

Interactive comment on “A multiple threshold method for fitting the generalized Pareto distribution and a simple representation of the rainfall process” by R. Deidda

R. Deidda

rdeidda@unica.it

Received and published: 31 October 2010

I would like to acknowledge Referee #1 for his positive feedback on the manuscript and for his reach comment which contributed to improving the revised version. I greatly appreciated also the detailed list of English corrections, which were all taken into account in the revised manuscript. For the sake of clarity, I will skip replying to those comments specifically dealing with English corrections. Thus, whenever I do not provide a reply to a comment, it means that the comment was accepted and included in the revised version.

General comment 1:

The title was changed to “A multiple threshold method for fitting the generalized Pareto distribution to rainfall time series”

General comment 2:

In the abstract and in the Introduction of the revised manuscript I highlighted better the ability of the Multiple Threshold Method (MTM) to give good generalized Pareto distribution (GPD) fits on heavily quantized data.

General comment 3:

In the Introduction of the revised manuscript, the main findings of Veneziano et al. (2009) are now mentioned and discussed in relation to the MTM.

General comment 5:

The font sizes in Figures were adapted to be clearly readable in the respective single or double column final formatting of the HESS journal style.

Specific comment 4:

After Eq.(1) I added the following sentence:

“Commonly used distribution functions $F_0(x)$ of strictly positive rainfall records include the exponential, Gamma (Pearson III), log-Gamma (log-Pearson III), skewed normal (i.e. a normal distribution fitted to the Box-Cox transformed data), and lognormal (e.g., Swift and Schreuder, 1981; Kedem et al., 1990a,b, 1997; Shimizu, 1993; Katz, 1999; Cheng and Qi, 2002; Cho et al., 2004; Shoji and Kitaura, 2006; Langousis and Veneziano, 2007; Langousis et al., 2009; Suhaila and Jemain, 2007).”

Specific comment 5:[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

In the revised manuscript, the sentence was modified to provide references to weather generators based on Markov chains:

“E.g. this is the working mode of simple weather simulators implemented in some widely used models, such as EPIC (Erosion-Productivity Impact Calculator) and SWAT (Soil and Water Assessment Tool), in which the temporal sequence of wet/dry days is often modelled by Markov chains, while the distribution $F_0(x)$ is fitted on all strictly positive rainfall records and then used to fill in the records of rainy days in the Markov chain (e.g., Nicks, 1974; Nicks et al., 1995; Williams, 1995).”

Specific comment 14:

Referee #1 suggests to “add references to methods” (used to infer the shape and scale parameters of the GPD). I would prefer to disregard this suggestion since a long list of methods (and references) is already provided in the last part of the Introduction and I would prefer to avoid a repetition of the some information. Thus I just modified the sentence in the revised manuscript into:

“As discussed in the Introduction, in literature several methods have been proposed to infer the shape ξ and the scale α_u parameters of the GPD ...”

Specific comment 23:

I would prefer to keep Figure 3 as is, since adding more lines for the fitting obtained using a single-threshold traditional approach will compromise the readability of Figure 3 and moreover it would mask the evidence of the good performances of the MTM approach. On the other hand, examples of the bad fitting using a single-threshold traditional approach on highly discretized records were extensively provided and discussed in Deidda (2007). I provide (only with this reply) a Figure reproduced from Deidda (2007) which clearly shows what Referee #1 requested, but I prefer to refer the reader to Deidda (2007) rather than modify Figure 3 adding more lines.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

References

- Cheng, M. and Qi, Y.: Frontal Rainfall-Rate Distribution and Some Conclusions on the Threshold Method, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 41, 1128–1139, 2002.
- Cho, H. K., Bowman, K. P., and North, G. R.: A Comparison of Gamma and Lognormal Distributions for Characterizing Satellite Rain Rates from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 43, 1586–1597, 2004.
- Deidda, R.: An efficient rounding-off rule estimator: Application to daily rainfall time series, *Water Resour. Res.*, 43, W12 405, doi:10.1029/2006WR005409, 2007.
- Katz, R. W.: Extreme Value Theory for Precipitation: Sensitivity analysis for climate change, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 23, 133–139, 1999.
- Kedem, B., Chiu, L. S., and Karni, Z.: An Analysis of the Threshold Method for Measuring Area-Average Rainfall, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 29, 3–20, 1990a.
- Kedem, B., Chiu, L. S., and North, G. R.: Estimation of Mean Rain Rate: Application to Satellite Observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 1965–1972, 1990b.
- Kedem, B., Pfeiffer, R., and Short, D. A.: Variability of Space-Time Mean Rain Rate, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 36, 443–451, 1997.
- Langousis, A. and Veneziano, D.: Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves from Scaling Representations of Rainfall, *Water Resour. Res.*, 43, W02 422, doi:10.1029/2006WR005245, 2007.
- Langousis, A., Veneziano, D., Furcolo, P., and Lepore, C.: Multifractal Rainfall Extremes: Theoretical Analysis and Practical Estimation, *Chaos Soliton Fract.*, 39, 1182–1194, doi:10.1016/j.chaos.2007.06.004, 2009.
- Nicks, A. D.: Stochastic generation of the occurrence, pattern, and location of maximum amount of daily rainfall, in: *Proc. Symp. Statistical Hydrology, Aug.-Sept. 1971, Misc. Publ. No. 1275*, pp. 154–171, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Tuscon, AZ, 1974.
- Nicks, A. D., Lane, L. J., and Gander, G. A.: Weather generator, in: *USDA-Water Erosion Prediction Project: Hillslope profile and watershed model documentation*, edited by Flanagan, D. C. and Nearing, M. A., NSERL Report 10, chap. 2, pp. 2.1–2.22, National Soil Erosion Research Laboratory, West Lafayette, IN, 1995.
- Shimizu, K.: A Bivariate Mixed Lognormal Distribution with an Analysis of Rainfall Data, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 32, 161–171, 1993.
- Shoji, T. and Kitaura, H.: Statistical and Geostatistical Analysis of Rainfall in Central Japan, *Comput. Geosci.*, 32, 1007–1024, 2006.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Suhaila, J. and Jemain, A. A.: Fitting Daily Rainfall Amount in Malaysia Using the Normal Transform Distribution, *J. Applied Sci.*, 7, 1880–1886, 2007.

Swift, J. L. W. and Schreuder, H. T.: Fitting daily precipitation amounts using the Sb distribution, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 109, 2535–2540, 1981.

Williams, J. R.: The EPIC Model, in: *Computer Models of Watershed Hydrology*, chap. 25, pp. 909–1000, Water Resources Publications, Highlands Ranch, CO, 1995.

Interactive comment on *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 7, 4957, 2010.

HESD

7, C3271–C3276, 2010

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C3275






Fig. 1. [Reproduction from Deidda (2007), Figure 3 (left).] Lines represent the fitting obtained using a single-threshold traditional approach for different thresholds u (values from 2.5 to 12.5 mm)