Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 6, C166–C167, 2009 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/6/C166/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Gauging the ungauged basin: how many discharge measurements are needed?" by J. Seibert and K. Beven

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 31 March 2009

This is a good paper, well written and organised. It addresses an important issue, namely the value of data in conditioning rainfall-runoff models Although this issue has had some exposure in the literature, this is one of the first attempts to formally address the issues in a systematic approach, and is based on the use of different numbers of data points, selected according to a variety of sampling strategies, for a set of 11 Swedish catchments. The results are interesting, in that limited spot-sampled data are shown to have a potentially large effect in improving model performance. However, a large number of unanswered questions remain, as the performance is highly variable between catchments, years, and sampling strategies, and this will provides an agenda for the research community to address.

I note that it would be interesting to compare the use of limited site specific data with a

C166

regionalisation approach to the constraint of model parameters.

I note that long term mean potential evaporation was used – can the authors comment on the extent to which this compromises model performance?

Note misuse of brackets in referencing, e.g. page2279, lines 3, 5

Recommendation: Publish with minor corrections.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 6, 2275, 2009.