Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 9, C5741-C5742, 2012

www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/9/C5741/2012/ © Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Estimation of surface energy fluxes under complex terrain of Mt. Qomolangma over the Tibetan Plateau" by X. Chen et al.

X. Chen et al.

chen24746@itc.nl

Received and published: 10 December 2012

The comment was uploaded in the form of a supplement: http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/9/C5741/2012/hessd-9-C5741-2012-supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 9, 10411, 2012.

C5741

Anonymous Referee #1

features are presented: 1- a new kB-1 formulation for bare soil surfaces, which corrects a well documented overestimations of kB-1 by the original Brutsaert formulation (this aspect is, in the paper, secondary); 2- a method to account for topographical effects on radiation exchange. The new model algorithm is well documented and could be useful for applications in other mountainous regions. Both improvements are welcome, since using an average regional aerodynamical resistance and therefore methods based on the triangle method etc. Unfortunatly, the paper does not provide the comparison with performance values obtained at the same EC tower location with the original kB-1s formulation, nor does it build on enough data (one EC tower on what seems to be flat terrain) to evaluate the topographical module in a satisfying way. Some qualitative checking on several key elements (glacier for instance) allows to verify the realism of the new model. If the EC tower footprint at the 8 dates includes slanting terrain, pleas provide information on the slopes and azimuth angles of the pixels included in the footprint, as well as the performance of the original TSEB model that ignores topographical effects. Moreover, the climate forcing is spatialised from a single eteorological tower, which is not surprising in such a remote environment, and one could ask if alternative, even indirect, information could help solve the regionalisation issue for the climate forcing (regional climate model outputs, integrated moisture and ature profile from atmospheric sounder etc, I'm not a specialist in the mat my view, the authors should concentrate on the improvement of the bare soil KB-1 valuation rather than on the topographical module, by providing statistics of the original Zu (2002) model performances, and publish TESEBS in a journal such as Env. Modelling essment of the model performar ice in slanting terrain, the actual evaluation at regional scale being insufficient according to me.

Response