

## ***Interactive comment on “Dryland ecohydrology and climate change: critical issues and technical advances” by L. Wang et al.***

**C. Zou (Referee)**

chris.zou@okstate.edu

Received and published: 30 May 2012

General Comments -

This manuscript gave an in-depth review of our current understanding of dryland ecohydrology and technical advances in the quantitative assessment of human versus climate change related drivers of fundamental ecological and hydrological issues in dryland. This is a timely synthesis considering the renewed interest from both research communities and agencies on the climatic change impact on the dryland ecosystem and the resultant alteration of dryland ecosystem goods and services. This manuscript is well prepared and written and should be very valuable for both the researchers and land managers in both arid and semiarid land regions.

C1904

The following are three of my suggestions for changes; the specific comments following the suggestions are mainly editorial correction for typos.

1. The interactive effect of fire and encroachment is somewhat confusing in the way it is written (Page 4793 L7). Based on my reading, the authors suggest that drought and fire are going to result in more woody encroachment in Southwest US. If this is what the authors believe, I think the authors need to collect more citation/evidence to support this assertion. In general, the lack of fire or above-average precipitation is the main driver of woody encroachment. Using West US as an example, though fire does not easily kill mesquite, fire does usually result in decrease in mesquite density. Creosote bush definitely does not survive well with fire. Juniper is fire intolerant and fire definitely will not lead increase in wood density. The example of Eucalyptus response to fire in Australia seems to be in an odd to those observed in North America, but we need to make this more explicit.

2. One of the challenges as I can see in dryland ecohydrology is the dis-connection of researchers focusing on upland processes (ecophysicologists, hydrologists) and those primarily on the biological processes in the streams (stream ecologists). Stream ecologists may view aquatic ecosystem and associated fish and wildlife as being the “eco-“ in ecohydrology and the renewed interest in environmental flow calls upon such intergradation. Authors mentioned the impact of upland activity on stream and fluvial habitats (4785 L5) which is very good. It would be great if some information of impact on stream and fluvial habitats for fish and wildlife in dryland can be provided. This is only a wish list from me and it could be out of the scope of this review or out of the comfortable zone of authors. I would be equally supportive if authors want to have only a short paragraph to raise this issue as a challenge or authors decide not to address this issue in this reviews at all.

3. In addition, the authors pointed out the runoff responses under different regions and vegetation types are the key uncertainty in the hydrological community (4791 L 26).

C1905

I agree with that we have very limited, controlled experiments to understand the hydrological responses due to woody encroachment into grassland and savanna (compared with forested land), I would argue the real challenge is, in fact, that we have limited understanding in terms of the runoff coefficient change for the same woody encroachment but under different precipitation region (or along a precipitation gradient) (See Huxman et al., 2005).

Specific comments –

4777 – Missing a “,” after “Odum School of Ecology and Warnell School of Forestry & Natural Resources”;

4783, L23 – “dependent on more water resources than they do not control”. Change “than” to “that”.

4785 L5 – “Moreover, the conversion to cropland has the potential to reduce runoff dramatically with important impact on stream and fluvial habitats”.

The authors need to provide more evidence to support the point that conversion to cropland may reduce runoff.

4789, L4 – “Woody thickening or encroachment, is the increase in the density and cover of woody plants into open grasslands and woodlands, and is a global phenomenon (Archer et al., 1995)” – I would think thickening is a type of encroaching processes such as transition of cluster to woodland. But thickening cannot occur until there are woody plants already literally. Encroachment, to my understanding, also refers the process of woody plant moving into open grassland. So it might be better to use these two terms more deliberately.

4790, L7 – “1. Enhanced levels of infiltration surrounding the canopy are a defining feature of arid zone shrub communities (e.g. Bhark and Small, 2003)” and L24 “3. The degree to which woody and encroached communities enhance water erosion (either through physical effects or by reducing infiltration) is poorly understood (Eldridge et al.,

C1906

2003)”.

Both increase and reduction in infiltration associated with woody canopy were explicitly stated here and needs some tight up. In addition, I would prefer not to use “enhance” before “erosion”.

4971 – L19 – “However, the relationships among litter depth, type and interception are not known for semi-arid Australian landscapes.” I would not limit the statement to Australia only. I think this is not well known for the semiarid landscape worldwide in general.

4791 – L26 – “Data on the differences in runoff coefficients across soil types and vegetation communities are needed in order to parameterize runoff and erosion models for wooded communities.”

I think the big knowledge gap is, in fact, how the runoff coefficient changes across different precipitation for the same vegetation (encroachment species) that largely prevent us from parameterizing runoff and erosion model.

4793 – “Predictions of more frequent drought for the south-western US are likely to result in an increased frequency and severity of wildfires, increasing the proliferation of shrubs by removing competition from grasses and reducing biological soil crusts (Eldridge et al., 2011).”

I think the general opinion is that drought and fire prefer herbaceous life form and lack of fire increases the proliferation of shrubs. “proliferation of shrubs by removing competition from grasses” needs to have more vigorously test or evidence.

4792 L20 – need a space after (2009).

L4793 – “Here the replacement of grassland and shrubland exposes more of the surface to the action of raindrop impact resulting in accelerated erosion and potential sedimentation of drainage (Abrahams et al., 1994).”

C1907

Should be "replacement of grassland by shrubland"?

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 9, 4777, 2012.

C1908