

## ***Interactive comment on “Reconnoitering the effect of shallow groundwater on land surface temperature and surface energy balance using MODIS and SEBS” by F. Alkhaier et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 2 November 2011

This paper presents the relation shallow groundwater table on land surface temperature and how MODIS data could be interpreted using an energy balance model to obtain information about groundwater table depths. This paper is a follow up of another paper in which a numerical study is used to present the relation between soil surface temperature and groundwater table depth. The relation between groundwater table depth and soil surface temperature is very convincing showing the potential of using remotely sensed surface temperatures for groundwater table depth detection. To make this prediction, it would however be necessary to predict this relation, which was now derived from correlation between measured groundwater table depths, soil

C4835

moisture contents and soil surface temperatures. Therefore, it would be interesting to see if the observed correlation could actually be predicted using a soil water and heat flow model as used in the accompanying paper. In order to improve the paper, I think that the SEBS model should be explained better. In the SEBS model, there is an assumption about the relation between the ground heat flux and the net radiation which has a direct impact on the estimated latent heat fluxes. In fact, the model used in the accompanying paper could be used to evaluate this assumption and its effect on latent heat fluxes. Finally, it is not so clear to me why the SEBS model would be needed to derive groundwater table depths from land surface temperatures.

Detailed comments: p 7 ln 4-6 and Eq. (3): The calculation of the ground heat flux from the net radiation is an approximation and seems to be critical. I think that the impact of this approximation on the calculated evaporation rate, and the estimation of the groundwater table depth needs to be analyzed. The simulations from the first paper could be used to evaluate this.

p 7 ln 7-23: I do not understand how the latent heat is derived from Eqs. 4 to 6. LE does not appear in these equations. Neither do I understand how eqs 4 and 6 can be applied for wet and dry conditions. I thought the SEB procedure estimates  $R_n$  directly from remote sensing data,  $H$  from surface temperature, wind speed, air temperature and  $G$  from  $R_n$ . LE could then be calculated directly from closing the energy balance.

p9 ln 5-10: I do not understand this paragraph. What is for instance ILWIS?

p12 ln 6-8: ‘Whereas the effect of latent heat flux was clear at daytime due to the relatively high potential evaporation under the prevalent dry and sunny conditions’ The pan A measurements of potential evaporation where 2.4 mm/d. Can this be considered as a high potential evaporation? Maybe for the winter season but I doubt whether it can be considered high when looking at an entire year.