

Interactive comment on “Fog interception by Ball moss (*Tillandsia recurvata*)” by A. Guevara-Escobar et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 23 July 2010

Because of the latex symbol %, my previous comment 1.b) was truncated. My apologies for this.

The following is the complete text of it:

1.b) In Fig. 3 (page 1675) the authors show the decaying of canopy water with time when HR is less than or equal to 30% and T is 22 Celsius degrees. Are these conditions similar to the natural ones after fog? And what about the importance of wind speed and radiation on the evaporation process? If the authors do not take into account these matters the lab data may be irrelevant for the natural evaporation process of intercepted water by Ball moss.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

