

Interactive comment on “Improving the snow physics of WEB-DHM and its point evaluation at two SnowMIP alpine sites” by M. Shrestha et al.

B. Schaefli (Editor)

bettina.schaefli@epfl.ch

Received and published: 11 August 2010

All reviewers agree that this well-written manuscript, while being a good technical report, does not have the qualities of a scientific paper, since it does not provide any significant contribution to snow modelling. The manuscript can, thus, in its current form not be considered for publication in HESS.

The reviewers give detailed indications of how the manuscript could potentially be turned into a paper of sufficient scientific interest for a publication in HESS but this would require a substantial amount of additional work.

I, therefore, invite the authors to carefully respond to all reviewers' comments. If the

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



authors intend to revise their manuscript, they should first (in one of the answers to the reviewers) explain in detail how they intend to transform their manuscript into a scientific paper. This will provide the basis for a recommendation concerning the preparation of a revised version.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 7, 3481, 2010.

HESD

7, C1760–C1761, 2010

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C1761

