

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Hydrology and Earth System Sciences (HESS). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in HESS if available.

# Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships in the (sub) humid Ethiopian highlands

C. D. Guzman<sup>1</sup>, S. A. Tilahun<sup>1,2</sup>, A. D. Zegeye<sup>3</sup>, and T. S. Steenhuis<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological and Environmental Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

<sup>2</sup>School of Civil and Water Resources Engineering, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

<sup>3</sup>Amhara Regional Agricultural Research Institute (ARARI), Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

Received: 29 May 2012 – Accepted: 13 June 2012 – Published: 30 July 2012

Correspondence to: T. S. Steenhuis (tss1@cornell.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

**HESSD**

9, 9011–9041, 2012

**Suspended sediment  
concentration –  
discharge  
relationships**

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Abstract

Loss of top soil and subsequent filling up of reservoirs in much of the lands with variable relief in developing countries degrades environmental resources necessary for subsistence. In the Ethiopia highlands, sediment mobilization from rain-fed agricultural fields is one of the leading factors causing land degradation. Sediment rating curves, produced from long-term sediment concentration and discharge data, attempt to predict suspended sediment concentration variations that exhibit a distinct shift with the progression of the rainy season. In this paper, we calculate sediment rating curves and examine this shift in concentration for three watersheds in which rain-fed agriculture is practiced to differing extents. High sediment concentrations with low flows are found in the beginning of the rainy season of the semi-monsoonal climate, while high flows and low sediment concentrations occur at the end of the rainy season. Results show that a reasonable unique set of rating curves were obtained by separating biweekly data into early, mid, and late rainfall periods and by making adjustments for the ratio of plowed cropland. The shift from high to low concentrations suggests that diminishing sediment supply and dilution from greater base flow during the end of the rainfall period play important roles in characterizing changing sediment concentrations during the rainy season.

## 1 Introduction

Soil erosion in the Ethiopian highlands, a natural phenomenon due to erosive rainfall and steep and undulating topography, is enhanced under agricultural systems that reduce protective soil cover (Vanmaercke et al., 2010; Haile et al., 2006; Hurni et al., 2005). Erosion and sedimentation rates are highly variable in response to climate and human influences (Nyssen et al., 2004). It is widely reported that presently, land degradation rates and erosion rates have been accelerating due to the increasing rural population (Grunder, 1988; Desta et al., 2000; Hurni et al., 2005). At the same time, a large

**HSSD**

9, 9011–9041, 2012

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



excess runoff processes by saturation excess and switched erosion controls from up-land to channel factors after mid-August. For the Blue Nile at the border of Ethiopia and Sudan, decreasing sediment concentration throughout the season seems to be indicative of what is occurring within individual contributing catchments to the main stem of the river network.

One way of trying to improve our prediction of erosion processes is through sediment rating curves based on the empirical knowledge from a specific region (Asselman, 2000). For the Ethiopian highlands, sediment rating curves are complex since sediment delivery depends on discharge, the onset of rainfall, land use and land cover, which vary between rainfall seasons (Awulachew, 2010). However, developing these rating curves from a long record of sediment concentration and associated runoff rates is a viable alternative to models that require a large number of different types of data inputs. Our objective is to quantify sediment concentrations changes in the Ethiopia highlands by investigating the relationship between sediment concentration and discharge in three watersheds.

## 2 Study areas

The three watersheds are located in high rainfall areas in the Ethiopian highlands with elevation generally above 1500 m (Hurni et al., 2005) where agriculture is dominant (Table 1). The Andit Tid, Anjeni, and Maybar watersheds are considered medium sized catchments with areas of 477 ha, 113 ha, and 112 ha, respectively and are located in representative humid and sub-humid agro-climatic zones within different parts of Central Ethiopia (Fig. 1). The sites are a part of a network of 7 regional agricultural research sites that provide hydrological data for the diversity of Ethiopia's agro-climatic zones (Grunder, 1988). Andit Tid is the highest in elevation, largest in area, and the least populated of the study sites. Hillslopes here are steep, and there are degraded areas scattered throughout the watershed. Currently, about 30 % of the land is in cultivation and few soil and water conservation practices have been implemented (Engda

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

et al., 2011). Anjeni is the lowest in elevation and has the greatest population density. This site receives more rainfall in one main rainy season than either of the other two sites. Whereas 70 percent of the land was plowed during the beginning of the Soil Conservation Research Programme trials (between the years of 1984–1991), currently 90 percent of the Anjeni catchment is cultivated (Legesse, 2009). Gentle slopes are prevalent and a mixture of deep soils and soils with a hard pan at smaller depths can be found at this site. There is a history of physical soil and water conservation structures that consists of terraces made by digging a trench and throwing the soil uphill to form an embankment (fanya juus). These practices have been implemented, removed, reestablished, and adjusted according to the farmers' needs (Bosshart, 1995). Currently, a large gully runs through the middle of the watershed and about 80 % of the watershed was under cultivation during the study period. Finally, the Maybar site has approximately the same land area as Anjeni, but shallower soils. It also has a more proportionate amount of cultivated land (60 %) to non-cultivated land (40 %) in the watershed, and slightly less rainfall than Andit Tid (1417 mm) (Table 1, Liu et al., 2008).

### 3 Materials and methods

#### 3.1 Field data

The data used was made available through the Amhara Regional Agricultural Research Institute (ARARI) and was originally collected by the Soil Conservation Research Programme as a joint program between the Ethiopian Ministry of Agriculture and the University of Bern, Switzerland. Seven research sites were established in the 1980's throughout Ethiopia and Eritrea to investigate soil erosion processes and the effects of soil and water conservation practices (Grunder, 1988). Specifically, this analysis makes use of the precipitation, evaporation, discharge, and suspended sediment concentration data. Discharge values were calculated from stage measurements at outlet weirs during storms and at daily intervals in the absence of storm rainfall. Suspended

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

sediment concentration was measured using the grab sample method with 1 l bottles. The samples were filtered, oven dried, and weighed to determine the mass of sediment captured per liter of discharge. During storm events, stage-discharge and suspended sediment concentration measurements were taken at 10 min intervals and continued until flow stabilized to pre-storm levels and the water became visibly clear (Bosshart, 1995).

### 3.2 Data processing and calculation of suspended sediment transport

Instantaneous sediment concentration measurements were considered to be representative of the time frame,  $i$ , between which measurements were taken. Thus, concentration was considered constant during the duration of the 10-min or 30-min interval and sediment load,  $SL_i$ , (kg), during that time interval was calculated by multiplying the watershed suspended sediment concentration,  $C_{w_i}$ , ( $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ ), by the storm runoff volume,  $R_{v_i}$  ( $\text{m}^3$ ).

$$SL_i = C_{w_i} \cdot R_{v_i} \quad (1)$$

The storm runoff volume was similarly calculated by assuming that the discharge flow rate was constant during the time interval and thus runoff volume was obtained by multiplying the time duration,  $\Delta t_i$  (s), by the discharge flow rate,  $q_i$  ( $\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ ).

$$R_{v_i} = q_i \cdot \Delta t_i \quad (2)$$

From these sediment load and storm runoff volume calculations, average sediment concentrations were calculated, where  $n$  equals the total number of measurements taken within a time frame.

$$\overline{C_w} = \frac{\overline{SL}}{\overline{R_v}}; \quad \overline{SL} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n SL_i \right); \quad \overline{R_v} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n R_{v_i} \right) \quad (3)$$

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



attributing the  $b$ -coefficient to be indicative of the erosive power of the stream, while others, such as Van Maerke et al. (2010), attribute the  $b$ -coefficient as a measure of the extent to which new sediment sources become available.

Daily precipitation and evaporation values were summed and average storm sediment concentration and storm discharge were calculated for daily, weekly, biweekly, and monthly periods to find the time period that best represented the trends in sediment loss from the watershed. In this analysis, effective precipitation,  $P_e$ , precipitation minus evaporation ( $P - E$ ), was used instead of just precipitation, since Liu et al. (2008) found that the combined value was a more accurate estimate of the water available for movement or storage in the soil. In addition, to study the effect of watershed moisture status, cumulative rainfall during each season was calculated.

Since the starting dates and ending dates of the small rainy season (belg) and large rainy season (kremt) can vary from year to year, a simple but consistent method to delineate seasons was developed by Liu et al. (2008), whereby “if the number of days with positive effective precipitation [defined as rainfall minus potential evaporation] within the last 30 days was greater than or equal to ten and the 30-day sum was positive, then the “rain season” was initiated.” The rain season was considered to have stopped if the previous 14 days resulted in no days with positive effective precipitation (rainfall in excess of potential evapotranspiration). Thus, by adding each day’s precipitation to obtain cumulative effective precipitation,  $P_{ce}$ , one can denote storms that occur in the beginning of the season (low  $P_{ce}$ ) and ones that occur towards the end of the season (high  $P_{ce}$ ).

### 3.4 Stratification of data for sediment rating curves

To reduce the scatter, similar to Liu et al. (2008), the rainy monsoon phase was divided into early, middle, and late periods based on cumulative effective precipitation. Groups of storms occurring in the “early” period, occurred when cumulative effective precipitation,  $P_{ce}$ , was less than 100 mm ( $P_{ce} < 100$ ) or in between 100 mm and 300 mm ( $100 < P_{ce} < 300$ ). The middle part of the rainy season consisted of storms occurring

**Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships**

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





between 300 mm to 500 mm ( $300 < P_{ce} < 500$ ) or between 500 mm to 700 mm ( $500 < P_{ce} < 700$ ) of cumulative effective precipitation. Finally, the late part of the rainy season consisted of storms occurring between 700 mm to 900 mm ( $700 < P_{ce} < 900$ ) or greater than 900 mm ( $P_{ce} > 900$ ) of cumulative effective precipitation. This demarcation helps to group together storms based on when they occur in terms of the progression of the rainy season (and perhaps tillage operations) rather than where they fall in the calendar year. In addition, we averaged the concentration over all storms occurring in a 14 day period to filter out the effect of extreme events and very small storms. Liu et al. (2008) found that the biweekly time scale well represents the various watershed flows throughout the rainy phase of the monsoonal basin.

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Annual, monthly, and sub-hourly suspended sediment concentrations trends

The data are highly variable between years, but show similar dynamics throughout the years. The monthly time scale values summarized general trends in mean monthly sediment yield (Fig. 2); mean annual sediment yield estimates were  $5.2 \text{ tha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for Andit Tid,  $24.7 \text{ tha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in Anjeni, and  $7.4 \text{ tha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , for Maybar. The sediment concentration averages are greatest when the discharges are small. In the short rainy phase (March–May) of the monsoon in Andit Tid and Maybar, concentrations are elevated but there is not a consistent pattern. During the main rainy phase of the monsoon of all three watersheds, average monthly sediment concentration in June is highest and then decreases with time. The sediment load is the greatest for the watersheds in either July or August, although sediment concentrations are decreasing, showing that the increase in load is offset by greater increases in discharge (Fig. 2).

Although these average monthly sediment concentrations show a general decrease as the rainy monsoon phase progresses, the sub-hourly data of concentration as

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

a function of discharge does not show a distinct trend (Fig. 3). Using power-type regression to create rating curves, i.e. fitted equations, showed that Anjeni had the greatest coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) and that Andit Tid had the lowest, but overall the  $R^2$  values were poor at this instantaneous scale when plotting all data points (indicated by  $R^2 = 0.30, 0.17, 0.02$  for Anjeni, Maybar, and Andit Tid, respectively). Some researchers attribute low correlation on this time scale to be attributed to the hysteretic effect for concentrations during storms (Glysson, 1987; Walling, 1977; Asselman, 2000, Williams, 1989) caused by the different concentrations for the rising parts and falling parts of the hydrographs. In some cases, dividing the data into these different parts and plotting them separately can negate this effect. Yet, separating the data from these watersheds according to the rising and falling limb did not reveal distinguishing patterns on a sub-hourly time scale (not shown). The problem with finding relationships with sub-hourly data is that in general the concentration is decreasing as the rainy season progresses but should increase with discharge. Thus, at the same discharge we can have many concentrations values depending on if the storm occurs at the beginning or the end of the rainy season. Also, three distinct areas in Fig. 3 (low flow-high concentration, high flow-low concentration, and low flow-low concentration) make it difficult for one rating curve to be fitted to the data. The aforementioned factors give rise to the wide scatter in the plots in Fig. 3, when concentration is plotted against discharge over the whole season. These same factors gave rise to low coefficients of determination in the biweekly timescale as well when plotting all data points together (Table 2;  $R^2 = 0.14, 0.23, 0.02$  for Anjeni, Maybar, and Andit Tid, respectively in column named “Group” for “ALL” points). Adjusting the biweekly timescale for the cumulative effective precipitation ranges improves the results.

### 4.2 Rating curves for grouped cumulative effective precipitation ranges

With the grouping of the runoff events in terms of cumulative effective precipitation in the rainy phase, the sediment concentration as a function of discharge shows a distinct trend that is similar for all three watersheds at the biweekly timescale (Fig. 4). For

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



all three watersheds, for a given discharge, the concentrations were greater in the beginning of the rainy season with low cumulative effective precipitation,  $P_{ce}$ , ( $P_{ce} < 100$  and  $100 < P_{ce} < 300$ ) than near the end of the rainy season ( $700 < P_{ce} < 900$  and  $P_{ce} > 900$ ). Particularly interesting is that the three distinct areas mentioned earlier (low flow-high concentration, high flow-low concentration, and low flow-low concentration) are seen to fall into place according to the different parts of the rainy season (Fig. 4). In the beginning of the rainy season, low flow-high concentration events, and at the end of the rainy season there were high flow-low concentration events. The low flow-low concentration events occurred throughout the season. This confirms what is seen on the monthly scale (Fig. 2) and shows why a single rating curve is not sufficient for the data (Fig. 3). Within these general trends, for the stratified rating curves, there were still large variations for some of the groupings and results were mixed because the data were taken over a 10–15 yr period in which the land use and conservation practices changed (Bosshart, 1995). For this reason, regression of the data did not yield high correlation for all the sediment rating curves as expected (Table 2, in which the rating curves are presented that had more than 12 observations).

In the three watersheds, the  $R^2$  values for each group with more than 12 sediment concentration-discharge points vary from 0.16 to 0.76. In the Andit Tid watershed, there are 2 groupings for which the  $R^2$  is higher than 0.40 (0.45 for within 300 mm to 500 mm  $P_{ce}$ ; 0.49 for within 500 mm and 700 mm  $P_{ce}$ ), with the rest ranging between 0.08 and 0.33 (Table 2). In Anjeni, the range is from 0.01 to 0.54. The particularly poor  $R^2$  for Anjeni occurs in the rating curve for the first 100 mm of cumulative effective precipitation, which is caused by the highly variable concentration values for the top 25 % and bottom 25 % of the biweekly discharge events. Without these, the  $R^2$  improves to 0.69. In Maybar, the range of  $R^2$  values covers a smaller range from 0.30 to 0.76 although this latter coefficient of determination occurs for a rating curve with a negative exponent and small sample size ( $n = 3$ ). With the exception of Anjeni in the 100 mm grouping of events, this stratification shows improvement over the single rating curve as the rating curves are covering data over less scattered intervals.

The scatter among these groups indicates complex underlying sediment supply processes. The precipitation ranges above 500 mm, however, do show less scatter, because at this time most of the crops are well established and the plowing has stopped. Thus, the cropland contributes sediment under a more regular pattern for subsequent storms.

## 5 Discussion

These results have significant implications for modeling and managing erosion. Firstly, they illustrate that these Central Ethiopian highland watersheds follow similar early, mid, and late season patterns. Secondly, they show that the wide variability in suspended sediment concentration can tighten around theoretical rating curves when normalized by the cropping area in the watersheds. Lastly, they suggest that these patterns may follow the sediment supply patterns.

### 5.1 Similarity of all three watersheds

The 14-day average sediment concentrations (Fig. 4) show a clear trend from the beginning of the rainy season to the end. In the beginning of the rainy season ( $P_{ce} < 100\text{mm}$ ), the watersheds were dry after the prolonged dry season and discharges were small. Some average sediment concentrations reached up to  $45\text{ kg m}^{-3}$ . Discharges were smaller for a given amount of rainfall in the beginning of the rainy period (Figs. 4, A3 and A4) because these watersheds have soils with infiltration rates greater than the rainfall intensity which can absorb all of the rainfall when not saturated (Bayabil et al., 2010; Engda et al., 2011). Thus, only the few areas that have limited infiltration capacity produced runoff. These areas are characteristically dry in the beginning of the rainy phase with loose soil and as shown by Defersha et al. (2011) are able to contribute greater amounts of sediment than those contributed from wet soils.

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



could explain variations in concentration (Powell et al., 1996; Alexandrov et al., 2003; Sharma et al., 1984). Possible processes that explain supply variation and the pattern displayed in these figures are the exhaustion of readily available soil from the land and growth of vegetative cover. In addition, Hairsine and Rose (1991) report that during individual storms a protective layer of soil can form to limit detachment of sediment particles and Sander et al. (1996) found that initial high sediment concentrations have a much greater fraction of fine sediments than later concentration values. However, when we tried to find this protective layer under natural conditions, we could not find it (not shown). Thus this mechanism likely cannot explain the decrease in sediment concentration and we are left with decreasing sediment supply and changing plant cover as explainable variables in the Ethiopian highlands. These trends could better explain the shifting pattern for the sediment concentration-discharge relationships during different parts of the rainy season as sediments become less readily available for transport.

## 5.2 Impact of cropland

During the early rainfall period, agricultural activity is greatest in terms of soil disturbance (Zelege and Hurni, 2001). The beginning of the rainy season is when plowing and sowing is prevalent for the rain-fed crops of the highlands. Tillage disturbs the soil structure and produces loose aggregates liable to be carried away by storm runoff (Desta et al., 2000). Our hypothesis is that the sediment concentration in the runoff water is elevated at the beginning of the season mainly due to the many fields that have been plowed in the period between that last rainfall of the previous season and the first rains of the following season. Thus, the high sediment concentrations reflect the abundant supply of sediments from the many freshly plowed fields and they are variable because concentration depends on the erodibility of the soil and the erosivity of the storms. In Anjeni and Maybar, where tef is planted, (SCRIP, 2000b, 2001; Haile et al., 2006) sowing of this crop usually occurs later and consequently, some fields undergo tillage well within the rainfall season and no full plant cover is established by the

### Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



time that concentrations are decreasing in early August (Zegeye et al., 2010; Tebebu et al., 2010).

To examine if the cropland (plowed with the traditional Maresha plow) can be the main source of the sediment, the data of the three watersheds are normalized with respect to the amount of cropland as follows: (a) all the sediment is assumed to originate from the cropland and the sediment contribution from the remaining vegetated portion of the watershed is negligible; (b) the sediment concentration from the cropland can be estimated using Eq. (4) with  $b = 0.4$  (Eq. 5, Ciesiolka et al., 1995), also in reasonable agreement with the data in Table 2, and an  $a$ -value that is the same for the three watersheds but remains a function of the cumulative rainfall during the rainy phase of the monsoon. Thus the concentration per unit crop land  $C_c$  (averaged over a 14-day period) is

$$C_c = a_c(P - E) \cdot R_d^{0.4} \quad (6)$$

where  $a_c(P - E)$  is a function of the cumulative precipitation  $P$  minus the cumulative potential evaporation,  $E$ , since the beginning of the rainy phase of the monsoon and  $R_d$  is the 14-day average storm runoff. The concentration observed at the watershed outlet,  $C_w$ , relates to the  $C_c$  as

$$C_w = A_c C_c \quad (7)$$

where  $A_c$  is the fractional area of cropland in the watershed. This fraction varies between the watersheds. The Anjeni site has experienced deforestation of nearly all 1957 levels of forest (Hurni et al., 2005) and 80% is currently cropland ( $A_c = 0.8$ , Table 1; SCRP, 2001). The Andit Tid site had the least annual average sediment yield, experiencing reforestation since the early 1980s, and currently 30% of the land is in cultivation ( $A_c = 0.3$ ; Table 1; Yohannes, 1989; Engda et al., 2011). The remaining area not in cropland and forests is covered by bushes and perennial grasses. The Maybar site has the least average rainfall and an intermediate amount of land that is cultivated (60%) compared to the other sites ( $A_c = 0.60$ ; Table 1; Hurni et al., 2005).

**Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships**

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





To test if the fraction of cropland can normalize the data, we re-plotted the 14-day average storm sediment concentration data of Fig. 4 adjusted according to the fractional cropland area according to Eq. (7) in Fig. 5. In the figures, three separate plots from the very early part of the rainy season ( $P_{ce} < 150$ ; Fig. 5a), to the middle part of the rainy season ( $300 < P_{ce} < 700$ ; Fig. 5b), to the late part of the rainy season ( $P_{ce} > 700$ ; Fig. 5c) are depicted. For each of the cases we draw a theoretical rating curve through the points according to Eq. (6). The  $a$ -coefficients decrease according to the theoretical shift in mobilization of sediments described earlier from 75 to 13 to 9 and the equations are shown in Fig. 5. The exponent for the early part was adjusted to 0.45, rising just above 0.4, which according to Ciesiolka et al. (1995), Paningbatan et al. (1995) and Sombatpanit et al. (1995) occurs when processes other than flow-driven erosion contribute to significantly to the sediment supply, i.e. gully formation, tillage, rain-drop impact erosion, etc. The coefficients of determination for these theoretical rating curve equations, become quite good at  $R^2 = 0.40, 0.63, 0.60$  for the early, middle, and late rainfall periods. It should be mentioned that these  $R^2$  values for the combined plots are better than the previous separate plots for each of the watersheds, in part, because we selected different rainfall boundaries for the various plots and we removed the outliers within these data boundaries. The outliers were determined by considering the values outside of the 95 % prediction interval of the ordinary least squares regression of the log-transform values to be outliers. For the early period ( $P_{ce} < 150$ ), concentration values for discharge were limited to discharges below  $0.45 \text{ mm day}^{-1}$  as these flows above this were more indicative of the transition between the early and mid-rainfall period. Nearing (1998) indicates that due to the practical limitations in predicting an erosional system, physical models would not be expected to have better overall results than  $R^2 = 0.76$ . Also, with the many years of changing land use in these watersheds, high variability is to be expected. The mean square error for the theoretical rating curves decreases consistently from the early rainfall rating curve to the late rainfall rating curve. Consequently, we see that basing the exponent on the physically based derivations of Ciesiolka et al. (1995) and Yu et al. (1997) and normalizing by

**Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships**

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





the fractional cropland has improved the fit and  $R^2$  of the rating curves. The sediment concentration-discharge points become now quite tight around the theoretical rating curve line especially if we compare that with initial sediment concentration data plotted as a function of runoff amounts in Fig. 2.

### 5.3 Implications of the findings

Contrary to a general increase in sediment concentration for increasing discharge according to a single rating curve as observed for temperate climates, where soils seldom completely dry out, for monsoon climates it must be taken into account that concentrations are generally decreasing as the rainy phase progresses. When the sediment concentration is being estimated, matters. Models that take this general pattern of decrease in concentrations into account will have a greater ability to track suspended sediment concentration changes throughout the rainy season than models that attribute sediment concentration variability to crop type only. As shown by Zegeye et al. (2010), during erosion measurements for different land uses, the sediment concentrations decrease all at the same time almost independent of the crop cover type.

As a final point, similar decreases in sediment concentrations as observed for these three watersheds have been observed at the border with Sudan in the main stem of the Blue Nile (Easton et al., 2010). Thus, it would seem that the Blue Nile itself does not compensate by picking up more sediment from its banks when the sediment concentrations are low. Therefore these results suggest that by decreasing sediment contributions from the cropland areas at which the runoff is being produced might also decrease sediment concentrations in the main stem of Blue Nile. However, more research is needed on what practices are effective.

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 6 Conclusion

By studying the suspended sediment dynamics at the outlet of three watersheds, the role of storm runoff in determining suspended sediment concentration was assessed. Higher concentrations for low flows and lower concentrations for high flows in the whole data set made the use of a single rating curve impractical. However, the high variability in concentration led to analysis on longer time scales and stratification of data in order to attempt to describe the high concentration and low concentration values. A division of the seasons into moisture conditions (cumulative effective precipitation ranges) increased the  $R^2$  for sediment rating curves that were created on a biweekly scale for average discharge. Also, it was shown that the high concentrations and low flows occurred for the rating curves that were made for the beginning of the rainy season ( $P_{ce} < 100\text{mm}$ ) whereas the low concentration high flow events occurred for the rating curves calculated for the end of the rainy season ( $P_{ce} > 700\text{mm}$ ). Thus by grouping sediment concentrations into periods of different moisture regimes for the watersheds one can begin to explain how concentrations are decreasing throughout the main rainy seasons. Based on these similarities and to account for the decrease, theoretical rating curves based on normalization of fractional cropland for each part of the rainy season (early, middle, late) were calculated and led to one set of parameters that could be used for the three watersheds. Models that incorporate such parameters to account for the seasonal decrease in sediment concentrations will be more effective in estimating sediment transport in rivers in the Ethiopian highlands.

**Supplementary material related to this article is available online at:**  
<http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/9/9011/2012/hessd-9-9011-2012-supplement.pdf>.

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



*Acknowledgements.* Funding for C. Guzman was made available through the State University of New York Diversity Fellowship and the Food Systems and Poverty Reduction IGERT program at Cornell University through the National Science Foundation. This study was made possible by the hydrological and sediment data provided by the Amhara Regional Agricultural Research Institute (ARARI) and the special assistance given by the faculty and staff of Bahir Dar University. Recognition is also due to the initiators of the Soil Conservation Research Programme (SCRP) and their local research assistants who had the dedication, day and night, to collect data in all conditions of weather. Finally, we would like to thank H. Hurni for his foresight to establish these watersheds.

## References

- Alexandrov, Y., Laronne, J. B., and Reid, I.: Suspended sediment concentration and its variation with water discharge in a dryland ephemeral channel, Northern Negev, Israel, *J. Arid Environ.*, 53, 73–84, 2003.
- Asselman, N. E. M.: Fitting and interpretation of sediment rating curves, *J. Hydrol.*, 234, 228–248, 2000.
- Awulachew, S. B.: Improved water and land management in the Ethiopian highlands and its impact on downstream stakeholders dependent on the Blue Nile, CPWF Project Number 19, CGIAR Challenge Program on Water and Food Project Report series Visited in July 2011, available online: <http://www.waterandfood.org> (last access: 6 July 2011), 2010.
- Bayabil, H. K., Tilahun, S. A., Collick, A. S., and Steenhuis, T. S.: Are runoff processes ecologically or topographically driven in the (sub) humid Ethiopian highlands? The case of the Maybar watershed, *Ecohydrol.*, 3, 457–466, doi:10.1002/eco.170, 2010.
- Bosshart, U.: Catchment discharge and suspended sediment transport as indicators of physical soil and water conservation in the Minchet catchment, Gojam Research Unit: a case study in the north-western highlands of Ethiopia, Soil Conservation Research Programme Research Report, University of Bern, Switzerland, 1995.
- Bosshart, U.: Measurement of river discharge for the SCRП research catchments: gauging station profiles, Soil Conservation Research Programme Research Report 31, University of Bern, Switzerland, 1997.

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion









---

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Setegn, S. G., Ragahavan, S., and Bijan, D.: Hydrological modelling in the Lake Tana Basin, Ethiopia using SWAT model, *Open Hydrol. J.*, 2, 49–62, 2008.
- Setegn, S. G., Rayner, D., Melesse, A. M., Dargahi, B., and Srinivasan, R.: Impact of climate change on the hydroclimatology of Lake Tana Basin, Ethiopia, *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W04511, doi:10.1029/2010WR009248, 2011.
- Sharma, K. D., Vangani, N. S., and Choudhari, J. S.: Sediment transport characteristics of desert streams in India, *J. Hydrol.*, 67, 261–272, 1984.
- Sombatpanit, S., Rose, C. W., Ciesiolka, C. A., and Coughlan, K. J.: Soil and nutrient loss under rozelle (*Hibiscus subdariffa* L. var. *altissima*) at Khan Kaen, Thailand, *Soil Technol.*, 8, 235–241, 1995.
- Tilahun, S. A., Mukundan, R., Demisse, B. A., Guzman, C., Tarakegn, B. C., Engda, T. A., Easton, Z. M., Collick, A. S., Zegeye, A. D., Schneiderman, E. M., Parlange, J. Y., and Steenhuis, T. S.: A saturation excess erosion model, International Symposium on Soil Erosion and Landscape Evolution Specialty Conference of the American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineering Anchorage, Alaska, USA, 19–24 September 2011, ISELE Paper Number 11061, 2011.
- Vanmaercke, M., Zenebe, A., Poesen, J., Nyssen, J., Vertstraeten, G., and Deckers, J.: Sediment dynamics and the role of flash floods in sediment export from medium-sized catchments: a case study from the semi-arid tropical highlands in Northern Ethiopia, *J. Soil. Sediment.*, 10, 611–627, 2010.
- Walling, D. E.: Assessing the accuracy of suspended sediment rating curves for a small basin, *Water Resour. Res.*, 13, 531–538, 1977.
- White, E. D., Easton, Z. M., Fuka, D. R., Collick, A. S., Adgo, E., McCartney, M., Awulachew, S. B., Selassie, Y., and Steenhuis, T. S.: Development and application of a physically based landscape water balance in the SWAT model, *Hydrol. Process.*, 23, 3728–3737, doi:10.1002/hyp.7876, 2010.
- Williams, G. P.: Sediment concentration versus water discharge during single hydrological events in rivers, *J. Hydrol.*, 111, 89–106, 1989.
- Yohannes, G.: Land use, agricultural production and soil conservation methods in the Andit Tid area, Shewa Region Soil Conservation Research Project, Research Report 17, University of Bern in association with the United Nations University, Bern, Switzerland, 151 pp., 1989.

**Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships**

C. D. Guzman et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

- Yu, B., Rose, C. W., Ciesiolka, C. A., Coughlan, K. J., and Fentie, B.: Toward a framework for runoff and soil loss prediction using GUEST technology, *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, 35, 1191–1212, 1997.
- 5 Zegeye, A. D., Steenhuis, T. S., Blake, R. W., Kidnau, S., Collick, A. S., and Dadgari, F.: Assessment of soil erosion processes and farmer perception of land conservation in Debre Mewi watershed near Lake Tana, Ethiopia, *Ecohydrol. Hydrobiol.*, 10, 297–306, 2010.
- Zelege, G.: Landscape dynamics and soil erosion processes modeling in the North-Western Ethiopian highlands, PhD thesis, Institute of Geography, University of Bern, Switzerland, 201 pp., 2000.
- 10 Zeleke, G. and Hurni, H.: Implications of land use and land cover dynamics for mountain resource degradation in the Northwestern Ethiopian highlands, *Mt. Res. Dev.*, 212, 184–191, 2001.



## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

**Table 1.** Field site information (SCRP, 2000a,b, 2001; Yohannes, 1989; Leggesse, 2009; Hurni et al., 2005).

	Andit Tid	Anjeni	Maybar
Area (ha)	477.3	113.4	112.8
Location	39° 43' E/9° 48' N	37° 31' E/10° 40' N	39° 40' E/11° 00' N
Years	1989–1996 (8)	1989–1996 (8)	1989–2001 (13)
Elevation (m)	3040–3548	2407–2507	2530–2858
Mean annual rainfall (mm)	1467	1675	1417
Rainfall pattern	bimodal	unimodal	bimodal
Major Soils	Andosols, Fluvisols, Regosols, Lithosols	Alisols, Nitosols, Cambisols	Phaeozems, Lithosols, Gleysols
Population density (persons km <sup>2</sup> )	146	193	188
Land in cultivation	30 %	80 %	60 %

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.

- Title Page
- Abstract Introduction
- Conclusions References
- Tables Figures
- ⏪ ⏩
- ◀ ▶
- Back Close
- Full Screen / Esc
- Printer-friendly Version
- Interactive Discussion

**Table 2.** Biweekly sediment concentration vs. discharge power regression of  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $R^2$  values for periods with 12 or more observations.

Watershed	Figure 4	Group	$a$	$b$	$R^2$	$n$
Andit Tid 5.2 tha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	a	ALL	6.19	0.07	0.02	79
		$P_{ce} < 100$	19.99	0.43	0.32	21
		$100 < P_{ce} < 300$	9.37	0.16	0.16	21
Anjeni 24.7 tha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	b	ALL	10.21	0.18	0.14	78
		$100 < P_{ce} < 300$	19.13	0.32	0.47	14
		$500 < P_{ce} < 700$	8.3	0.45	0.39	10
		$700 < P_{ce} < 900$	7.62	0.33	0.54	12
		$900 < P_{ce}$	7.23	0.28	0.35	22
Maybar 7.4 tha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup>	c	ALL	6.53	0.27	0.23	88
		$100 < P_{ce} < 300$	9.77	0.33	0.30	27
		$300 < P_{ce} < 500$	7.1	0.52	0.71	23
		$500 < P_{ce} < 700$	3.39	0.27	0.57	16
		$700 < P_{ce} < 900$	5.46	0.47	0.54	12



Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper



**Fig. 1.** Map of SCRП research stations (ETHIO-GIS 2004).

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

# HESSD

9, 9011–9041, 2012

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships


C. D. Guzman et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
⏪	⏩
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	




## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships


C. D. Guzman et al.



**Fig. 2.** Mean monthly sediment concentration ( $C_w$  in  $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ ; primary y-axis), mean monthly sediment load (SL in  $10^{-1} \text{ t/ha}^{-1} \text{ mo}^{-1}$  primary y-axis), and mean monthly discharge ( $R_v$  in  $\text{mm mo}^{-1}$ ; secondary y-axis) using only storm runoff for (a) Andit Tid (b) Anjeni (c) Maybar.




**Fig. 3.** Sub-hourly instantaneous suspended sediment concentration ( $C_w$  in  $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ ; y-axis) versus discharge flow rate ( $q$  in  $\text{m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$ ; x-axis) for **(a)** Andit Tid **(b)** Anjeni **(c)** Maybar.



**Fig. 4.** Fourteen day averaged storm suspended sediment concentration ( $C_w$  in  $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ ; y-axis) and runoff depth ( $R_d$  in  $\text{mm day}^{-1}$ ; x-axis) for (a) Andit Tid (b) Anjeni (c) Maybar. The regression lines have the same color as the symbols. The regression coefficients and  $R^2$  values are tabulated in Table 2.

## Suspended sediment concentration – discharge relationships

C. D. Guzman et al.



**Fig. 5.** Andit Tid, Anjeni, Maybar average storm sediment concentration per unit cropland ( $C_c$  in  $\text{kg m}^{-3}$ ; y-axis) and runoff depth ( $R_d$  in  $\text{mm day}^{-1}$ ; x-axis) over a 14-day period during the rainy phase of the monsoon **(a)** early part **(b)** middle part and **(c)** late part. The ranges of cumulative effective precipitation are given in the legends.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

