Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 9, C697–C699, 2012 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/9/C697/2012/
© Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



HESSD

9, C697-C699, 2012

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Tracing the spatial propagation of river inlet water into an agricultural polder area using anthropogenic gadolinium" by J. Rozemeijer et al.

GAPH van den Eertwegh (Referee)

eertwegh@futurewater.nl

Received and published: 4 April 2012

General: check your English

Abstract - Do not use the word 'diverted' river water. Instead, take inlet water or river water for water inlet purposes or water with a different chemical composition as compared to local drainage water - Use 'chemical surface water quality'

Ch 1 Introduction - reference to legislation and policy measures are not very relevant, skip text - use 'inlet water management' - mass balance studies can provide insight, it is just a matter of scale at which these studies are applied to - information about the penetration of inlet water to the water system can in detail be derived from simula-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



tion models, but also from detailed mass balance studies (see above) - the objectives are: 1) obtain a spatial image 2) improve interpretation of chemical water quality data 3) evaluate the results of simulation models on surface water hydrology and quality - basically, you are trying to track all different sources of the surface water present

Ch 2 Methods 2.1 - add section on the Gd element here (present/not present; significant difference between river and polder water, waste water treatment plant, etc., show some typical concentrations and/or anomalies

- 2.2 check number of monitoring locations (22 or 23)
- 2.3 add text on further processing Gd(ano) data, how do you proceed from the end of the paragraph
- 2.4 was the surface water stream velocity zero at the moment of sampling? should be for better results at/before 5-8-2010, was Q discharge zero and Q inlet>0? provide information at/before 22-10-2010, was Q inlet zero and Q discharge>0? provide information at end of paragraph, add text on why pre-concentration procedure was not followed
- Ch 3 Results 3.1 add Gd Meuse data if present, check monitoring network rivers in NL can you quantify the mixing proportions at this stage? (x=local drainage water, y=inlet water; calculate x:y) please compare data for 5-8-2010 and 22-10-2010: 1) spatial pattern 2) absolute concentration and/or anomaly level check flow direction at/near waste water treatment plant outlet; provide information through water board
- 3.2 to compare the chemical surface water quality data with Gd(ano): did you take the right monitoring data for the comparison? Regular samples taken at Q inlet>0 or at Q inlet=0? what was your hypothesis on chemical surface water quality data? to my opinion, Ptot, NO3 and EC only are significantly different, stick to these three variables in your text at the end of paragraph, go back to Gd(ano) and proportions, show calculated proportions first, then show calculated fractions, and finish with comparison

HESSD

9, C697-C699, 2012

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



3.3 - are these modeling results and/or Gd(ano) data? - I do not understand arguments on parametrization and weir crest levels. Please add more/better information on reasons why it did (not) work, find better arguments - % mentioned, 51% and 5.1% looks very accurate, my proposal is to use 50% and 5%

Ch 4 Discussion and conclusions - no results here, you already mentioned those: skip first three paragraphs - please discuss: method, monitoring programme on Gd, and results - please draw conclusions on the objectives (see introduction) - please refer to the previous papers by other authors and check whether their conclusions and recommendations are valid and/or rejected - relevance of policy measures not clear/do not bring more weight in the text; Ptot may also decrease - your assumptions or hypotheses? - reference of Hendriks (1990) was for a groundwater seepage area, results might be different from the location that you studied

Figures: please complete the captions, so that these will be explanatory by themselves; arrows blue and red; use larger number fonts; add text to Fig. 6 as $1) = \dots$ and $2) = \dots$

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 9, 1411, 2012.

HESSD

9, C697–C699, 2012

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

