

Integrating Field and Numerical Modeling Methods for Applied Urban Karst Hydrogeology

3

4 Jannis Epting¹, Douchko Romanov², Peter Huggenberger¹, Georg Kaufmann²

5

6

7 ¹Department of Environmental Sciences, Applied and Environmental Geology, University of Basel,
8 Bernoullistr. 32, 4056 Basel, Switzerland (jannis.epting@unibas.ch)

9

10 ²Institute of Geological Sciences, FU Berlin, Malteserstr. 74-100, 12249 Berlin, Germany

Abstract

Infrastructures constructed on unstable geologic formations are prone to subsidence. Data have been collected in the context of an upgrading project for a highway located beside a river dam constructed on gypsum-bearing formations. Surface water infiltrates upstream of the dam, circulates through the gravel deposits and into the weathered bedrock around and beneath the dam, and exfiltrates downstream into the river. As a result, an extended weathering zone within the bedrock and preferential flow paths within voids and conduits developed as part of a rapidly evolving karst system. Enhanced karstification in the soluble units of the gypsum-bearing formations resulted in subsidence of the dam and the highway.

Since 2006, changes in the groundwater flow regime have been investigated by different methods that allowed the evaluation of the long-term performance of the infrastructures. Geological (outcrops, lithostratigraphic information from boreholes), hydrometrical (extensive groundwater monitoring, dye tracer tests) and hydrogeophysical (Electrical Resistivity Tomography, ERT) data were integrated into high-resolution 3-D hydrogeological and 2-D karst evolution models. The applied methods are validated and the sensitivity of relevant parameters governing the processes determined.

It could be demonstrated that the applied methods for karst aquifer characterization complement each other. Short-term impacts and long-term developments on system-dynamics and the flow regime could be evaluated. This includes the description of the transient character of the flow regime during and after episodic flood events (surface-groundwater interaction, conduit and diffuse model outflow) as well as the evaluation of time scales for karst evolution. Results allow the optimization of investigation methods for similar subsidence problems, ranging from general measurements and monitoring technologies to tools with predictive utility.

Key words: hydrogeological modeling, karst evolution, gypsum dissolution, subsidence, dam site, conduits

1 Introduction

While the characterization and modeling of flow in heterogeneous and fractured media has been investigated intensively, there are no well-developed long-term hydrogeological research sites for gypsum karst. Additionally, measurement systems for monitoring the evolution of karst phenomena are exceptional. This case study documents the integration of different methods in the context of an engineering project for the upgrade of a subsided highway located beside a river dam.

Surface and groundwater monitoring during engineering projects usually is restricted in order to comply with existing laws and regulations governing water quality issues during construction activities. In the current case study, sporadic measurements revealed that subsidence of the highway and the river dam has increased rapidly over the last ten years. At the beginning of the project, the knowledge was limited to purely conceptual models and sparse accurate monitoring data. As regards the local engineering problem, and in order to plan appropriate remedial measures, it was recommended to set up instruments that allow this complex system to be examined under various hydraulic conditions. This included an assessment of the current flow regime as well as the subsidence rate and its development over time. Such approaches require, along with the installation of surface and groundwater monitoring systems, specific field campaigns and modeling techniques to investigate the relevant processes. Furthermore, the developed tools should have not only a monitoring character; they should also enable long-term reliable predictions to be made concerning the future evolution of the system and subsidence.

Infrastructures that are constructed on soluble geologic formations are prone to subsidence (Gutiérrez, 1996; Lamont-Black et al., 2002). When located close to artificial hydraulic structures such as river dams, karstification can ensue due to: (1) the presence of evaporites; (2) elevated hydraulic gradients and (3) the presence of undersaturated water. Such boundary conditions can lead to increased leakage, subsidence and the failure of the hydraulic structures and nearby infrastructures. Especially when found within gypsum-bearing formations, karst features develop much more rapidly than in carbonate formations. Engineering aspects related to gypsum karst and dam construction are discussed by James and Lupton (1978), James (1992), Klimchouk and Andrechuk (1996), Breznik (1998), Milanović (2000, 2004), Pearson (2002), Jarvis (2003), Johnson (2003a, b, c, 2005) and Payton and Hansen (2003). An example is the catastrophic failure of the Quail Creek Dike in southwest Utah in 1989 due to flow of water through an undetected karstified gypsum unit beneath the earth-fill embankment (Johnson, 2008). A list of leakages at 42 dams worldwide is given by Milanović (2000).

The site-specific aspects of investigation projects and available data sets determine the complexity of modeling strategies used, e.g., issues related to water resource management of karst aquifers

can be resolved by black box or global approaches. For process understanding and the integration of more complex groundwater flow in karst systems (drain network and matrix), numerical models that represent double continuum media typical of karst aquifers are to be applied (e.g. Kovacs, 2003).

Klimchouk et al. (2000) discussed the evolution of karst aquifers and Quinn et al. (2006) summarized the existing modeling approaches for simulating flow in karst environments. In the appendix these include: (1) models using equivalent porous medium in which flow is governed by Darcy's law (Anderson and Woessner, 1992); (2) models in which the preferred flow paths are simulated with a very high hydraulic conductivity relative to the surrounding matrix material (double porosity); (e.g. Teutsch, 1989; Mace, 1995; Kiraly, 1998; Eisenlohr et al., 1997; Josnin et al., 2000); (3) "black-box" approaches in which functions are developed to reproduce input and output data (recharge and flow at discharge springs; e.g. Dreiss, 1989a,b), as well as "global" approaches which include the hydrological dynamics of the conduit and the diffuse flow system (Butscher and Huggenberger, 2008); (4) fracture network simulations in which individual fractures are mapped and then studied (Long et al., 1982; Long and Brillaux, 1987), and (5) open channel equivalents (Thrailkill et al., 1991).

For a more fundamental understanding of rock-groundwater interactions and the evolution of flow within karst aquifers, modeling techniques are based on fundamental and well-established physical and chemical principles. These models allow important processes, ranging from initial small-scale fracture networks to the mature karst, to be studied. Furthermore, model simulations facilitate to assess time scales for karst evolution and to quantify rates at which solution processes operate. In the present case study, the simulation of the evolution of flow within the gypsum karst aquifer was approached by a simplified 2-D finite difference method where groundwater flow is coupled with equations of dissolutional widening. The evolution of two-dimensional karst aquifers has been intensively studied during the past decades (Groves and Howard, 1994; Clemens et al., 1996; Hanna and Rajaram, 1998; Siemers and Dreybrodt, 1998; Dreybrodt and Siemers, 2000; Gabrovšek et al., 2000; Gabrovšek and Dreybrodt, 2000, 2001; Kaufmann and Braun, 2000; Bauer et al., 2003, 2005; Romanov et al., 2002, Liedl et al., 2003), also close to dam structures (Dreybrodt, 1992, 1996; Dreybrodt et al., 2001, 2005; Romanov et al., 2003, 2007). These previous approaches to model karst evolution focused on hypothetical karst catchments with synthetic data. Few studies have attempted to integrate field data.

An approach is presented which merges high resolution 3-D hydrogeologic modeling (3-D HGM) with 2-D karst evolution modeling (2-D KEM; Fig. 1). The different modeling techniques reproduce different aspects of the hydrologic processes and were employed by independent modeling teams. This allowed the estimated parameters to be cross-check and the results from both approaches to

be evaluated continuously and interpreted separately. The 3-D hydrogeological model (3-D HGM) presented in this paper includes a deterministic finite difference approach which takes into account an equivalent porous medium for weathered and non-weathered rock, and a coupling of the system with drains that represent a generalization of the conduit component of model outflow (mixed flow in karst settings; Quinn and Tomasko, 2000; Quinn et al., 2006). The calibrated 3-D HGM provided the geometric and hydraulic boundary conditions (modeling domain, groundwater and head of the river, hydraulic conductivity and conductance of the river bed) for the 2-D KEM. The calibrated 2-D KEM allowed the karst aquifer evolution to be simulated to its current state and the sensitivity of changing natural and anthropogenic boundary conditions to be investigated. Subsequently, resulting aquifer heterogeneities simulated using the 2-D KEM were transferred to the 3-D HGM. Applied modeling strategies complemented each other, results from the 3-D HGM could be used for the validation of the 2-D KEM, and vice versa.

Modeling results are compared, validated and discussed, together with multiple data sources (lithostratigraphic information from boreholes, extensive groundwater monitoring, dye tracer tests, hydrogeophysics) as well as water budgets through defined cross sections over time in the context of the flow regime. The integration of different types and a varying quality of data sets into the different models, presented a particular challenge.

2 Settings

The project area is located in the Lower Birs Valley southeast of Basel, Switzerland. Over the last 30 years subsidence of a man-made river dam and an adjacent highway has been observed (Fig. 2, 3 and 4). The dam in its current dimension was constructed in the 1890's (Golder, 1984). However, documentation of water engineering measures in this region, as the diversion of water for early manufacturing purposes in Basel, goes back as far as the 11th century (Fechter, 1856). The height difference to the base level downstream of the dam is 7.3 m. This hydraulic gradient is used for the generation of hydropower from a small hydro-electric power plant. As there is sufficient water supplied by the Birs River, the height of the impounded water upstream of the dam is practically constant at 266.2 m a.s.l. Surface-groundwater interaction is dominated by the hydraulic head of the river and variations in river bed conductance upstream of the dam during flood events. Upstream of the dam, river water infiltrates into the highly permeable fluvial gravels and the weathered bedrock, follows the hydraulic gradient around and beneath the dam and exfiltrates downstream into the river. These processes and the drilling of several boreholes in the 1990's that likely enhanced the connection between aquifers and led to the karstification in the

soluble units of the “Gipskeuper” and resulted in an extended weathering zone within the bedrock as well as in the development of preferential flow within voids and conduits.

To prevent further subsidence, construction measures were carried out in two major project phases in 2006 and 2007. The highway was supported by 166 piles and by a sealing pile wall, consisting of approximately 300 piles (Fig. 2), to prevent infiltrating river water from circulating around the dam and beneath the foundation of the highway. Piles extend down to the non-weathered rock at a depth of 20 to 25 m. Caves encountered when the piles were being constructed were filled with a total of 168.2 m³ of supplementary grout mixture, in order to plug underground water channels and stabilize the ground beneath. In compliance with existing regulations, an observation network was installed in order to monitor surface and groundwater quality in the vicinity of the construction site and regional drinking-water supplies further downstream. Additionally, the observations allowed the identification and evaluation of the relevant processes. Online monitoring allowed the early detection and documentation of changes in hydraulic constraints in the vicinity of the construction site and the dam. To prevent surface and groundwater pollution during the construction measures, interventions could have been initiated in case of breakthrough events and the mobilization of void fillings and grout mixture injections.

2.1 Geology and Hydrogeology

The stratigraphic column in Figure 5 includes the Triassic, Jurassic and Quaternary units. Karst development mainly occurs in the gypsum-bearing parts of the Triassic. Quaternary gravels, silty floodplain deposits, as well as artificial fillings beneath the highway overlie the westward-dipping Triassic and Jurassic units on the right side of the river. For better visualization of the karst-relevant units and their spatial distribution the Quaternary sequence has been removed. The tectonic settings (see below) result in a complex spatial distribution of the main geological formations which consist of marls and clays, dolomites and sandstones, marls and, for most of the investigation area, of Gipskeuper. The map also shows the course of the Birs River in the year 1798 compared to the situation in 1983. The river, which was straightened in the 19th century, cuts into the Triassic bedrock, resulting in a narrow couloir.

The Gipskeuper is made up of gypsum near the surface, anhydrite and intercalations of marls. The lithological term “Gipskeuper” as used in this paper generally includes the mineral “gypsum” and also refers to “anhydrite,” which, in the deeper subsurface, is the more common anhydrous form of calcium sulfate. In the non-weathered state anhydrite dominates and the Gipskeuper is characterized as being rather low permeable. Hydraulic conductivities of Gipskeuper, as tested in borehole and modeling studies in northern Switzerland, vary between 1E-14 ms⁻¹ and 1E-07 ms⁻¹

(Nagra, 2002). However, in the weathered state, Gipskeuper shows features of a heterogeneous (karstified) aquifer (Klimchouk and Andrechuk, 1996).

The investigation area is characterized by the Eastern Rhinegraben Master fault accompanied by an intense tectonic segmentation into fault-bounded blocks (Schmassmann, 1972). Tectonically the lithological units dip at an angle of approximately 45° to the West. Several NNE-SSW normal faults subdivide the units into a series of blocks with variable hydraulic properties. Fault and fracture zones are associated with rock weakness and can locally increase permeability within sequences, resulting in an enhanced groundwater leakage and the development of paths for preferential flow.

In general, reduced water velocities behind reservoir dams enable the sedimentation of fine material, resulting in a clogging layer that delays the infiltration of surface water into groundwater systems. During episodic floods, river bed permeability increases and processes of surface-groundwater interaction are enhanced. These processes are highly transient and consequently, changing hydraulic river bed conductance plays a key role in understanding surface-groundwater interaction. It is worth mentioning that the investigation period includes the 300-year flood of 9 August 2007 (discharge 370 m³s⁻¹).

Borehole data show that most voids and solution cavities, which generally contain debris, clay, gravel and calcite fillings, are concentrated at the base of the weathered Gipskeuper (lixiviation front). During episodic flooding, these sediments can be partially flushed and subsequently, more aggressive water can enter the system.

2.2 Hydrochemistry

Chemical analyses of samples taken at specific hydraulic boundary conditions allowed hydrochemical boundary conditions to be defined. SO₄-Ca content increases in the circulating groundwater within the gypsum formation. Solution rates depend on water chemistry and dynamics of the flow regime. Water entering the system is assumed to be dominated by the chemical composition of infiltrating river water. Calcium and Sulfate concentrations in the river indicate the lowest observed concentration values, ranging from 88.8 to 94.7 mg l⁻¹ and from 7.8 to 15.8 mg l⁻¹, respectively. Highest concentrations were observed in groundwater samples taken at two different depths from observation well OW4 (Fig. 2), ranging from 256.4 to 277.3 mg l⁻¹ for Calcium and from 118.7 to 116.8 mg l⁻¹ for Sulfate. Water samples taken from the groundwater outlets downstream of the dam show intermediate concentrations, ranging from 135.9 to 204.4 mg l⁻¹ for Calcium and from 53.3 to 92.4 mg l⁻¹ for Sulfate.

The geochemical composition of the Gipskeuper formation is strongly heterogeneous, as indicated by mineralogical analysis. Therefore, the transition zone between weathered and non-weathered Gipskeuper should not be considered as a sharp boundary.

Dissolution kinetics and dissolution rates R of pure gypsum during water-rock interaction in laminar or turbulent flow were determined by Dreybrodt (1987, 1988, 1990) and Jeschke et al. (2001), respectively. R can be described by a rate law:

$$R_l = k(1 - c/c_{eq}) \quad \text{for} \quad c \leq c_s \quad (1)$$

$$R_n = k_n(1 - c/c_{eq})^n \quad \text{for} \quad c \geq c_s, \quad (2)$$

where c is the concentration of Calcium in the water and c_{eq} is equilibrium concentration with regard to gypsum. c_s is a switch concentration, where the dissolution rates ($\text{molcm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) switch from a linear rate law to a non-linear one with the order n . The values of c_s , k_n and n are characteristic for the mineral because they are entirely dependent on surface reactions. The characteristics of gypsum dissolution imply that concentrations close to equilibrium concentrations are reached rapidly. However, water infiltrating into the karst system will have a remaining potential for solution over long time periods and after long percolation pathways.

Based on hydrochemical boundary concentrations with regard to Calcium, the following can be derived from the results of the groundwater analysis above: Infiltrating river water into the aquifer system ranges from $2.2\text{E-}06$ to $2.4\text{E-}06 \text{ molcm}^{-3}$ (0.14 to $0.15 c_{eq}$), water within the system (OW4) ranges from $6.4\text{E-}06$ to $6.9\text{E-}06 \text{ molcm}^{-3}$ (0.41 to $0.44 c_{eq}$), and water at the groundwater outlets downstream of the dam ranges from $2.5\text{E}06$ to $5.1\text{E-}06 \text{ molcm}^{-3}$ (0.16 to $0.33 c_{eq}$).

3 Methods

3.1 Conceptual Approach

The conceptual approach and the applied methodologies are based on a series of questions that generally arise in the context of urban hydrogeology (e.g. Epting et al., 2008): (1) Are the existing data sufficient to answer the relevant questions, and which hydrogeological concepts can be set up using the data? (2) Which additional data and experiments could improve predictions and allow hypotheses to be tested? (3) How could data acquisition and experiment design be optimized? Consequently, the investigation process is an iterative procedure of consecutive data acquisition deriving from specific experiments.

Hydraulic conductivities in karst aquifers are extremely heterogeneous, ranging from $10\text{E-}08$ to $10\text{E-}05\text{ ms}^{-1}$ in the fracture systems, and up to 1 ms^{-1} in conduits (White, 1988; Ford and Williams, 1989). Structural features such as folds and faults can have significant influence within karstified areas. As a result, modeling groundwater flow in site-specific karst environments is extremely challenging. Modeling results often are highly uncertain because of the lack of site-specific information on heterogeneous subsurface structures and the resulting complexity of flow paths.

A stepwise approach is presented where results from different methods are merged. In a first step, the investigated area was delineated, comprising an inventory of all relevant boundaries defining the flow regime, including an evaluation and parameterization of the fundamental processes governing the system. A core element of the present approach is the merging of high resolution 3-D HGM with 2-D KEM (Fig. 1). The calibrated 3-D HGM provides the geometric and hydraulic boundary conditions for the 2-D KEM. The calibrated 2-D KEM allowed karst aquifer evolution to its current state to be simulated. Resulting aquifer heterogeneities simulated using the 2-D KEM were transferred to the 3-D HGM. The applied modeling strategies complement each other. The results of the 3-D HGM could be used for the validation of the 2-D KEM and vice versa.

The data required for setting up the 3-D HGM and 2-D KEM with accurate boundary conditions can be of quite different type and varying quality which can be termed “**hard**” or “**soft**” **data** (cf. Regli et al., 2002). The most reliable hard data derive from outcrop and laboratory investigations. The information on several outcrops was incorporated into the geological map (Fig. 5), which formed the basis for delineating the models. Drill core data provide limited information on the spatial distribution of subsurface properties, especially in karst environments where the probability of encountering voids is quite low and relies on a hit-or-miss approach. The quality of individual drill core descriptions varies considerably, depending on the geotechnical approach used, thus permitting limited and speculative conclusions. The same is true for hydrogeophysical data which

allow zones with different properties and behaviors to be delineated over time. Consequently, drill core and hydrogeophysical data are regarded as soft data. The terms of “hard” and “soft” data can also be applied to hydrometric data derived from hydraulic measurements and from tracer tests. Whereas hydraulic measurements from the head of the river can be considered as hard data, data from groundwater observation wells are hard data only if they independently sample one aquifer. In the case where observation wells connect aquifers, data interpretation is not distinct and consequently such measurements should be considered as soft data. Tracer tests can undoubtedly confirm hydraulic links between injection and observation locations; this information corresponds to hard data. The path of preferential flow between these locations, however, is ambiguous and relies on further interpretation, resulting in soft data. Additional data that are documented during construction measures, as for example, lithological information derived during the installation of piles, or information on locations and quantities of supplementary grout mixture injections, generally lack accuracy and should also be considered as soft data. Nevertheless, this kind of information can be indispensable in the process of setting up hydrogeological models.

3.2 Data Sources

Multiple data sources were available: (1) soft data from lithostratigraphic information from borehole logs and the national geological map (1:25'000; Bitterli-Brunner et al., 1984); (2) soft and hard data from continuous groundwater measurements within the Quaternary and Gipskeuper aquifers; (3) soft data from general geological descriptions of piling works and locations of supplementary grout mixture injection; (4) soft and hard data from dye tracer tests, and (5) soft data from hydrogeophysical investigations. A total of 24 vertical boreholes were drilled in several investigation phases from 1993 to 2007 (Fig. 2). Most boreholes were developed as observation wells for groundwater or subsidence measurements. In total, 12 observation wells were fitted with automatic data loggers for monitoring physical parameters (hydraulic head, temperature and electric conductivity). Additional lithostratigraphic information could be derived from reports made during the construction of the piles. Hydraulic links and flow velocities within the study area were investigated by means of a dye tracer test in 1996. During the construction phases, groundwater and surface water were monitored by an extensive observation network. Hydrograph-analyses indicate that hydraulic boundary conditions in the vicinity of the dam have changed considerably after the flood event of 9 August 2007 (see Section 5.4). In particular, the interaction of the river with the groundwater system at different flow stages plays a major role in the initiation of movements within the void and conduit system. Surface and underwater hydrogeophysical measurements were carried out under different hydrologic boundary conditions, both before and after the construction activities. This interpretation focuses on the description of distinct geological and hydrogeological features in correlation with river discharge (Fig. 2, Epting et al., submitted).

4. Modeling Approach

4.1 3-D Geological and Hydrogeological Model (3-D HGM)

The main goals in setting up the high resolution 3-D HGM were: (1) the description of the spatial distribution of the geological formations and the delineation of weathered units; (2) the simulation of the current flow regime and changes to it in the context of the construction measures and future evolution of the system and (3) the provision of model geometries and hydraulic boundary conditions for the 2-D karst evolution modeling.

3-D HGM simulations were performed using the Groundwater Modeling System GMS v6.0 (Environmental Modeling Systems Inc., 2006) together with the 3-D finite difference code MODFLOW (Harbaugh et al., 2000). The “solid modeling” approach was employed for constructing the 3-D geological structures (Lemon and Jones, 2003). Solids (volumetric layers representing hydrostratigraphic sequences) were built using the horizons method, based directly on the lithostratigraphic data from 25 boreholes, 166 piles beneath the highway and 273 piles at the pile wall, as well as the introduction of 42 support points. Each lithological formation can be represented by a separate solid. In order to simplify the geological model, the formations of non-weathered Gipskeuper were grouped with the formations of Schilfsandstein and Mergel-Dolomit, resulting in three different materials, including the Quaternary cover, weathered Gipskeuper and non-weathered lithological sequences. Vertically, the model extends from the topographic surface to the non-weathered Gipskeuper (Fig. 5 and 6).

The grid for the 3-D HGM was automatically generated from the solid model geometry (Jones et al., 2002). The horizontal discretisation of the grid is regular (5 by 5 m). To represent the locations of drain features more accurately, a 5-layer approach was chosen. Hydraulic boundary conditions were defined as follows (Fig. 6): (1) the northern and southern boundaries were defined as specified head, corresponding to available groundwater head measurements of the regional flow regime; (2) the eastern boundary was defined as specified flow from the adjacent catchment; (3) the western boundary was chosen as a no-flow boundary, according to the abundance of comparatively impermeable geological sequences (see above); and (4) the Birs River was simulated as General Head Boundary (GHB), where river infiltration and groundwater exfiltration are calculated in relation to the difference between river level and hydraulic groundwater head, as well as a conductance of the river bed. When modeling the complex flow using a finite-difference approach, with drain networks, representing the conduit component of model outflow was achieved by using generalized drain features (Quinn et al., 2006). Two drains were introduced in model layer 4 corresponding to information obtained from (a) boreholes, indicating that voids were generally encountered at the bottom of the weathering zone; (b) the 1996 dye tracer test and (c) the location

of fracture joints (Fig. 2 and 5). The drain elevation was chosen using values of nearby groundwater head measurements to ensure active flow.

To enhance model certainty, the following procedure was applied: (1) calibration of the 3-D HGM and comparison of observed and calculated heads in numerous groundwater observation wells; (2) inverse modeling, including parameter estimation and sensitivity analysis; (3) groundwater temperature data analysis of riverine observation wells in order to estimate hydraulic conductance values of the GHB upstream of the dam (Appendix A), and (4) scenario development, including the consideration of drains and different extensions of the weathered rock. Hydraulic conductivities of the lithological sequences as well as river bed and drain conductance were determined and their sensitivities evaluated by a combination of manual and automated parameter estimation procedures, which are based on numerical optimization algorithms within the nonlinear regression code PEST (Doherty, 1994).

4.2 2-D Karst Evolution Model (2-D KEM)

Distinct time intervals for karstification and the development of the aquifer can be defined for the present case study (Romanov et al., 2009). A first time interval covers the natural karstification process for a time period between several hundred and a few thousand years. The results from this evolution period are used as initial conditions for the second interval, which covers the time period from 1890 to 2007. This period is characterized by anthropogenic alterations to the system, including the construction of the river dam in the 1890's and technical measures to prevent further subsidence of the highway in 2006 and 2007, which considerably changed the evolution of the aquifer. The third time period, covering the evolution of the aquifer after 2007, with regard to the effects of technical measures on boundary conditions, will be the subject of future investigations. This includes the application of the developed modeling tools to forecast aquifer development for the 100 years that follow.

The main goals in setting up the 2-D KEM were: (1) to simulate the spatio-temporal development of karst features within the investigation area over the last 100 years; (2) to determine and evaluate the relationship of the investigated parameters; (3) to determine time scales for future system development, and (4) to provide heterogeneously distributed aquifer properties including distinct high conductive features for the 3-D HGM. The reason for not modeling karst evolution with existing 3-D codes is the complexity of model geometries and chosen boundary conditions that for the moment cannot be used without unreasonable effort and CPU. However, as 3-D codes are gaining in efficiency, the available data sets will be transferred to 3-D KEM in the near future.

The 2-D KEM includes representative geological horizontal cross sections of the area around the dam structure. With respect to the high information density in the vicinity of the infrastructures, horizontal cross sections were preferred over the generally used vertical model setups. The geometric dimensions as well as the hydraulic and technical boundary conditions (modeling domain, groundwater and head in the river, hydraulic conductivities) of the 2-D KEM correspond to those of the layers 2, 3 and 4 of the 3-D HGM (see above). The modeling domain is 130 m wide (W-E), and 465 m long (S-N). Figure 7 shows the conceptual model setup, the impervious and insoluble dam structure and zones used to assign different hydraulic conductivities and solubilities. Flow within the karst aquifer is driven by infiltrating river water upstream of the dam that is directed towards the river downstream of the dam as a base level. In advanced scenarios, regional groundwater flows from South to North and from the adjacent slope to the East were considered.

The network of the 2-D KEM is characterized by the average spacing s of the fractures, their aperture widths a_0 and their widths b_0 . This fracture system exhibits a hydraulic conductivity (Lee and Farmer, 1993) of:

$$K = \frac{\rho g}{6\eta} \cdot \frac{a_0^3}{s}, \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the density of water, η is the viscosity and g is earth's acceleration. Equation 3 was used consecutively for the transfer of hydraulic parameters of the 3-D HGM to the 2-D KEM and vice versa.

The application of gypsum dissolution kinetics (Dreybrodt, 1987, 1988, 1990; Jeschke et al., 2001) enables the simulation of void and conduit enlargement with time by chemical dissolution and solutional widening within the system. This selective enlargement increases conductivity by several orders of magnitude during the early phase of karstification. Thus flow characteristics change from more homogeneous to heterogeneous flow. An important moment for the stage of karst aquifer evolution is the so-called "breakthrough time", when flow changes from laminar to turbulent and increases by several orders of magnitude in a relatively short interval.

The influence of basic hydraulic and geochemical parameters on breakthrough time within reasonable evolution times is investigated by calculating scenarios and conducting the following sensitivity analyses: (1) required minimal size of model domain and resolution; (2) aperture width, average hydraulic conductivity for different zones; (3) introduction of prominent fractures and percolation networks; (4) additional diversification of weathered zones within the Gipskeuper, and (5) variation of the solubility within the Gipskeuper. In the present case study, evolution of distinct karst features should be in the range of 10 to 100 years, because: (1) the dam structure in its current dimension was built in the 1890's; (2) subsidence of the highway has been reported since the 1990's, and (3) previous dam site modeling indicates that under normal conditions, evolution

periods of several thousands of years can be shortened to periods of several decades for karst aquifers in the vicinity of hydraulic structures such as dams.

A first set of scenarios allowed the determination of the required minimal model resolution and initial hydraulic conductivities for the different zones within the modeling domain. As the hydraulic conductivity depends on the fracture aperture widths and the distances between them, the same hydraulic conductivities are obtained from a network with large but sparsely distributed fractures, as well as for fine fractures with small distances between them. To analyze the sensitivity of this interrelationship adequately, numerous scenarios had to be calculated in order to obtain reasonable values for the fracture aperture widths, while keeping the distance between fractures as large as possible (reduction of CPU). Therefore, calculations have been performed with relatively large time steps of 1 year, and only until turbulent flow after breakthrough occurred in the domain.

As structural initial conditions can influence karst evolution in a significant way (Birk et al., 2005), subsequent scenarios focused on the incorporation of specific subsurface information for the description of aquifer heterogeneity, including: (1) statistical distributions of hydraulic conductivity and solubility zones, and (2) discrete prominent fractures.

5 Results

5.1 Results from Borehole Data and the Dye Tracer Test

Analysis of drill cores enabled the determination of several permeable zones within the underlying bedrock and the already developed voids. Although the probability of encountering voids is fairly low and relies on a hit-or-miss approach, it was nevertheless possible to detect a total of 7 voids, with diameters ranging from 0.3 to 2.7 m, at depths ranging from 15 to 18 m. This information, considered as soft data, suggests that the vertical extension of the weathered Gipskeuper ranges from 2.2 to 14.8 m. It could be recognized that the drilling of several boreholes in the 1990's resulted in the connection of aquifers. These connections are documented in the drill core records and were confirmed independently by geochemical and hydraulic data (flowmeter measurements). It was possible to derive additional soft data from lithostratigraphic information in the reports made during the installation of the piles, and relatively precise cross sections of the investigation area were constructed based on the lithostratigraphic information from the boreholes (Fig. 5).

Hydraulic links within the investigation area were confirmed by the 1996 dye tracer test, representing hard data (Cantonal Archive Basel, unpublished reports). The direction of the hydraulic links corresponds to the main directions of fracture joints within the investigation area (Fig. 5). The dye was injected in OW3 and sampled in OW2, 4 and 5 (Fig. 2). Highest concentrations were measured in OW5, which is nearest to the injection well. A secondary maximum was observed, representing a further preferential pathway. Measurements in the other observation wells resulted in lower concentration values indicating that they are influenced to a certain degree by infiltrating river water. Maximal groundwater flow velocities range from 85 to 111 m d^{-1} , values typical for conduits within well-developed mature karst systems (Birk et al., 2004). Determined dispersivities range between 1 and 3 m.

5.2 3-D Geological and Hydrogeological Model (3-D HGM)

5.2.1 Model Calibration and Sensitivity Analysis

Table 1 summarizes the initial set of selected parameters, the calibration range and calibrated hydraulic parameters for the extended model with drains. Additionally, normalized composite scaled sensitivities are summarized for the calibrated parameters of all investigated scenarios. The upper value of $1.0\text{E-}07 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ resulting from modeling studies in northern Switzerland (Nagra, 2002) was chosen as initial value for the hydraulic conductivity of non-weathered rock. For the hydraulic conductivity of the weathered Gipskeuper, an initial value of $1.0\text{E-}05 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ was chosen, assuming that the hydraulic conductivity of this lithological formation is much higher than the one of the non-weathered rock. The initial hydraulic conductivity value of $5.0\text{E-}03 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ for the Quaternary cover represents an empirical value for fluvial deposits. In order to assess initial values for the conductance of the GHB and drains, these parameters were first calibrated manually with the basic model, resulting in $2.0\text{E-}06 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $2.0\text{E-}03 \text{ ms}^{-1}$, respectively. These initial parameters vary by one order of magnitude for the hydraulic conductivity of the Quaternary and the weathered Gipskeuper and by two orders of magnitude for the hydraulic conductivity of the non-weathered rock and the conductance of the GHB and the drains.

Features included in the extended model setups are examples of potentially important processes that can improve the modeling results. Upper boundary values for the hydraulic conductivity within the weathered Gipskeuper and non-weathered rock are attained, indicating that the inclusion of an extended weathered zone beneath the dam and drains is adequate. The reason for the calibrated high values of hydraulic conductance downstream of the dam structure compared to those upstream could be the occurrence of groundwater outlets in the more heterogeneous river bed downstream (high flow velocities and possible turbulent flow in the vicinity of the dam overflow) and

the more clogged river bed upstream of the dam structure (area with low flow velocities and sedimentation of fine material). The sensitivity analysis indicates the highest parameter sensitivity for the hydraulic conductivity of the non-weathered and weathered rock and for those of the GHB upstream of the dam. Drain conductance values resulted in rather low sensitivities. However, the arrangement, connectivity and numbers of drains were not covered by the preceding sensitivity analysis. Therefore, scenarios were set up with the 3-D HGM considering (1) only the drain near to the river; (2) only the drain more distant from the river; (3) multiple drains, and (4) the connection of drains. Results show that, with the chosen boundary conditions, total drain-outflow for all simulation is generally identical. Whereas the consideration of multiple drains merely resulted in a further partitioning of outflow among the individual drains, the connection of drains resulted in an altered distribution of outflow within the drains (cf. Fig. 10). The setup of a transport model with the data from the 1996 dye tracer test indicates that the resulting breakthrough with primary and secondary maximum in some of the observation wells can be simulated adequately only with connected drains. As connectivity is determined by the stage of karst evolution, the scenarios with two drains which are unconnected or connected are discussed together with the results from the transient 3-D HGM and 2-D KEM (see below). Results from the temperature data analysis reveal the transient character of river water infiltration and allowed time-dependent conductance values to be provided which could be incorporated into the transient hydrogeologic model (Appendix A).

5.2.2 3-D Hydrogeologic Modeling (3-D HGM)

Figure 8 illustrates the simulated flow regime at average discharge before and after the construction measures. The flow regimes for both model scenarios clearly show the influence of the dam structure. The effect of the drains is striking, as they focus flow paths. The gradient in the non-weathered rock is steeper than in the weathered Gipskeuper and the Quaternary cover. For the simulation after the construction measures, the sealing pile wall was integrated and its hydraulic conductivity calibrated by minimizing the divergence between observed and calculated heads in OW3. As an effect of the sealing pile wall, backwater can be observed towards the river. Water budgets across model boundaries and through defined cross sections are summarized in Table 2. As an effect of the sealing pile wall, flow through Zone 2 (see Fig. 5) after the construction measures is 1/10 of the original flow around the dam. Calibrated residual flow through the sealing pile wall reaches 1.8 ls^{-1} .

In Figure 9 observed and calculated groundwater heads of 4 selected observation wells are compared for a 465-day period (23 August 2006 to 30 November 2007). OW12 and 13, both located at the upstream river bank (Fig. 2), illustrate the very good agreement of observed and calculated groundwater heads as a result of the simulated river water infiltration upstream of the dam. This result confirms applying transient conductance values derived from the temperature data

analysis (Appendix A). Whereas OW20 is located at the downstream river bank and indicates groundwater exfiltration into the river, OW10 is located centrally within the model domain and is a sign of the more distant flow around the dam.

In Figure 10 water budgets for the GHB up- and downstream of the dam are illustrated together with model outflow through the drains. Model inflow is dominated by the GHB upstream, indicating river water infiltration; model outflow is dominated by the GHB downstream, an indication of groundwater exfiltration (an approximate measure for the **diffuse component of flow**) and through the drains (approximate measures for the conduit component of model outflow). Model inflow (GHB upstream) generally equals model outflow (GHB downstream and drains). The figure also shows the relative contributions to the flow systems (diffuse and conduit model outflow; Drains 1 and 2) before and after the major flood event. Flow through the drains amounts to approximately 64 % of total model outflow before the major flood event, ranging from 12 ls^{-1} at low to 66 ls^{-1} at high river discharge. After the major flood event, flow through the drains amounts to approximately 80 % of total model outflow, ranging from 30 ls^{-1} at low to 70 ls^{-1} at high river discharge. Model outflow by the GHB downstream is comparatively balanced and ranges from 6 ls^{-1} at low to 12 ls^{-1} at high river discharge. Whereas the diffuse component amounts to approximately 36 % before the major flood event, after the event it only amounts to approximately 20 % of total model outflow. The data illustrate that in general during flood events, the relative contributions of the conduit component of model outflow increases. After moderate to medium-scale flood events, the relative contributions of flow components return to ratios observed before the events. However, major episodic flood events, as the 300-year flood of 9 August 2007, can considerably change the relative amounts of flow components also after the event. The results of long-term data monitoring will show if and when the relative amounts of the two model outflow components will return to ratios observed before the major flood.

Figure 10 also shows model outflow through the two drains individually for scenarios with unconnected or connected drains. Model outflow through the drains for the scenario with unconnected drains before the major flood event is dominated by Drain 2 amounting to 77 % of total outflow through the drains. The dominance of Drain 2 can be explained by its location. It lies within the bedrock troughs formed by the ancient river course resulting in a steep hydraulic gradient from the surrounding aquifer to the drain feature (Fig. 5). Simulated outflow through Drain 1 before the major flood event is comparatively low and amounts to only 23 % of total outflow through the drains. However, during flood events outflow through Drain 1 can have the same order of magnitude as outflow through Drain 2. This change in relative contributions of the two drains can be explained by the vicinity of Drain 1 to the infiltrating river. After the major flood event simulated outflow for both drains rises significantly. The elevated outflow through Drain 1 after the major flood event results in a redistribution of the relative amounts of simulated outflow through the drains (see

explanation above). The distribution of model outflow through the drains for the scenarios with connected and unconnected drains shows similar results. However, due to the connection more water is diverted to Drain 2. Before the major flood event outflow through Drains 1 and 2 amounts to 15 % and 85 %, respectively. After the major flood event drain outflow through Drains 1 and 2 amounts to 27 % and 63 %, respectively (see explanation above).

5.3 2-D Karst Evolution Model (2-D KEM)

The time interval investigated with the 2-D KEM extends from 1890 to 2007. This time period is characterized by anthropogenic alterations to the system, including the construction of the river dam in the 1890's and technical measures to prevent further subsidence on the highway in 2006 and 2007, which considerably changed the evolution of the aquifer. The evolution of the weathered rock zones used as initial conditions for the 2-D KEM can be attributed to the natural karstification beneath the ancient river bed for time periods between several hundreds up to a few thousands of years.

Preliminary scenarios and sensitivity analyses with the 2-D KEM allowed the definition of the sufficient model resolution. These scenarios demonstrated that, for a network having 100 cm spacing, the chosen size of the modeled domain is sufficient and that a time span of several hundreds years is a reasonable time scale for karst evolution within the investigation area and with regard to the hydraulic structure. Whereas calculations with uniform aquifer properties and simple boundary conditions were carried out mainly for the illustration of system behavior and processes, statistical approaches used in more complex scenarios allowed the heterogeneity of the system to be described in more detail. In terms of the heterogeneity of fracture development within the system, statistical distribution of aperture width is more realistic, resulting in a more dendritic and diffuse distribution of fracture development.

In the following, the results of one scenario are discussed, including (1) statistical distributions of hydraulic conductivity and solubility zones, based on information on locations where caves were encountered and supplementary grout mixture was injected, as well as where ERT resulted in low resistivity, and (2) of regional hydraulic gradients (Fig. 7).

Figure 11 shows the statistical distributions of hydraulic conductivities for the non-weathered and weathered rock used for the setup. The development of aquifer properties from the non-weathered to the weathered state for the period of natural karstification can be derived from the shift of the statistical distributions for hydraulic conductivities and solubilities. For the generation of statistical distributed properties of the aquifer (aperture width and solubility), spatial correlation lengths were

incorporated which characterize the geometric anisotropy of the sedimentary units. Spatial correlation lengths of 1/10 of the longitudinal (i.e., 46.5 m S-N) and lateral (i.e., 13 m W-E) model domain were chosen. Values for the solubility of the non-weathered and weathered zones were averaged from borehole descriptions, resulting in 15 % of soluble fractures for the weathered and 40 % of soluble fractures for the non-weathered zone (Fig. 7). Figure 11 also illustrates the development of hydraulic conductivities from the initial state at 0 years (i.e. the 1890's) to 100 years (i.e. the 1990's). Note the logarithmic scale of conductivities on the y-axis. While the gross distribution of conductivities does not change significantly, the resulting curve after 100 years illustrates: (1) a slight shift from lower to higher conductivity values, and (2) the development of a few fractures with very high conductivities. Comparing this to the results from simulated model outflows, it is obvious that the occurrence of a limited number of highly permeable structures and their interconnection can dominate the flow processes.

Figure 11 illustrates the development of leakage around the dam for the 100-year time period from the 1890's to the 1990's. The graph shows a stepwise progression, while modeled outflow increases more rapidly at the beginning of the modeled time period, as remaining soluble zones within the weathered rock are dissolved. Subsequently, time spans between single steps increase. The single steps can be interpreted as **local breakthrough events** that lead to an abrupt increase of outflow. A steady increase in outflow can be observed between the single steps. As for the 2-D KEM, average boundary conditions are chosen, modeled outflow after 100 years evolution time, resulting in approximately 24 ls^{-1} , can be compared to the lower values of modeled outflow resulting from the 3-D HGM. Outflow simulated with the transient 3-D HGM resulted in values between 6 and 13 ls^{-1} for the GHB downstream and between 12 and 77 ls^{-1} for the Drains (cf. Fig. 10). Hence, modeled outflow with both modeling approaches during low to medium hydrologic boundary conditions are in the same order of magnitude.

The results from the 2-D KEM clearly illustrate that the amount of gypsum within the non-weathered and weathered rock can inhibit karstification and the development of connected percolation pathways necessary for breakthrough from infiltration locations to base levels. Moreover, local breakthrough events lead to localized subsidence events as has been observed within the real world. The outflow progression, resulting from the 2-D KEM and heterogeneously distributed solubility, can illustrate patterns of karstification within gypsum rock.

5.4 Integration of Modeling Approaches

An iterative integration and combination of investigative methods together with the analysis of multiple data sets of varying quality considerably improved the description of the gypsum karst system within the investigation area. Associated uncertainties in data interpretation and numeric

modeling were approached by (1) classifying data quality (soft and hard data); (2) parameter sensitivity analysis, and (3) scenario development and evaluation. As part of the sensitivity analysis, the most relevant parameters governing the system were determined using the two modeling approaches, which are the hydraulic conductivities of the rock and the conductance of the GHB upstream of the dam. On the basis of calculated scenarios, variable geological, hydrological and geotechnical boundary conditions that influence the flow regime were evaluated.

Boreholes provided soft data and general lithostratigraphic information, including details about the vertical extension of the weathered Gipskeuper and significant permeable zones, as well as already developed voids. Ever since the 1990's, the drilling of several boreholes has left a stratigraphic connection and locally stimulated favored karstification. Initial, coarse cross sections could be developed using the information from the national geological map. Additional soft data from lithostratigraphic information was obtained from the reports made during the installation of the piles, resulting in more precise cross sections of the investigation area. Hydraulic links within the investigation area were confirmed by a dye tracer test with groundwater flow velocities typical for conduit systems. These results indicate that the karst system is already well developed, whereas solution conduits developed along a system of fractures and interconnected joints, suggesting a three-dimensional conduit network.

Results from surface and underwater ERT measurements, taken at different hydrologic and geotechnical boundary conditions, both before and after the construction measures, provided soft data and allowed the description of (1) preferential flow in the shallow subsurface; (2) zones that are related to groundwater flow around the dam, including flow dynamics; (3) zones that are related to groundwater flow beneath the dam; (4) drainage phenomena of karst features such as voids and conduits; (5) the weathering horizon within the Gipskeuper; (6) near-surface faults and fracture zones, (7) buried paleochannels, and (8) weathered zones within the Gipskeuper beneath the river upstream of the dam and below the river sediments. Due to the multiple data sources of varying quality and hydraulic data from high-resolution 3-D HGM, it was possible to partially eliminate ambiguity in data interpretation and to describe the relationship between the different observed features in a spatial context (Epting et al., submitted).

Mass balances allowed the estimation of the amount of gypsum removed from the system over the last 100 years, and the confirmation of the solid volume representing the weathered gypsum formation of the 3-D HGM. Equivalent drain diameters along the entire length of the modeled drain could be calculated for Drains 1 and 2, illustrating the increase in the cross section for flow during flood events. During flood events, the hydraulic gradient forces water through the cavities and clay fillings are eroded (Appendix B).

1 Figure 12 illustrates how simulated aquifer heterogeneities from the 2-D KEM are integrated into
2 the 3-D HGM. For the model layers 2 to 4 of the 3-D HGM, separate karst evolution models were
3 set up and calculated. In order not to lose connectivity of the simulated developed karst features,
4 the original model resolution of 5 by 5 m had to be refined to 1 by 1 m. Hydraulic boundary
5 conditions of the different layers for both modeling approaches correspond to each other. For
6 model layer 1, a statistical distribution for the non-weathered rock of the GHB downstream and a
7 uniform value for the Quaternary cover was chosen. For model layer 5, a statistical distribution of
8 non-weathered rock was generated. As the modeling domain of the 3-D HGM also comprises
9 weathered zones beneath the river upstream of the dam (see Figs. 6, 7, 8) that were verified by
10 ERT and that are not covered by the 2-D KEM, data had to be interpolated to these zones. This
11 was achieved by: (1) using the existing evolution patterns generated with the 2-D KEM; (2)
12 delimiting weathered zones beneath the river by the more resistant Schilfsandstein, and (3)
13 assuming that weathering was intensified beneath the abandoned old meandering river bed and
14 within zones of rock weakness (faults, fractures, etc.), which also resulted in the bulge located in
15 the southern modeling domain (see Figs. 7, 8).

16
17 Figure 13 illustrates the simulated flow regime at average discharge for the model with
18 heterogeneous hydraulic conductivities. As for the model with uniform hydraulic conductivities, the
19 flow regimes clearly show the influence of the dam structure. The gradient in the non-weathered
20 rock is steeper than in the weathered Gipskeuper and the Quaternary cover. Generally the
21 progression of hydraulic heads is comparable to the uniform model (cf. Fig. 8). However, hydraulic
22 heads are more undulating and flow paths are focused to high conductivity zones. Due to the
23 abundance of very low conductivity values and the predetermination of previously calibrated
24 boundary conditions, calculated heads are generally too high compared to the measured ones.
25 However, water budgets through defined cross sections of the 3-D HGM with uniform and
26 heterogeneous hydraulic conductivities show a good agreement (Table 2). While calculated flow
27 budgets through the GHBs and beneath the dam are practically identical, flow budgets around the
28 dam for the heterogeneous model are about half the size compared to those of the uniform model.
29 This discrepancy can be explained again by the abundance of very low conductivity values and the
30 predetermination of previously calibrated boundary conditions within the heterogeneous model.

31

32

6 Conclusions

The applied concepts and methods have significantly contributed to a better understanding of the hydraulics and evolution of the karst system. The approach was illustrated by means of the following procedures: (1) determination of the extent of weathered and non-weathered rock and definition of pre-existing discontinuities; (2) identification of the relevant processes (transient character of system inflow, description of slow and fast flow components); (3) evaluation of the influence of episodic major flood events, accompanied by the flushing out of conduit fillings and the inflow of more aggressive water, and (4) investigations of the long-term development of the system.

Comprehensive studies of transient 3-D HGM facilitated the evaluation of the relevant groundwater hydraulics and revealed the dynamic character of the flow regime during low frequency flood events, including river infiltration and diffuse and conduit components of model outflow. The magnitude of the calibrated parameters corresponds to regional hydrogeological investigations and field experiments. This indicates that calculated flow paths and flow budgets through defined zones, and especially their proportions, are plausible. Temperature measurements and the applied heat pulse method (Appendix A) offer an attractive approach for monitoring time-variant infiltration rates through losing stream-reaches, and results can be incorporated in hydrogeological models to describe transient hydraulic conductance. 3-D HGM facilitates current state descriptions of karst systems and provides sufficient information for: (1) estimating the transient composition of water budgets; (2) describing the transient character of the flow regime, and (3) simulating and evaluating **short-term impacts** on processes such as those which occur during episodic flood events.

However, **long-term evolution** of karst systems and prognosis could only be achieved by setting up models that account for the change in hydraulic properties with time. The results presented in this paper show how 2-D KEM can be calibrated to describe the current state of karst systems, and can be used for prognosis of system development and subsidence hazard assessment in the near future. 2-D KEM results illustrate that the fraction of gypsum within the soluble Gipskeuper can inhibit karstification and the development of connected flow pathways necessary for system breakthrough. Moreover, local breakthrough events can lead to localized subsidence events as can be observed in the field. Time scales for the evolution of the present karst system could be estimated.

The application of different modeling techniques allowed specific aspects of the hydrologic processes to be represented and the required level of model complexity to be defined. Results from the independent approaches enabled the identification of sets of parameters for which the

1 system behavior is satisfactorily described. Generally, the transferability of the two approaches
2 could be confirmed. The strengths of each individual model could be exploited. The results of both
3 modeling approaches were evaluated and interpreted continuously. This allowed the identification
4 of sources of associated uncertainties and the determination of relevant parameters governing the
5 processes within the complex geological settings, including varying properties of the formations
6 with regard to hydraulic conductivity and solubility. It is suggested that a significant reduction in the
7 uncertainty of modeling karstic environments can be achieved by an appropriate, complementary
8 combination of modeling approaches viewed as a multi-model ensemble (c.f. Makropoulos et al.,
9 2008).

10
11 In addition, the development infrastructures (e.g., railways; Gutiérrez, 1996; Guerrero et al., 2008)
12 in areas prone to subsidence requires special sets of rules and regulations (e.g. prohibiting the
13 connection of aquifers) to minimize potential problems from present and future development. The
14 described models require a step-wise approach; can have a predictive character and can be the
15 basis for the development of effective long-term strategies within ***transient hydrogeological***
16 ***environments***.

17 18 19 **Acknowledgements**

20 The authors would like to thank Susan Braun-Clarke who proofread the English, the anonymous
21 reviewers for providing valuable comments on the manuscript, as well as Ralph Henz from PNP
22 (Pfirter, Nyfeler & Partner), Walter Tschudin (Amt für Umweltschutz und Energie) and Hanspeter
23 Keller from the IWB (Industrielle Werke Basel) for the provision of site-specific data. Financial
24 support from the FAG (Freiwillige Akademische Gesellschaft Basel) is also gratefully
25 acknowledged.

Appendix A: Determination of GHB Conductance

The bed and stage of the Birs River up- and downstream of the dam was represented as specified head boundary using the GHB package in MODFLOW (Anderson and Woessner, 1992). The GHB package requires the input of a hydraulic conductance C_d . For the polygon input method C_d can be obtained by:

$$C_d = K_v \cdot b^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

where K_v is the vertical hydraulic conductivity of the interface (e.g., river bed sediments) and b is the thickness of the interface. The determination of C_d usually involves great uncertainty and therefore values generally are calibrated or adopted from the literature.

For the current case study C_d initially was calibrated by inverse modeling. Nevertheless, calibration results and sensitivity analysis revealed considerable uncertainties in the conductance values used, especially for the GHB upstream of the dam (Table 1), which varied in order of magnitudes. To gain additional confidence in the use of this crucial parameter, river and riverine groundwater temperature series data were analyzed. The results of the analysis allowed to apply the one-dimensional heat pulse technique that was already successfully used for ephemeral streams in arid regions (Constantz et al., 1994; Constantz and Thomas, 1996), in perennial streams (Silliman and Booth, 1993; Silliman et al., 1995) as well as for losing river-reaches in karst areas (Dogwiler et al., 2007). The method provides a means of monitoring infiltration rates through losing stream-reaches using thermal variations which occur in the surface water and riverine groundwater as a proxy for infiltration rates. The rate of thermal flux is assumed to be controlled by downward advection of surface water into the underlying aquifer (Silliman et al., 1995). The heat pulse method involves measuring the lag time between a maximum (or minimum) of river water temperature and a corresponding maximum (or minimum) of the riverine groundwater temperature, as well as the distance between the infiltrating river water and groundwater monitoring locations (cf. Taniguchi and Sharam, 1990). For the application of the method a series of assumptions have to be made: (1) the process is 1D; (2) only advective heat transport is taken into account, conductive heat transport and hydrodynamic dispersion is neglected; (3) river-groundwater interaction occurs via diffuse seepage into the streambed, rather than at a discrete point, and (4) in addition to the phase shift, the amplitude of temperature differences decreases with infiltration distance.

The velocity at which a heat pulse migrates downward through the sediment is proportional to the rate at which water infiltrates through the sediment (specific infiltration rate), which can be calculated by:

$$q_i = n \cdot \beta \cdot v_a, \quad (5)$$

where n is the effective porosity and the coefficient β can be determined by the ratios of the volumetric heat capacities of water ($4184 \text{ Jm}^{-3}\text{K}^{-1}$) and wet sediment (e.g., $2368 \text{ Jm}^{-3}\text{K}^{-1}$; Lapham, 1989):

$$\beta = \frac{c_s \rho_s}{c_w \rho_w} = 0.57. \quad (6)$$

The dimensionless coefficient β describes the distribution of thermal energy between water and soil (sediment) and ranges between 0.3 and 0.7 for natural conditions (De Marsily, 1986).

Together with the hydraulic gradient J the hydraulic conductivity K_v can be determined by Darcy's law:

$$K_v = q_i \cdot J^{-1}. \quad (7)$$

Transient C_d values for the GHB upstream were calculated, incorporating hydraulic conductivities of the river bed sediments deriving from a temperature data analysis approach, and assuming that river sediments are about 3 m thick (see Section 5.4).

Analyzed data include temperature time series from the river water and the riverine observation wells OW12 and 13 from August 2006 to May 2008. Data loggers were programmed to record pressure and temperature at 1 hour intervals. The distance from observation wells OW12 and 13 to the river are 2.6 and 2.2 m, respectively. Previous studies focused on analyzing diurnal temperature variations. In the current case study these variations are marginal for the groundwater temperature measurements in the observation wells. Therefore, the heat pulse method was applied on distinct long-term variations of temperature patterns.

As mentioned above, an important assumption in the heat pulse method is that the rate of thermal flux is controlled by the downward advection of the surface water and is therefore a proxy for the infiltration rate q_i . Although temperature patterns strongly suggest advective-dominated heat transfer, the relative importance of conduction and convection in the substrate of the losing reach was assessed based on the calculated Peclet number. Following the approach of Silliman et al. (1995), the dimensionless Peclet number can be determined by:

$$Pe = \beta \cdot v_a \cdot n \cdot l / D, \quad (8)$$

where the thermal diffusivity D is given by:

$$D = K_e / c_s \rho_s = 4.6 E - 07 m^2 s^{-1}, \quad (9)$$

K_e is thermal conductivity ($1 \text{ Jm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$) and l is the characteristic length and set as 1 m.

Determined flow velocities range between $8.7E-06$ and $7.2E-05 \text{ ms}^{-1}$, resulting in Peclet numbers

1 for the losing reach of 1.02 to 8.48. Hence, the Peclet number is greater than 1.0 and advection
2 dominates.

3
4 Table 3 summarizes input parameters used and the results of the temperature data analysis. q_i
5 ranges from 4.7E-07 to 3.9E-06 ms⁻¹, which is in good agreement with other determined q_i of
6 Swiss rivers (Höhn, 2002; Huggenberger et al., 2006).

7
8 Since August 2007, generally higher conductivity values have been observed indicating enhanced
9 river water infiltration after the 300-year flood of 9 August 2007. C_d obtained from the temperature
10 analysis resulted in 5.8E-07 s⁻¹ before the major flood event, which is in very good agreement with
11 the calibrated C_d an average value of 2.7E-07 s⁻¹ for the GHB upstream of the dam of the steady
12 state 3-D HGM (situation on 7 February 2006). After the flood event, C_d resulted in an average
13 value of 1.1E-06 s⁻¹.

14
15 The primary result of the heat pulse method is the qualitative identification of the gaining and losing
16 reaches of small rivers. Transformations in the hydraulic properties of the streambed, as caused by
17 flooding events, can be captured by applying this method. Furthermore it could be observed that
18 gradients in the maximum temperatures are generally higher than those in the minimum
19 temperatures (cf. Constantz and Thomas, 1996). A correlation between the magnitude of
20 maximum or minimum temperature gradients and low and high flow could not be found.

21
22 The ranges in values for hydraulic aquifer properties determined using the heat pulse method is
23 much smaller than the range obtained using Darcy-based methods.

Appendix B: Modeled Lithological Volumes and Drain Diameters

Measured values for Calcium and Sulfate at the groundwater outlets represent an average outflow concentration of 256.4 and 148.2 mg l⁻¹, respectively. Infiltrating river water has Calcium and Sulfate concentrations of 92.2 and 9.6 mg l⁻¹, respectively. This equals approximately 300 mg l⁻¹ gypsum removed from the system. Modeled outflow at the GHB downstream and in the drains resulted in approximately 20 ls⁻¹. Consequently, 6 gs⁻¹ gypsum are removed from the system, which means a total of approximately 500 kgd⁻¹, 200 ta⁻¹ and 20'000 t in 100 years. Assuming a density of the gypsum of 2.3 to 2.4 gcm⁻³ would result in approximately 8'500 m³ gypsum removed from the system over the last 100 years. This represents a cube with approximately 20 m edge length. However, system outflow increased over the last 100 years, and assuming an outflow of approximately 20 ls⁻¹ over the whole time period is not justified. Incorporating modeled outflow from the 2-D KEM (Fig. 11) would result in approximately 15'000 t or 6'400 m³ gypsum removed from the system over the last 100 years.

The solid representing the weathered gypsum formation of the diffuse flow system within the 3-D HGM has a volume of approximately 200'000 m³. If 6'400 to 8'500 m³ gypsum was removed from the above volume, the fraction of Calcium-sulfate minerals of the weathered gypsum formation was reduced by 3 to 4 % over the last 100 years. The concentration of gypsum removed from the system is assumed to be constant and effects during flood events are not considered. For 5 borehole profiles, the fraction of Calcium-sulfate minerals within the non-weathered and weathered Gipskeuper formation was determined ranging between 30 - 50 % and 5 - 15 %, respectively. Hence, the reduction of Calcium-sulfate minerals lies within the determined values.

Drain diameters can be calculated by applying the transformed Darcy-Weisbach equation (Bobok, 1993):

$$d = -\lambda \frac{l}{\Delta h} \frac{u^2}{2g}, \quad (10)$$

where d is the drain diameter, λ is the friction factor, l is the length of the pipe, Δh is the head difference within the drain, $u = 4Q/(\pi d^2)$ is the average velocity with Q presenting discharge, and g is the earth's gravitational acceleration. The friction factor depends on the velocity in the drain via the Reynolds number $Re = ud/\nu$, with ν the kinematic viscosity of water (1E-06 m²s⁻¹). For $Re > 2300$ turbulent flow is assumed. With regard to the measured flow velocities of around 100 md⁻¹, turbulent flow would start with drain diameters greater than 1.8 to 2.3 m. Although voids with a maximum height of up to 2.7 m were detected during drilling, it is assumed that there is no connected conduit system exceeding the drain diameters previously cited, that would be necessary for turbulent flow. Additionally, most detected voids were filled. Consequently, laminar flow is

assumed and the Hagen-Poiseuille equation can be applied. The friction for laminar flow is calculated as:

$$\lambda = \frac{64 \nu}{d u} \quad (11)$$

This expression can be substituted in the equation used for calculating drain diameters, and together with the simulated discharge Q passing through the drain cross section the drain diameter can be calculated as:

$$d = \sqrt[4]{\frac{128 l \nu}{\pi} \frac{Q}{\Delta h g}} \quad (12)$$

Equivalent drain diameter along the entire length of the modeled drain was calculated for Drains 1 and 2. For the scenarios with unconnected drains, calculations before the major flood event resulted in diameters for Drains 1 and 2 of 0.11 m and 0.15 m, respectively, and of 0.16 m and 0.18 m, respectively, after the major flood event. This means that the cross section for flow within Drains 1 and 2 increases by 31 % and 17 %, respectively, after the major flood event. For the scenarios with connected drains, calculations before the major flood event resulted in diameters for Drains 1 and 2 of 0.09 m and 0.16 m, respectively, and of 0.15 m and 0.18 m, respectively, after the major flood event. This means that the cross section for flow within Drains 1 and 2 increases by 40 % and 11 %, respectively, after the major flood event. Diameters calculated for both scenarios illustrate the increase in the cross section for flow during flood events, especially for Drain 1. As the determination of Δh is uncertain (as observed in Oswald and Kinzelbach 2004; Konz et al. 2009), the influence of lower Δh was investigated. While it is obvious that lower Δh result in a decrease in calculated drain diameters, relative changes in diameters before and after the major flood event remain unaffected. The increase of the cross section for flow after the major flood event is interpreted as follows: During the flood event the hydraulic head forced water through the cavities and the clay fillings eroded.

References

- Anderson, M. P. and Woessner, W. W.: Applied groundwater modeling. Academic Press, San Diego, 1992.
- Bauer, S., Liedl, R. and Sauter, M.: Modelling of karst aquifer genesis - influence of exchange flow. *Water Resour. Res.* 39 (10). doi:10.1029/2003WR002218, 2003.
- Bauer, S., Liedl, R. and Sauter, M.: Modelling the influence of epikarst evolution on karst aquifer genesis: a time-variant recharge boundary condition for joint karst-epikarst development. *Water Resour. Res.*, 1-12. doi:10.1029/2004WR003321, 2005.
- Birk, S., Liedl, R. and Sauter, M.: Identification of localized recharge and conduit flow by combined analysis of hydraulic and physico-chemical spring responses (Urenbrunnen, SW-Germany), *J. Hydrol.*, 286, 179-193, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2003.09.007, 2004.
- Birk, S., Liedl, R., Sauter, M. and Teutsch, G.: Simulation of the development of gypsum maze caves. *Env. Geol.*, 48:296-306, 2005.
- Bitterli-Brunner, P., Fischer, H. and Herzog, P.: Geologic map Blatt Arlesheim 1067 (in German). Geological Atlas of Switzerland, 1984.
- Bitterli-Brunner, P. and Fischer, H.: Explanations map Blatt Arlesheim 1067 (in German). Geological Atlas of Switzerland, 1989.
- Bobok, E.: Fluid Mechanics for Petroleum Engineers, 400 pp., Elsevier Sci., New York, 1993.
- Breznik, M.: Storage Reservoirs and Deep Wells in Karst Regions. A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam, 1998.
- Butscher, C. and Huggenberger, P.: Intrinsic vulnerability assessment in karst areas: a numerical modeling approach. *Water Resour. Res.* 44 (3), W03408, 2008.
- Clemens, T., Hückinghaus, D., Sauter, M., Liedl, R. and Teutsch, G.: A combined continuum and discrete network reactive transport model for the simulation of karst development. In: Calibration and Reliability in Groundwater Modelling. IAHS Publications, vol. 237. Proceedings of the ModelCARE 96 Conference. pp. 309-318, 1996.
- Constantz, J. and Thomas, C. L.: The use of streambed temperature profiles to estimate the depth, duration, and rate of percolation beneath arroyos: *Water Resour. Res.*, v. 32, no. 12, p. 3597-3602. 1996.
- Constantz, J., Thomas, C. L. and Zellweger, G.: Influence of diurnal variations in stream temperature on streamflow loss and groundwater recharge: *Water Resour. Res.*, v. 30, no. 12, p. 3253-3264, 1994.
- De Marsily, G.: Quantitative hydrogeology – Groundwater hydrology for engineers. Academic Press, San Diego, 1986.
- Dogwiler, T., Wicks, C. M. and Jenzen, E.: An assessment of the applicability of the heat pulse method toward the determination of infiltration rates in karst losing-stream reaches. *Journal of Cave and Karst Studies*, v. 69, no. 2, p. 237-242, 2007.
- Doherty, J.: PEST Model-Independent Parameter Estimation. Watermark Computing, Corinda, Australia, 1994.
- Dreiss, S. J.: Regional scale transport in a karst aquifer: 1. Component separation of spring flow hydrographs. *Water Resour. Res.* 25 (1), 117-125, 1989a.
- Dreiss, S. J.: Regional scale transport in a karst aquifer: 2. Linear systems and time moment analysis. *Water Resour. Res.* 25 (1), 126-134, 1989b.
- Dreybrodt, W.: The kinetics of calcite dissolution and its consequences to karst evolution from the initial to the mature state, *NSS Bull.*, 49, 31-49, 1987.
- Dreybrodt, W.: Processes in karst systems-Physics, chemistry and geology, Springer Series in Physical Environments, vol. 5, Springer Berlin, New York, 1988.
- Dreybrodt, W.: The role of dissolution kinetics in the development of karstification in limestone: A model simulation of karst evolution, *J. Geol.*, 98, 639-655, 1990.
- Dreybrodt, W.: Dynamics of karstification: a model applied to hydraulic structures in karst terranes. *Appl. Hydrogeol.* 1, 20-32, 1992.
- Dreybrodt, W.: Principles of early development of karst conduits under natural and man-made conditions revealed by mathematical analysis of numerical models. *Water Resour. Res.* 32, 2923-2935, 1996.
- Dreybrodt, W. and Siemers, J.: Cave evolution on two-dimensional networks of primary fractures in limestone. In: Klimchouk, A., Ford, D. C., Palmer, A. N. and Dreybrodt, W. (Eds.), *Speleogenesis: Evolution of Karst Aquifers*. Nat. Speleol. Soc., USA, pp. 201-211, 2000.

1 Dreybrodt, W., Romanov, D. and Gabrovšek, F.: Karstification below dam sites: a model of increasing
2 leakage. In: Beck, B. F., Herring, J. G. (Eds.), *Geotechnical and Environmental Applications of Karst*
3 *Geology and Hydrology: Proceedings of the 8th Multidisciplinary Conference on Sinkholes and the*
4 *Engineering and Environmental Impacts of Karsts*, Louisville, Kentucky. Balkema, pp. 131-137, 2001.

5 Dreybrodt, W., Gabrovšek, F. and Romanov, D.: *Processes of Speleogenesis: a modelling approach.*
6 *Carsologica*, Verlag ZRC Publishing, Ljubljana, ISSN ISBN 961-6500-91-0, 2005.

7 Eisenlohr, L., Bouzelboudjen, M., Kiraly, L. and Rossier, Y.: Numerical versus statistical modeling of natural
8 response of a karst hydrogeological system. *J. Hydrol.* 202, 244-262, 1997.

9 Environmental Modeling Systems Inc.: *GMS, groundwater modeling system v6.0*, 2006. <http://www.ems->
10 [i.com](http://www.ems-i.com)

11 Epting, J., Huggenberger, P. and Glur, L.: *A Concept for Integrated Investigations of Karst Phenomena in*
12 *Urban Environments*, submitted to *Eng. Geol.*

13 Epting, J., Huggenberger, P. and Rauber, M.: *Integrated methods and scenario development in urban*
14 *groundwater management, and protection during tunnel road construction; A case study of urban*
15 *hydrogeology in the city of Basel, Switzerland.* *Hydrogeol. J.* 16, 575-591, 2008.

16 Fechter, D.: *Topography with the consideration of the cultural and conventional history (in German).* In: *Basel*
17 *in the 14th century*, 1856.

18 Ford, D. C. and Williams, P. W.: *Karst Geomorphology and Hydrology.* Unwin Hyman, London, 1989.

19 Gabrovšek, F., Menne, B. and Dreybrodt, W.: A model of early evolution of karst conduits affected by
20 subterranean CO₂ sources. *Environ. Geol.* 39 (6), 531-543, 2000.

21 Gabrovšek, F. and Dreybrodt, W.: The role of mixing corrosion in calcite aggressive H₂O-CO₂-CaCO₃
22 solutions in the early evolution of karst aquifers in limestone. *Water Resour. Res.* 36, 1179-1188, 2000.

23 Gabrovšek, F. and Dreybrodt, W.: A comprehensive model of the early evolution of karst aquifers in
24 limestone in the dimensions of length and depth. *J. Hydrol.* 240 (3-4), 206-224, 2001.

25 Golder, E.: *100 years Birschwiler Neue Welt (in German).* Published by: Baudepartement Basel-Stadt,
26 Tiefbauamt, 1984.

27 Groves, C. G. and Howard, A. D.: Early development of karst systems 1. Preferential flow path enlargement
28 under laminar flow. *Water Resour. Res.* 30 (10), 2837-2846, 1994.

29 Guerrero, J., Gutiérrez, F., Bonachea, J., Lucha, P.: A sinkhole susceptibility zonation based on paleokarst
30 analysis along a stretch of the Madrid-Barcelona high-speed railway built over gypsum- and salt-bearing
31 evaporites (NE Spain). *Engineering Geology* 102 (1-2), 62-73, 2008.

32 Gutiérrez, F.: Gypsum karstification induced subsidence: effects on alluvial systems and derived geohazards
33 (Calatayud Graben, Iberian Range, Spain). *Geomorphology* 16, 277-293, 1996.

34 Gürler, B., Hauber, L. and Schwander, M.: *Geology of the surrounding area of Basel with suggestions usage*
35 *options of geothermal energy (in German).* In: *Beitrag zur Geologischen Karte der Schweiz*, 1987.

36 Hanna, B. R. and Rajaram, H.: Influence of aperture variability on dissolutional growth of fissures in karst
37 formations. *Water Resour. Res.* 34, 2843-2853, 1998.

38 Harbaugh, A. W., Banta, E. R., Hill, M. C. and McDonald, M. G.: *MODFLOW-2000, the U.S. Geological*
39 *Survey Modular Ground-Water Model - User Guide to Modularization Concepts and the Ground-Water*
40 *Flow Process.* Open-File Report 00-92 U.S., Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia, 2000.

41 Höhn, E.: Hydrogeological issues of riverbank filtration – a review. Pages 17-41 in C Ray und NATO, editors.
42 *Riverbank filtration understanding contaminant biogeochemistry and pathogen removal.* Kluwer,
43 Dordrecht, 2002.

44 Huggenberger, P., Epting, J., Regli, C., Spottke, I. and Zechner, E.: *River-groundwater Interaction. MoNit:*
45 *Modelling of nitrate groundwater contamination in the Upper Rhine Graben. INTERREG III A-Projekt.*
46 *Landesamt für Umwelt, Messungen und Naturschutz Baden-Württemberg, Karlsruhe*, 2006.

47 James, A. N. and Lupton, A. R. R.: Gypsum and anhydrite in foundations of hydraulic structures.
48 *Geotechnique* 28:249-272, 1978.

49 James, A. N.: *Soluble materials in civil engineering.* Ellis Horwood Series in Civil Engineering. Ellis Horwood,
50 Chichester, England. 434 pp, 1992.

51 Jarvis, T.: The money pit: karst failure of Anchor Dam, Wyoming. In: Johnson, K. S. and Neal, J. T. (eds)
52 *Evaporite karst and engineering/environmental problems in the United States.* *Okla. Geol. Surv. Circ.*
53 *109:271-278*, 2003.

- 1 Jeschke, A. A., Vosbeck, K. and Dreybrodt, W.: Surface controlled dissolution rates of gypsum in aqueous
2 solutions exhibit nonlinear dissolution kinetics. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 65, 1, 27-34, 2001.
- 3 Johnson, K. S.: Evaporite-karst problems in the United States. In: Johnson, K. S. and Neal, J.T. (eds)
4 Evaporite karst and engineering/environmental problems in the United States. *Okla. Geol. Surv. Circ.*
5 109:1-20, 2003a.
- 6 Johnson, K. S.: Gypsum karst and abandonment of the Upper Mangum Damsite in southwestern Oklahoma.
7 In: Johnson, K. S. and Neal, J. T. (eds) Evaporite karst and engineering/environmental problems in the
8 United States. *Okla. Geol. Surv. Circ.* 109:85-94, 2003b.
- 9 Johnson, K. S.: Gypsum karst as a major factor in the design of the proposed Lower Mangum Dam in
10 southwestern Oklahoma. In: Johnson, K. S. and Neal, J. T. (eds) Evaporite karst and engineering/
11 environmental problems in the United States. *Okla. Geol. Surv. Circ.* 109:95-111, 2003c.
- 12 Johnson, K. S.: Subsidence hazards due to evaporite dissolution in the United States. *Environ Geol* 48:395-
13 409, 2005.
- 14 Johnson, K. S.: Gypsum-karst problems in constructing dams in the USA. *Environ Geol* (2008) 53: 945-950,
15 2008.
- 16 Jones, N. L., Budge, T. J., Lemon, A. M. and Zundel, A. K.: Generating MODFLOW grids from boundary
17 representation solid models. *Ground Water* 40 (2), 194-200, 2002.
- 18 Josnin, J.-Y., Pistre, S. and Drogue, C.: Modélisation d'un système karstique complexe (basin de St.
19 Chaptes, Gard, France): un outil de synthèse des données géologiques et hydrogéologiques. *Canadian*
20 *J. of Earth Sciences* 37, 1425-1445, 2000.
- 21 Kaufmann, G. and Braun, J.: Karst aquifer evolution in fractured, porous rocks. *Water Resour. Res.*, 36 (6),
22 1381-1392, 2000.
- 23 Kiraly, L.: Modeling karst aquifers by the combined discrete channel and continuum approach, *Bull.*
24 *Hydrogeol.*, 16, 77-98, 1998.
- 25 Klimchouk, A. and Andrechuk, V.: Environmental problems in gypsum karst terrains. In: Klimchouk A. (ed)
26 Gypsum karst of the World. *Int. J. Speleol.* 25(3-4):145-156, 1996.
- 27 Klimchouk, A., Ford, D. C., Palmer, A. N. and Dreybrodt, W. (Eds.): *Speleogenesis: Evolution of Karst*
28 *Aquifers. Nat. Speleol. Soc., USA.* 444 pp., 2000.
- 29 Konz M., Ackerer P., Younes A., Huggenberger P. and Zechner E.: Two-dimensional stable-layered
30 laboratory-scale experiments for testing density-coupled flow models, *Water Resour. Res.*, 45, W02404,
31 doi:10.1029/2008WR007118, 2009.
- 32 Kovacs, A.: Geometry and hydraulic parameters of karst aquifers: A hydrodynamic modeling approach.
33 Thèse de Doctorat, CHYN, Univ. de Neuchâtel, 2003.
- 34 Lamont-Black, J., Younger, P. L., Forth, R. A., Cooper, A. H. and Bonniface, J. P.: A decision-logic
35 framework for investigating subsidence problems potentially attributable to gypsum karstification, *Eng.*
36 *Geol.* 65 (2-3), 205-215, 2002.
- 37 Lapham, W. W.: Use of temperature profiles beneath streams to determine rates of vertical ground-water
38 flow and vertical hydraulic conductivity, *U.S. Geol. Surv. Water Supply Pap.* 2337, 35 pp., 1989.
- 39 Lee, C. and Farmer, I.: *Fluid Flow in Discontinuous Rocks.* Chapman & Hall, London, 1993.
- 40 Lemon, A. M. and Jones, N. L.: Building solid models from boreholes and user-defined cross-sections.
41 *Computers & Geosciences*, Vol. 29 (5), 547-555, 2003.
- 42 Liedl, R., Sauter, M., Hückinghaus, D., Clemens, T. and Teutsch, G.: Simulation of the development of karst
43 aquifers using a coupled continuum pipe flow model, *Water Resour. Res.*, 39(3), 1057, doi:10.1029/
44 2001WR001206, 2003.
- 45 Long, J. C. S., Remer, J. S., Wilson, C. R. and Witherspoon, P. A.: Porous media equivalents for networks of
46 discontinuous fractures. *Water Resour. Res.* 18 (3), 645-658, 1982.
- 47 Long, J. C. S. and Billaux, D. M.: From field data to fracture network modeling: an example incorporating
48 spatial structure. *Water Resour. Res.* 23 (7), 1201-1216, 1987.
- 49 Mace, R. E.: Geostatistical description of hydraulic properties in karst aquifers: a case study in the Edwards
50 Aquifer. *Proceedings, International Symposium on Groundwater Management.* American Society of Civil
51 Engineers, New York, 193-198, 1995.
- 52 Makropoulos, C., Koutsoyiannis, D., Stanic, M., Djordjevic, S., Prodanovic, D., Dasic, T., Prohaska, S.,
53 Maksimovic, C. and Wheeler, H. S.: A multi-model approach to the simulation of large scale karst flows.
54 *J. Hydrol.* 348, 412-424, 2008.

- 1 Milanović, P. T.: Geological Engineering in Karst. Zebra Publishing, Belgrade, Yugoslavia, 2000.
- 2 Milanovic, P.: Water resources engineering in karst. London: CRC press, Taylor & Francis, 2004.
- 3 Nagra: Project Opalinuston: Syntheses of geoscientific investigation results (in German). NTB: 02-03, 2002.
- 4 Oswald, S. and Kinzelbach, W.: Three-dimensional physical benchmark experiments to test variable-density
5 flow models, J. Hydrol. 290, 22-42, 2004.
- 6 Payton, C. C. and Hansen, M. N.: Gypsum karst in southwestern Utah: failure and reconstruction of Quail
7 Creek Dike. In: Johnson, K. S., Neal, J. T. (eds) Evaporite karst and engineering/environmental
8 problems in the United States. Okla. Geol. Surv. Circ. 109:293–303, 2003.
- 9 Pearson, R. M.: Gypsum karst of the Lykins Formation and effects for Colorado Front Range water projects;
10 Horsetooth and Carter Lake Reservoirs. Geol. Soc. Am. Abstr. Programs 34(6):216, 2002.
- 11 Pearson, F. J., Balderer, W., Loosli, H. H., Lehmann, B. E., Matter, A., Peters, T., Schmassmann, H. and
12 Gautschi, A.: Applied Isotope Hydrogeology-a case study in northern Switzerland. Elsevier, 1991.
- 13 Quinn, J. and Tomasko, D.: A numerical approach to simulating mixed flow in karst aquifers. In: Sasowsky, I.
14 and Wicks, C. (Eds.), Groundwater Flow and Contaminant Transport in Carbonate Aquifers. A. A.
15 Balkema, Rotterdam, Holland, 147-156, 2000.
- 16 Quinn, J. J., Tomasko, D. and Kuiper, J. A.: Modeling complex flow in a karst aquifer. Sed. Geol., Vol. 184
17 (3-4), 343-351, 2006.
- 18 Regli, C., Huggenberger, P. and Rauber, M.: Interpretation of drill-core and georadar data of coarse gravel
19 deposits. J. Hydrol. 255, 234-252, 2002.
- 20 Romanov, D., Dreybrodt W., and Gabrovšek, F.: Interaction of fracture and conduit flow in the evolution of
21 karst aquifers. In: Martin, J. B., Wicks, C., Sasowsky, I. D. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Symposium on
22 Karst Aquifers: Florida and Related Environments. Karst Water Institute, Charles Town, West Virginia,
23 KWI special publication 7. pp. 1-6, 2002.
- 24 Romanov, D., Gabrovšek, F. and Dreybrodt, W.: Dam sites in soluble rocks: a model of increasing leakage
25 by dissolutional widening of fractures beneath a dam. Eng. Geol., 70, 17-35, 2003.
- 26 Romanov, D., Gabrovsek, F. and Dreybrodt, W.: Leakage below dam sites in limestone terrains by
27 enhanced corrosion: a modeling approach. Env. Geol., 51, 775-779, 2007.
- 28 Romanov, D., Epting, J., Huggenberger, P. and Kaufmann, G.: Karstification of an aquifer along the Birs
29 River, Switzerland – from natural to anthropogenic dominated boundary conditions. EGU General
30 Assembly 2009, Geophysical Res. Abstr., Vol. 11, EGU2009-0, 2009.
- 31 Schmassmann, H.: Baselbieter and western Aargauer Tafeljura (in German). In: Jäckli, H., Kempf, T., 1972,
32 Erläuterungen zum Blatt Bözberg-Beromünster 1. Hydrogeologische Karte der Schweiz, 93-100, 1972.
- 33 Siemers, J. and Dreybrodt, W.: Early development of karst aquifers on percolation networks of fractures in
34 limestone. Water Resour. Res. 34 (3), 409-419, 1998.
- 35 Silliman, S. E. and Booth, D. F.: Analysis of time-series measurements of sediment temperature for
36 identification of gaining vs. losing portions of Juday Creek, Indiana: J. Hydrol., v. 146, p. 131-148, 1993.
- 37 Silliman, S. E., Ramirez, J. and McCabe, R. L.: GHB downflow through creek sediments using temperature
38 time series: One-dimensional solution incorporating measured surface temperature: J. Hydrol., v. 167, p.
39 99-119, 1995.
- 40 Spottke, I., Zechner, E. and Huggenberger, P.: The southeastern border of the Upper Rhine Graben: A 3-D
41 geological model and its importance for tectonics and groundwater flow. Int. J. of Earth Sci. 94:580-593,
42 2005.
- 43 Taniguchi, M. and Sharam, M. L.: Solute and heat transport experiments for estimating recharge rate: J.
44 Hydrol., v. 119, p. 57-69, 1990.
- 45 Teutsch, G.: Groundwater models in karstified terrains: two practical examples from the Swabian Alb (S.
46 Germany). Proceedings, Solving Ground Water Problems with Models. International Ground Water
47 Modeling Center, Indianapolis, Indiana, 929-953, 1989.
- 48 Thrailkill, J., Sullivan, S. B. and Gouzie, D. R.: Flow parameters in a shallow conduit-flow carbonate aquifer,
49 Inner 350 J. J. Quinn et al. / Sedimentary Geology 184 (2006) 343-351 Bluegrass Karst Region,
50 Kentucky, USA. J. Hydrol. 129, 87-108, 1991.
- 51 White, W. B.: Geomorphology and Hydrology of Karst Terrains. Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1988.
- 52

Tables

Table 1. Initial parameters, calibration range and calibrated hydraulic parameters for the extended model with drains as well as normalized composite scaled sensitivities for the calibrated parameters of all investigated scenarios.

	Initial parameters	Calibration range of parameters		Calibrated values	Normalized Sensitivities (%)
HK_Quaternary (ms ⁻¹)	5.0E-03	5.0E-04	5.0E-02	9.8E-04	8
HK_weathered Gipskeuper (ms ⁻¹)	1.0E-05	1.0E-06	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	29
HK_non-weathered rock (ms ⁻¹)	1.0E-07	1.0E-09	1.0E-05	1.0E-05	28
GHB_upstream (s ⁻¹)	2.0E-06	2.0E-08	2.0E-04	2.7E-07	24
GHB_downstream (s ⁻¹)	2.0E-06	2.0E-08	2.0E-04	9.4E-05	10
Drain 1 (ms ⁻¹)	2.0E-03	2.0E-05	2.0E-01	6.0E-04	1
Drain 2 (ms ⁻¹)	2.0E-03	2.0E-05	2.0E-01	8.1E-03	1

1 **Table 2.** Water budgets (in ls^{-1}) across model boundaries and defined zones of the cross section
2 (see Figs. 5 and 6 for location of boundaries and zones for water budgets).
3

	Homogeneous aquifer properties				Heterogeneous aquifer properties	
	Before construction		After construction		Before construction	
	IN	OUT	IN	OUT	IN	OUT
1. Kind, Dirichlet	0.9	4.1	0.4	2.6	-	-
3. Kind, Cauchy	12.8	12.6	7.8	11.6	12.9	12.6
Drains	0.0	5.9	0.0	5.0	-	-
ZONE 1	6.3	6.2	5.7	5.7	6.4	6.6
ZONE 2	24.8	24.7	2.6	2.7	12.2	11.1

1 **Table 3.** Results from one-dimensional heat flux method.

	OW12	OW13
Number of analyzed data pairs	19	104
Average time lag (h)	26	36
Average hydraulic gradient J (-)	0.42	0.72
Average velocity v_a (ms^{-1})	3.2E-05	2.0E-05
Average hydraulic conductivity k_f (ms^{-1})	4.1E-06	2.5E-06
Average specific infiltration rate q_i ($\text{m}^3\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$)	0.15	0.1
Average hydraulic conductance C_d (s^{-1})	1.7E-06	1.1E-06

Figures

Fig. 1. Conceptual approach.

Fig. 2. Investigation area in the urban agglomeration of Basel.

Fig. 3. Investigation area (an overview diagram is given in Fig. 2).

Fig. 4. River dam at low river discharge (9 m³/s; 16.06.2006). Note, as evidence for the subsidence of the dam structure, that the crest is only overflowed on its left side (a diagram is given in Fig. 2).

Fig. 5. Geological, tectonic map with removed Quaternary sequence (modified after unpublished data, Pfirter 1973), lithostratigraphy, hydrostratigraphy, modeled geological units (modified after Bitterli-Brunner and Fischer 1989, Gürler et al. 1987, Pearson et al. 1991, Spottke et al. 2005) as well as longitudinal and transversal cross section, including zones for water budgets.

Fig. 6. Left: 3-D HGM with locations of cross sections (transversal cross section B-B' and longitudinal cross section A-A', Fig. 5).
Right: conceptual 3-D HGM setup with hydraulic boundary conditions.

Fig. 7. Geometry and hydraulic boundary conditions of the horizontal 2-D KEM superimposed on the model geometries of the 3-D HGM. Illustrated also are zones for weathered and non-weathered aquifer properties as well as locations with information from ERT, caves and injections of supplementary grout mixture.

Fig. 8. Visualization of hydraulic heads and particle tracks in model layer 2 (0.1 m resolution).
Left: Groundwater flow regime at average river discharge before construction measures (07.02.06)
Right: Groundwater flow regime at average river discharge after construction measures (15.01.08)

Fig. 9. Comparison of observed and calculated groundwater heads for 4 selected observation wells (see Fig. 2 for location of observation wells).

Fig. 10. Water budgets calculated with the transient 3-D HGM.

Fig. 11. Upper graph: Simulation results of karst evolution illustrated by the change of hydraulic conductivity distribution from the initial state to 100 a.
Lower graph: Simulated model outflow.

- 1 **Fig. 12.** Visualization of heterogeneous hydraulic conductivity distributions transferred from three
2 realizations of the 2-D KEM to 3-D HGM (Layers 2 to 4). Aquifer properties distributions
3 for Layers 1 and 5 are described in the text.
4
- 5 **Fig. 13.** Visualization of heterogeneous distribution of aquifer parameters derived from the 2-D
6 KEM (left) as well as hydraulic heads (0.1 m resolution) and particle tracks in model layer
7 2 before the construction measures (right).