This paper has too many problems to be acceptable. If the authors can address them, then it could be looked at again. First a few things that reflect badly on the presentation:

1. Eq. (10) and below, if m the same as n? and if so is $D_o = 1$? Parameters are poorly defined throughout.

2. We are told that Eq. (12) is a Richards equation, what are D and K?

3. Eq. (12) has α and n, is A_1 saying $\alpha < 0$, if so why not say it?

4. Below the solution, it suggests that one example is for $n = 1, k = 2$, then it should correspond to $\lambda = -1$ to get $n = m = 1$ but then Eq. (9) gives k=1 not 2.

5. They claim to solve Richards, a PDE, but in fact they only look at the traveling wave solution, which is an ODE.

So far it might be in part carelessness but there are a few fundamental problems with the solutions they obtained. The most obvious[and I cannot believe they are not aware of it]if one looks at Eq. (34), is that it clearly diverges as $t \to \infty$. The authors better look carefully and critically at their solutions.