Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 6, C1396–C1397, 2009

www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/6/C1396/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Dynamically vs. empirically downscaled medium-range precipitation forecasts" by G. Bürger

A. Gelfan (Editor)

hydrowpi@aqua.laser.ru

Received and published: 30 June 2009

I suggest the author to revise the paper being as close as possible to the recommendations formulated by the referees and resubmit it within a reasonable time. The author should consider more specifically the principal comment made by all referees on justification that the obtained results reflect the differences between the compared downscaling models (dynamical LM and empirical EOFs) rather than the differences between two forecast systems (DWD GME and ECMWF IFS) containing these models.

Technical comments (in addition to ones listed by the referees): 1. Page 3525, lines 21-22. The given estimates of GSS for the lead time +2d are not coincided with the C1396

respective values shown in Fig. 4. Please verify. 2. I suggest to point out the lead time in the caption to Fig. 5.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 6, 3517, 2009.