

I am satisfied with the changes implemented by the authors related to my previous comments, except number 4.

This is not crucial, but it seems the authors do not get my point. I am not criticizing the fact of performing continuous simulations in time, I just mention that because it does not rain most of the time, there will be a lot of 0 rain-rate values that will "bias" the criteria used (RMSE, NSE,...). It is not critical in the sense that these criteria are used in a relative sense, but their absolute values (of the criteria) are likely not representative of the performance during rainfall events (which is the goal of the proposed approach using RCs).

Just two very minor suggestions:

1. P.14, l.6: I guess the bracket should be after "month" and before "intervals".
2. P.26, caption Table2: I suggest to add "exponential" between "Theoretical" and "variogram".