Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., doi:10.5194/hess-2015-544-RC1, 2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



HESSD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "A Bayesian Consistent Dual Ensemble Kalman Filter for State-Parameter Estimation in Subsurface Hydrology" by B. Ait-El-Fquih et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 8 March 2016

General evaluation:

This paper is of potential interest to HESS. In general, the paper is well written and organized. The results support the proposed improved methodology. I have only one major concern. This is the difference with the paper of Gharamti et al., 2015 in Journal of Hydrology. I understand that the methodology is already introduced there, and that now the mathematical-statistical basis of it is improved. In addition a new rigorous synthetic study was carried out. The authors should exactly point out what is new in this paper and motivate why this warrants a new publication. If answered satisfactory, the paper can be published with minor revisions.

Detailed comments:

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



L40: "have been proposed" instead of "has been proposed".

L64: "was given" instead of "was carried"

L99-L101: Rephrase.

L109-L110: Change to: "(...) various experiment settings and observation scenarios."

L122: this should be t(n-1) to t(n)?

L203-L206: This was not found in Song et al. (2015, VZJ). There Dual EnKF performed worse, and only a rigorous Restart EnKF gave better results. Reformulate.

L377-L378: The pumping rate is unfortunately unrealistic low. It would have been better if the authors would have worked with a more realistic case.

L587-L592: I do not see many differences and these are probably related to the initial conditions after the assimilation phase. Reconsider this text part.

Caption Figure 1. Change to: "The reference log-conductivity field was obtained (...)"

Caption Figure 9. Why does AAE not decrease for joint EnKF and dual EnKF for small observation errors? Please comment.

Caption Figure 9: "are obtained" is not correct.

Caption Figure 10. Why do you use lines in the figures? The legend is not clear.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., doi:10.5194/hess-2015-544, 2016.

HESSD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

