

## ***Interactive comment on* “Evaluation of a bias correction method applied to downscaled precipitation and temperature reanalysis data for the Rhine basin” by W. Terink et al.**

**F Pappenberger (Editor)**

florian.pappenberger@ecmwf.int

Received and published: 7 April 2010

I thank all reviewers for their effort in reading and revising this paper. It is great that the paper has sparked so much interest. The authors have responded well to the comments by the reviewers. The paper can be accepted pending minor revisions given these responses and based on the recommendations by the majority of the reviewers.

Formal Manuscript Rating and Recommendation

1) Scientific Significance

Does the manuscript represent a substantial contribution to scientific progress within

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



the scope of this journal (substantial new concepts, ideas, methods, or data)?

0xExcellent 4xGood 1xFair 0xPoor

## 2) Scientific Quality

Are the scientific approach and applied methods valid? Are the results discussed in an appropriate and balanced way (consideration of related work, including appropriate references)?

0xExcellent 4xGood 1xFair 0xPoor

## 3) Presentation Quality

Are the scientific results and conclusions presented in a clear, concise, and well structured way (number and quality of figures/tables, appropriate use of English language)?

0 x Excellent 3x Good 2 x Fair 0 x Poor

For final publication, the manuscript should be 0 x accepted as is 4 x accepted subject to minor revisions 1 x accepted subject to major revisions

---

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 7, 221, 2010.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper