hotan River and its relation with climatic factors, *Stoch Environ Res Risk Assess*, 25, 223-233, 2011.
- Yang, J., Castelli, F., and Chen, Y.: Multiobjective sensitivity analysis and optimization of distributed hydrologic model MOBIDIC, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc*, 18, 4101-4112, doi:10.5194/hess-18-4101-2014, 2014.
- Yang, J., Liu, Y., Yang, W., and Chen, Y.: Multi-objective sensitivity analysis of a fully distributed hydrologic model WetSpa, *Water Resour Manag*, 26, 109-128, 2012.
- 35 Yao, J. Q., Liu, Z. H., Yang, Q., Meng, X. Y., and Li, C. Z.: Responses of Runoff to Climate Change and Human Activities in the Ebinur Lake Catchment, Western China, *Water Resour.*, 41, 738-747, doi:10.1134/s0097807814060220, 2014.
- Yu, M., Chen, X., Li, L., Bao, A., and Paix, M. J.: Streamflow simulation by SWAT using different precipitation sources in large arid basins with scarce raingauges, *Water Resour Manag*, 25, 2669-2681, 2011.
- 40 Yu, M., Chen, X., Li, L., Bao, A., and de la Paix, M. J.: Incorporating accumulated temperature and algorithm of snow cover calculation into the snowmelt runoff model, *Hydrol Process*, 27, 3589-3595, doi:10.1002/hyp.9372, 2013.
- Zhang, F. Y., Ahmad, S., Zhang, H. Q., Zhao, X., Feng, X. W., and Li, L. H.: Simulating low and high streamflow driven by snowmelt in an insufficiently gauged alpine basin, *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 30, 59-75, doi:10.1007/s00477-015-1028-2, 2016.
- 45 Zhang, S., Gao, X., Ye, B., Zhang, X., and Hagemann, S.: A modified monthly degree - day model for evaluating glacier runoff changes in China. Part II: application, *Hydrol Process*, 26, 1697-1706, 2012a.
- Zhang, S., Ye, B., Liu, S., Zhang, X., and Hagemann, S.: A modified monthly degree - day model for evaluating glacier runoff changes in China. Part I: model development, *Hydrol Process*, 26, 1686-1696, 2012b.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, S., and Ding, Y.: Glacier meltwater and runoff modelling, Keqicar Baqi glacier, southwestern Tien Shan, China, *J Glaciol*, 53, 91-98, 2007b.
- 50

- Zhang, Y. C., Li, B. L., Bao, A. M., Zhou, C., Chen, X., and Zhang, X. R.: Study on snowmelt runoff simulation in the Kaidu River basin, *Sci China Ser D*, 50, 26-35, 2007a.
- 5 Zhao, Q., Ye, B., Ding, Y., Zhang, S., Yi, S., Wang, J., Shangguan, D., Zhao, C., and Han, H.: Coupling a glacier melt model to the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) model for hydrological modeling in north-western China, *Environ Earth Sci*, 68, 87-101, 2013.
- Zhao, Q., Liu, Z., Ye, B., Qin, Y., Wei, Z., and Fang, S.: A snowmelt runoff forecasting model coupling WRF and DHSVM, *Hydrol Earth Syst Sc*, 13, 1897-1906, 2009.
- 10 Zhao, Q. D., Zhang, S. Q., Ding, Y. J., Wang, J., Han, H. D., Xu, J. L., Zhao, C. C., Guo, W. Q., and Shangguan, D. H.: Modeling Hydrologic Response to Climate Change and Shrinking Glaciers in the Highly Glacierized Kunma Like River Catchment, Central Tian Shan, *J Hydrometeorol*, 16, 2383-2402, doi:10.1175/jhm-d-14-0231.1, 2015.

**Table 1:** Summary of hydrological modeling in glacierized central Asian catchments

Catchments/ Models	Hydrologic responses to climate changes (Runoff changes/ components)	Predicting of runoff trends	Innovations and Limitations	References
<b>Tarim River Basin</b>				
1	Modified two-parameter semi-distributed water balance model	Improved the original two-parameter monthly water balance model by incorporating the topographic indexes and could get comparable results with the TOPMODEL model and Xin'anjiang model.	Runoffs of the Aksu, Yarkand and Hotan river exhibited increasing tendencies in 2010 and 2020 under different scenarios generated from the reference years.	
2	TOPMODEL model			(Peng and Xu, 2010; Chen et al., 2006)
3	Xin'anjiang model	In the Aksu River, runoff was more closely related to precipitation, while in the Hotan River, it was more closely related to temperature.		
4	Xin'anjiang model	Precipitation has a weak relationship with runoff in the Kunma-Like River.	Joined the snowmelt module. The model could not well capture the snowmelt/precipitation induced peak streamflow.	(Wang et al., 2012a)
5	VIC-3L model	Glacier melt, snowmelt and rainfall accounted for 43.8%, 27.15 and 28.5% of the discharge for the Kunma	For the Tarim River, runoff will decrease slightly in 2020-2025 based on HadCM3 under A2 and B2	(Liu et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015)

		<p>Like River while 23.0%, 26.7% and 50.9% for the Toxkan River.</p> <p>For the Kunma Like River and the Toxkan River, the runoff have increased 13.6% and 44.9% during 1970-2007, and 94.5% and 100% of the increases were attributed by precipitation increase.</p>	<p>when not considering glacier melt.</p> <p>For the Kunma Like River, glacier area will reduce by &gt;30% resulting in decreased melt water in summer and annual discharge (about 2.8% 19.4% in the 2050s).</p>	<p>estimating areal precipitation in alpine regions still remains.</p>	
6	MIKE-SHE model	<p>It was built on the Kaidu and Yarkand River Basin and concluded that increased irrigated (agricultural) land lead to a strong decrease of runoff to the downstream.</p>	—	<p>Application of the remote sensing data including precipitation of FY2, temperature, evapotranspiration, snow cover and leaf area index form MODIS.</p>	<p>(Liu et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2012a; Huang et al., 2010b; Liu et al., 2016b)</p>
7	SWIM model	<p>The model is capable to reproduce the monthly discharge at the downstream gauge well using the local irrigation information and the observed upstream inflow discharges.</p> <p>About 18% of the incoming headwater resources consumed until the gauge</p>	<p>Different irrigation scenarios were developed and showed that the improvement of irrigation efficiency was the most effective measure for reducing irrigation water consumption and increasing river discharge downstream.</p>	<p>Investigated the glacier lake outburst floods using a modeling tool in the Aksu River. Inclusion of an irrigation module and a river transmission losses module of the SWIM model.</p> <p>Model uncertainties are largest in the snow and glacier melt periods.</p>	<p>(Huang et al., 2015; Wortmann et al., 2014)</p>





		al., 2014). For the Tizinapu River, runoff was dominated by precipitation and temperature lapse rates and snow albedo.	increase in the future based on HadCM3.	hydrological modeling in the Kaidu River.	
11	SWAT model	Precipitation and temperature lapse rates account for 64.0% of model uncertainty.	Runoff increases under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 compared to 1986-2005 based on a cascade of RCM, bias correction and SWAT model.	Quantified uncertainty resulted from the meteorological inputs.	(Fang et al., 2015a; Fang et al., 2015e)
12	Integrating Wavelet Analysis (WA) and back-propagation artificial neural network (BPANN)	For the Hotan River, runoff correlates well with the 0 °C level height in summer for the north slope of Kunlun Mountains. For the Yarkand River, runoff presented an increasing trend similar with temperature and precipitation at the time scale of 32 years. But at the 2, 4, 8, and 16 year time scale, runoff presented non-linear variation.	—	Interpreted the nonlinear characteristics of the hydro-climatic process.	(Xu et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2014;)
13	Modified system dynamics model	Simulations of low-flow and normal flow are much better than the high flow, and spring peak flow are better	—	The modified model was robust by modified snowmelt process and soil temperature for each layer to describe water	(Zhang et al., 2016)

		than the summer pecks in the Kaidu River.		movement in soil.	
14	Degree-day model	For the Yarkand River Basin, decreasing rate of glacier mass was $4.39 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ resulting in a runoff increasing trend of $0.23 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ a}^{-1}$ during 1961–2006. Sensitivity of mass balance to temperature is $0.16 \text{ mm a}^{-1} \text{ } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ .	Glacier runoff will increase 13%–35% during 2011–2050 compared to 1960–2006 with obvious increase in Summer.	The glacier dynamics are considered and the area–volume scaling factor is calibrated using remote sensing data.	(Xie et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2012a; Zhang et al., 2012b)
15	The temperature and precipitation revised AR(p) model; NAM rainfall-runoff model	The AR(p) model is capable to predict the streamflow in the Aksu River Basin.	—	Needing less hydrological and meteorological data.	(Ouyang et al., 2007)
16	Projection Pursuit Regression (PPR) model	—	If temperature rises $0.5\text{--}2.0 \text{ } ^\circ\text{C}$ , runoff will increase with temperature for the Aksu, Yarkand and Hotan River.	Lack of physical basis.	(Wu et al., 2003)
<b>Catchments in northern slope of TS China (10 river basins)</b>					
†	<u>Manas River Basin:</u> 1) SWAT model 2) SRM model 3) EasyDHM model	1) Glacier area decreased by 11%	—	1) Both the glacier melt module and two-reservoir method were included in the hydrological	SWAT: (Yu et al., 2011; Luo et al.,

	during 1961-1999 and glacier melt contributes 25% of discharge. 2) Better simulation of snowmelt runoff than rainfall- runoff by the SRM.	simulations. 2) Snow cover calculation algorithm is added to validate model performance.	2012; Luo et al., 2013; Gan and Luo, 2013) SRM: (Yu et al., 2013) EasyDHM: (Xing et al., 2014)	
2	<p><u>Urumqi</u> <u>River:</u></p> <p>1) Isotope Hydrograph Separation (IHS) 2) water balance model 3) HBV model 4) Exponential regression</p> <p>1) Runoff has risen by 10.0% during 1950-2009; Glacier melt water contributes to 9% of runoff. 2) The cumulative mass balance of the glacier was -13.69 m during 1959- 2008; proportion of glacier runoff increased from 62.8% to 72.1%. 4) Glacier runoff is critically affected by the ground temperature.</p>	3) For a glacierized catchment (18%), the discharge will increase by 66±35% or decrease by 40±13% if the glacier size keeps unchanged or glacier disappears in 2041-2060.	1) The IHS method has overwhelming potential in analyzing hydrological components for ungauged watersheds. 2) Focusing on the glacierized and ablation area. 3) Considering future runoff under different glacier change scenarios. 4) This study shed light on glacier runoff estimation based on ground	(Kong and Pang, 2012; Sun et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2015b Chen et al., 2012; Huai et al., 2013; Mou et al., 2008;)

5) SRM  
model  
6)  
THmodel  
model

temperature for data scarce  
regions.

5) Calculated the curve of  
snow cover shrinkage  
based on MODIS data.

6) An energy balance  
model is proposed to close  
the balance equation of  
soil freezing and thawing.

3

Ebinur Lake Catchment  
SWAT model and the  
sequential cluster method  
Runoff Controlled Auto  
Regressive (CAR) model

For the  
Jinghe  
River,  
85.7% of  
the runoff  
reduction is  
caused by  
human  
activity and  
14.3% by  
climate  
change.  
Runoff of  
three rivers  
in Ebinur  
Lake  
catchment  
exhibited  
different

In a warm humid  
scenario, runoff in the  
Jinghe River and Bortala  
River will increase, it  
will decrease in the  
Kuytun River.

Identified the effects of  
human activities and  
climate change on runoff.  
The CAR is based on past  
and present values without  
physical basis.

(Dong—et  
al.,—2014;  
Yao—et—al.,  
2014)

		changes: Jinghe River and Kuytun River exhibited a slightly increasing trend, but an adverse trend in the Bortala River. The coupled WRF and DHSVM		
4	<u>Juntanghu Basin:</u> Distributed Snowmelt Runoff Model (DHSVM)	model could predict 24h snowmelt runoff with relative error within 15%.	—	MODIS snow cover and the calculated snow depth data are used in the snowmelt runoff modeling. (Zhao et al., 2009)
	Issyk Lake Basin			
1	Degree-day approach	Runoff contribution is varying in a broad range depending on the degree of glacierization in the particular sub-	—	The glacier melt runoff fraction at the catchment outlet can be considerably (Dikieh and Hagg, 2003)

		catchment. All rivers showed a relative increase in annual river runoff ranging between 3.2 and 36%.			overestimated.
2	Chu River SWAT-RSG model	—		General decrease was expected in glacier runoff (-26.6% to -1.0%), snow melt (-21.4% to +1.1%) and streamflow (-27.7% to -6.6%); Peak streamflow will be put forward for one month.	Use the glacier dynamics and assessed the model performance based on both streamflow and glacier area.  (Ma et al., 2015)
	Hi-River Basin				
1	SRM model	For the Gongnaisi River, runoff is sensitive to snow cover area and temperature.		If temperature increases 4°C, the runoff will decrease by 9.7% with snow coverage and runoff shifting forward.	SRM is capable to model the snowmelt runoff.  (Ma and Cheng, 2003)
2	SWAT model	For the Tekes River, glaciers retreated about 22% since 1970s, which was considerably higher than the Tianshan average (4.7%) and China average (11.5%), resulting in a decrease of proportion of	—		Using two land use data and two Chinese glacier inventories, the model could well reproduce streamflow.  (Xu et al., 2015)

		precipitation recharged runoff from 9.8% in 1966-1975 to 7.8% in 2000-2008.			
3	MS-DTVGM model	For the Ili River, daily runoff correlated closely with snowmelt, suggesting a snowmelt module is indispensable.	—		This method reduced dependence on conventional observation, and was applied to the Ili River basin where traditional data are scarce. (Cai et al., 2014)
4	Water Balance	Water decrease in 1911-1986 in the middle and lower reaches of the Lake Balkhash is due to decreased rainfall and reservoirs storage.	—	—	(Kezer and Matsuyama, 2006; Guo et al., 2011)
<b>Amu Darya and Syr Darya River Basin</b>					
1	STREAM	The runoff of the Syr Darya declined considerably over the last 9000 years. For the Amu Darya and Syr Darya Basin, the glacier covered areas have decrease 21% and 22% in 2001-2010 compared to the baseline (1960-1990)	The runoff of the Syr Darya is not so sensitive to future warming compared to the past 9000 years. For the Amu River Basin, 20-25% of the glaciers will retain under a temperature increment being 4-5°C and precipitation increase rate being 3%/°C. For the Syr Darya, runoff under the A2 and B2 scenarios will increase 3%-8% in 2010-2039, with sharpened spring peak and a slight lowered runoff from late June to August.		Simulated long term discharge for the Holocene and future period. The model includes the calculation of rainwater, snowmelt water and glacier runoff (based on the glacier altitude and equilibrium lime altitude). (Aerts et al., 2006; Savoskul et al., 2003; Savoskul et al., 2004; Savoskul et al., 2013)
2	HBV-ETH	Overall good model performances	General enhanced snowmelt		It considered geographical, (Hagg et al.,


	model	were achieved with the maximum discrepancy of simulated and observed monthly runoff within 20 mm.	during spring and a higher flood risk in summer are predicted under a doubling atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> concentration with greatest runoff increases occurring in August for the highly glaciated catchments and in June for the nival catchment. For the upper Panj catchment, the current glacier extent will decrease by 36% and 45%, respectively, assuming temperature increment being 2.2 °C and 3.1 °C.	topographical and hydrometeorological features of test sites, and reduced modeling uncertainties. This procedure requires a lot meteorological and land surface data and knowledge of the modeler.	2007; Hagg et al., 2013)
3	SWAT	For the Narya River, glacier area has decreased 7.3% during 1973-2002.	Glaciers will recede with only 8% of the small glaciers retain by 2100 under RCP8.5 and net glacier melt runoff will reach peak in about 2040 and decrease later.	Incorporated glacier dynamics and validated the model using two glacier inventories.	(Gan et al., 2015)
4		NAM model with a separate Land ice model	Average annual runoff is 39 km <sup>3</sup> , and 80% occurring during March to September.	Glacier volume will lose 31% ±4% under SRES A2 until 2050s, and the runoff peak will shift forward by 30-60 days from the current	The NAM model was improved to be robust using only five freely calibrated parameters. (Siegfried et al., 2012)



5

AralMountain model

spring/early summer towards a late winter/early spring runoff regime. Glacier retreat by 46.4%–59.5% depending on selected GCM. For the Amu Darya, glacier melt and snowmelt contribute to 38% and 26.9% of runoff, while for the Syr Darya, the proportions are 10.7% and 35.2%. For the Amu Darya, average water supply to the downstream will decrease by 15% for 2021–2030 and 25% for 2041–2050. For the Amu Darya the expected decreases are 13% (2021–2030) and 31% (2041–2050). Fully simulated the hydrological processes in the Amu Darya and Syr Darya. (Immerzeel et al., 2012a)



**Table 1:** Summary of climatic and underlying conditions of the basins. The topography is based on SRTM data, glacier data is from RGI (Randolph glacier inventory), and climate is based on the world map of the Koppen-Geiger climate classification. Vegetation is from the land use data from Xinjiang institute of Ecology and Geography.

<u>Catchment</u>	<u>Tarim River Basin</u>	<u>Catchments in northern TS China</u>	<u>Issyk Lake Basin</u>	<u>Ili River Basin</u>	<u>Amu Darya Basin</u>	<u>Syr Darya Basin</u>
<u>Location</u>	<u>Surrounded by the Tianshan Mountains and the Kunlun Mountains</u>	<u>Northern Tianshan</u>	<u>Western Tianshan</u>	<u>Western Tianshan Valley</u>	<u>Western Tianshan and Pamir</u>	<u>Western Tianshan</u>
<b><u>Topography</u></b>						
<u>Basin area (km<sup>2</sup>)</u>	<u>868,811</u>	<u>126,463</u>	<u>102,396</u>	<u>429,183</u>	<u>674,848</u>	<u>442,476</u>
<u>Percentage of elevation &gt; 3000m (%)</u>	<u>28.00%</u>	<u>13.80%</u>	<u>14.50%</u>	<u>4.60%</u>	<u>20.50%</u>	<u>9.50%</u>
<u>Glaciation area (km<sup>2</sup>)</u>	<u>15789</u>	<u>1795</u>	<u>994</u>	<u>2170</u>	<u>9080</u>	<u>1850</u>
<b><u>Climate</u></b>						
<u>Dominant climate</u>	<u>Arid cold</u>	<u>Arid cold</u>	<u>Arid cold; continental</u>	<u>Arid cold; continental</u>	<u>Arid cold; snow</u>	<u>Arid cold</u>
<b><u>Vegetation</u></b>						
<u>Forest percent (%)</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>6.4</u>	<u>4.1</u>	<u>10.9</u>	<u>2.5</u>
<u>Pasture percent (%)</u>	<u>16.7</u>	<u>14.5</u>	<u>31.2</u>	<u>28.6</u>	<u>19.4</u>	<u>17.3</u>
<u>Percent of water, snow, ice (%)</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>7.8</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>5.3</u>	<u>2.8</u>

**Table 2:** Summary of hydrological modeling in glacierized central Asian catchments

<u>Catchments</u>	<u>Models</u>	<u>Major conclusions</u>	<u>Innovations and Limitations</u>	<u>References</u>
<u>Tarim River</u>				
<u>Tarim River Basin</u>	<u>Modified two-parameter semi-distributed water balance model</u>	<u>Improved the original two-parameter monthly water balance model by incorporating the topographic indexes and could get comparable results with the TOPMODEL model and Xin'anjiang model.</u>		
	<u>TOPMODEL model</u>	<u>In the Aksu River, runoff was more closely related to precipitation, while in the Hotan River, it was more closely related to temperature.</u>	<u>Less input data are required; Lack of glacier and snowmelt processes.</u>	<u>(Peng and Xu, 2010; Chen et al., 2006)</u>
	<u>Xin'anjiang model</u>	<u>Runoffs of the Aksu, Yarkand and Hotan river exhibited increasing tendencies in 2010 and 2020 under different scenarios generated from the reference years.</u>		
	<u>Projection Pursuit Regression (PPR) model</u>	<u>If temperature rises 0.5-2.0 °C, runoff will increase with temperature for the Aksu, Yarkand and Hotan River.</u>	<u>Lack of physical basis.</u>	<u>(Wu et al., 2003)</u>
	<u>VIC</u>	<u>For the Tarim River, runoff will decrease slightly in 2020-2025 based on HadCM3 under A2 and B2 when not considering glacier melt.</u>	<u>Lack of glacier module.</u>	<u>(Liu et al., 2010)</u>

<u>Tailan River</u>	<u>Modified degree-day model including potential clear sky direct solar radiation coupled with a linear reservoir model</u>	<u>Glacier runoff increases linearly with temperature over these ranges whether or not the debris layer is taken into consideration. The glacier runoff is less sensitive to temperature change in the debris covered area than the debris free area.</u>	<u>Considered the effect of solar radiation and quantified the debris effect.</u>	<u>(Zhang et al., 2007b)</u>
	<u>Xin'anjiang model</u>	<u>Precipitation has a weak relationship with runoff in the Kumalike River.</u>	<u>Joined the snowmelt module. The model could not well capture the snowmelt/precipitation induced peak streamflow.</u>	<u>(Wang et al., 2012a)</u>
<u>Aksu River including Kumalike and Toxkan River</u>	<u>VIC-3L model</u>	<u>Glacier melt, snowmelt and rainfall accounted for 43.8%, 27.7 and 28.5% of the discharge for the Kumalike River while 23.0%, 26.1% and 50.9% for the Toxkan River. For the Kumalike River and the Toxkan River, the runoff have increased 13.6% and 44.9% during 1970-2007, and 94.5% and 100% of the increases were attributed by precipitation increase. For the Kumalike River, glacier area will reduce by &gt;30% resulting in decreased melt water in summer and annual discharge (about 2.8%–19.4% in the 2050s).</u>	<u>The model performance was obviously improved through coupling a degree-day glacier-melt scheme, but accurately estimating areal precipitation in alpine regions still remains.</u>	<u>(Zhao et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015)</u>

	<u>SWIM model</u>	<p><u>The model is capable to reproduce the monthly discharge at the downstream gauge well using the local irrigation information and the observed upstream inflow discharges.</u></p> <p><u>About 18% of the incoming headwater resources consumed until the gauge Xidaqiao, and about 30 % additional water is consumed along the river reaches between Xidaqiao and Alar.</u></p> <p><u>Different irrigation scenarios were developed and showed that the improvement of irrigation efficiency was the most effective measure for reducing irrigation water consumption and increasing river discharge downstream.</u></p>	<p><u>Investigated the glacier lake outburst floods using a modeling tool in the Aksu River. Inclusion of an irrigation module and a river transmission losses module of the SWIM model.</u></p> <p><u>Model uncertainties are largest in the snow and glacier melt periods.</u></p>	<p><u>(Huang et al., 2015; Wortmann et al., 2014)</u></p>
	<u>WASA model</u>	<p><u>Glacier melt contributes to 35–48% and 9–24% for the Kumalike River and the Toxkan River.</u></p> <p><u>For the Kumalike River, glacier geometry changes lead to a reduction of 14–23% of streamflow increase compared to constant glacier geometry.</u></p> <p><u>—</u></p>	<p><u>The model considered changes in glacier geometry (e.g., glacier area and surface elevation).</u></p> <p><u>It used a multi-objective calibration based on glacier mass balance and discharge.</u></p>	<p><u>(Duethmann et al., 2015)</u></p>
	<u>The temperature and precipitation revised AR(p) model; NAM rainfall-runoff model</u>	<p><u>The AR(p) model is capable to predict the streamflow in the Aksu River Basin.</u></p>	<p><u>Needing less hydrological and meteorological data.</u></p>	<p><u>(Ouyang et al., 2007)</u></p>
<u>Kaidu River</u>	<u>MIKE-SHE model</u>	<p><u>Compared remote sensing data and station based data in simulating the hydrological</u></p>	<p><u>Missing glacier melt; Lack of observation to verify the</u></p>	<p><u>(Liu et al., 2012a; Liu et al., 2013)</u></p>

	<a href="#">processes. Remote sensing data is comparable to conventional data. RS data could partly overcome the lack of necessary hydrological model input data in developing or remote regions.</a>	<a href="#">meteorological condition in the mountainous regions.</a>	
<a href="#">HBV model</a>	<a href="#">When the base runoff is 100 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, the critical rainfalls for primary and secondary warning flood are 50 mm and 30 mm respectively for the Kaidu River.</a>	<a href="#">It underestimated the peak streamflow while overestimated the base flow.</a>	<a href="#">(Fan et al., 2014)</a>
<a href="#">SRM including potential clear sky direct solar radiation and the effective active temperature.</a>	<a href="#">Spring streamflow is projected to increase in the future based on HadCM3.</a>	<a href="#">Limited observations resulted in low modeling precision. The APHRODITE precipitation performed good in hydrological modeling in the Kaidu River.</a>	<a href="#">(Zhang et al., 2007a; Zhang et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014).</a>
<a href="#">SWAT</a>	<a href="#">Precipitation and temperature lapse rates account for 64.0% of model uncertainty. Runoff increases under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 compared to 1986-2005 based on a cascade of RCM, bias correction and SWAT model.</a>	<a href="#">Quantified uncertainty resulted from the meteorological inputs.</a>	<a href="#">(Fang et al., 2015a; Fang et al., 2015c)</a>
<a href="#">Modified system dynamics model</a>	<a href="#">Simulations of low-flow and normal-flow are much better than the high-flow, and spring-peak flow are better than the summer pecks in the Kaidu River.</a>	<a href="#">The modified model was robust by modified snowmelt process and soil temperature for each layer to describe water movement in soil.</a>	<a href="#">(Zhang et al., 2016)</a>

<u>Yarkand river</u>	<u>MIKE-SHE model</u>	<u>Simulated snow pack using station data differs significantly from that using remote sensing data.</u>	<u>Lack of glacier moduld</u>	<u>Liu et al., 2016b</u>
	<u>Integrating Wavelet Analysis (WA) and back- propagation artificial neural network (BPANN)</u>	<u>Runoff presented an increasing trend similar with temperature and precipitation at the time scale of 32-years. But at the 2, 4, 8, and 16-year time-scale, runoff presented non-linear variation.</u>	<u>Interpreted the nonlinear characteristics of the hydro-climatic process using statistic method.</u>	<u>(Xu et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2014;)</u>
	<u>Degree-day model</u>	<u>Decreasing rate of glacier mass was <math>4.39 \text{ mm a}^{-1}</math> resulting in a runoff increasing trend of <math>0.23 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3 \text{ a}^{-1}</math> during 1961 - 2006. Sensitivity of mass balance to temperature is <math>0.16 \text{ mm a}^{-1} \text{ } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}</math>. Glacier runoff will increase 13%-35% during 2011-2050 compared to 1960-2006 with obvious increase in Summer.</u>	<u>The glacier dynamics are considered and the area-volume scaling factor is calibrated using remote sensing data.</u>	<u>(Xie et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2012a; Zhang et al., 2012b)</u>
<u>Tizunafu</u>	<u>SRM including snow albedo</u>	<u>It could well simulate the runoff of the Tizinapu River. Runoff is dominated by precipitation and temperature lapse rates and snow albedo.</u>	<u>Lack of glacier module.</u>	<u>(Li and Williams, 2008)</u>
<u>Hotan River</u>	<u>Integrating Wavelet Analysis (WA) and back- propagation artificial neural network (BPANN)</u>	<u>For the Hotan River, runoff correlates well with the <math>0 \text{ } ^\circ\text{C}</math> level height in summer for the north slope of Kunlun Mountains.</u>	<u>Interpreted the nonlinear characteristics of the hydro-climatic process.</u>	<u>(Xu et al., 2011)</u>
<u>Catchments in northern slope of TS China</u>				



Manas River

- 1) SWAT model
- 2) SRM model
- 3) EasyDHM model

1) Glacier area decreased by 11% during 1961-1999 and glacier melt contributes 25% of discharge.  
2) Better simulation of snowmelt runoff than rainfall-runoff by the SRM.

1) Both the glacier melt module and two-reservoir method were included in the hydrological simulations.  
2) Snow cover calculation algorithm is added to validate model performance.

SWAT:  
(Yu et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2012; Luo et al., 2013; Gan and Luo, 2013)  
SRM:  
(Yu et al., 2013)  
EasyDHM:  
(Xing et al., 2014)

Urumqi River

- 1) Isotope Hydrograph Separation (IHS)
- 2) water balance model
- 3) HBV model
- 4) Exponential regression
- 5) SRM model
- 6) THmodel model

- 1) Runoff has risen by 10.0% during 1950-2009; Glacier melt water contributes to 9% of runoff.
- 2) The cumulative mass balance of the glacier was -13.69 m during 1959-2008; proportion of glacier runoff increased from 62.8% to 72.1%.
- 3) For a glacierized catchment (18%), the discharge will increase by  $66 \pm 35\%$  or decrease by  $40 \pm 13\%$  if the glacier size keeps unchanged or glacier disappears in 2041-2060.
- 4) Glacier runoff is critically affected by the ground temperature.

- 1) The IHS method has overwhelming potential in analyzing hydrological components for ungauged watersheds.
- 2) Focusing on the glacierized and ablation area.
- 3) Considering future runoff under different glacier change scenarios.
- 4) This study shed light on glacier runoff estimation based on ground temperature for data scarce regions.
- 5) Calculated the curve of snow cover shrinkage based on MODIS data.
- 6) An energy balance model is proposed to close the balance equation of soil freezing and thawing.

(Kong and Pang, 2012; Sun et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2015b; Chen et al., 2012; Huai et al., 2013; Mou et al., 2008; )


<u>Ebinur Lake Catchment including Jinghe River, Kuytun River and Bortala River</u>	<u>SWAT model and the sequential cluster method</u> <u>Runoff Controlled Auto Regressive (CAR) model</u>	<u>For the Jinghe River, 85.7% of the runoff reduction is caused by human activity and 14.3% by climate change.</u> <u>Runoff of three rivers in Ebinur Lake catchment exhibited different changes: Jinghe River and Kuytun River exhibited a slightly increasing trend, but an adverse trend in the Bortala River.</u> <u>In a warm-humid scenario, runoff in the Jinghe River and Bortala River will increase, it will decrease in the Kuytun River.</u>	<u>Identified the effects of human activities and climate change on runoff.</u> <u>The CAR is based on past and present values without physical basis.</u>	<u>(Dong et al., 2014; Yao et al., 2014)</u>
<u>Juntanghu Basin:</u>	<u>Distributed Snowmelt Runoff Model (DHSVM)</u>	<u>The coupled WRF and DHSVM model could predict 24h snowmelt runoff with relative error within 15%.</u>	<u>MODIS snow cover and the calculated snow depth data are used in the snowmelt runoff modeling.</u>	<u>(Zhao et al., 2009)</u>
<u>Issyk Lake Basin</u>				
<u>Small rivers around the Issyk Lake</u>	<u>Degree-day approach</u>	<u>Runoff contribution is varying in a broad range depending on the degree of glacierization in the particular sub-catchment. All rivers showed a relative increase in annual river runoff ranging between 3.2 and 36%.</u>	<u>The glacier melt runoff fraction at the catchment outlet can be considerably overestimated.</u>	<u>(Dikich and Hagg, 2003)</u>

<u>Chu River</u>	<u>SWAT-RSG model</u>	<u>General decrease was expected in glacier runoff (-26.6% to -1.0%), snow melt (-21.4% to +1.1%) and streamflow (-27.7% to -6.6%); Peak streamflow will be put forward for one month.</u>	<u>Use the glacier dynamics and assessed the model performance based on both streamflow and glacier area.</u>	<u>(Ma et al., 2015)</u>
<u>Ili River Basin</u>				
<u>Gongnaisi River</u>	<u>SRM model</u>	<u>For the runoff is sensitive to snow cover area and temperature. If temperature increases 4°C, the runoff will decrease by 9.7% with snow coverage and runoff shifting forward.</u>	<u>SRM is capable to model the snowmelt runoff.</u>	<u>(Ma and Cheng, 2003)</u>
<u>Tekes River</u>	<u>SWAT model</u>	<u>Glaciers retreated about 22% since 1970s, which was considerably higher than the Tianshan average (4.7%) and China average (11.5%), resulting in a decrease of proportion of precipitation recharged runoff from 9.8% in 1966-1975 to 7.8% in 2000-2008.</u>	<u>Using two land use data and two Chinese glacier inventories, the model could well reproduce streamflow.</u>	<u>(Xu et al., 2015)</u>
<u>Ili River</u>	<u>MS-DTVGM model</u>	<u>Daily runoff correlated closely with snowmelt, suggesting a snowmelt module is indispensable.</u>	<u>This method reduced dependence on conventional observation, and was applied to the Ili River basin where traditional data are scarce.</u>	<u>(Cai et al., 2014)</u>

<u>Water Balance</u>	<p><u>Water decrease in 1911-1986 in the middle and lower reaches of the Lake Balkhash is due to decreased rainfall and reservoirs storage.</u></p>	<p><u>(Kezer and Matsuyama, 2006; Guo et al., 2011)</u></p>
<b><u>Amu Darya and Syr Darya Basin</u></b>		
<u>Amu Darya and Syr Darya</u>	<u>STREAM</u>	<p><u>The runoff of the Syr Darya declined considerably over the last 9000 years.</u></p> <p><u>For the Amu Darya and Syr Darya Basin, the glacier covered areas have decrease 21% and 22% in 2001-2010 compared to the baseline (1960-1990).</u></p> <p><u>The runoff of the Syr Darya is not so sensitive to future warming compared to the past 9000 years.</u></p> <p><u>For the Amu River Basin, 20-25% of the glaciers will retain under a temperature increment being 4-5°C and precipitation increase rate being 3%/°C.</u></p> <p><u>For the Syr Darya, runoff under the A2 and B2 scenarios will increase 3%-8% in 2010-2039, with sharpened spring peak and a slight lowered runoff from late June to August.</u></p> <p><u>Simulated long term discharge for the Holocene and future period.</u></p> <p><u>The model includes the calculation of rainwater, snowmelt water and glacier runoff (based on the glacier altitude and equilibrium lime altitude).</u></p> <p><u>(Aerts et al., 2006; Savoskul et al., 2003; Savoskul et al., 2004; Savoskul et al., 2013)</u></p>

	<u>AralMountain model</u>	<p><u>For the Amu Darya, glacier melt and snowmelt contribute to 38% and 26.9% of runoff, while for the Syr Darya, the proportions are 10.7% and 35.2%.</u></p> <p><u>Glacier retreat by 46.4% - 59.5% depending on selected GCM. For the Syr Darya, average water supply to the downstream will decrease by 15% for 2021-2030 and 25% for 2041-2050. For the Amu Darya the expected decreases are 13% (2021-2030) and 31% (2041-2050).</u></p>	<u>Fully simulated the hydrological processes.</u>	<u>(Immerzeel et al., 2012a)</u>
<u>Test sites “Abramov” in SyrDarya and “Oigaing” in Amu Darya</u>	<u>HBV-ETH and OEZ model</u>	<p><u>Overall good model performances were achieved with the maximum discrepancy of simulated and observed monthly runoff within 20 mm.</u></p> <p><u>General enhanced snowmelt during spring and a higher flood risk in summer are predicted under a doubling atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration with greatest runoff increases occurring in August for the highly glaciated catchments and in June for the Nival catchment.</u></p>	<p><u>It considered geographical, topographical and hydrometeorological features of test sites, and reduced modeling uncertainties.</u></p> <p><u>This procedure requires a lot meteorological and land surface data and knowledge of the modeler.</u></p>	<u>(Hagg et al., 2007)</u>
<u>Panj River</u>	<u>HBV-ETH</u>	<u>For the upper Panj catchment, the current glacier extent will decrease by 36% and 45%, respectively, assuming temperature increment being 2.2 °C and 3.1 °C.</u>	<u>Application of glacier parameterization scheme.</u>	<u>(Hagg et al., 2013)</u>

<u>Naryn River</u>	<u>SWAT with glacier module</u>	<p><u>Glacier area has decreased 7.3% during 1973-2002.</u></p> <p><u>Glaciers will recede with only 8% of the small glaciers remain by 2100 under RCP8.5 and net glacier melt runoff will reach peak in about 2040 and decrease later.</u></p>	<p><u>Incorporated glacier dynamics and validated the model using two glacier inventories.</u></p>	<p><u>(Gan et al., 2015)</u></p>
<u>Syr Darva</u>	<u>NAM model with a separate Land-ice model</u>	<p><u>Glacier volume will lose 31%±4% under SRES A2 until 2050s. and the runoff peak will shift forward by 30–60 days from the current spring/early summer towards a late winter/early spring runoff regime.</u></p>	<p><u>The NAM model was improved to be robust using only five freely calibrated parameters.</u></p>	<p><u>(Siegfried et al., 2012)</u></p>



**Figure 1:** Map of Central Asian headwaters with main river basins or hydrological regions, namely the Tarim River Basin, the watersheds in the northern slope of the Tianshan Mountains, the Issyk Lake Basin, the Ili River Basin, the Amu Darya and the Syr Darya Basins. Lake outlines are from Natural Earth (<http://www.naturalearthdata.com/>). River system is derived based on elevations of SRTM 90 m data. Glacier information was obtained from RGI (Randolph Glacier Inventory).

5