

## ***Interactive comment on “Water table fluctuation and its effects on vegetation in a semiarid environment” by L. Duan et al.***

**L. Duan et al.**

duanlimin820116@163.com

Received and published: 9 November 2011

COMMENT: The paper aims to investigate the spatio-temporal variation of water table and its influence on vegetation in a case study in northern China. The data collected and presented here are potentially relevant within the scope of HESS since it provides a unique opportunity to investigate long-term interactions between vegetation and ground water both spatially and temporarily. To identify spatio-temporal variations the authors used contour maps and plotted the post-processed time series of observations. To identify the influence of water table fluctuations on vegetation multiple regression analyses were used. From this the authors conclude about the sensitivity of vegetation to water table fluctuations and state that ground water is a controlling

C4920

hydrologic factor for ecosystems in (semi)arid environments.

RESPONSE: Yes. However, because collecting data in desert areas such as the Horqin Sandy Land is not only costly but also technically challenging, such data are very scarce. Thus, our main purpose is to use the limited data we have collected to explore interactions between vegetation and ground water variation in the selected study area. We have no any intention to extrapolate our limited findings to general (semi)arid environments, which require much more data and "more sophisticated scientific methods" as you commented in the following. Currently, the available data cannot justify "more sophisticated scientific methods."

COMMENT: I have major concerns that the stated conclusions can be drawn from the applied methods, which aim to provide a descriptive understanding of the system rather than a more process-oriented explanation of ecohydrological system functioning. The results can be considered as an indicator for an existing linkage between water table fluctuation and vegetation variability at the study site under consideration, and based on this possible implications for landscape management could be derived to avoid (further) desertification of the Horqin Sandy Land.

RESPONSE: As mentioned above, our main purpose is to use the limited data we have collected to explore interactions between vegetation and ground water variation in the selected study area. We will rephrase the conclusions to emphasize this main purpose.

COMMENT: But more sophisticated scientific methods are required to underpin the stated conclusions. Those methods can include (but are not limited to) statistical methods, which control for confounding variables such as nutrient availability for plants, soil salinity, maximal rooting depths and water stress tolerance of plants, etc. Those methods could lead to a range of predictive statistical models, which could be applied to evaluate management strategies under future climate conditions and/or human activities. I understand, that a lot of effort is required to collect this data. Therefore, alterna-

C4921

tively, existing models of ground water-vegetation interaction, which are more process-oriented could be fed with the huge amount of data provided in this study to investigate processes that govern the ecohydrology of the Horqin Sandy Land. As long as these methodological improvements are not applied the study won't go beyond a case study without any further implications for ground water-vegetation systems around the world.

RESPONSE: Again, we have no any intention to extroplate our limited findings to general (semi)arid environments, which require much more data and "more sophisticated scientific methods" as you commented in the following. Currently, the available data cannot justify "more sophisticated scientific methods." For example, data on "nutrient availability for plants, maximal rooting depths and water stress tolerance of plants" are not available. In addition, the vegetation coverage in the study area is very low (almost bare in most of the area). It would be very questionable to use existing models because they were mainly developed based on data from artificial crops and/or pastures. We think that the modeling results would be very misleading.

COMMENT: At this stage I recommend to reconsider the paper for publication after major revisions concerning two major issues: (1) The methodological part requires strong re-work and re-writing (as proposed above) to support the conclusions, and (2) the overall presentation needs to be re-structured, condensed, and re-worked (as suggested below).

RESPONSE: Thank you! we will improve the methodological part and overall presentation by carefully considering your following specific comments.

COMMENT: Title: The title does not indicate the desertification problem which seems to be related to the ecohydrology of the Horqin Sandy Land?

RESPONSE: Good point! We will reword the title to reflect this comment.

COMMENT: Introduction: The literature review appears unorganised and the reader does not have the feeling of being guided towards the main scientific question. E.g.:

C4922

What is the study site typical for? Is it representative for other areas of ground water-vegetation interactions globally? Globally, what studies exist that support the statement that vegetation growth can be stressed when water table is above or below a threshold value? Materials and Methods: The chosen structure is unclear and partly irrelevant or negligible (e.g. how to calculate the arithmetic mean).

RESPONSE: We will re-write this part to address these great comments.

COMMENT: Study area: In general, this section should only include information relevant for the research question. Why are meteorological data such as temperature (average annual, minimum monthly mean, maximum), wind speed (average annual, minimum monthly mean, maximum), and wind direction relevant for a study focusing on ground water-vegetation interactions? Some more information about the plant species would be helpful such as maximum rooting depth or other physiological characteristics, which could be possible drivers for the observed ground water-vegetation interactions. The last paragraph (p. 3275, lines 11-28) fits better to the 'Introduction' rather than to 'Study area'.

RESPONSE: We will re-write this section to address these comments.

COMMENT: Other data: Was NDVI calibrated to ground observations?

RESPONSE: Yes. We will clarify this by adding several sentences.

COMMENT: Data preprocessing: Could most of this section (only information relevant for the research question) be re-written as equations rather than in words?

RESPONSE: We will see. When appropriate, equations will be used.

COMMENT: At page 3279, lines 1-13 is a result. The last paragraph about NDVI fits better to the 'Introduction' rather than 'Data processing'.

RESPONSE: We will reorganize it.

COMMENT: Results and Discussion: The link to global studies of ground water-

C4923

vegetation interactions is missing at all, which reduces the manuscript to a case study.

RESPONSE: Again, given the limited data, we will try to highlight the links if any.

COMMENT: Fig.2: What is the purpose of this figure? It is not mentioned in Results and Discussion.

RESPONSE: This figure shows how the missing data in the study site were filled based on the data available at a near station.

COMMENT: Fig.3: The information value of these figures is relatively low since the difference between seasons is marginal. Further, for a study on ground water-vegetation interactions the elevation is irrelevant. What is important is the depth to ground water. The same holds for Fig. 4, 5, 6.

RESPONSE: Fig. 3 shows the spatial pattern of water table and topography. Because of the large variations of ground surface elevation, the depth to ground water cannot be plotted as a contour map. Instead, the audiences can easily figure out the depth to water table by subtracting (a) from (b). For the same reason, we plotted water table, with ground elevation noted, in other figures.

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 8, 3271, 2011.