Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions

Interactive comment on "On accuracy of upper quantiles estimation" *by* I. Markiewicz et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 3 September 2010

The paper represents quite valuable contribution for an practitioner to make him select, estimate and infer from a set of models with real awareness. Authors took into account the inpact of sample size, distribution shape, estimation method and even variability of the data. As anticipated, no simple conclusion can be drawn from the experiment except of that there is no universal method excelling in most of the cases.

Formal side of contribution is good despite of several strange phrase constructions slowing down the reading, but that is a question for native-speakers to judge.

My questions and notes are:

(5-4) What does 'N=20(10)100' mean? Isn't it 'N=20,60,100'?

(Table 7) 'H=LG'

(Table 7) How did you compute reliability of MLM?