

1 **Stochastic rainfall analysis for storm tank performance**  
2 **evaluation**

3 **Authors:** I. Andrés-Doménech, A. Montanari and J. B. Marco

4 **MS No.:** hess-2010-36

5 **Status:** Open Discussion on HESSD

6

7

8 **Response to Referee Comment RC-C745 – Paola Allamano (Referee)**

9 On behalf of co-authors, I thank gratefully Paola Allamano for his constructive and useful  
10 comments. Then, here are the responses for specific referred issues.

11

12 **1. About event duration  $d$**

13 Event duration is characterised as a main descriptor of the rectangular pulse model built for  
14 the rainfall process.

15 Then, it is also used to formulate the general tank overflow model (equation 13) where the  
16 total volume detained equals the tank volume,  $V_D$ , plus the volume derived to the waste water  
17 treatment plant,  $Q_V(d+t_C-t_R)$ . Results developed in the paper correspond to the case  $Q_V=0$ , i.e.,  
18 no flow is derived to the plant during the event. This is the most precautionary situation in  
19 order to obtain the tank efficiencies. In this case, the event duration  $d$  do not play any role in  
20 the derivation of the tank efficiencies.

21

22 **2. About goodness-of-fit tests**

23 a) The KS test was used because of its reliability for the exponential pdf. Moreover, it has  
24 been considered as an additional selection criterion for the critical interevent time.  
25 Nevertheless, in the revised version of the manuscript the CVM test could also be added to  
26 check the goodness-of-fit of the exponential pdf for event duration in the Valencia case.

1 b) Results shown in Table 3 are used to highlight that, for selected pdfs, fits improve  
2 significantly if censored series are used. This is why for event volume only the Pareto model  
3 is taken into account and for event duration both exponential and Gamma-2 are considered.

4 c) We thank the referee for pointing out an imprecision in the manuscript which will be  
5 amended in the final version. In fact, the evaluation of the KS statistic summarized in Figure 4  
6 was done correctly by computing the empirical distribution function as  $i/n$ . The Hazen  
7 plotting position was used, as recommended for highly skewed populations, for the  
8 probability plots shown in figures 6 and 7.

9

### 10 **3. About censored variables**

11 a) Expected values of the runoff volume  $r$  (equation 12) and the spilled volume  $w$  (equation  
12 19) are both evaluated considering the impulse probabilities at  $r=0$  and  $w=0$ . This fact will be  
13 highlighted in the revised version of the manuscript.

14 b) The interevent time characterisation is performed by considering the lower bounded  
15 variable  $S$  and so a bounded exponential distribution, without any simplification.

16

### 17 **4. Minor points**

18 All referred minor points will be considered to be added and/or solved in the revised version  
19 of the paper.