Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 7, C2459-C2464, 2010

www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/7/C2459/2010/ © Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



HESSD

7, C2459-C2464, 2010

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "European summer climate modulated by NAO-related precipitation" by G. Wang et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 24 September 2010

Review of "European summer climate modulated by NAO-related precipitation" by G. Wang, A. J. Dolman, and A. Alessandri.

Major comments

1. On general grounds the statement that a statistical technique can determine the direction of influences cannot be true. If all the information available is two covarying fields, no statistical technique can ever show causality. In particular, one can never exclude that a third factor influences both fields. As an example, El Niño causes both drought in September–October in Indonesia, and often fewer C2459

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



hurricanes over the Atlantic Ocean. Given precipitation fields of Indonesia and hurricane tracks over the Atlantic Ocean, no statistical method can ever show that both are influenced by El Niño as this is information not available to the analysis.

In particular, the method of Eqs (1)–(4) reduces to linear regression in the case of 1×1 fields, i.e., time series. Linear regression of time series does not have the property of isolating directional influence. This is in contrast to the general remark that for all cases this method detects directional interactions, which is therefore false.

Doing a normal MCA analysis on the fields without the CMT technique (and without EOF pre-filtering) gives pretty much the same results. The first SVD mode explains 28% of variance and looks very similar to Figs 1bc, see Fig.1 of this review.

The JFM precipitation pattern is highly correlated with the NAO time series (r=0.73). Still, the linear correlation of the JFM NAO index on JJA $T_{\rm mean}$ is compatible with zero, and on $T_{\rm max}$ about 0.2 in France, in contrast with the title of the manuscript, see Fig.2 of this review.

The analysis of the authors therefore just recovered the well-known weak local correlations between JFM precipitation and Tmean and Tmax in France, Fig.3 of this review.

The same holds for the scPDSI index, which is higher if there has been lower precipitation in winter at the same grid point.

To summarise, the authors use a novel statistical technique with improbable claims, but essentially recover simple results that can just as easily be shown using simple correlation analysis: late winter precipitation is correlated to locally drier summer soils in large parts of Europe, which in turn are correlated to local higher mean and maximum temperatures in France. The NAO can be a source of this precipitation variability.

HESSD

7, C2459-C2464, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 7, 5079, 2010.

HESSD

7, C2459-C2464, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



svd01 Jun—Aug averaged CRU TS3 temperature svd01 Jan-Mar averaged CRU TS3 precipitation 70N 70N 65N 65N 60N 60N 0.2 55N -0.2 -0.6 -0.6 45N 45N 40N

Fig. 1. First SVD of CRU TS 3 JJA Tmean and JFM precipitation

HESSD

7, C2459-C2464, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



corr Jan-Mar averaged NAO-Gibraltar with Jun-Aug(+) averaged CRU TS3 temperature 1901:2006 p<10% with Jun-Aug(+) averaged CRU TS3 Tmax 1901:2005 p<10% with Jun-Aug(+) averaged CRU TS3 Tmax 1901:2005 p<10% overaged CRU TS3 70N 70N 0.6 65N 65N 0.5 0.4 60N 60N 0.3 0.2 55N -0.2 -0.3 50N 50N -0.4 -0.4 45N -0.5 45N -0.5 -0.6 -0.6 40N 40N 15E 10E 20E 15E 10E 2ÔE

Fig. 2. Correlation of JFM NAO with summer Tmean and Tmax

HESSD

7, C2459-C2464, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



corr Jun-Aug averaged CRU TS3 temperature with Jan-Mar averaged CRU TS3 precipitation 1901:2006 p<10% corr Jun-Aug averaged CRU TS3 Tmax with Jan-Mar averaged CRU TS3 precipitation 1901:2005 p<10% 70N 70N 65N 65N 0.6 60N 60N 0.4 -0.2 0.2 -0.2 50N -0.6 45N -0.8 45N 40N

Fig. 3. Correlation of local JFM prcp with Tmean and Tmax

HESSD

7, C2459-C2464, 2010

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

