Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Analysis of long-term terrestrial water storage variations in Yangtze River basin" *by* Y. Huang et al.

Y. Huang et al.

yinghuangje@gmail.com

Received and published: 24 January 2013

We would like to thank the referee for the nice words and positive comments on our manuscript. The text below provides our response to the comments/suggestions made by the referee.

Comment 1:

As stated by the author, only two components, TSM and SWE, were included in the calculation of TWS. We still have no idea of what the impacts could be if you added the surface and groundwater which will take a large proportion in TWS. There are indeed

some difficulties to do this but the GRACE data can help. At least, please add some discussions about the uncertainties caused by the calculation in conclusion part.

Authors' response:

We agree with the reviewer that other components such as surface and groundwater form a large proportion of the TWS. To assess their impact on the matchup, we compare TWS products of ERA-Interim to those derived from GRACE observations (reprocessed Release-05, GRACE RL05) for a seven-year period (2004-2010). Fig. 9 shows that the magnitudes of spatially averaged TWS anomalies from these two datasets (ERA-Interim and GRACE RL05) are similar and exhibit the same variation with determination coefficient as high as 0.79. This means that ERA-Interim product of TWS over a 2 meter soil depth is representative for the GRACE observations that are affected by water storage fluctuations in the entire air-land column including the surface water and groundwater. Fig. 9 and explanations are in the revised version. Fig.9 is in the supplement file.

Comment 2:

P9, L15-25, can you explain how you make the comparison in detail? In other words, how did you deal with the point scale and pixel scale? Which station? Yichang? You should point out the name both in the text and the figure caption.

Authors' response:

In the validation section, we used discharge data recoded at the Yichang gauging station. We will point out the name both in the text and the figure caption in the revised version, thank you for your suggestion. The procedure used to deal with the spatial mismatch between point measurement and model pixel is now better explained in the

revised version. This procedure is based on the method of Balsamo et al, (2009) and is implemented in our study as follows:

1- ERA-Interim/GLDAS-Noah

First, we compute the accumulated monthly runoff from ERA-Interim/GLDAS-Noah at each pixel during the period from 1979 to 2004. Second, we calculate the spatial-mean of the accumulated monthly runoff (mm) of all pixels located in the upper reaches of Yangtze Basin.

2- Discharge of Yichang gauging Station

First, we compute the accumulated monthly discharge (m^3) from the daily discharge data (m^3/s) of the Yichang station. Second, we divide the accumulated value by the area of the upper Yangtze reaches. The second step is supported by the fact that the Yichang station is the exit of the upper reaches of Yangtze Basin.

Comment 3:

P8, L20-23, I'm confusing about the word 'scale' and the whole sentence. Can you give some other specific contents instead to make it clear?

Authors' response:

The ERA-Interim soil profile includes four layers at 7, 21, 72 and 189 cm depth (289 cm thickness in total), while Noah soil profile includes four layers of 10, 30, 60 and 100cm from (200 cm thickness in total). In order to compare TWS obtained from these data sets we only considered the first 2 meter soil depth of ERA-Interim data, such that both ERA-Interim and GLDAS-Noah has a soil depth of 200cm. We include this explanation to the revised version.

Comment 4:

P10, L13-L15, What's R-square value between GPCC and PREC/L?

Authors' response:

The determination coefficient value between GPCC and PREC/L is 0.86. This value is now in the revised version.

Comment 5:

P14, L26-28, Just give an equation here. It's better than so many words.

Authors' response:

Thank you for your suggestion, we replaced the text with equation:

$$A_{ij} = \frac{\left(TWS_{ij} - \overline{TWS_i}\right)}{\sigma_i} \tag{1}$$

with: $\overline{TWS_i} = 1/32 \sum_{j=1979}^{2010} TWS_{ij}$

$\sigma_i = \left(1/32 \sum_{j=1979}^{2010} \left(TWS_{ij} - \overline{TWS_i}\right)^2\right)^{0.5}$

Where A_{ij} is the monthly TWS standardized anomaly of the ith month and the jth year. The subscripts i and j represent the ith month and jth year respectively; $\overline{TWS_i}$ is the TWS of the ith month averaged over all the years; σ_i is the standardized deviation of ith month TWS over all the years. These equations are written in the revised version.

Comment 6:

P16, L9-L14, From Fig.8, we can see there are some upward trends for three lines after 2008. Is this a conflict in contrast with the observed drought conditions?

Authors' response:

Indeed, there are some upward trends from three lines after 2008 in Fig.8. Some previous studies also addressed that some regions experienced more severe drought after 2008. Fig.8 shows the spatial averaged TWS of upper reaches, middle and lower reaches and the whole Yangtze River basin, so some regions could have more severe drought while other regions not.

Comment 7:

Fig. 2, what's the interval between every graduation for both X and Y axis? I have no idea about that.

Authors' response:

Sorry for the confusion. We made some mistakes in the interval between every graduation for both X and Y axis. The interval between every graduation for X is 3 months, for Y is 10mm. We also made a mistake in the Y title. We corrected it to 'Accumulated monthly mean of Runoff (mm) '. Please check the updated Fig.2. Updated Fig.2. is in the supplement file.

Comment 8: What's your definition of flood season and dry season?

Authors' response:

'Wet season' is a more accurate word than 'flood season', so 'flood season' is being replaced by 'wet season' in the revised version. The definition of wet season and dry season is based on the precipitation climatology of the Yangtze River Basin. The Yangtze River Basin experiences a distinct wet season from about May to late September or early October. The corresponding dry season spans from late September or early October to spring. The summer monsoons contribute most of the wet season precipitation (Harvey et al, 2007). This explanation is now added to the revised version.

Comment 9:

Some confusing contents are listed below. Please make some revisions to make them clear.
1). P2, L12-13, what's the meaning of 'from both basin and annual perspective'?
2). P6, L2
3). P10, L22-24
4). P11, L13-14

Authors' response:

1).Yes, it is quite confusing. We actually mean that if we look at the spatial averaged and annual mean of TWS. This confused sentence is deleted in the revised version.
2).We made mistakes in this sentence of 'what type of vegetation scheme and what type of snow scheme'. This sentence is deleted in the revised version.

3).Yes, indeed. The sentence of: 'The data qualities of these soil moisture products in the Yangtze River basin can be inferred after comparing the errors of soil moisture estimates in the Yangtze River basin to other locations where have been already validated by in situ measurements.' is changed to: 'It is found that the errors of soil moisture estimates in the Yangtze River Basin are at an intermediate level. '

4).We put an equation in the revised version, it's better than so many words.

$$B_{ij} = \frac{\left(TWS_{ij} - \overline{TWS_j}\right)}{\sigma_j} \qquad (2)$$

with :

$\overline{TWS_j} = 1/12 \sum_{i=1}^{12} TWS_{ij}$
and:

$\sigma_j = \left(1/12 \sum_{i=1}^{12} \left(TWS_{ij} - \overline{TWS_j}\right)^2\right)^{0.5}$

Where B_{ij} is the annual TWS standardized anomaly of the ith month and the jth year; TWS_{ij} is TWS of the ith month and the jth year; $\overline{TWS_j}$ is the mean TWS of the all the months in the jth year; σ_j is the standardized deviation of all the months in the jth year;

Comment 10:

Technical corrections:

P4, L23, activates$-->$activities
P4, L27, ERA-Interim and ERA-Interim dataset?
P13, L6, soil moisture is very wet $-->$soil is wet
P13, L22, land-surface interaction $-->$land-atmosphere interaction
P18, L16, what's the meaning of TGR?

Authors' response:

Thank you for the technical corrections
TGR means Three Gorges Reservoir.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

Reference:

Balsamo, G., Beljaars, A., Scipal, K., Viterbo, P., van den Hurk, B., Hirschi, M., and Betts, A. K.: A Revised Hydrology for the ECMWF Model: Verification from Field Site to Terrestrial Water Storage and Impact in the Integrated Forecast System, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 10, 623-643, 2009.

Harvey, J., Tang, L.: Analysis of the Global Precipitation Climatology Project Data for Four Global River Basins, GPCPReport, GPCP, University of Maryland, 2007.

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper