Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., doi:10.5194/hess-2016-273-EC2, 2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



# **HESSD**

Interactive comment

# Interactive comment on "Effect of the Revisit Interval on the Accuracy of Remote Sensing-based Estimates of Evapotranspiration at Field Scales" by Joseph G. Alfieri et al.

## M. Coenders-Gerrits (Editor)

a.m.j.coenders@tudelft.nl

Received and published: 2 November 2016

### Comment on $\lambda$ and $\lambda_n$ :

There is no difference between  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_v$ . Both are the latent heat of vaporization. I think the confusion is caused by the fact that the authors call the 'latent heat flux'  $\lambda E$  instead of  $\rho\lambda E$ , with  $\rho$  the density of water.

### Comment on equation 2:

I agree with the reviewer to change equation 2 to the way the Penman-Monteith equation is denoted in Allen et al 1998 and add the density of water to the left hand site of the equation so  $\lambda = \lambda_v$ .

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., doi:10.5194/hess-2016-273, 2016.

**HESSD** 

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

