Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 9, 4073–4100, 2012 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/9/4073/2012/ doi:10.5194/hessd-9-4073-2012 © Author(s) 2012. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Hydrology and Earth System Sciences (HESS). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in HESS if available.

MODIS snow cover mapping accuracy in small mountain catchment – comparison between open and forest sites

J. Parajka¹, L. Holko², Z. Kostka², and G. Blöschl¹

¹Institute of Hydraulic Engineering and Water Resources Management, Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria

²Institute of Hydrology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Liptovský Mikuláš, Slovakia

Received: 7 March 2012 - Accepted: 19 March 2012 - Published: 28 March 2012

Correspondence to: J. Parajka (parajka@hydro.tuwien.ac.at)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

Numerous global and regional validation studies examined MODIS snow mapping accuracy by using measurements at climate stations, which are mainly at grassy sites. MODIS accuracy in alpine and forested regions is, however, still not well understood.

- ⁵ The main objective of this study is to evaluate MODIS (MOD10A1 and MYD10A1) snow cover products in a small experimental catchment by using extensive snow course measurements at open and forest sites. The MODIS accuracy is tested in the Jalovecky creek catchment (Northern Slovakia) in the period 2000–2011. The results show that the combined Terra and Aqua images enables snow mapping to an overall accuracy
- of 91.5%. The accuracy at forested, open and mixed land uses at the Cervenec sites is 92.7%, 98.3% and 81.8%, respectively. The use of a 2-day temporal filter enables a significant reduction in the number of days with cloud coverage and an increase in overall snow mapping accuracy. In total, the 2-day temporal filter decreases the number of cloudy days from 61% to 26% and increases the snow mapping accuracy to 94%.
- The results indicate three possible factors leading to misclassification of snow as land: patchy snow cover, limited MODIS geolocation accuracy and mapping algorithm errors. Out of a total of 27 misclassification cases, patchy snow cover, geolocation issues and mapping errors occur in 12, 12 and 3 cases, respectively.

1 Introduction

- Snow pack is an important storage of water in many regions of the world, especially in mountains. Monitoring of its spatial and temporal changes provides an essential information for water resources management and predictions of snowmelt runoff. Numerous applications of remote sensing products in the past demonstrated satellite images of snow cover to be an attractive alternative to ground observations.
- ²⁵ In the last decade, a range of MODIS snow cover products have been used for regional mapping of snow cover changes. MODIS images are particularly appealing





due to their high temporal (daily) and spatial (500 m) resolutions. Numerous validation studies examined and confirmed their accuracy and consistency against other remote-sensing products and in situ climate station data. As summarized in Parajka and Blöschl (2012), most of the MODIS accuracy assessments reported the overall accuracy between 85 and 99 % during clear sky conditions. The snow cover mapping efficiency in alpine and forested regions is, however, still not well understood. There are only few studies which include MODIS validation in forested alpine catchments. Practically all of them are based on snow measurements taken at climate stations, which are mainly open grassy sites. These sites may not represent snow cover conditions to lower altitudes, as they tend to be located in valleys and easily accessible places

- (Parajka and Blöschl, 2006). The existing studies relate the snow mapping accuracy to the dominant land cover class in a radius of typically 1 km around the climate station. For example, Simic et al. (2004) used land cover satellite images at 1 km resolution
- and grouped 2000 in situ measurements in Canada into four dominant classes: evergreen forest, deciduous forest, herb-dominated and lichen land cover type. The lowest MODIS accuracy was found for the evergreen forest class, with a minimum of 80% accuracy in April. This is somewhat smaller than the expected global minimum accuracy of 85% reported by the MODIS documentation (MODIS, 2010). The results of
- Simic et al. (2004) indicated a clear tendency of MODIS to overestimate snow cover extent in the evergreen forest. Similar findings were indicated by a modeling study of Nester et al. (2012). They evaluated a snow routine of operational flood forecasting model in the alpine part of the Danube river and reported a tendency of MODIS to map more snow than was simulated by the hydrologic model in forested areas. Parajka and
- ²⁵ Blöschl (2006) examined the MODIS accuracy at 754 climate stations in Austria and used dominant land cover information as an indicator of possible tendencies in MODIS errors. Their results indicated that the largest mapping errors occurred on pastures and shrub land. The mean misclassification error for the shrub class was around 10%, for pastures and forest it was around 6%. Recently, Roy et al. (2010) examined MODIS





mapping accuracy as a function of tree canopy cover. The tree canopy cover was estimated by using the MODIS Vegetation Continuous Fields dataset (Hansen et al., 2003), which indicates the proportions of cover types such as woody vegetation, herbaceous vegetation and bare ground in each MODIS pixel. The results showed a general ten-

dency of increasing mapping errors with increasing forest cover. The MODIS underestimation dominated at lower canopy cover, while the overestimation of snow cover was observed for tree canopy cover between 60 and 80 %.

The objective of this paper is to assess the accuracy of MODIS snow cover mapping in a mountain forested environment relative to open sites based on extensive snow course measurements. It is anticipated that a decade of snow observations in a well

10

documented experimental catchment may give general insights into the efficiency and accuracy of MODIS snow cover dataset in forested mountain regions.

2 Data

2.1 Study area

- ¹⁵ The MODIS accuracy is tested in Jalovecky creek catchment (Fig. 1). This catchment is an experimental catchment of the Institute of Hydrology, Slovak Academy of Sciences. It is situated in Northern Slovakia, in the highest part of the Carpathian Mountains. Catchment size is 22.2 km² and elevation ranges between 820 and 2178 m a.s.l. (Fig. 2). The mean catchment elevation is 1500 m a.s.l. Lower part of the catchment
- ²⁰ is forested. The forest line reaches approximately 1400 m a.s.l. Forest dominated by spruce and dwarf pine covers 44 and 31 % of the catchment, respectively (Holko and Kostka, 2010). The rest of the catchment is covered by alpine meadows and bare rocks. Since the catchment is situated in the national park, forestry activities are restricted. Most of the forest (70 %) is older than 110 yr and about 18 % of the forest is 50–80 yr
- old. Stand density according to Forest Management Plan from 1987 varied between
 0.05 and 15.21 (Kostka and Holko, 1997). Most of the forest has stand density 0.7.





Spatial and temporal changes of the snowpack is one of the main research interests in the catchment. Snow depth and snow water equivalent (SWE) have been regularly measured along snow course profiles since 1987. Each snow profile consists of 20 snow depth measurements conducted along approximately 25 m long transect ⁵ and one SWE measurement conducted approximately in the middle of the transect. The measurements are performed to capture the changes in snow accumulation and melt in different altitudinal and vegetation zones. In this study, we used measurements from 16 profiles in the period 2000-2011 (Fig. 2). The number of profiles (Table 1) varies between the years, depending on the availability of personnel and weather conditions. Snow profile measurements are located both in the forest (Fig. 2, green points) 10 and open areas (Fig. 2, yellow points) and are situated at altitudes between 750 and 1800 m a.s.l. (Holko and Kostka, 2008). Additionally, more detailed measurements are conducted at climate station Cervenec located at the mean catchment elevation 1500 m a.s.l. (Figs. 1 and 2, blue point). The snow measurements at Cervenec are carried out every two weeks during snow accumulation and weekly or more frequently dur-15 ing the snowmelt period. Snow depth and SWE are measured at Cervenec along 3

snow course profiles (i.e., 60 snow depth and 3 SWE measurements). The location of Červenec station is specific as the snow profiles represent open site conditions, but in the surrounding area representing MODIS pixel size, the forest cover is approximately 40–50 % (Fig. 3).

2.2 MODIS snow cover

25

MODIS snow cover images are available globally at a variety of different resolutions and projections (Riggs and Hall, 2011). MODIS is an optical sensor which provides imagery of the Earth's surface and clouds in 36 discrete, narrow spectral bands from approximately 0.4 to $14.4 \,\mu$ m (Barnes et al., 1998). It is a key component of NASA's Earth Observing System (EOS) and currently it is onboard two satellites, Terra and Aqua. The Terra satellite has started the observations in February 2000; the Aqua satellite was launched in July 2002. Both satellites use the same type of MODIS instrument, but





the differences in their orbits result in different viewing and cloud cover conditions. The most noticeable difference between these two satellites is the local equatorial crossing time: approximately 10:30 a.m. in a descending mode for the Terra and approximately 01:30 p.m. in an ascending mode for the Aqua satellite. In this study, daily datasets

from Terra (MOD10A1, V005) and Aqua (MYD10A1, V005) are used. The spatial resolution of the products is 500 m and the geolocation accuracy is about 45–60 m (George Riggs, personal communication, also see Wolfe et al., 1998, 2002).

The mapping of snow cover by using optical sensors is limited in areas where snow cover is obscured by clouds and dense forest canopies (Hall et al., 2001). In the MODIS

- products, a mapping of snow in forested locations is based upon a combination of vegetation (NDVI) and snow (NDSI) indices (Hall et al., 2001). The NDSI allows to distinguish snow from many other surface features such as clouds that have high reflectance in both the visible and the short-wave infrared part of the spectrum (Hall et al., 1998). Application of the NDVI index allows for the use of different NDSI thresholds for forested
- and non-forested pixels without compromising the algorithm performance for other land cover types. However, such a mapping approach can only be applied to the Terra data. The NDSI/NDVI test for snow in vegetated areas was disabled for Aqua imagery, because the use of band 7 resulted in too much false snow detection (Hall et al., 2003). The maximum monthly errors are expected to range from 5 % to 9 % for North Amer-
- ica, and from 5 % to 10 % for Eurasia. The maximum aggregated Northern Hemisphere snow mapping error is estimated to be 7.5 %. The error is highest, around 9 % to 10 %, when snow covers the Boreal Forest roughly between November and April (Hall et al., 2001).

In order to reduce the effects of clouds, the methodology proposed in Parajka and Blöschl (2008) is applied in this study. It includes the combination of Terra and Aqua images and a 2-day temporal filter for snow cover mapping. The combination of Terra and Aqua merges the two MODIS snow cover products on a pixel basis. The pixels classified as clouds in the Aqua images are updated by the Terra pixel value of the same location if the Terra pixel is snow or land. This approach combines observations on the





same day, shifted by several hours. The additional 2-day temporal filter replaces cloud pixels in the combined images by the most recent preceding non-cloud observations at the same pixel within a predefined 2-day temporal window.

3 MODIS accuracy assessment

- ⁵ The accuracy of the MODIS snow cover product is quantitatively evaluated by using snow course (ground) measurements. Snow observations along the transects are considered as ground truth for the pixel that is closest to the location of the snow measurements. If ground SWE measurement is larger than zero, the pixel is considered as snow covered. In the MODIS validation, only the snow cover mapping accuracy is assessed.
- ¹⁰ The exact information on when snow disappeared is not available, so the snow cover mapping accuracy index (SI) relates the sum of station-days with correctly mapped snow to the sum of correctly (A) and falsely (B) mapped snow cover by MODIS:

$$SI = \frac{A}{(A+B)} \cdot 100, \qquad (1$$

where A and B represent the number of cloud-free ground observations in a particular classification category as of Table 2.

4 Results

20

The MODIS snow mapping accuracy (SI) at open sites is summarized in Table 3 and Fig. 4. Table 3 shows that MODIS very accurately maps snow at six open sites. With the exception of snow season 2001, the combined MODIS product perfectly matches with the ground snow observations. The overall SI accuracy of the combined product exceeds 98% in the period 2000–2011. The accuracy of the 2-day temporal filter of the combined Terra and Aqua product is only somewhat smaller, i.e. 97.2%. The





use of MODIS information from 2 preceding days results in only 2 misclassification cases (both in the snow season 2002), but significantly reduces the number of days with clouds, i.e. from 60 % to less than 28 % of the days with SWE observations in the period 2000–2011. The agreement between SWE observations at open sites and the MODIS snow classification is in detail presented in Fig. 4. Figure 4 shows SWE mea-

- surements at the open sites and the MODIS classification in pixel, in which the SWE was measured. In the case of cloud occurrence for days of the SWE measurements, the color represents the MODIS 2-day filter classification. Such cases are indicated by overlapping smaller (MODIS cloud) and larger (MODIS snow or land) symbols. Fig-
- ¹⁰ ure 4 shows that SWE measurements vary between 80 and 1000 mm in the period 2000–2011. The misclassification of snow as land by the combined MODIS images is found only for one SWE measurement (153 mm) in May 2001. The misclassification of the 2-day filtered MODIS is observed for two SWE measurements (564 and 595 mm) in January 2002. Figure 5 displays the sequence of MODIS images for both cases. The
- top panels (Fig. 5) present the end of the snowmelt season in May 2001. The MODIS images indicate depletion of the snow cover at open sites (i.e. elevations above 1400 m a.s.l.) and no snow in the lower forested part of the catchment, which is in line with ground snow observations. Although the mean snow depth at the open sites exceeded 35 cm, a patchy snow cover is indicated by snow course measurements. The bottom
- ²⁰ panels (Fig. 5) show the cloud obstruction for the date of SWE observations and the application of the MODIS temporal filter which indicates no snow on the previous day (29 January). In this case, the open sites are situated directly at the edge of cloud coverage, which most likely indicates a false MODIS classification. This is documented by ground snow depth measurements, which exceed 120 cm and by continuous snow cover observed in the lower, forested part of the catchment.

The MODIS mapping accuracy at forest sites is evaluated in Table 4. From a total 293 ground snow observations (station-days), the combined MODIS product correctly classifies snow at 114 profiles, misclassifies snow as land at 9 profiles and cloud coverage obstructs snow mapping at 170 sites (58 % of the cases). The overall SI accuracy of the





combined MODIS product is 92.7 %. Interestingly, the application of a 2-day temporal filter improves the mapping of snow at the forest sites. The temporal filter decreases the number of cloud covered sites to less than 25 % (72 cases) and correctly classifies snow at 209 sites (station-days). The misclassification of snow as land is found at 3 additional cases, which results in an overall 94.6 % snow mapping accuracy. Figure 6 shows in detail the agreement between MODIS and ground SWE measurements at forest sites in the period 2000–2011. The maximum SWE measurements in individual seasons vary approximately between 100 and 600 mm. The misclassification of snow as land is found in six snow seasons – 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2008 and 2011 and

- does not depend on the maximum SWE measured in the forest. Most of the MODIS mapping errors occur at the end of the snowmelt seasons, when snow cover tends to be patchy and the mean snow depth usually does not exceed 10–15 cm. Figure 7 shows MODIS snow cover patterns for days when MODIS misclassification occurs. The mapping errors occur at 6 different snow profiles. Most of the errors are found at the
- forest site CF (Fig. 2) near the Červenec station and occur during patchy snow cover. Interestingly, the largest number of mapping errors occurs on 31 March 2004 (Fig. 7, second sequence of images from the top), where five sites are classified as land by MODIS. It is clear that MODIS indicates land only for a few pixels in the catchment and snow is mapped very close to each misclassified snow profile. The distance from
- those profiles to the nearest pixels classified as snow is between 50 and 120 m, which is close to the limit of the MODIS geolocation accuracy. Overall, from the 12 misclassification cases, 4 occur during patchy snow conditions as is indicated by profile snow depth measurements and in 9 cases, MODIS indicates snow closer than 120 m to the snow profile.
- The MODIS snow mapping accuracy at the Červenec site is presented in Table 5 and Fig. 8. This site is specific as the snow measurements are carried out in an open field, but from the perspective of the MODIS pixel resolution, it consists of a significant portion of forest cover. The snow mapping accuracy of combined product is only 81.8%, which is significantly smaller than at the open or forest sites. This product





falsely classifies snow as land on 10 days in the period 2000–2011. The application of a 2-day temporal filter increases the snow mapping accuracy to 90.1% and at the same time decreases the relative number of days with cloud coverage from 67% to less than 28%. Figures 8 and 9 show that most of the mapping errors occur during the snowmelt period in April. From 12 misclassification cases of the temporal filter, 7 cases (May 2000, 2002, 2005 and April 2001, 2007 and 2010) represent patchy snow conditions and in 4 cases there is snow mapped very close (less than 60 m) to the site. If we omit days with patchy condition from the analyses then the snow mapping accuracy increases to 93.8% (combined MODIS) and 95.6% (filtered MODIS).The results indicate that only in one case (20 April 2000) the misclassification error is caused by

Indicate that only in one case (20 April 2000) the misclassification error is caused by the MODIS mapping algorithm. The snow depth measurements at three snow profiles varies between 80 and 165 cm on this day.

5 Discussion and conclusions

The main objective of this study is to evaluate the MODIS snow mapping accuracy in
a small mountain catchment. In comparison to existing assessments, a decade of extensive snow course measurements are used to test how accurate MODIS is at open and forest sites. A focus on a small experimental catchment has the advantage of using very detailed local knowledge and the possibility of using snow measurements carried out directly in the forest, instead of extrapolating the measurements from climate stations (grassy sites). As it is indicated by Simic et al. (2004), open site measurements may not be always representative of snow cover conditions in surrounding vegetated areas.

The accuracy assessment shows that the combination of Terra and Aqua MODIS images enables to map snow with an overall accuracy of 91.5%. The accuracy at sites in forest, open and mixed conditions at the Červenec site is 92.7%, 98.3% and 81.8%, respectively. Such accuracies correspond well with the range of accuracies presented in MODIS documentations (MODIS, 2010) or a synthesis of existing validation studies





(Parajka and Blöschl, 2012). The MODIS product summary page (MODIS, 2010) states: "the maximum expected errors are 15% for forests, 10% for mixed agriculture and forest, and 5% for other land covers." The median of mapping accuracy of MODIS (Terra) validations in different parts of the world is about 94% (Parajka and Blöschl,

- ⁵ 2012). The existing validation studies report that, despite of good agreement of MODIS and available satellite and ground based snow datasets for clear-sky conditions, clouds may severely limit the application of MODIS snow cover products. Cloud coverage depends on region and season, but, very often, it is a real problem instead of an artifact of the MODIS snow mapping algorithm. In the examined experimental catchment, the
- ¹⁰ relative number of days with clouds exceeds 60 %, which is similar to results from Austria (Parajka and Blöschl, 2006) and in Canada (Tong et al., 2009). Interestingly, the use of 2-day temporal filter enables a significant decrease of the number of days with cloud coverage and the increase of overall snow mapping accuracy. In total, 2-day temporal filter decreases the number of cloudy days in the Jalovecky creek catchment to 26 % and increases the snow mapping accuracy to 94 %.

The existing studies report that the largest MODIS mapping errors occur only at a small number of sites, which are likely affected by specific local meteorological and/or physiographic conditions (e.g., low solar illumination conditions or false land/water mask along the coastline). Riggs and Hall (2011) note that "aside from potential map-

- ²⁰ ping or geolocation errors, most snow detection errors are associated with non-ideal conditions for snow detection or with snow/cloud discrimination." The dataset used in this study enables a detailed assessment of the misclassification of snow as land by MODIS. The results show that most of the mapping errors occur at the end of the snowmelt season, usually at the end of April or May. Three possible factors that lead
- to misclassification are found in this study. The first factor is patchy snow cover close to the ground SWE measurement. The patchy snow cover is detected by snow course measurements, where at least one of the snow depth measurements along the profile is zero. Out of a total of 27 misclassification cases, patchy snow cover occurs at 12 profiles. The second factor is the geolocation accuracy of MODIS images. The results





show that in 7 cases there is snow mapped within a 60 m distance and in 5 additional cases snow is indicated in less than 120 m, which is a range indicated by Wolf et al. (2002). It is likely that some of those errors are not caused by the MODIS mapping algorithm but by the geolocation itself. It would be interesting to examine some

- ⁵ kind of spatial filter to reduce such misclassifications in the future. The third factor is the misclassification by the MODIS mapping algorithm. Three cases are identified as caused by this factor. Two of them are found at open sites and are located at the edge of cloud cover. Only one case at the Červenec station (April 2000) seems to be a distinct MODIS mapping error. However, it is beyond the scope of the study to analyze techni-10 cal details why the MODIS algorithm classifies the particular pixels as land instead of
- 10 cal details why the MODIS algorithm classifies the pa snow in those cases.

The results of this study confirmed that MODIS allows accurate snow cover mapping also in forested mountain catchments. We believe that our results could be extrapolated to other regions with similar vegetation and terrain characteristics. For the future,

it would be very interesting and important to observe the exact date of snow disappearance, as well as to extend such accuracy assessments to other regions, because snow in forests is an important component of the water balance in many catchments worldwide.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank grant VEGA 2/0042/11 and the ÖAW project "Predictability of Runoff in a Changing Environment" for financial support.

References

- Barnes, W. L., Pagano, T. S., and Salomonson, V. V.: Prelaunch characteristics on the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on EOS-AM1, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 36, 1088–1100, 1998.
- Hall, D. K., Foster, J. L., Verbyla, D. L., Klein, A. G., and Benson, C. S.: Assessment of snowcover mapping accuracy in a variety of vegetation-cover densities in Central Alaska, Remote Sens. Environ., 66(2), 129–137, 1998.





Hall, D. K., Riggs, G. A., and Salomonson, V. V.: Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) for the MODIS Snow and Sea Ice-mapping Algorithms, available online at: http://www.modis-snow-ice.gsfc.nasa.gov/atbd01.html, last access: 28 March 2012, 2001.

Hall, D. K., Riggs, G. A., and Salomonson, V. V.: MODIS/Aqua Snow Cover Daily L3 Global 500 m Grid V004, January to March 2003. Boulder, CO, USA: National Snow and Ice Data

Center, Digital media, 2003, updated daily, 2003.

Hansen, M. C., DeFries, R. S., Townshend, J. R. G., Carroll, M., Dimiceli, C., and Sohlberg, R. A.: Global percent tree cover at a spatial resolution of 500 m: first results of the MODIS vegetation continuous fields algorithm, Electronic media, Earth Interactions, 7, 1–15, 2003.

10

25

Holko, L. and Kostka, Z.: Hydrological characteristics of snow cover in the Western Tatra Mountains in winters 1987–2008, Folia Geogr., Geograph.-Phys., XXXIX, 63–77, 2008, ISSN 0071-6715.

Holko, L. and Kostka, Z.: Hydrological processes in mountains - knowledge gained in

- the Jalovecky Creek catchment, Slovakia, Proceedings of the Workshop held at Goslar-Hahnenklee, Germany, 30 March–2 April 2009, Status and Perspectives of Hydrology in Small Basins, IAHS Publ. 336, IAHS, Wallingford, 84–89, 2010, ISBN 978-1-907161-08-7.
 - Kostka, Z. and Holko, L.: Soil misture and runoff generation in a small mountain basin, Publication of the Slovak Committee for Hydrology, 2, Bratislava, 90 pp., 1997, ISBN 80-967808-1-6.
- 20 MODIS web page information at: http://nsidc.org/data/docs/daac/modis_v5/mod10_l2_modis_ terra_snow_cover_5min_swath.gd.html, last access: 28 March 2012, 2010.

Nester, T., Kirnbauer, R., Parajka, J., and Blöschl, G.: Evaluating the snow component of a flood forecasting model, Hydrol. Res., in press, 2012.

Parajka, J. and Blöschl, G.: Validation of MODIS snow cover images over Austria, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 10, 679–689, doi:10.5194/hess-10-679-2006, 2006.

Parajka, J. and Blöschl, G.: Spatio-temporal combination of MODIS images-potential for snow cover mapping, Water Resour. Res, 44, W03406, doi:10.1029/2007WR006204, 2008.
Parajka, J. and Blöschl, G.: MODIS-based snow cover products, validation, and hydrologic ap-

plications, in: Multiscale Hydrologic Remote Sensing Perspectives and Applications, edited

- ³⁰ by: Chang, Y. and Ni-Bin, H., CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 185–212, 2012, ISBN: 978-1-4398-7745-6.
 - Riggs, G. A. and Hall, D. K.: MODIS snow and ice products, and their assessment and applications, in: Land Remote Sensing and Global Environmental Change, Remote Sensing





and Digital Image Processing 11, edited by: Ramachandran, B., Justice, C. O., Abrams, M. J., LLC, Chapt. 30, Springer Science + Business Media, New York, USA, 681-707, doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-6749-7_30, 2011.

Roy, A., Royer, A., and Turcotte, R.: Improvement of springtime streamflow simulations in a bo-

real environment by incorporating snow-covered area derived from remote sensing data, J. 5 Hydrol., 390, 35-44, 2010.

Simic, A., Fernandes, R., Brown, R., Romanov, P., and Park, W.: Validation of VEGETATION, MODIS, and GOES+SSM/I snow cover products over Canada based on surface snow depth observations, Hydrol. Process., 18, 1089-1104, 2004.

- Tong, J., Déry, S. J., and Jackson, P. L.: Interrelationships between MODIS/Terra remotely 10 sensed snow cover and the hydrometeorology of the Quesnel River Basin, British Columbia, Canada, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 13, 1439–1452, doi:10.5194/hess-13-1439-2009, 2009. Wolfe, R. E., Roy, D. P., and Vermote, E.: MODIS land data storage, gridding and compositing methodology: level 2 Grid, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 36, 1324-1338, 1998.
- Wolfe, R. E., Nishihama, M., Fleig, A. J., Kuyper, J. R., Roy, D. P., and Storey, J. C.: Achieving 15 sub-pixel geolocation accuracy in support of MODIS land science, Remote Sens. Environ., 83, 31-49, 2002.

Discussion Pa	HES 9, 4073–4	SSD 100, 2012
per Discussion	MODIS su mapping a small m catch J. Paraj	now cover accuracy in ountain ament ka et al.
Paper	Title	Page
—	Abstract	Introduction
Discu	Conclusions	References
ssion	Tables	Figures
Pape		▶1
	•	
	Back	Close
iscussion	Full Scre	een / Esc
Pa	Printer-frier	ndly Version
ber	Interactive	Discussion



Table 1. Number and location of snow measurements at each snow profile in the period 2000-
2011.

Name	Elevation	Location	Number of
	(m a.s.l.)		snow campaigns
H1400	1400	Open	27
B1500E	1500	Open	24
B1500W	1500	Open	25
H1600	1600	Open	18
B1600	1600	Open	24
H1700	1700	Open	29
Jal800	800	Forest	27
Jal900	900	Forest	8
M1000	1000	Forest	34
PaS1100	1100	Forest	25
B1100E	1100	Forest	27
B1100W	1100	Forest	24
M1200	1200	Forest	17
H1300	1300	Forest	29
CF	1420	Forest	102
Červenec	1500	Open/forest	167

HESSD 9, 4073–4100, 2012					
MODIS sı mapping a small m catch	now cover ccuracy in ountain ment				
J. Paraj	ka et al.				
Title	Page				
Abstract	Introduction				
Conclusions	References				
Tables	Figures				
I	۶I				
•	F				
Back	Close				
Full Scre	een / Esc				
Printer-frier	ndly Version				
Interactive	Discussion				

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



Discussion Pa	HES 9, 4073–4	SD 100, 2012
per Discussion	MODIS sr mapping a small m catch J. Paraji	now cover ccuracy in ountain ment ka et al.
Paper	Title	Page
—	Abstract	Introduction
Disc	Conclusions	References
ussion	Tables	Figures
Pap		▶1
θr	•	F
	Back	Close
iscussion P	Full Scre Printer-frien	en / Esc dly Version
aper	Interactive	Discussion



Table 2. Confusion matrix defining the snow mapping accuracy (SI) by relating the ground based snow depth observations (Ground) and the satellite snow cover from MODIS. A and B represent the number of cloud-free station-days in a particular classification category.

Sum of station-days	MODIS: SNOW	MODIS: NO-SNOW
Ground: SNOW	А	В
Ground: NO-SNOW	_	-

Discussion Pa	HE 9, 4073–4	SSD 100, 2012
per Discussion P	MODIS si mapping a small m catch J. Paraj	now cover accuracy in accurtain ament ka et al.
aper	Title	Page
—	Abstract	Introduction
Discu	Conclusions	References
Ission	Tables	Figures
Pape	14	►I
_	•	•
	Back	Close
)iscussi	Full Scre	een / Esc
on P	Printer-frier	ndly Version
aper	Interactive	Discussion

Discussion Paper

(cc

Table 3. Snow mapping accuracy (SI) at open sites. The A, B and clouds categories represent the number of station-day and are defined as in Table 2.

Combined Terra+Aqua				2-day ter	nporal filter of the (combined	images	
Year	Snow OK (A)	Snow False (B)	Clouds	SI (%)	Snow OK (A)	Snow False (B)	Clouds	SI _{FILTER} (%)
2000	3	0	13	100	4	0	12	100
2001	10	1	11	90.9	14	1	7	93.3
2002	4	0	15	100	9	2	8	81.8
2003	10	0	2	100	10	0	2	100
2004	5	0	15	100	14	0	6	100
2005	2	0	2	100	4	0	0	100
2006	8	0	7	100	14	0	1	100
2007	3	0	12	100	10	0	5	100
2008	3	0	12	100	15	0	0	100
2009								
2010	4	0	0	100	4	0	0	100
2011	5	0	0	100	5	0	0	100
Sum	57	1	89	98.3	103	3	41	97.2

Combined Terra+Agua				2-day ter	nporal filter of the	combined	images	
Year	Snow OK (A)	Snow False (B)	Clouds	SI (%)	Snow OK (A)	Snow False (B)	Clouds	SI _{FILTER} (%)
2000	6	0	12	100.0	6	0	12	100.0
2001	8	0	13	100.0	18	0	3	100.0
2002	7	0	13	100	16	0	4	100.0
2003	32	1	12	97.0	34	2	9	94.4
2004	9	5	28	64.3	24	6	12	80.0
2005	9	1	12	90.0	19	1	2	95.0
2006	15	0	15	100.0	24	0	6	100.0
2007	5	1	22	83.3	18	1	9	94.7
2008	5	0	26	100.0	23	1	7	95.8
2009	5	0	9	100.0	9	0	5	100.0
2010	1	0	3	100.0	1	0	3	100.0
2011	12	1	5	92.3	17	1	0	94.4
Sum	114	9	170	92.7	209	12	72	94.6

Table 4. Snow mapping accuracy (SI) at forest sites in the period 2000–2011. The A, B and clouds categories represent the number of station-day and are defined as in Table 2.



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



Discussion Pap	HE 9, 4073–4	SSD 4100, 2012
er Discussion	MODIS s mapping a small n catc	now cover accuracy in nountain hment ijka et al.
Paper	Title	Page
—	Abstract	Introduction
Disc	Conclusions	References
ussion	Tables	Figures
Pape	I	►I.
Ū,	•	
_	Back	Close
Discussi	Full Sci	reen / Esc
ion F	Printer-frie	endly Version
aper	Interactive	Discussion

CC II

Table 5.Snow mapping	accuracy (SI) a	at Červenec	station.	The A,	B and	clouds	categories
represent the number of s	station-day and	are defined	as in Tal	ole 2.			

Combined Terra+Aqua				2-day ter	nporal filter of the	combined	images	
Year	Snow OK (A)	Snow False (B)	Clouds	SI (%)	Snow OK (A)	Snow False (B)	Clouds	SI _{FILTER} (%)
2000	1	2	13	33.3	2	2	12	50.0
2001	3	1	9	75.0	7	2	4	77.8
2002	3	1	12	75.0	11	1	4	91.7
2003	7	1	5	87.5	10	1	2	90.9
2004	5	0	12	100.0	13	0	4	100.0
2005	6	1	9	85.7	13	1	2	92.9
2006	5	1	7	83.3	6	1	6	85.7
2007	2	1	8	66.7	8	1	2	88.9
2008	3	0	13	100.0	11	0	5	100.0
2009	6	0	10	100.0	12	0	4	100.0
2010	0	1	7	0.0	5	2	1	71.4
2011	4	1	7	80.0	11	1	0	91.7
Sum	45	10	112	81.8	109	12	46	90.1



Fig. 1. View to the highest part of the Jalovecký creek catchment (upper panel), climate station and snow course locations at Červenec (bottom left panel) and snow measurement in the forest (bottom right panel).







Fig. 2. Topography (screenshot from Google Earth left, digital elevation model right) and location of snow course profiles in the Jalovecky creek catchment. Measurements in the forest and open areas are indicated by green and yellow color, respectively. The blue symbol indicates the position of the reference profile at Červenec station.







Fig. 3. Location and vegetation coverage near Červenec station (screenshot from Google Earth). The blue polygon indicates the size and position of the MODIS pixel.













Fig. 5. Misclassification of snow as land by the combined MODIS product (top panels, May 2001) and 2-day filter of the combined product (bottom panels, January 2002) at open sites. The maps in black frames show the date of ground snow measurement, red circle indicates the site where misclassification occurs.















Fig. 7. Misclassification of snow as land by the combined MODIS product and 2-day filter of the combined product at forest sites. The maps in black frames show the date of ground snow measurements, red circle indicates the site where misclassification occurs.















Fig. 9. Misclassification of snow as land by the combined MODIS product and 2-day filter of the combined product at Červenec station. The maps in black frames show the date of ground snow measurement.



