Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 7, C1334-C1336, 2010

www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/7/C1334/2010/ © Author(s) 2010. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Modelling the hydrologic response of a mesoscale Andean watershed to changes in land use patterns for environmental planning" by A. Stehr et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 2 July 2010

The manuscript can be accepted for publication after a moderate revision. The following parts need additional explanation:

- 1. How forest plantation was parametrized in SWAT? Which parameters differ from those for the native forest? This should be explained, and maybe a Table with parameters could be added.
- 2. It seems like Figs. 7-9 show monthly discharges, and NOT daily, how it is stated in the figure captions. It is also not clear, whether the criteria of fit in tables 5-7 were calculated for the daily or monthly values? This should be clarified.

C1334

3. Discussion of the scenario results should be extended by including an explanation of scenarios 2 and 4. Why the direction of change is not the same for all subbasins: scenario 2 for Rehue, and scenario 4 for Malleco? As the current land use is described with numbers for the total drainage area, but not for the subbasins, and land use map in b&w does not allow to easily recognize the current status for subbasins, it is difficult to interpret the obtained results.

Besides.

- 4. It would be good to add a comparison of average seasonal dynamics of calculated and observed discharges in two periods: 1977-82 and 1992-98 for 3 gauges (based on data in Figs. 8 and 9).
- 5. It would be good to improve the quality of land use maps (Figs. 3 and 10), because different land use types are hardly distinguishable now.
- 6. Language has to be additionally checked by authors and a native speaker. There are many places that need correction:
- * Abstract: current observed scenario -> current period?
- * 1 Introduction: scarcity -> water scarcity populations -> population future supply -> future water supply -> extensive from modelling tools -> from application of modelling tools might to be -> might be Hamberlandtl -> Haberlandt
- * 2 Study area: gaugin -> gauging
- * 3.2 Land uses: diminished a 50% -> diminished by 50%
- * 3.3 Hydrological records gaugin -> gauging
- * 4 Generation of probable land use scenarios The heuristic rules based assumptions on... -> The heuristic rules are based on assumptions of... The regression model based observed... -> The regression model was based on observed...

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 7, 3073, 2010.

^{* 5} SWAT - sensible parameters -> sensitive parameters

^{* 6.2 -} sensible parameters -> sensitive parameters - subestimates -> underestimates.