1
T.

### Flood discharge measurement of a mountain river – Nanshih River in Taiwan

2

# 3 Yen-Chang Chen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, National Taipei University of
Technology, Taipei, Taiwan, Tel: +886-2-27712171 ext. 2639; Fax: +886-2-27814518; E-mail:
yenchen@ntut.edu.tw.

7

### 8 Abstract

9 An efficient method that accounts for personal safety, accuracy and reliability for measuring flood discharge of the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge is proposed. The 10 11 method applying available tools which are adapted for flood conditions can be used to 12 guickly and accurately measure flood discharge. Measuring flood discharge directly from mountain rivers by using conventional discharge measurement methods is costly, 13 time-consuming, and dangerous. Thus previous discharge estimations for mountainous area 14 in Taiwan were typically based on indirect methods, which alone cannot generate accurate 15 measurements. This study applies a flood discharge measurement system composed of an 16 Acoustic Doppler Profiler and crane system to accurately and quickly measure velocity 17 distributions and water depths. Moreover, an efficient method for measuring discharge, which 18 is based on the relationship between mean and maximum velocities and the relationship 19

20	between cross-sectional area and gauge height, is applied to estimate flood discharge. Flood
21	discharge of the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge can be estimated easily and rapidly by
22	measuring maximum velocity in the river cross-section and the gauge height. The measured
23	flood discharges can be utilized to create a reliable stage-discharge relationship for
24	continuous estimations of discharge using records of water stage. Results of measured
25	discharges and estimated discharges of the Nahshih River at the Lansheng Bridge only
26	slightly differed from each other, demonstrating the efficiency and accuracy of the proposed
27	method.
28	
29	1. Introduction
30	Discharge data enable populations to share and manage finite water supplies. Effective
31	water management requires accurate discharge measurements. With an average annual
32	precipitation of 2,471 mm, rainfall is abundant in Taiwan. Thundershowers and the typhoons
33	bring heavy downpours in the summertime. Therefore, the distribution of rainfall is uneven,
34	making the water available for use per capita low. As water shortages become increasingly
35	apparent, accurate discharge measurements become crucial. Sources of all major rivers
36	worldwide are located in mountains and a significant proportion of the earth's surface is
37	mountainous. Mountain rivers supply a large share of the world's population with fresh
38	water (Viviroli and Weingartner, 2004). A mountain river is a river located within a

39	mountainous region and has a stream gradient greater than or equal to 0.2% (Jarrette, 1992)
40	along the majority of its channel-length. Mountains cover about 27% of the world's land
41	surface, but only 13% of mountainous rivers have data (Bandyopadhy et al., 1997).
42	Although the World Meteorological Organization recommends using high-density
43	instrument networks in mountainous areas, the number of stream-gauging stations is still far
44	lower than the recommended number (WMO, 1988). With a total area of about 36,179 km <sup>2</sup> .
45	two-thirds of Taiwan is covered with forested peaks. Steep mountain terrain above 1,000 m
46	elevation constitutes about 32 % of the island's land area; hills and terraces between 100 and
47	1,000 m above sea level make up 31 %. However only a few of gauging stations can be
48	found in Taiwan's mountain area. The reasons accounting for the lack of data for mountain
49	rivers discharges are lack of funding, limitations of conventional methods and instruments
50	for discharge measurement, difficulties in accessing gauging stations, and harsh
51	environments that hinder discharge measurements.
52	A mountain river is a river located within a mountainous region and has a stream
53	gradient greater than or equal to 0.2% (Jarrette, 1992) along the majority of its

channel-length. Understanding the temporal and spatial variability of mountain river 54 55 hydrology requires measuring discharge directly, systematically, and periodically. The most popular conventional method (current-meter method) for directly measuring discharge first 56 57

58 obtained by placing a current meter at a desired location. However, during rapid flows associated with floods, submerging a meter in water is almost impossible, even when an 59 adequate sounding weight is utilized. Additionally, riverbed instability due to rapid scouring 60 and deposition during flooding make sounding water depth impossible; thus, measuring a 61 cross-sectional area is extremely difficult. Flow conditions during floods are highly 62 unsteady and water stages and discharges vary dramatically. Thus, accurate discharge 63 measurements must be completed quickly. Furthermore, the conditions when measuring 64 mountain river discharge during floods are far from ideal, especially as floods often occur 65 during thundershowers and typhoons in Taiwan. Heavy rains and rapid flows combined with 66 threats to the safety of hydrologists and instruments add to the difficulties associated with 67 68 accurate measurements. Consequently, discharge data for mountain rivers are lacking in 69 Taiwan. Due to these unsuitable conditions, using a velocity meter to measure discharge is difficult at best. Some new monitoring systems apply fixed side-looking Doppler profilers 70 (H-ADCP) to measure river discharge (Nihei and Kimizu, 2008; Le Coz et al., 2008). 71 However the water depth of the mountain rivers is usually very shallow. Intense rainfall 72 73 events are frequent enough to cause significant high concentrations of suspended sediment in rivers that can also limit the function of ADCP. Those expensive systems lie idle most of 74 the time. However it is possible to install an H-ADCP at an ideal site to measure high flow. 75 A non-contact method that uses such instruments as a float (ISO, 2007; Rantz, 1982), optical 76

current meter (Bureau of Reclamation, 1997), radar (Costa et al., 2006), and satellites
(Alsdorf et al., 2007) may be considered. These instruments are safe and quick enough for
estimating river discharge. Fixed surface velocity, however, is difficult to measure since the
velocity of the water surface is normally affected by waves, winds and weather; thus, water
surface velocity is also problematic since studied areas and angles change in accordance
with water stages.

Measuring discharge levels using conventional methods and instruments during 83 flooding is frequently impossible and very impractical. Thus, many discharges are 84 determined after floods using indirect methods. Most indirect methods, such as the 85 slope-area method (Chow, 1973), step-backwater method (O'Connor and Webb, 1988), 86 87 contracted opening method (Benson and Dalrymple, 1967), and flow through culverts (Bodhaine, 1968), assume a steady and uniform flow. Mountainous floods, which typically 88 move along steep river courses with debris, are generally unsteady and vary rapidly. Hence, 89 using indirect methods to calculate estimated discharges frequently results in significant 90 errors with accuracies rates of only 30% or greater (Bathurst, 1990). However, some 91 rediscovered techniques such as dilution gauging (McGuier et al., 2007) and rising bubble 92 method (Hilgersom and Luxemburg, 2012) can be used to measure discharge indirectly. 93 An accurate method and reliable equipment are needed to measure discharge from 94

95 mountain rivers during high flows. This study applies a novel method and flood discharge

96 measuring system that can be used to easily and accurately measure flood discharge of mountain rivers in Taiwan. Section 2 is devoted to the measuring system which is composed 97 of an acoustic doppler current profiler, heavy sounding weight, wireless data transmission 98 system, and crane for measuring velocity profile quickly. I introduce my measurement 99 method for flood discharge that I refer to as "the efficient measurement method". The 100 efficient method which makes use of maximum velocity and gauge height to estimate flood 101 discharge is developed in Section 3. In section 4, the flood discharge measured by the 102 proposed measurement system is used to illustrate the accuracy and reliability of the 103 measurement method. 104

105 2. Flood discharge measuring system

106 The flood discharge measuring system must withstand the worst possible weather conditions and strong currents to observe and provide velocities and cross-sectional 107 information for discharge calculations. Instruments can be selected according to the 108 characteristics of each gauging station. Several different instruments are typically utilized to 109 collect data during high flows. The measurement of swift streams with highly unsteady flow 110 111 condition by current meter presents some problems such as impossible to sound and meter drift downstream. Therefore it would be better not to submerge an instrument in the water 112 during high flow. 113

Based on Lu's work (Lu et al., 2006), the Acoustic Doppler Profiler (ADP) is placed in

115	the C type sounding weight which is streamlined to offer minimum resistance to flow water.
116	The height of the sounding weight is less than 0.3 m. When the sounding weight is lowered to
117	the position under water surface 0.4 m, the sounding weight will be stationary in the water
118	and submerged sufficiently to avoid air entrainment beneath the transducer. The advantage of
119	the ADP is that it can immediately obtain velocity distribution and water-depth when ADP
120	touches water (Chen et al., 2007). When adequate sounding weights are used, the ADP can
121	stably measure velocity distribution in each of the selected verticals from water surface. The
122	key instrument of the flood discharge measuring system is the ADP which is a 3-axis water
123	current profiler. The resolution of velocity distribution and water depth depend on the
124	frequency of ADP. High frequency pings yield more precise data, but low frequency pings
125	travel father in the water. So a compromise between the distance that the profiler can measure
126	and the precision of the measurements has to be made. Two ADPs with 3.0 and 1.5-MHz are
127	tested at the beginning of the flood discharge measurement. However the 1.5-MHz ADP
128	cannot be used near the right bank when water is too shallow. A 3.0-MHz ADP gives shorter
129	profiling ranges but better spatial resolution. The water depth of the Nanshih River at the
130	Lansheng Bridge is usually less than 6 m and the maximum profiling range of a 3.0-MHz
131	ADP is 6 m. Thus a 3.0-MHz ADP, which is suited to the hydrological characteristics of the

132 Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge, can collect velocity data.

133 The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) has developed acoustic velocity meter systems for

134 river discharge observations since the mid-80s (Laenen, 1985) and using ADCPs on moving boats for discharge measurements since the early 1990's (Oberg and Mueller, 1994), and 135 recently has it been used in observations (ISO, 2005). The profiling range of an ADP is 136 determined by its acoustic frequency. The performance of an ADP is also affected by 137 sediment concentration, air bubbles and the hydraulic situation in which it is placed. Hence, 138 139 an observer must first know the flow condition, concentration of suspended sediment, and water depth to select the appropriate acoustic frequency. The ADP measures water velocity 140 using the Doppler shift, which is the shift of sound frequency reflected by a moving object 141 (Brumley et al., 1991). The ADP transmits sound at a fixed frequency and obtains echoes 142 returning from sound scatters in the water. These sound scatters are small particles, such as a 143 144 suspended load, that reflect sound back to the ADP (Boiten, 2003). The ADP transmits a short pulse to measure relative water speed for many depth cells by range-gating the reflected 145 signal as a velocity distribution on a vertical. It also transmits a series of bottom-track pings 146 to determine water depth. Thus, during floods, an ADP can be placed on the water surface to 147 measure the velocity distribution and water depth on a vertical. Although velocity distribution 148 149 data can be obtained immediately, some areas were data is missing. Blanking distance is the distance the emitted sound travels while internal electronics prepare for data reception and 150 the transducers stop vibrating from the transmission and become quiescent enough to 151 accurately record the backscattered acoustic energy (Mueller et al., 2007). Fig. 1 shows 152

The suspended sounding weight is supported by the crane, the ADP is placed inside the 156 sounding weight, and the electronic assembly is placed inside a metal box located above the 157 158 sounding weight. The velocity distribution can be monitor on a laptop real time. The electronics assembly supplies power for ADP and processes the signal sent from ADP. To 159 avoid damaging the flood discharge measurement system, application-specific carrying tools 160 and supports are required for the worst conditions. Thus, a 136 kg C type sounding weight 161 that is streamlined to offer minimum resistance to flowing water is used as the carrying 162 163 device for the ADP. This sounding weight stabilizes the ADP and avoids damage from being struck by floating branches, junk and debris. The heavy weight of the sounding weight and 164 ADP makes it impossible to operate without the help of machinery. A mobile crane is used to 165 suspend the measuring system. This crane can be moved quickly among different locations. 166 Because strong currents can overturn sounding weights and destroy the cable between ADP 167 and the laptop, a wireless data transmission system is installed. The signals obtained by ADP 168 are first transmitted through a probe cable to an electronics assembly and then the data is then 169 sent to the radio telemetry system to transmit serial data to a wireless processing device - a 170 laptop. The velocity distribution and water depth can be measured instantaneously and then 171

10

172 calculated via data analyses. These data can be stored and saved on a computer for further173 study.

Measurements are usually made from a bridge; the flood discharge measurement is best carried out downstream of the bridge so the sounding weight does not collide with piers. However the discharge measurement is made at upstream of the bridge. The reason of making discharge at upstream of bridge is that the flow conditions are not affected by pier, less bubbles are found to block signal, and is more stable. Additionally, the crane arm must be long enough to suspend the sounding weight and position it far away from piers for avoiding the sounding weight colliding piers.

181

## **3.** Computation of Flood Discharge

182 The discharge equations for open channels are based on the velocity area method183 (Herschy, 1999):

$$Q = \overline{u}A \tag{1}$$

where Q is discharge;  $\overline{u}$  is mean velocity across a channel; and A is the cross-sectional area. Flood discharge measurement of mountain rivers can be estimated directly using mean velocity and cross-sectional area. The estimation of mean velocity is based on the relationship between mean and maximum velocities, and the cross-sectional area can be estimated by gauge height. Therefore estimating mean velocity of the cross-section from maximum velocity is unique to the proposed method. The relationship between mean and maximum velocities (Chiu, 1987) is

$$\frac{\overline{u}_{obs}}{u_{\max}} = \phi \tag{2}$$

where  $u_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum velocity in a channel cross-section;  $\overline{u}_{obs} = Q_{obs} / A_{obs}$ ;  $Q_{obs}$  is 191 the observed discharge; and  $A_{obs}$  is the observed cross-sectional area. The ratio of  $\overline{u}_{obs}$  to 192  $u_{\text{max}}$  in a given cross-section,  $\phi$ , approaches a constant (Chiu and Said, 1995; Chiu, 1996). 193 It is a linear relationship passing through the origin. The  $\phi$  ratio characterizes the flow 194 pattern at a given channel cross-section, and can be applied to steady or unsteady flows and is 195 unaffected by discharge or the water stage (Chen and Chiu, 2002). Different cross-sections of 196 an open channel have different ratios (Chen and Chiu, 2004). Using  $\phi$  ratio to estimate 197 discharge of rivers has been implemented in several places including: Taiwan (Chen and Chiu 198 2002), US (Chiu and Chen 2003), Italy (Moramarco et al. 2004), and Algeria (Ammari and 199 Remini 2010). To determine flood discharge using Eq. (2), one must obtain many sets of  $\bar{u}$ 200 and  $u_{\text{max}}$  to establish the relationship between maximum and mean velocities—the  $\phi$  ratio. 201 Once  $\phi$  is determined, the flood discharge can be estimated quickly using maximum 202 203 velocity and gauge height.

## 204 **3.1 Estimation of maximum velocity to determine** $\phi$

To determine maximum velocity, an alternative velocity distribution model is needed
that can describe the velocity distribution when maximum velocity is below the water surface.

207 Chiu (1987) derived the following probabilistic velocity distribution equation:

$$\frac{u}{u_{\max}} = \frac{1}{M} \ln \left[ 1 + \left( e^M - 1 \right) \frac{\xi - \xi_0}{\xi_{\max} - \xi_0} \right]$$
(3)

where  $\xi$  is the isovel in the  $\xi - \eta$  coordinate system (Chiu and Chiou, 1988); *u* is velocity 208 at  $\xi$ ; *M* is the entropy parameter;  $\xi_0$  and  $\xi_{max}$  are the maximum and minimum values of 209  $\xi$  at which  $u = u_{\text{max}}$  and u=0, respectively. y-axis is defined as the vertical on which  $u_{\text{max}}$ 210 occurs. One of the advantages of Eq. (3) is that it is capable of describing the velocity 211 212 distribution whether maximum velocity occurs on or below water surface. Thus Eq. (3) can 213 be used to determine the maximum velocity from the velocity distribution data measured by ADP, especially maximum velocity occurring under water surface. Since isovels are 214 intercepted by the y-axis, where both  $\xi_{max}$  and  $u_{max}$  occur, the  $\xi$  values of the isovels can 215 be expressed as a function of y on the y-axis 216

$$\xi = \frac{y}{D-h} \exp\left(1 - \frac{y}{D-h}\right) \tag{4}$$

where *D* is water depth on the *y*-axis; *y* is vertical distance from the channel bed; and *h* is the parameter indicating the location of  $u_{max}$ . If  $u_{max}$  occurs on the water surface,  $h \le 0$ , and Eq. (3) becomes

$$\frac{u}{u_{\text{max}}} = \frac{1}{M} \ln \left[ 1 + \left( e^M - 1 \right) \frac{y}{D} \exp \left( \frac{D - y}{D - h} \right) \right]$$
(5)

220 If  $u_{\text{max}}$  occurs below the water surface, h>0 and h is the actual depth of  $u_{\text{max}}$  below the 221 water surface, and Eq. (3) becomes

$$\frac{u}{u_{\text{max}}} = \frac{1}{M} \ln \left[ 1 + \left( e^M - 1 \right) \frac{y}{D-h} \exp \left( 1 - \frac{y}{D-h} \right) \right]$$
(6)

222 Although the location of  $u_{\text{max}}$  in an open-channel is not determined easily, it can be obtained using the isovels created with velocity data collected previously. In natural rivers, 223 the y-axis can occur anywhere around the cross-section. If the cross-section of a relatively 224 straight open channel does not change drastically, the location of y-axis is extremely steady 225 226 and does not vary according to changes in time, water level, and discharge (Chiu and Chen, 2003). Restated, the likely location of the y-axis can be identified using historical data, and 227 the maximum velocity of a cross-section can be obtained using the y-axis. Statistically, one 228 standard deviation of distance from the y-axis can be used to identify the stability of the 229 y-axis (Chiu and Chen, 1999). The maximum velocity obtained by data from around the 230 231 y-axis and the actual value are very close; thus, a slight shift in the y-axis will not cause significant error in the estimated maximum velocity (Chiu and Chen, 2003). However ADP 232 cannot sample the velocity near water surface and the velocity distribution is not continue. 233 Hence, the nonlinear regression model can be fitted to velocity distribution data on the y-axis 234 measured by the ADP to Eq. (3) for determining maximum velocity in the cross-section. 235

236 **3.2 Estimation of mean velocity to determine**  $\phi$ 

The mean velocity of the channel used to establish the relationship between mean and maximum velocities is determined by  $Q_{obs}/A_{obs}$ . Thus, measuring flood discharge using the 239 conventional method becomes a very important but difficult task. The conventional method divides the cross-section into segments by spacing verticals at an appropriate number of 240 locations across the channel. USGS suggests using 6 to 10 observation verticals in the 241 measurement cross section for a small stream. Reduce the number of sections taken to about 242 15-18 during periods of rapidly changing stage on large streams (Rantz, 1982). Distance 243 between verticals, depth, and velocities are measured at the verticals. A sounding weight or 244 ADP is utilized to measure water depths at the verticals. The velocities at the verticals are 245 measured using a current meter or ADP. Segment discharges are computed between 246 successive verticals; therefore, total discharge may be computed as 247

$$Q_{obs} = \sum q_i \tag{7}$$

$$q_i = \overline{v}_i a_i \tag{8}$$

where  $q_i$  is the *i*<sup>th</sup> segment discharge;  $\overline{v}_i$  is the individual segment mean velocity normal to the segment; and  $a_i$  is the corresponding area of the segment. Notably,  $a_i$  can be determined using the midsection method.

# 251 **3.3 Estimation of cross-sectional area**

The cross-sectional area and gauge height data are collected during discharge measurement. The segment areas are summed to obtain the cross-sectional area of the open channel. If the streambed is stable and free of scouring and deposits, it is normally reliable to estimate cross-sectional area with gauge height. The relationship between cross-sectional area and gauge height (Chen and Chiu, 2002) can be expressed as

$$A_{est} = a(G-b)^c \tag{9}$$

where  $A_{est}$  is the estimated cross-sectional area; *G* is gauge height. *a*, *b*, and *c* are coefficients determined by nonlinear regression. Compared to the cross-sectional area during flood, when the area caused by scouring or depositing is small. Eq. (10) can also be applied to estimate cross-sectional area. If the relation of *G* and  $A_{obs}$  is not good enough, it could be a large source of uncertainty in the final discharge.

#### 262 **3.4** Estimation of the discharge by the efficient measurement method

263 Before the discharge estimation method, referred to as the efficient measurement method, is developed in a stream, obtaining  $u_{obs}$  to determine  $\phi$  for a given cross-section in a 264 stream is the key in developing the efficient method. The observed mean velocity of the 265 cross-section is calculated as  $Q_{obs}/A_{obs}$ . The complete flood discharge measurements over 266 the full cross-section are very important for establishing the relationship between mean and 267 268 maximum velocities and it possibly will take several years to collect enough data. Therefore it is necessary to measure discharge and cross-sectional area by sampling velocities and depth 269 270 in each vertical for determining mean velocity in each vertical and segment area. Then the discharge is derived from the sum of the product of mean velocity, depth and width between 271 verticals. The velocity distribution made on y-axis is used to calculate maximum velocity of 272 the cross-section for determining  $\phi$ . The gauge height and cross-sectional area are used to 273

establish the relation of gauge height and cross-sectional area.



285 4. Description of study catchment and data

The study site is located at the Lansheng Bridge on the Nanshih River. Fig. 2 shows the locations of the catchment area and gauge stations. Situated southeast of Taipei, Taiwan, the Nanshih River, an upstream branch of the Tanshui River, is a major fresh water source for the Taipei metropolitan area. To safeguard water quality and quantity, access to this area is restricted; thus, most of the area is untouched and forested. The area covers 331.6 km<sup>2</sup> and has an annual precipitation of 3082–4308 mm (average, 3600 mm). Days with precipitation are mostly concentrated in winter. The northeastern winds in winter create fine rain, whereas

17

293	typhoons in summer bring heavy rains. The average monthly precipitation in the area from
294	June to October exceeds 300 mm from 1992. Although a discharge measuring system that is
295	composed of radar sensor for measuring water stage and current meter for measuring velocity
296	has been in place on the Lansheng Bridge since 2005, flood discharge was not measured until
297	2007. The average discharge of the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge is 26.9 $m^3/s$ ; the
298	minimum is 0.9 m <sup>3</sup> /s, and the maximum is 2295 m <sup>3</sup> /s. <u>The Nanshih River is about 35 km long</u>
299	to the Lansheng Bridge and 45 km to the confluence of the Nanshih River and the Beishih
300	River; the highest altitude is 2,101 m on Mount Babobkoozoo, and the altitude of the river
301	bed at the Lansheng Bridge is 106.8 m. Thus the stream gradient, which is the grade
302	measured by the ratio of drop in elevation of a stream per unit horizontal distance, of the
303	upstream of the Nanashih River exceeds 10% and the average stream gradient to the
304	Lensheng Bridge is 5.7%. The stream gradient at the study site is about 1.5%, which is still
305	relatively steep.

306 5. Measurement of Flood Discharge

This study was conducted on the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge from 2007 to 2010. During the typhoon season, flood discharges were measured using the proposed flood measurement system. Fig. 3 shows the flood discharge measurement during Typhoon Krosa. Since maximum water depth during the non-typhoon season is usually less than 1.5 m, discharge is measured by current meter, not the ADP. At the *y*-axis (22 m from relative point situated at the left bank), velocity measurements are taken at 0.1 m intervals from the water
surface to the channel bed when water is shallow and the ADP cannot be applied to measure
velocity distribution.

The velocity distribution and water depth are measured at 3 m intervals during the 315 typhoons for computation of discharge. The probabilistic velocity distribution equation is 316 317 then utilized to simulate velocity profiles and calculate the mean velocities of the verticals. Finally, each segmental discharge can be obtained, the sum of which is the river discharge. As 318 shown in Fig. 4, the flood discharge per unit width, mean velocity at each vertical and the 319 corresponding depth are plotted over the water surface line. The top of Fig. 4 is the segmental 320 mean velocity and discharge, and the bottom is the flow pattern. It also shows that most of 321 322 discharge occurs in the main channel. By using the ADP, the cross-section can be easily and 323 quickly surveyed for determining cross-sectional area. Table 1 shows the ADP measurements taken during typhoons in 2007 and 2008, of which 8 discharges were measured for five 324 325 typhoons.

The bottom of Fig. 4 shows the velocity distribution of maximum measured flood discharge in 2007. z in Fig. 4 is the distance from relative point. The discharge was around <u>185.3</u> m<sup>3</sup>/s. The dot in Fig. 4 is the actual velocity measurement on each vertical, and the solid line is the velocity distributions based on Eq. (3), indicating that vertical maximum velocity does not always occur on the water surface. Additionally, no definite relationship 331 exists between mean and water surface velocity of the river. Hence, an accurate measurement of flood discharge must be based on the flow pattern below the water surface and not water 332 surface velocity. However, if the maximum velocity always occurs on water surface, the 333 relationship between mean and surface velocity can be developed using Eq. (2). The 334 maximum velocity occurred at the vertical, 22 m away from the relative point. The maximum 335 velocity of the cross-section estimated by Eq. (3) was 4.83 m/s and occurred on the water 336 surface. Fig. 5 shows the isovels based on the observed velocities in Fig. 4. In Fig. 5, the 337 vertical dash line reveals the location of y-axis. Owing to the effect of bridge piers, velocities 338 around z = 15 m and z = 37 m are lower. Both Figs. 4 and 5 indicate that the major flood 339 discharges are 15–30 m from the relative point, a sign that velocity on the right bank is slow, 340 and the maximum velocity occurs around the 6<sup>th</sup> vertical from the left bank and on the water 341 342 surface. Additionally, the observations of other flow patterns indicate that the maximum velocities always occur on the 6<sup>th</sup> vertical. This finding suggests that the y-axis locates on the 343  $6^{\text{th}}$  vertical. The y-axis is stable and unaffected by other factors such as stages and discharges. 344 Fig. 6 shows the cross-sectional variation of the channel bed. The main course of the river 345 bed does not change drastically, whereas the right side of the river bed has obvious scouring 346 and deposition during flooding. For instance, on 28 November, the right bank shows obvious 347 signs of scouring, and on 29 November, is deposited; the cross-section gradually returns to its 348 previous stage. Based on the cross-section on 29 November, the scouring and depositing 349

areas in the cross-section on 8 October and 28 November are 13.9 and 7.74 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. 350 Table 2 shows the variation of area between two typhoon events. The area varies slightly 351 between Typhoon and Krosa. At the beginning of Typhonn Mitag, the right side of the river 352 bed is scoured deeply. However the Nanshih River tends to deposit it sediment in the end of 353 Typhoon Mitag. After scouring and depositing, the change in area is  $6.7 \text{ m}^2$  between Typhoon 354 355 Sepat and Typhoon Sinlaku. It shows that the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge is in the conditions of dynamic stability and near-equilibrium. Comparing with the cross-sectional 356 area during flood, the scouring and depositing areas are relatively small. Therefore the 357 observed cross-sectional areas can be used to establish the relation of water stage and 358 cross-sectional area. 359

The data of discharge is split into two independent subsets: the calibration and validation subsets. The calibration subset with 19 observed discharges is used for parameter estimation. The validation subset, which consists of 5 observed discharges, is devoted to access the performance of the proposed method. Correlation coefficient indicating the strength of relationship between observed and estimated discharges and root-mean-square error (RMSE) evaluating the residual of observed and estimated discharges are used to evaluate the performance of the efficient method.

367 An efficient method of measuring flood discharges of mountain rivers can be established368 through repeated measurements. Fig. 7 shows the relationship between mean and maximum

369 velocities of the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge. It is a straight line goes through origin, and  $\bar{u}_{est} = 0.51 u_{max}$ . The maximum velocity of the cross-section can be calculated by 370 Eq. (4), and the mean velocity is obtained by dividing the measured discharge by the 371 cross-sectional area. All maximum velocities during floods exceed 3 m/s, whereas the  $u_{max}$ 372 on ordinary days can reach 0.8 m/s, indicating a swift current. Moreover, the relationship 373 between mean and maximum velocities is constant and quite stable in a wide range of 374 discharge. It does not vary with time, water stage and sediment concentration, regardless of 375 whether the flow is steady or unsteady. Using gauge height and cross-sectional area, the 376 relationship between stage and area can be established. It is  $A_{est} = 14.39(G - 107.32)^{1.68}$ , as 377 shown in Fig. 8. Fig. 9 shows the accuracy of the cross-sectional area estimated by the water 378 stage. The correlation coefficients in both phases of calibration and validation are very high 379 and RMSEs are low. The estimated areas agree quite well with the observed areas. Therefore, 380 during floods, cross-sectional areas can be estimated based on gauge height. 381

During flood, maximum velocity can be observed on the *y*-axis, 22 m from the relative point. The channel cross-sectional area is calculated using gauge height, and mean velocity is obtained using the  $\phi$  value and maximum velocity. Finally, discharge can be estimated by  $Q_{est}=7.34u_{max}(G-107.32)^{1.68}$ . Fig. 10 shows the evaluation of discharge estimation accuracy for the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge. All the data points nicely fall on the line of agreement. The RMSE of the calibration and evaluation are 16.4 and 15.2 m<sup>3</sup>/sec. Moreover, the ρ of the calibration and evaluation are 0.99 and 0.96, respectively. The results show that
the method performance is accurate and consistent in two different subsets. Both correlation
coefficients are very close to unity, and both RMSEs are relatively smaller. It demonstrates
that the proposed method can be successfully applied to estimate flood discharge of mountain
rivers.

Fig. 11 shows the frequency functions for a normal distribution fitted to the  $\varepsilon$ %. Fig. 393 11(a) shows the relative frequency of error percentage. Fig. 11(b) shows the cumulative 394 frequency (dots) and probability distribution function (curve). The mean of the errors 395 approaches zero and the absolute measure of error is 7%. Thus the 95.44% confidence 396 interval for the discharge error is from -2.11% to 2.69%. The  $\chi^2$  test is employed to determine 397 whether the normal distribution adequately fits data. The  $\chi^2$  test statistic is  $\chi^2_c = 0.57$  and the 398 value of  $\chi^2_{\nu,1-\alpha}$  for a cumulative probability is  $\chi^2_{2,0.95} = 5.99$ . Since  $\chi^2_{2,0.95} > \chi^2_c$ , these errors 399 are mutually independent and normally distributed with a mean approaching zero and small 400 variance. Clearly, the proposed method can be utilized to accurately and reliably measure 401 flood discharge of mountain rivers. 402

The gauge station on the Lansheng Bridge was established in 2005 and it collected discharge data under low water levels by using the current meter method. In 2007, the station began to be used to collect data under high water levels with the method developed in this paper. Once the efficient method for measuring flood discharge of mountain rivers is 407 established, the flood discharges during Typhoon Jangmi in 2008 are estimated only depending on maximum velocities and gauge heights. Fig. 12 shows the velocity distribution 408 measured by ADP on y-axis during Typhoon Jangmi. Therefore the maximum velocity can be 409 calculated by using Eq. (3) with the collected velocity distribution. The estimated flood 410 discharges during Typhoon Jangmi are summarized in Table 3. In Table 3, Q is discharge 411 412 estimated by the proposed method, and Qr is discharge estimated by stage-discharge rating curve. The discharge estimated by only the velocity distribution on y-axis is very close to the 413 discharge estimated by rating curve. It shows that the method presented in this paper is 414 reliable and accurate for estimating flood discharge. By using the proposed method, the flood 415 discharge can be estimated quickly within 1 minute. 416

417 Real-time discharge at a stream-gauging station can be computed from a real-time stage using the stage-discharge relationship, which is also called the rating curve. Recorded 418 419 discharges over a wide range are rare. Notably, measurement accuracy of conventional instruments and methods can be adversely affected and restricted by both location and 420 weather; these instruments are most reliable during stable and low-flow conditions. Thus, 421 422 long-term observations can be used to establish the lower part of a rating curve. However, to create a complete rating curve, high flow discharge data are needed. Fig. 13 is the water-stage 423 rating curve of the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge. When water stages are 113, 112, 424 and 111 m, the differences between the discharges estimated by the old and new rating curve 425

426	are 118, 109, and 81 $m^3/s$ , respectively. The old rating curve severely underestimates
427	discharge under high water levels, whereas the curve for 2010 was likely adjusted according
428	to flood discharge, markedly improving its accuracy and efficiency. It indicates that the
429	importance of flood discharge for establishing a stage-discharge rating curve. The accurate
430	rating curve with the actual measurements during high water also demonstrates this method
431	has improved the overall discharge measurement of the river.
432	6. Conclusions
433	Flood discharge measurement is always a difficult and dangerous task. The
434	characteristics of mountain rivers make it impractical to use conventional methods and
435	instruments to measure discharges during floods. Concerns for personal safety, accuracy,
436	reliability, and efficiency, a new measurement method and system have to be developed for
437	flood discharge measurement in Taiwan. According to the hydrological characteristics of the
438	Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge, a flood measuring system composed of useful
439	techniques and tools is applied to collect velocity and water depth data over the full
440	cross-section for calculating discharge and determining the location of y-axis. The efficient
441	discharge measurement method based on the relation of mean and maximum velocities and
442	the relation of gauge height and cross-sectional area is developed to estimate the flood
443	discharge in the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge. Therefore the flood discharge can be
444	easily estimate by sampling gauge height and the velocity distribution on v-axis for

445	calculating maximum velocity. Those flood data used for establishing stage-discharge rating
446	curve makes real time flood discharge estimation possible. Like the other index velocity
447	methods converting the velocity at a point or in a section to the mean velocity, the efficient
448	method is also an index velocity method for measuring flood discharge in mountain rivers.
449	The merits of the proposed measuring system and method for measuring flood discharge of
450	mountain rivers in Taiwan are as follows: 1) considerably accuracy and efficiency; 2) flood
451	discharges can be measured - an impossible task previously; and, 3) hydrologists are not
452	exposed to harsh environments during typhoons and floods too long. The proposed
453	measurement system is used to measure flood discharge in the mountain area of Taiwan to
454	verify this efficient method. The results provide evidence that this efficient method can offer
455	good performance in measuring flood discharge of the Nanshih River at the Lansheng
456	Bridge.
457	This research is limited to an initial study of the application of the efficient method in
458	estimating flood discharge in the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge. Further studies
459	could be extended to measure more flood discharges of the other mountain rivers for
460	validating the efficient method. Even the proposed method is a fast and minimally intrusive
461	measurement method; it is still very dangerous to measure the velocity distribution on y-axis
462	during floods. It is necessary to develop a model for estimating maximum velocity not on

463 <u>y-axis.</u>

## 464 Acknowledgements

465

466	suggestions. The author would like to thank the Taipei Water Management Office, Water
467	Resources Agency of Taiwan, for financially supporting this research. Contributions by Profs.
468	JT. Kuo and HC. Yang are also gratefully acknowledged.
469	References
470	Alsdorf, D. E., Rodriguez, T., and Lettenmaier, D. P.: Measuring surface water from space,
471	Rev. Geophys., 45, 1-24, 2007.
472	Ammari, A. and Remini, B.: Estimation of Algerian rivers discharges based on Chiu's
473	equation, Arab. J. Geosci., 3, 59-65, 2010.
474	Bandyopadhyay, J., Rodda, C. J., Kattelmann, R., Kundzewicz, Z. W., and Kraemer, D.:
475	Highland waters - a resource of global significance, in: Mountains of the World: A
476	Global Priority, Parthenon, London, UK, 131-155, 1997.
477	Bathurst, J. C.: Tests of three discharge gauging techniques in mountain rivers, In: Hydrology
478	of Mountainous Areas, IAHS, Wallingford, UK, 93-100, 1990.
479	Benson, M. A. and Dalrymple, T.: General field and office procedures for indirect discharge

The author is indebted to anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and

- 480 measurement, US Governmental Printing Office, Washington DC, Techniques of
- 481 Water-Resources Investigations, Book 3, 30 pp., 1967.
- 482 Bodhaine, G. L.: Measurement of peak discharge at Culverts by indirect methods, US

- 483 Governmental Printing Office, Washington DC, Techniques of Water-Resources
  484 Investigations, Book 3, 19pp., 1968.
- 485 Boiten, W.: Hydrometry, A.A. Balkema, Lisse, The Netherlands, 2003.
- 486 Brumley, B. H., Cabrea, R. G., Deines, K. L., and Terray, E. A.: Performance of a broad-band
- 487 acoustic Doppler current profiler, IEEE J. Oceanic Eng., 16, 402-407, 1991.
- 488 Bureau of Reclamation: Water measurement manual, US Government Printing Office,
- 489 Denver, 1997.
- 490 Chen, Y.-C. and Chiu, C.-L.: A fast method of flood discharge estimation, Hydrol. Process.,
  491 18, 1671-1684, 2004.
- 492 Chen, Y.-C. and Chiu, C.-L.: An efficient method of discharge measurement in tidal streams,
- 493 J. Hydrol., 265, 212-224, 2002.
- 494 Chen, Y.-C., Kuo, J.-T., Yang, H.-C., Yu, S.-R., and Yang, H.-Z.: Discharge measurement
- during high flow. J. Taiwan Water Conserv., 55, 21-33, 2007. (in Chinese)
- 496 Chiu, C.-L.: A natural law of open-channel flows, In: Stochastic Hydraulics '96, Balkema,
- 497 <u>Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 15-27, 1996.</u>
- Chiu, C.-L.: Entropy and probability concepts in hydraulics, J. Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE, 113,
  583-600, 1987.
- 500 Chiu, C.-L. and Chen, Y.-C.: Efficient methods of measuring discharge and reservoir-sediment
  501 flow, In: Risk analysis in dam safety assessment, Water Resources Publications, LLC,
  502 Highlands Rauch, Colorado, 1999.

- 503 Chiu, C.-L. and Chen, Y.-C.: An efficient method of discharge estimation based on 504 probability concept, J. Hydraul. Res., 41, 589-596, 2003.
- 505 Chiu, C.-L. and Chiou, J.-D.: Entropy and 2-D velocity distribution in open channels, J.
- 506 Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE, 114, 738-756, 1988.
- 507 Chiu, C.-L. and Said, C. A. : Maximum and mean velocities and entropy in open-channel 508 flow, J. Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE, 121, 26-35, 1995.
- 509 Chow, V. T.: Open-Channel Hydraulics, McGraw-Hill, Singapore, 1973.
- 510 Costa, J. E., Cheng, R. T., Haeni, F. P., Melcher, N., Spicer, K. P., Hayes, E., Plant, W., Hayes,
- 511 K., Teague, C., and Barrick, D.: Use of radars to monitor stream discharge by noncontact
- 512 methods, Water Resour. Res., 42, W07422, doi:10.1029/2005 WR004430, 2006.
- 513 Herschy, R. W.: Hydrometry, Wiley, West Sussex, England, 1999.
- 514 Hilgerso, K. P. and Luxemburg, W. M. J.: Technical Note: How image processing facilitates
- 515 <u>the rising bubble technique for discharge measurement, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 16,</u>
  516 <u>345-356, 2012.</u>
- 517 International Organization for Standardization (ISO): Hydrometry–Measuring river velocity
- and discharge with acoustic Doppler profilers, ISO, Geneva, Ref. No. ISO 24154, 2005.
- 519 International Organization for Standardization (ISO): Hydrometry-Measurement of liquid
- 520 flow in open channels using current-meters or floats, ISO, Geneva, Ref. No. ISO 748,
- **521** 2007.

- 522 Jarrett, R. D.: Hydraulics of mountain rivers, In: Channel Flow Resistance: Centennial of
- 523 Manning's Formula, Water Resources Publications, Littleton, Colorado, 287–298, 1992.
- 524 Laenen, A.: Acoustic velocity meter systems, US Governmental Printing Office, Washington
- 525 DC, Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, 1985.
- 526 Le Coz, J., Pierrefeu, G., and Paquier, A.: Evaluation of river discharges monitored by a fixed
- 527 side-looking Doppler profiler, Water Resour. Res., 44, W00D09,
- 528 doi:10.1029/2008WR006967, 2008.
- 529 Lu, J.-Y., Su, C.-C., and Wang, C.-.Y.: Application of a portable measuring system with
- acoustic Doppler current profiler to discharge observations in steep rivers, Flow Meas.
  Instrum., 17, 179-192, 2006.
- 532 McGuire, K. J., Weiler, M., and McDonnell, J. J.: Integrating tracer experiments with
- 533 <u>modeling to assess runoff processes and water transit times, Adv. Water Resour., 30,</u>
- <u>824-837, 2007.</u>
- Moramarco, T., Saltalippi, C., and Singh, V. P.: Estimation of mean velocity in natural
  channels based on Chiu's velocity distribution equation, J. Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE, 9, 42-50,
  2004.
- 538 Mueller, D. S., Abad, J. D., Garcia, C. M., Gartner, J. W., Gracia, M. H., and Oberg, K. A.:
- 539 Errors in acoustic Doppler profiler velocity measurements caused by flow disturbance, J.
- 540 Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE, 133, 1411-1420, 2007.

- 542 acoustic Doppler current profiler measurements and river flow simulation, Water Resour.
- 543 Res., 44, W00D20, doi:10.1029/2008WR006970, 2008.
- 544 Oberg, K. A. and Mueller, D. S.: Recent applications of acoustic Doppler current profilers, In:
- 545 Proceedings of the Symposium on Fundamentals and Advancements in Hydraulic
  546 Measurements, Buffalo, New York, 1-5 August 1994, 341-350, 1994.
- 547 O'Connor, J. E., and Webb, R. H.: Hydraulic modeling for paleoflood analysis, In: Flood
- 548 Geomorphology, Wiley, New York, 393-402, 1988.
- 549 Rantz, S. E.: Measurement and Computation of Streamflow: Volume 1. Measurement of
- 550 Stage and Discharge, US Governmental Printing Office, Washington DC, Water-Supply
- 551 Paper 2175, 1982.
- 552 <u>Viviroli, D. and Weingartner, R.: The hydrological significance of mountains: from regional</u>
- 553 to global scale, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 8, 1016-1029, 2004.
- 554 WMO: WMO technical regulations: Volume III. Hydrology, World Meteorological Organization,
- 555 Geneva, No. 49, 1988.

Typhoon	Date	<i>G</i> (m)	$A_{obs}$ (m <sup>2</sup> )	$Q_{obs}$ (m <sup>3</sup> /s)
	0/0/2007	110.95	142.5	308.6
Sepat	9/8/2007	110.77	119.0	266.2
Wipha	9/19/2007	110.31	91.7	171.9
	10/7/2007	111.57	169.2	447.6
Krosa	10/8/2007	110.50	101.3	185.3
	11/28/2007	110.45	118.8	193.6
Mitag	11/29/2007	109.88	86.6	136.8
Sinlaku	9/15/2008	111.52	146.9	341.1

Table 1. Flood discharge measurement of the Nanshih River by using ADP at the Lansheng

Bridge in 2007 and 2008.

Typhoon	Date	G (m)	$A_{v}(m^{2})$	%
Carrat	0/0/2007	100.95		
Sepat	9/8/2007	110.77	4.1	2.9
Wipha	9/19/2007	110.31	-3.4	-2.9
V	10/7/2007	111.57	-5.3	-5.8
Krosa	10/8/2007	111.50	-0.1	-0.1
Mitaa	11/28/2007	111.45	-22.5	-22.2
Mitag	11/29/2007	109.88	6.7	5.6
Sinlaku	9/15/2008	111.52	27.0	31.2
Total			6.7	

Table 2. Area variation between two typhoon events.

Time	<i>G</i> (m)	$u_{\rm max}$ (m/s)	$\overline{u}_{est}$ (m/s)	$A_{est}$ (m <sup>2</sup> )	$Q_{est}$ (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	$Q_r$ (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	$Q_{est}$ - $Q_r$ (m <sup>3</sup> /s)
11:35 am	112.30	4.05	2.09	213.8	448.6	496.3	-47.7
12:35 pm	112.20	4.51	2.33	207.8	485.4	475.9	9.5
2:05 pm	112.30	4.43	2.29	213.9	504.6	496.3	8.3
2:54 pm	112.63	4.22	2.18	233.9	511.3	566.4	-55.1
3:58 pm	113.18	4.93	2.55	268.0	684.4	691.6	-7.2

Table 3. Flood discharge of the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge estimated by the

efficient method during Typhoon Jangmi in September 28, 2008.



Fig. 1. Unmeasured areas of ADP.



Fig. 2. Location of the study site in the catchment of the Nanshih River, Taiwan.



Fig. 3. Flood discharge measurement during Typhoon Krosa.



Fig. 4. Depth velocity graph during Typhoon Krosa (Oct. 8, 2007).



Fig. 5. Isovels in the Nanshih River at the Lansheng Bridge during Typhoon Krosa.



Fig. 6. Scour and deposit of channel bed during Typhoon Mitag.



Fig. 7. Relation between mean and maximum velocities.



Fig. 8. Relation between gauge height and cross-sectional area.



Fig. 9. Accuracy of estimated cross-sectional area in the Nanshieh River at the Lansheng Bridge; (a) Calibration; (b) Validation.



Fig. 10. Accuracy of estimated discharge in the Nanshieh River at the Lansheng Bridge; (a) Calibration; (b) Validation.



Fig. 11. Frequency functions for a normal distribution fitted to error %; (a) Relative frequency of error %; (b) Cumulative frequency of error %.



Fig. 12. Velocity distribution on y-axis during Typhoon Jangmi in 2008.



Fig. 13. Stage-discharge rating curve of the Nanshieh River at the Lansheng Bridge.