Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 8, C76–C78, 2011 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/8/C76/2011/ © Author(s) 2011. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "Classification procedures in the context of PUB: ways forward?" by R. T. Clarke

## Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 8 February 2011

## General comments

This is an opinion paper, which discusses the application of cluster analysis for transposing parameters of hydrologic model to ungauged sites. The objective is to comment on its main limitations and to identify potentially novel procedures, which might serve as an alternative in the future.

Opinions on ways forward in the PUB context are very welcome and are definitely within the scope of HESS. This contribution is clearly written, however, it would be an advantage if the author's view will be more closely put in the context of recent studies. There are several papers which e.g. discuss the use of geostatistical methods for transposing either model parameters or flow charactersistics (see e.g. Gottschalk et al. 2006, Merz and Blöschl, 2004, 2005, Skoien et al., 2006, 2007, Oudin et al., 2008),

C76

apply splitting records for evaluation of regionalization performance (e.g. Parajka et al., 2005) or propose different novel approaches within the PUB context (Blöschl, 2005, Bardossy, 2007, Wagener et al., 2007, Buytaert and Beven, 2009, Zhang et al., 2009, Samaniego et al. 2010). Back up of personal views with existing examples will increase the merits of this contribution.

## References:

Skøien, J. O. and G. Blöschl (2007). "Spatio-temporal Top-kriging of runoff time series." Water Resources Research 43: W09419.

Skøien, J. O., R. Merz, et al. (2006). "Top-kriging - geostatistics on stream networks." Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 10: 277-287.

Merz, R. and G. Blöschl (2004) Regionalisation of catchment model parameters. Journal of Hydrology, 287, pp. 95-123.

Merz, R., and G. Blöschl (2005), Flood frequency regionalisation-spatial proximity vs. catchment attributes, J. of Hydrol., 302, 283-306.

Oudin,L., V.C. Andréassian, C. Perrin, C. Michel and N. Le Moine (2008) Spatial proximity, physical similarity, regression and ungaged catchments: a comparison of regionalization approaches based on 913 French catchments, Water Resources Research 44, p. W03413

Blöschl, G. (2005) Rainfall-runoff modelling of ungauged catchments, Encyclopedia of Hydrological Sciences, Volume 3, Part 11, Wiley

Buytaert, W., Beven, B., 2009. Regionalisation as a learning process. Water Resources Research, 45, W11419, doi:10.1029/2008WR007359.

Gottschalk, L., I. Krasovskaia, et al. (2006). "Mapping mean and variance of runoff in a river basin." Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 10: 469-484

Bardossy, A. 2007 Calibration of hydrological model parameters for ungauged catch-

ments, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 11 (2) (2007), pp. 703-710.

Zhang, Y. Q., and F. H. S. Chiew (2009), Relative merits of different methods for runoff predictions in ungauged catchments, Water Resources Research, 45, W07412, doi:07410.01029/02008WR007504.

Samaniego, L. A. Bardossy, R. Kumar (2010) Streamflow prediction in ungauged catchments using copula-based dissimilarity measures, Water Resources Research, 46, W02506, doi:10.1029/2008WR007695.

Wagener, T., Sivapalan, M., Peter, T. and Woods, R., 2007. Catchment Classification and Hydrologic Similarity. Geography Compass, 1(4): 901-931.

C78

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 8, 855, 2011.