

Interactive comment on “Analysis of predicted and observed accumulated convective precipitation in the area with frequent split storms” by M. Ćurić and D. Janc

M. Ćurić and D. Janc

curic@ff.bg.ac.rs

Received and published: 15 September 2011

Thank you very much for your encouraging comments on our manuscript as well as for your useful and valuable suggestions, that help us to improve the quality of the paper. We will discuss each of your point one by one.

(1) We agree with you that it is more appropriate to use ‘convective precipitation’ instead of ‘accumulated convective precipitation’ for Figs. 2 and 3 because we have represented the spatial distribution of the mean convective precipitation per event in both cases. We will correct the figure descriptions in the revised paper version.

C4059

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



(2) The suggested new references support the reality of the cloud-resolving mesoscale models as useful tools for various hydrological purposes. For this reason, we will insert these references in Introduction to illustrate more frequent use of cloud-resolving models in hydrometeorology.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 8, 7237, 2011.

HESSD

8, C4059–C4060, 2011

Interactive
Comment

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)

