

***Interactive comment on “A new approach to accurate validation of remote sensing retrieval of evapotranspiration based on data fusion” by C. Sun et al.***

**d. jiang**

jiangd@igsnr.ac.cn

Received and published: 28 April 2010

-The comparison of RS-derived ET and PM-derived ET (in the Section 5.1) is used to indicate the commonly used point-based evaluation approach only. The RS-derived ET could not be evaluated by station-observed data directly because the difference of the scale. We suggested in our paper that the RS-derived ET could be evaluated by comparison of RS-computed Runoff (with SWAT) and the observed Runoff. We will modified these parts and to demonstrate more clearly

-There are 238 pixels (RS-derived ET data) in the study area. The spatial analysis of the results will be added later.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

-We will describe all data sets clearly and compress the abstract.

Thank you very much for your consideration. We will revise the manuscript later according to your comments.

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 7, 1745, 2010.

**HESD**

7, C667–C668, 2010

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C668

