

Interactive comment on “Do probabilistic forecasts lead to better decisions?” by M. H. Ramos et al.

M. H. Ramos et al.

maria-helena.ramos@irstea.fr

Received and published: 14 March 2013

We thank Referee #3 for his/her positive evaluation and detailed review. The minor comments listed by the referee contribute to improve our paper and will be addressed in the revised version, as indicated below.

Minor comments:

- P.13571: we refer to providing forecast with uncertainty estimates. This will be clarified in the revised version.

- P.13571: we agree on the importance of communicating forecast verification and will mention it in the revised version.

- P.13573: we will add information on the audience/participants (see also our response to a similar comment given by referee #1).
- P.13574: we were not able to evaluate a potential learning effect in our study due to the restricted number of cases and time constraints, but it is indeed an important issue for discussion.
- P.13575: we will clarify this point.
- P.13576: good suggestion that we will take into consideration.
- P.13579: we will clarify the distinction between “rounds” and “cases” (see also our response to referee #1)
- P.13594-13595: alphabetical order of references will be checked.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 9, 13569, 2012.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper