Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 6, 2599–2632, 2009 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/6/2599/2009/ © Author(s) 2009. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.



Papers published in *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions* are under open-access review for the journal *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*

The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow: an example from a small, ephemeral catchment with contrasting soil types in the Adelaide Hills, South Australia

E. Bestland¹, S. Milgate¹, D. Chittleborough², J. VanLeeuwen³, M. Pichler¹, and L. Soloninka¹

¹Earth Sciences, SoCPES, Flinders University, South Australia, 5001, Australia ²School of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Adelaide, Australia ³School of Natural and Built Environments, University of South Australia, Australia

Received: 30 January 2009 - Accepted: 30 January 2009 - Published: 24 March 2009

Correspondence to: E. Bestland (erick.bestland@flinders.edu.au)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

The importance of deep throughflow in a small (3.4 km^2) ephemeral catchment in the Adelaide Hills of South Australia was investigated by detailed hydrochemical analysis of soil water and stream flow during autumn and early winter rains. In this Mediterranean ⁵ climate with strong summer moisture deficits, several significant rainfalls are required to generate soil throughflow and stream flow (in ephemeral streams). During Autumn 2007, a large (127 mm) drought-breaking rain occurred in April followed by significant May rains; most of this precipitation occurred prior to the initiation of stream flow in late May. These early events, especially the 127 mm event, had low (depleted) stable water isotope values compared with both later rains and average winter precipitation. Thus, this large depleted early rain event provided an excellent natural tracer. During the June and July rainfall events, daily stream and soil water samples were collected and analysed. Results from major and trace elements, water isotopes ($\delta^{18}O$, δD), and dissolved organic carbon analysis clearly demonstrate that a large component of

- this early April and May rain was stored and later pushed out of deep soil or regolith zones. This pre-event water was identified in the stream as well as identified in deeper soil horizons due to its different isotopic signature which contrasted sharply with the June–July event water. Based on this data, the regolith and throughflow system for this catchment has been re-thought. The catchment area consists of about half sandy and
- half clayey soils. Regolith flow is now thought to be dominated by the sandy soil system not the clayey soil system. The clayey duplex soils had rapid response to rain events and saturation excess overland flow. The sandy soils had delayed soil throughflow and infiltration excess overland flow. A pulse of macropore throughflow was observed in the sandy soils three days after the rainfall event largely ended. The macropore water
- ²⁵ was a mixture of pre-event and event water, demonstrating the lag-time and mixing of the water masses in the sandy soil system. By contrast, the clayey soil horizons were dominated by pre-event water to a much lesser degree, demonstrating the quicker response and shallow flow through of the clayey soil system. Thus, the sandy terrain

HESSD

6, 2599-2632, 2009

The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow





HESSD 6, 2599-2632, 2009 The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow E. Bestland et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



has a greater vadose zone storage and greater lag time of through-flow than the clayey terrain.

1 Introduction

- Flow pathways through soil and regolith and their relation to streamflow and surface water chemistry have been a focus in several different types of studies. Atmospheric 5 acid deposition and its affect on streams and lakes was an early area of investigation that combined soil hydrochemistry, atmospheric deposition rates and chemistry with surface water chemistry (Likens et al., 1977, 1996; Probst et al., 1990). Silicate weathering rates and the fluxes of weathered product including its link to carbon cycle models provided further progress in the understanding of these complex systems 10 (Aberg et al., 1989; Edmond et al., 1995; Kump et al., 2000; Shand et al., 2007). Added to the above geochemical focused studies are hydrologic investigations in which components of stream flow are identified through utilization of techniques and methods of soil and water hydrogeochemistry (Sklash and Farvolden, 1979, 1982; Kennedy et al., 1986; Land et al., 2000). These studies have highlighted a number of befuddling 15 complications that arise in the study of even the most simple catchments: soil and bedrock heterogeneity, groundwater or baseflow input, seasonal changes to the sys-
- tem in terms of hydrogeochemical (and biogeochemical) inputs, and anthropogenic disturbances such as airborne pollutants and agricultural amendments. Nonetheless,
- ²⁰ progress in comprehensively understanding the diverse range of catchments requires detailed hydrogeochemical studies of soil, regolith, groundwater, and surface water. The study presented here is based on extensive sampling and analysis of soil water, runoff, stream, and precipitation during a moderate sized precipitation event in a small ephemeral catchment in a strongly Mediterranean climate.
- ²⁵ One complicating parameter in the catchment studies cited above is the presence of permanent groundwater and its contribution to stream flow. Thus in such catchments, groundwater input into stream and soil water needs to be separated from un-

saturated and perched flow pathways through soil or regolith. Evaluating the groundwater component has led to assumptions about the homogeneity of groundwater as well as assumptions concerning vadose soil water being a negligible source to stream flow (Sklash and Farvolden, 1982). In the study presented here, a gauged ephemeral stream (Mackreath Creek) of 3.4 km² catchment area in the Adelaide Hills of South

- 5 Australia was investigated with the aim of characterising through flow pathways and identifying their component in the resulting stream flow (Fig. 1). In humid climate settings, differentiating flow paths may be important in terms of flood response time, soil erosion, contaminant transport, and hydropedology (Lin, 2003). These concerns are
- valid in the strongly Mediterranean climate of the Adelaide region. However, in the sub 10 humid to semi-arid climatic setting of much of Australia, with its highly variable rainfalls, understanding flow paths and the amount of precipitation necessary to generate deep, sustained soil-regolith throughflow is critical to predicting landscape response to climate change. Groundwater recharge estimates also rely on assumptions regarding duration and extent of soil-regolith saturation.

2 Background

Mechanisms of stream flow generation in ephemeral streams are dominated by through flow, overland flow, and perched zones of saturated soil and regolith water. Throughflow or lateral subsurface flow has been shown to be an important flow pathway in hilly Mediterranean climate catchments (Kennedy et al., 1986). In humid settings with thick 20 soils lateral subsurface flow has been shown to be the predominant mechanism of stream flow generation (Pearce et al., 1986; Sklash et al., 1976). In the Adelaide Hills or Mount Lofty Ranges (MLR) of South Australia, Cox et al. (2002) identified lateral subsurface flow to be the most important transport pathway for contaminants during years of average or below average rainfall. Mixing between lateral subsurface flow 25 and overland flow on hillslopes in the MLR has been observed by Chittleborough et al. (1992) to produce similar hydrochemical signatures between flow paths.



In settings such as the MLR, lateral subsurface flow is produced by a combination of matrix flow (diffusion through soil) and macropore flow (Smettem et al., 1991; Leaney et al., 1993; Stevens et al., 1999; Cox et al., 2002). Water flowing through the soil matrix generally obtains a signature similar to that of the exchange pool of the soil (Cox et al., 2002), while macropore flow primarily acts as a physical conduit for water to flow through and consequently the exchange pool has a lesser effect on the chemistry of water (Newman et al., 1998). Down-slope mixing of matrix flow and macropore flow can homogenise the hydrochemistry of these two pathways (Chittleborough et al., 1992).

An important aspect of tracing flow paths in catchment systems is the evaluation of pre-event water (Pinder and Jones, 1969; Genereux and Hooper, 1998; Buttle, 1998; Brown et al., 1999; Shanley et al., 2002). Such evaluation commonly entails an analysis of the groundwater input into the stream. In an ephemeral system such as Mackreath Creek where the surface water is largely disconnected from the water table (Fig. 2), an evaluation of pre-event water held in the vadose zone can be made by analysing Autumn and winter rainfall leading up to the analysed event.

3 Setting

Scott Creek and the MLR experience a Mediterranean climate with cool wet winters and warm dry summers. Temperatures range from 14–27°C in summer to 8–14°C in winter. The MLR receives strong seasonal rainfall, with 85% of rainfall between
May and September (BOM, 2007). A yearly rainfall average of 804 mm/yr has been collected at the site (Scott Bottom rainfall collector) at an elevation of 210 m, which has been in operation since 1991 (James-Smith and Harrington, 2002). Evaporation of 1555 mm/yr is measured at the nearest Class A evaporation pan of Mt. Bold, resulting in evaporation exceeding rainfall from October to May.

The Mackreath Creek study site is a sub-catchment of Scott Creek Catchment (SCC) and represents ~10% of its area. Mackreath Creek and SCC are typical of MLR catchments having 100–300 m of relief, moderately steep slopes in many areas and narrow



riparian-floodplain areas. The study area, as is most of the MLR, consists of metamorphosed late Precambrian sedimentary rocks consisting of meta shales, sandstonequartzite, and minor carbonate units (Priess, 1987). The hydrogeology of the area is that of a fractured rock aquifer with large variations in both hydraulic conductivity

- ⁵ and groundwater salinity (Harrington, 2004a, b). Soil thicknesses of 1–2 m are typical. Weathered bedrock or regolith of several meters in thickness typically occurs beneath the soil horizons (Fig. 2). Mackreath Creek catchment is predominately comprised of native vegetation with minor human impacts such as land clearance and farm dam construction.
- Mackreath Creek is ephemeral with winter flows of a few weeks duration during drought years and 4–6 months duration in average and above average precipitation years. Flows range from 0.1–0.5 ML/day for winter non-storm flows, 1.0–10 ML for typical winter storm flows, and 20–30 ML/day for 2 year peak flows.

South Australia was in a drought year in 2006, with nearly 50% less rainfall than ¹⁵ average (BOM, 2007). In 2006 stream flows through Mackreath Creek gauging station lasted only 2 days! In 2006 there was one significant storm event of 40 mm (over 4 day) which produced the only flow in Mackreath Creek, compared to six events of greater than 40 mm in 2005 causing the creek to flow for four months.

The two main types of soils in this sub-catchment are sandy silty soils developed on quartzite bedrock (with some meta-shale) and clayey duplex soils developed on metashale (Fig. 1). Sites MC1 and MC2 are positioned to collect water flowing through sandy soil horizons (Fig. 2). The SB2 site represents flow through a texturally contrasting clayey duplex soil. This site is just outside Mackreath Creek sub-catchment but it is soil water and overland flow water chemistry signatures from this site will be similar to

flows through the duplex soils at Mackreath Creek. Clayey duplex soils in Mackreath creek occur on slopes lacking either 0-order catchments or large areas of homogenous meta-shale and clayey duplex soil substrate.

HESSD							
6, 2599–2	632, 2009						
The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow E. Bestland et al.							
Title	Page						
Abstract	Introduction						
Conclusions	References						
Tables Figures							
14	I4 ►1						
•	•						
Back	Back Close						
Full Screen / Esc							
Printer-friendly Version							
Interactive Discussion							
Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion							



4 Methods

Each site indicated in Fig. 1 was instrumented with one overland flow collector and two interflow collectors. Overland flow collectors consisted of 20 m by 20 cm metal sheeting arranged into a V-shape to funnel water downhill. The metal sheets were inserted 5 cm into the ground surface and were held in place by stakes every 2 m. Polyethylene tubing

into the ground surface and were held in place by stakes every 2 m. Polyethylene tubing was attached to the lowest point to channel water down slope and into a 25 L sample container.

To collect lateral subsurface flow, three meter deep trenches were dug at each site parallel to the hill-slope by a backhoe and access to the trenches was gained from ¹⁰ the downhill side. Channels were dug to drain water from each trench. Trenches were covered with plastic tarpaulins to prevent direct contact of rainfall with the side walls of the trench, which could cause erosion and contaminate lateral subsurface flow samples. The face of each trench (uphill side) was instrumented with two fibreglass wick interflow collectors with 0.16 m² area of soil contact at the A/B and B/C horizons.

- ¹⁵ Interflow collectors consist of a 1 m length of fibreglass wick with 10 cm of frayed wick at one end. This was used to spread across a base plate which acted as a contact with soil water. The rest of the wick ran through PVC tubing to an outlet where a 25 L container was connected to collect soil water. Garden weed mat was used to cover the base plate to pre-filter soil water and prevent soil clogs entering the collector. Chiselling
- and digging tools were used to undercut a 50 by 50 cm cavern in the face of the trench so collectors could be installed. The roof of the undercut was made as flat as possible and soil from the roof of the undercut was mixed with water to create a slurry of soil, which was put on top of each collector. Each collector was propped in position using two car jacks and pieces of wood to equalise the force (one on each side of the base plate).

At A and B horizons at MC2 and A horizons at both MC1 and SB2, interflow collectors were connected to containers directly by 45 mm holes drilled through the lid of the 25 L containers. High water levels in the trenches at SB2 and MC1 required tubing to be

HESSD

6, 2599–2632, 2009

The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow





connected to the PVC piping of the interflow collector and ran out of the trench downhill to a container.

Prior to filtering, samples were tested for alkalinity using a HACH titration alkalinity kit to determine the concentration of carbonates in the system. Filtering was undertaken

5 in the laboratory a few hours after sampling using pressure packs to force sample through a pre-filter and a 0.45 µm filter. 60 ml of sample was stored in a bottle for 72 element suite analysis and acidified to a pH less than 2 using nitric acid (HNO₃). 30 ml of sample was stored in a McCartney bottle for water isotope (²H and ¹⁸O) analysis. To prevent exchange of water vapour ith the air, McCartney bottles were completely filled, electrical tape was used to seal the lid of the bottle and samples were stored 10

upside-down until analysis.

In total 75 samples were selected for 72 element suite analysis at Acme Analytical Laboratories, Canada, using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry. Stable isotopes of oxygen and hydrogen were analysed at UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility,

California using a Los Gatos Research Liquid Water Isotope Analyser. Standards were 15 run every five samples to ensure correct calibration of equipment, which enabled an accuracy of 0.2% and 0.6% for ${}^{18}\text{O}/{}^{16}\text{O}$ and ${}^{2}\text{H}/{}^{1}\text{H}$, respectively.

Results 5

5.1 Electrical conductivity/salinity

Electrical conductivity measurements of salinity were made of Mackreath Creek, soil 20 horizons, and overland flow before, during and after the precipitation event of late June and early July (2007) (Figs. 3 and 4). A continuous auto-logger installed in the stream provided an excellent record of EC - salinity variations. Due to low sample volume in the sandy soils during the lead-up to the precipitation event, and in fact during the first half of the event, EC measurements were not made of these samples. Thus, the EC 25



data set in Fig. 4 is much more incomplete than time series of element concentrations

determined from ICP analysis. The logged data from the stream was corrected with a hand-held and calibrated YSI EC meter. In addition, total dissolved solids were calculated from major and trace element analysis by ICPAOE. A very good correlation of R^2 0.970 was observed between corresponding YSI EC measurements (converted to

⁵ TDS using 0.66EC) and total dissolved solids from actual analyses. This correlation allowed for the logged data to be corrected which is plotted in Fig. 4.

Clayey soil EC measurements show predictable decreases in salinity from B horizon to A horizon and to overland flow (Fig. 4a). Overall, the A horizon salinity was 10% lower than the B horizon values. Overland flow was 70% lower than B horizon values during the beginning of the event, however, dilution of soil horizon salinity during

- ¹⁰ ues during the beginning of the event, however, dilution of soil horizon salinity during peak precipitation decreased the difference to about 15%. During the waning stage of the event, overland flow salinity reached parity with A horizon values. This compositional merging soon after peak dilution represents saturation excess overland flow and mixing of a diluted A horizon with overland flow waters. In other words, upslope from the collection point, water was flowing into and out of the A horizon mixing to form
 - a hydrochemically homogenous water mass.

An important point to make in regard to the clayey soil water EC values is that most of the stream EC measurements (except during peak flow dilution) are higher in EC (salinity) than temporally corresponding B horizon values. Thus in this small catchment,

²⁰ B horizons of clayey soils, at lease from the mid-slope position sampled, do not have higher salinity than the majority of the stream flow.

As stated previously, EC measurements for the sandy soil sites were incomplete due to small sample size during phase 1 and 2. Nonetheless, interesting EC values and trends were measured during phase III of the event (Fig. 4b). Overland flow salinity

values are very low, the lowest of all the measurements, indicating the low exchange phase reservoir in the A horizon of these sandy soils. Sandy soil macropore flow was sampled directly in the up-slope trench site (MC2 DW) as actual macropore flow that was observed in the B horizon. During phase three of the event, water filled the two trenches at the sandy soil site. This rise in perched soil-regolith water lasted for three





days and was a mix of observed macropore flow and matrix flow from the B horizon and was sampled as both macropore flow and "trench" water. The EC values from the perched soil-regolith water were higher than stream EC values, despite the mixing of macropore flow. EC values show dilution trends later during phase III (4B). The perched soil-regolith water was higher in EC than the B horizon macropore flow. These high EC (salinity) values will be further discussed below.

5.2 Elemental concentrations

5

Similar to overall EC values, major ions such as chlorine, sodium, bicarbonate, silica, calcium and magnesium, show dilution trends during and after peak flow (Fig. 5). Major elements chlorine and sodium have higher concentrations in the stream than in most of the throughflow samples (Fig. 5a, b, Table 1). Clayey soil throughflow waters are relatively high in chlorine compared to most of the sandy throughflow. Sodium and silica concentrations, however, are higher in most of the sandy throughflow than in both the clayey throughflow and stream water. For silica, higher concentrations in the sandy throughflow in the first half of the event are striking (Fig. 5c). One of the sandy system throughflow sampling horizons (site MC 2 BC, below a clayey-silt B horizon) is the exception and has elemental concentrations more like the clayey system (MC1-BC in Figs. 5 and 6).

The relationship between chlorine and sodium in throughflow and stream flow demonstrates differing water-soil interactions between the sandy and clayey systems (Fig. 6a). In addition, the low-flow stream sample (prior to the event) has the highest chlorine of any of the water samples (soil and stream); these stream concentrations of conservative chlorine indicate that there is a missing component of the system; a higher chlorine concentration has not been captured by the sampling in this study. Thus, mass

²⁵ balance attempts with the current data base, using elements such as silica, calcium, magnesium and potassium, which have many soil water samples with concentrations in excess of the stream values, have resulted in significant negative masses. These mass balance plots and calculations (Milgate, 2007) are not presented here.

HESSD 6, 2599-2632, 2009 The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow E. Bestland et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

A few general observations can be made regarding the elemental concentrations. Stream flow chlorine and sodium concentrations have similar trends during the event to clayey soil throughflow and overland flow as would be expected for dilution during peak flow (Fig. 5a). Sandy system throughflow chlorine and sodium concentrations, for 5 most samples, has a distinctly different trend than the clayey system: relatively lower chlorine and higher sodium (Fig. 6a). Likewise, silica is much higher in these sandy throughflow samples (Fig. 6c). As mentioned above, throughflow samples from MC1 BC (below a clayey silty B horizon) show much the same behaviour as throughflow samples from the clayey system (MC1-BC). This site has anomalous water isotope 10 values and will be discussed below.

5.3 Dissolved organic carbon

Dissolved organic carbon analyses were obtained from 34 samples of stream water and selected throughflow and overland flow samples (Table 2, Fig. 7a). The lowest concentrations are from sandy soil overland flow (1.7, 2.8 mg/L) and the highest concentration is clayey soil A horizon from phase 1 of the event (31.2 mg/L). An interesting relationship exists in Mackreath Creek stream flow between DOC and iron and aluminium concentrations in which the concentrations rise and fall during the event hydrograph (Fig. 7a). The DOC concentration peak occurs between 2 and 3 days prior to the peak in iron and aluminium. The concentration increase of these two elements in

- the stream water during the event is substantial; it is 3–4 times the pre-event concentrations. DOC has a similar pattern for the event, albeit with the aforementioned earlier peak, and doubles in concentration between low, pre-event flow and early phase 2 flow. High concentrations of iron and aluminium occur in many of the sandy through flow samples (Fig. 7a). Clayey soil throughflow, on the other hand, has concentrations
- of iron and aluminium below or close to those of the stream waters. These high concentrations of iron and aluminium in soil water do not correspond to high soil water DOC concentrations (Table 2), neither do they correspond to potassium concentrations (Fig. 7c). These trends will be discussed below.



5.4 Oxygen isotopes

Isotopes of oxygen ($^{18/16}$ O) and deuterium ($^{2/1}$ H) were sampled over the late Juneearly July event from Mackreath Creek, soil horizons, overland flow, and precipitation (Figs. 8 and 9). And important parameter to consider for water isotope analysis of small catchments is the antecedent moisture condition and the isotopic composition of the pre-event water in the system. In the case of a small, relatively steep ephemeral catchment in a strongly Mediterranean climate, the Autumn precipitation leading up to water flowing in the system is particularly important. In April 2007, following both a long dry summer period and a previous winter that was well below average rainfall, a large precipitation event of between 130–150 mm occurred over four days. Importantly, this large precipitation event had significantly depleted oxygen (δ^{18} O–7.43‰)

- and hydrogen (δD -45.5%) isotopes when compared to more typical winter precipitation events. May 07 bulk rainfall is more typical of winter rainfall at this elevation in the MLR-Adelaide Hills with $\delta^{18}O$ -5.16% and δD -28.2% (Kaylaap, 1999). Depleted wa-
- ¹⁵ ter isotope values during heavy precipitation events result from decreased fractionation between vapour and condensate as condensate fraction increases (Kendall and Doctor, 2007). June 07 bulk rainfall however is enriched compared to more typical winter values with δ^{18} O-2.43‰ and δ D-5.2‰. These contrasting isotope values in the lead up to the precipitation event sampled have produced a natural tracer test. Precipitation event water was sampled at three intervals. The first two represent by far the bulk of
- the event and average δ^{18} O-3.36‰ and δ D-10.65‰; the third sample is considerably depleted compared to the first two (δ^{18} O-4.98‰ and δ D-24.6‰.) and is from a few late showers.

The first thing to note from an analysis of the time series of oxygen and hydrogen isotopes (Fig. 8) is that all values are more enriched than the stream flow except one throughflow sample site, MC1-BC. This throughflow sample site is situated on the lower slope and installed below a clayey sandy-silt B horizon in the sandy landscape. AB horizon collectors from both the clayey and sandy landscapes show an enrichment

HESSD

6, 2599-2632, 2009

The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow





corresponding to the influx of event water during phase I. However, during phase III, AB collectors from both sites show a depletion trend that is well below event water values. Clearly pre-event water that is depleted compared to event water mixed into the throughflow. BC horizon collectors in both the clayey and sandy systems show dilution and enrichment by event water during phase I followed by depletion during phase III, again indicating mixing of pre-event water in the throughflow system.

The lower slope BC horizon collector in the sandy system (MC1 BC) is noteworthy for the following reasons: 1) isotopic values are more depleted than the stream, 2) a depletion trend occurs from phase I to II, similar to the stream, 3) isotopic values do not show any significant enrichment trend during the event, indicating minimal mixing of event water with pre-event water. Possible flow path scenarios will be discussed

below.

5

10

15

The differences between isotopic values of this system are well illustrated by graphing δ^{18} O and δ D of the averages of the different components of the system (Fig. 9). The wide range in values of the bulked rainfall samples (April, May, and June) stand out. The depleted nature of the throughflow at MC1 BC (labelled "Deep Sandy Throughflow" in Fig. 9) and Mackreath Creek is obvious when compared to the event precipitation and most soil throughflow and overland flow averages.

6 Discussion

- Despite the best efforts of our event sampling, it is clear that the sampling program at Mackreath Creek was incomplete in terms of soil-regolith flow paths. Down-slope change in soil water due to residence time within the soil due to mixing was largely undocumented. Emergent flow paths in lower slope settings as demonstrated by seasonal seeps present in the area were analysed at a reconnaissance level with field beauty program the program the program of algorithmic program that largely
- ²⁵ based measurements. It is clear from the range of elemental concentrations that large variations in soil water hydrochemistry are present and that many of these variations are not explained by event water dilution. Nonetheless, a number of interpretations can



be made from the data collected.

20

The clayey soil system has clear dilution trends between event water and pre-event soil water. The pre-event soil water in the clayey soil horizons is closer to equilibrium with the soil exchange pool as demonstrated by higher concentrations of most ele-

- ⁵ ments in the clayey B horizon compared to A horizon and overland flow. Elements that show clear event dilution are magnesium, calcium, potassium, and chlorine. Conversely, concentrations of silica, iron and aluminium show a steady rise during the latter half of the event. Potassium is the notable exception in which the A horizon concentrations are higher than the clayey B horizon. Trends in potassium concentra-
- tions in these samples corresponds to DOC concentrations in terms of increases and decreases over time (Milgate, 2007) and most likely reflects release and transport of potassium as organic matter decomposes into DOC. High transient potassium concentration is a typical pattern in forest soils in which rapid release of potassium from decomposing litter commonly exceeds the capacity of the soil to retain it (Laskowski, 1995; Chang et al. 2007). Potassium may also be contained in colloidal sized plant
- ¹⁵ 1995; Chang et al., 2007). Potassium may also be contained in colloidal sized plant material as was reported by Eyrolle et al. (1996).

The concentration peaks of DOC, iron and aluminium in the stream water during the event, as described above, are not in sync by two to three days. Thus, it appears that iron and aluminium are de-coupled from dissolved organic matter, contrary to long-standing work showing these elements are closely linked to DOC and organic colloids

- (Perdue et al., 1976; Johnson et al., 1981; Tipping, 1981). Organic complex transport of iron and aluminium is especially noted in tropical settings (Gu et al., 1995; Depré et al., 1999). Instead, due to a combination of the shift in the timing of the concentrations peaks of DOC, iron and aluminium and the lack of correlation between DOC
- and elevated iron and aluminium concentrations in the throughflow waters, colloidal iron oxyhydroxides and clay minerals flushed from some soil horizons may be a more likely explanation. Water samples in this study were filtered to $0.45 \,\mu$ m, leaving a colloidal fraction, as defined by Buffle and Van Leeuwan (1992) and Stumm (1993), in the 0.20 μ m to 0.45 μ m size range. It is clear that two of the sandy soil system throughflow

HESSD 6, 2599-2632, 2009 The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow E. Bestland et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



sites showed very elevated levels of iron and aluminium during phase I when compared to clayey soil system (Fig. 7, Table 1). Thus, the elevated iron and aluminium concentrations appear to be associated with flushing of iron oxyhydroxide colloids and clay mineral colloids from sandy soil horizons. Iron colloids have been reported from

cool climate systems (Pokrovski and Schott, 2002; Ranville et al., 2005). Ranville et al. (2005) reported from their study of nearby sandy loam soils in the Adelaide Hills that fine-grained colloids (0.1–0.2 μm) from throughflow samples were high in iron whereas, coarser–grained colloids (0.2–0.5 μm) contained more aluminium. Additionally, their A2 horizon samples were deficient in fine-grained colloids, due presumable to transloca tion of these colloids through the soil profile.

Most of the sandy soil system throughflow have elevated concentrations of silica and sodium, compared to the clayey soil system. Mineral weathering (silicate hydrolysis) and release of silica and sodium and to a lesser extent calcium from quartz and feldspar is most likely the dominant factor controlling the high concentrations of these

- elements in the sandy soil throughflow. This mineral weathering is most likely the cause of the distinct hydrochemical trends evident for the sandy throughflow when compared to the stream water and clayey soil water (Fig. 6). The highest concentrations of silica, sodium, and calcium come from flushing of sandy A and B horizons (collectors MC2-BC and MC1-AB) during the first half of the rainfall event (Fig. 5). Weathering
 of silicate material, both from in situ soil and from dust, in the presence of soil acids
- and higher moister contents of the A horizon is probably the cause of these elevated concentrations.

Another factor that could explain the differing elemental concentrations in the various throughflow samples is the residence time of soil water in the soil-regolith system.

²⁵ Longer residence time is indicated for some of the sandy system by the dominance of pre-event water in some of these flowpaths as deduced from oxygen and hydrogen isotopes. The most ¹⁸O depleted water, and therefore the water that is the most strongly influence by pre-event water (collector MC1-BC) has consistent hydrochemical trends for the seven isotopic and most elemental samples analysed. The narrow range



of values for MC1-BC is intermediate between the high silica and sodium sandy system soil waters and the clayey soil waters in terms of most elemental concentrations (Fig. 6). Thus, although MC1-BC demonstrates the best case for longer residence time based on the presence of pre-event water, this flow path does not show elevated concentrations of silica, sodium, and calcium that would be expected from prolonged 5 water-mineral interactions. However, MC1-BC does show very substantial increases in iron and aluminium during the second half of the event (Fig. 7), which is interpreted as

a result of mixing of early (flushed) event water with pre-event water.

A deep throughflow pulse was identified in the sandy soil system based on field observations of trenches filling with water and macropore flow. Samples of this through-10 flow pulse (collectors MC1-AB and MC2-DW) have elevated chlorine, sodium, magnesium, and potassium concentrations but relatively lower silica, iron and aluminium concentrations (Fig. 5). Thus, a possible scenario is that this pulse flushed salts from deep in the soil-regolith profile. Why this water was not elevated in silica, from silicate mineral hydrolysis, is not known.

15

A possible model to explain hydrochemical data is as follows. Infiltration in the sandy soil system prior to the measured event accumulates in the regolith above the bedrockregolith boundary. This pre-event water is depleted in ¹⁸O and ²H isotopes obtained from the large April event and to a lesser degree from May precipitation. This pre-event water is not exceeding high in dissolved constituents, when compared to later through-20 flow from the sandy soil system. High concentrations of silica, iron, and aluminium, and other mineral-oxide related elements appear to originate from event flushing of sandy soil A and B horizons during phase I of the event.

The clayey soil system by contrast has much less depleted ¹⁸O and ²H isotopes, especially early in the event (phase I). This demonstrates the higher saturation and 25 shorter residence time of water in the clayey soil A and B horizons. That the B horizon shows a isotopic depletion trend as the event transpires, indicates that water, probably from deeper in the regolith, dominated by pre-event water (from April and May) was pushed out and subsequently mixed with enriched surface event water.

HESSD 6, 2599-2632, 2009 The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow E. Bestland et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



Further support of this model of quick flow through the upper clayey soil system and delayed flow through the sandy soil system can be interpreted from DOC, iron, and aluminium concentration peaks observed in the stream during the course of the event. The DOC concentration peak occurs 2–3 days prior to iron and aluminium concentra-

- tions peaks, as has been described. The DOC peak most likely originates from clayey soil system A horizons which have both high DOC concentrations and rapid storm response. The iron and aluminium peaks are probably due to transported colloids (iron hydroxides and clay minerals) from flushing of sandy soil horizons. Why the early rains in April and May, as interpreted from water isotopes, do not show elevated concentra-
- tions of iron and aluminium, is not known. These waters infiltrated through the same sandy soil system as event waters that were much higher in iron and aluminium. Two possibilities are possible: 1) colloids from earlier flushing settled and or were filtered in the deep regolith prior to re-mobilization during the measured event. 2) Initial rain water that infiltrated the sandy soil system did not contain substantial amounts of flushed
- ¹⁵ colloids; the reason for this is not known. Nonetheless, the measured event shows high concentrations of iron and aluminium coming from sandy soil horizons early in the event. These colloids were able to be transported through the porous sandy medium and into the stream. The clayey soil system, on the other hand, has much lower concentrations of iron and aluminium, probably due to filtering by matrix soil flow and by flow through disconnected macropores. Macropores would act as avenues of colloidal
- flushing, however, colloids could accumulate on macropore surfaces and be deposited in macropores plugging these flow paths.

7 Conclusions

The small ephemeral Mackreath Creek catchment has a complicated hydraulic and hydrochemical response to winter precipitation events. Following a long dry summer and a preceding drought year (2006), this hilly catchment did not generate stream flows until over 200 mm of precipitation fell during late Autumn rains. This Autumn drought

HESSD 6, 2599-2632, 2009 The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow E. Bestland et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Tables **Figures** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



breaking precipitation in April 2007 acted as a natural tracer test due to its depleted isotopic composition when compared to average winter rains and later rains in May and June. Most of this April precipitation flowed through the clayey soil system and was replaced by water from the May and June events by the time the late June-early July event occurred. By contrast, the sandy soil system apparently stored a significant portion of this water which was then displaced by event water. This displacement

caused the stream water during the event to be dominated by this pre-event water, although the clayey soil system was largely dominated by event water.

Soil water flow pathways (and overland flow) before, during and after the event have distinctive hydrochemical characteristics. The clayey soil system is predictable in that it exhibits dilution by event water and increase in concentrations of most elements from overland flow to the A horizons and to the B horizon. Potassium is the exception which is highest in the A horizon, most likely due to higher amounts of organic matter and its decomposition and release of potassium. Overland flow from the sandy soil system has the lowest elemental concentrations; this is due to high infiltration rates and lack of 15

saturation excess overland flow.

Deep throughflow is identified on the basis of both elemental concentrations and oxygen-hydrogen isotopes. Some deep flow paths in the sandy soil system are high in silica, sodium, aluminium, and iron, indicating mineral water interactions and probably transport of oxyhydroxide and clay mineral colloids.

20

Acknowledgements. This project was funded by Flinders University Program Grant (2006-2009), and South Australia Water Corporation grant. Access to field sites was provided by SA Water and Department of Parks and Wildlife, SA.

References

5

Aberg, G., Jacks, G., and Hamilton, P. J.: Weathering rates and 87Sr/86Sr ratios: an isotopic 25 approach, J. Hydrol., 109, 65-78, 1989.



- Brown, V. A., McDonnell, J. J., Burns, D. A., and Kendall, C.: The role of event water, a rapid shallow flow component, and catchment size in a summer stormflow, J. Hydrol., 217, 171-190, 1999.
- Buffle, J. and Van Leeuwen, H. P.: Environmental particles: 1. In Environmental Analytical and Physical Chemistry Series, Lewis Publishers, London, 554 p., 1992.
- 5 Bureau of Meteorology: Climate Statistics for Australian sites: http://www.bom.gov.au, access: 24 September, 2007.
 - Buttle, J. M.: Fundamentals of small catchment hydrology, in: Isotope Tracers in Catchment Hydrology, edited by: Kendall, C. and McDonnell, J. J., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1-49, 1998.
- 10 Chang, S.-C., Wang, C.-P. Feng, C.-M., Rees, R., Hell, U., and Matzner, E.: Soil fluxes of mineral elements and dissolved organic matter following manipulation of leaf litter input in a Taiwan Chamaecyparis forest, Forest Ecol. Manag., 242, 133-141, 2007.
 - Chittleborough, D. J., Smettem, K. R. J., Costaris, E., and Leaney, F. W.: Seasonal Changes in Pathways of Dissolved Organic Carbon through a Hillslope Soil (Xeralf) with Contrasting
- Texture, Aust. J. Soil Res., 30, 465-476, 1992. 15
 - Cox, J. W., Chittleborough, D. J., Brown, H. J., Pitman, A., and Varcoe, J. C. R.: Seasonal changes in hydrochemistry along a toposequence of texture-contrast soils, Aust. J. Soil Res., 40, 581-604, 2002.

Dupré, B., Viers, J., Dandurand, J. L., Polvé, M., Bénézeth, P., Vervier, P., and Braun J. J.:

- Major and trace elements associated with colloids in organic-rich river waters: ultrafiltration 20 of natural and spiked solutions, Chem. Geol., 160, 63-80, 1999.
 - Edmond, J. M., Palmer, M. R., Measures, C. I., Grant, B., and Stallard, R. F.: The fluvial geochemistry and denudation rate of the Guayana Shield in Venezuela, Colombia and Brazil, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 59, 3301–3325, 1995.
- ²⁵ Eyrolle, F., Benedetti, M. F., Benaim, J.-Y., and Fevrier, D.: The distributions of colloidal and dissolved organic carbon, major elements and trace elements in small tropical catchments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 60, 3643-3656, 1996.
 - Genereux, D. P. and Hooper, R. P.: Oxygen and hydrogen isotopes in rainfall-runoff studies, in: Isotope Tracers in Catchment Hydrology, edited by: Kendall, C. and McDonnell, J. J.,
- Elsevier, Amsterdam, 319-346, 1998. 30
 - Gu, B., Schmitt, J., Chen, Z., Liang, L., and McCarthy, J. F.: Adsorption and desorption of different organic matter fractions on iron oxide, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 59, 219-229, 1995.

HESSD						
6, 2599–20	632, 2009					
The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow E. Bestland et al.						
Title F	Page					
Abstract	Introduction					
Conclusions	References					
Tables Figures						
I.	۶I					
•	•					
Back	Close					
Full Screen / Esc						
Printer-friendly Version						
Interactive Discussion						



- Harrington, G.: Hydrogeological Investigation of the Mount Lofty Ranges, Progress Report 3: Borehole water and formation characteristics at the Scott Bottom research site, Scott Creek Catchment, Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation, Report DWLBC 2004/03, Adelaide, South Australia, 2004a.
- ⁵ Harrington, G.: Hydrogeological Investigation of the Mount Lofty Ranges, Progress Report 4: Groundwater – surface water interactions in the Scott Creek, Marne River and Tookayerta Creek Catchments, Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation, Report DWLBC 2004/11, Adelaide, South Australia, 2004b.
 - James-Smith, J. M. and Harrington, G. A.: Hydrogeological Investigation of the Mount Lofty
- Ranges, Progress Report 1: hydrogeology and drilling phase 1 for Scott Creek Catchment, Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation, Report DWLBC 2002/17, Adelaide, South Australia, 2002.
 - Johnson, N. M., Driscoll, C. T., Eaton, J. S., Likens, G. E., and McDowell, W. H.: "Acid rain," dissolved aluminum and chemical weathering at the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, New Hampshire, Geochim, Cosmoshim, Acta, 45, 1421–1437, 1981.
- New Hampshire, Geochim. Cosmoshim. Acta, 45, 1421–1437, 1981.
 Kayaalp, A. S.: Application of rainfall chemistry and isotope data in hydrometeorological modelling. PhD Thesis, Flinders University of South Australia, Adelaide, South Australia, 1999.
 Kendall, C. and Doctor, D. H.: Stable isotope applications in hydrologic studies, in: Treatise on

Geochemistry, Elsevier, The Netherlands, 319–364, 2007.

30

- Kennedy, V. C. Kendall, C., Zellweger, G. W., Wyerman, T. A., and Avanzino, R. J.: Determination of the components of stormflow using water chemistry and environmental isotopes, Mattole river basin, California, J. Hydrol., 84, 107–140, 1986.
 - Kump, L. R., Brantley, S. L., and Arthur, M. A.: Chemical weathering, atmospheric CO₂ and climate, Annu. Rev. Earth Pl. Sc., 28, 611–667, 2000.
- Land, M., Ingri, J., Andersson, P. S., and Ohlander, B.: Ba/Sr, Ca/Sr and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios in soil water and groundwater: implications for relative contributions to stream water discharge, Appl. Geochem., 15, 311–325, 2000.
 - Laskowski, R., Berg, B., Johansson, M. B., and McClaugherty, C.: Release pattern for potassium from decomposing forest needle and leaf litter. Long term decomposition in a Scots pine forest IX, Can. J. Bot., 73, 2019–2027, 1995.
 - Leaney, F. W., Smettem, K. R. J., and Chittleborough, D. J.: Estimating the contribution of preferential flow to subsurface runoff from a hillslope using deuterium and chloride, J. Hydrol., 143, 83–103, 1993.

HESSD								
6, 2599–20	632, 2009							
The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow								
E. Bestland et al.								
Title F	Page							
Abstract	Introduction							
Conclusions	Conclusions References							
Tables Figures								
I	۶I							
•	► Internet							
Back	Close							
Full Screen / Esc								
Printer-friendly Version								
Interactive Discussion								



- Likens, G. E., Bormann, F. H., Pierce, R. S., Eaton, J. S., and Johnson, N. M.: Biogeochemistry of a Forested Ecosystem. Springer, New York, 1977.
- Likens, G. E., Driscoll, C. T., and Buso, D. C.: Long-term effects of acid rain: response and recovery of a forested ecosystem, Science, 272, 244–246, 1996.
- Lin, H.: Hydropedology: Bridging Disciplines, scales and data, Vadose Zone J., 2, 1–11, 2003.
 Milgate, S. A.: Hydrochemical investigation of flow pathways through quartz-sand and duplex soils during a storm event: Mackreath Creek, Mount Lofty Ranges, Unpublished honours thesis, Flinders University of South Australia, 50 p., Adelaide, South Australia, 2007.
- Newman, B. D., Campbell, A. R., and Wilcox, B. P.: Lateral subsurface flow pathways in a semi arid ponderosa pine hillslope, Water Resour. Res., 34, 3485–3496, 1998.
- Pearce, A. J., Stewart, M. K., and Sklash, M. G.: Storm runoff in humid headwater catchments 1. Where does the water come from? Water Resour. Res., 22, 1263–1272, 1986.

Perdue, E. M., Beck, K. C., and Reuter, J. H.: Organic complexes of iron and aluminium in natural waters, Nature, 260, 418–420, 1976.

- ¹⁵ Pinder, G. F. and Jones, J. F.: Determination of the ground-water component of peak discharge from the chemistry of total runoff, Water Resour. Res., 5, 438–445, 1969.
 - Pokrovski, O. S. and Schott, J.: Iron colloids/organic matter associated transport of major and trace elements in small boreal rivers and their estuaries (NW Russia), Chem. Geol., 190, 141–181, 2002.
- Preiss, W. V.: The Adelaide Geosyncline: Late proterozoic stratigraphy, sedimentation, palaeontology and tectonics, Geological Survey of South Australia, Bull. Geol. Surv. S. Aust., 53, 439p., 1987.
 - Probst, A., Dambrine, E., Viville, D., and Fritz, B.: Influence of acid atmospheric inputs on surface water chemistry and mineral fluxes in a declining spruce stand within a small granitic catchment (Vosges massif, France), J. Hydrol., 116, 101–124, 1990.

25

- Ranville, J. F., Chittleborough, D. J., and Beckett, R.: Particle-Size and Element Distributions of Soil Colloids: Implications for Colloid Transport, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 69, 1173–1184, 2005.
 Shand, P., Darbyshire, D. P. F., Gooddy, D., and Haria, A. H.: ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr as an indicator of flow-paths and weathering rates in the Plynlimon experimental catchments, Wales, UK, Chem. Geol., 236, 247–265, 2007.
 - Shanley, J. B., Kendall, C., Smith, T. E., Wolock, D. M., and McDonnell, J. J.: Controls on old and new water contributions to stream flow at some nested catchments in Vermont, USA, Hydrol. Process., 16, 589–609, 2002.

HESSD

6, 2599-2632, 2009

The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow



- Sklash, M. G. and Farvolden, R. N.: The role of ground water in storm runoff, J. Hydrol., 43, 45–65, 1979.
- Sklash, M. G. and Farvolden R. N.: The use of environmental isotopes in the study of highrunoff episodes in streams, in: Isotope Studies of Hydrologic Processes, edited by: Perry
- Jr., E. C. and Montgomery, C. W., Northern Illinois University Press, DeKalb, Illinois, 65–73, 1982.

Sklash, M. G., Farvolden, R. N., and Fritz, P.: A conceptual model of watershed response to rainfall, developed through the use of oxygen-18 as a natural tracer, Can. J. Earth Sci., 13, 271–283, 1976.

Smettem, K. R. J., Chittleborough, J. J., Richards, B. G., and Leaney, F. W.: The influence of macropores on runoff generation from a hillslope with a contrasting textural class, J. Hydrol., 122, 235–252, 1991.

Stevens, D. P., Cox, J. W., and Chittleborough, D. J.: Pathways of phosphorous, nitrogen, and carbon movement over and through texturally differentiated soils, South Australia, Aust. J.

¹⁵ Soil Res., 37, 679–693, 1999.

Stumm, W.: Aquatic colloids as chemical reactants: surface structure and reactivity, Coll. Surf., A73, 1–18, 1993.

Tipping, E.: The adsorption of humic substances by iron oxides. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 45, 191–199, 1981.

6, 2599-2632, 2009

The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow

Title Page								
Abstract	Introduction							
Conclusions	References							
Tables	Figures							
14	►I							
•	▲ ►							
Back Close								
Full Screen / Esc								
	en/LSC							
Printer-frien	dly Version							
Printer-frien Interactive	dly Version Discussion							



 Table 1. Selected elemental concentrations from ICP analysis.

Time/Date	Site ID	Al ppb	Ba ppb	Br ppb	Ca ppb	CI ppm	Fe ppb	K ppb	Ma ppb	Na ppm	S ppm	SO, ppm	Si ppb	Sr ppb
11:59 19 Jun 2007	MS	170	65	176	9750	101	150	3084	11007	64	12	36	7166	63
13:19 27 Jun 2007	MS	111	48	182	11521	102	67	2866	12085	64	12	36	6450	76
9:11.2 Jul 2007	MS	190	40	175	8774	93	189	2876	11132	62	10	30	6564	58
9:54 4 Jul 2007	MS	284	142	176	6457	75	358	2609	8324	53	7	21	7655	44
10:46 6 Jul 2007	MS	448	141	127	4254	49	460	2907	5411	38	4	12	5823	30
12:23 6 Jul 2007	MS	464	59	112	3625	42	472	3311	4165	32	4	12	4996	25
9:30 7 Jul 2007	MS	547	85	131	3819	51	459	2841	4859	38	4	12	5915	29
9:41 9 Jul 2007	MS	541	36	137	3970	54	436	2707	5279	40	4	12	6232	30
12:36 11 Jul 2007	MS	327	32	142	4443	59	248	2855	5735	42	5	15	6128	33
10:50 13 Jul 2007	MS	305	44	145	4707	60	243	2819	5839	43	5	15	5956	35
9:06 17 Jul 2007	MS	544	52	123	4123	49	511	2532	5100	33	4	12	5687	35
15:42 23 Jul 2007	MS	299	39	144	4947	64	232	2344	6586	43	4	12	5293	40
14:16 3 Jul 2007	SB2 A/B	534	250	105	12875	37	331	8276	11715	34	8	24	9143	102
16:21 4 Jul 2007	SB2 A/B	407	206	118	8317	37	320	8292	7426	25	7	21	8592	73
15:55 6 Jul 2007	SB2 A/B	1004	180	95	3638	24	546	7058	3060	16	3	9	5118	32
10:10 7 Jul 2007	SB2 A/B	832	112	83	2988	22	457	6835	2590	14	3	9	4235	26
13:03 9 Jul 2007	SB2 A/B	989	51	90	3243	24	508	7554	2699	16	3	9	4558	28
15:58 11 Jul 2007	SB2 A/B	1140	51	109	3813	27	676	7887	3286	18	3	9	5151	33
13:30 13 Jul 2007	SB2 A/B	//6	110	125	4979	39	437	9294	4687	22	3	9	5317	44
13:46 15 Jul 2007	SB2 A/B	/2/	93	117	5673	43	284	8351	5890	24	4	12	4970	52
15:48 23 Jul 2007	SB2 A/B	1014	101	74	4195	31	6/9	/ 30 1	3917	18	3	9	0040	44
14:25 27 Jun 2007	SB2 B/C	33	5/	/1	5/91	70	10	4201	1000	50	15	31	3019	5/
3.34 2 JUI 2007	382 B/C	222	01	81	7036	12	18/	4821	10174	38	10	40 36	3220	74 68
11:00 ¢ Jul 2007	SB2 B/C	223	91	01	2256	40	402	6092	E202	30	0	30	4442	26
10:10 7 Jul 2007	3B2 B/C	670	09	76	0661	20	402	5049	4020	23	9	21	4442	20
10:19 / Jul 2007	SB2 B/C	690	44	01	2001	20	202	5040	4239	20	0	24	4030	20
13:44 11 Jul 2007	SB2 B/C	531	57	82	2656	20	232	6594	5788	28	6	18	4300	40
13:29 13 Jul 2007	SB2 B/C	295	95	86	5460	67	243	6919	9219	34	6	18	4466	66
10:43 15 Jul 2007	SB2 B/C	227	72	80	5886	61	173	6419	9816	35	6	18	4111	62
15:45 23 Jul 2007	SB2 B/C	475	71	92	4284	50	280	5958	7384	29	6	18	4617	54
14:25 27 Jun 2007	SB2 O/F	124	116	143	3380	35	71	1712	2761	18	1	3	3250	31
10:01.2 Jul 2007	SB2 O/F	220	26	142	3537	35	194	1846	2725	17	1	3	4250	33
11:13 4 Jul 2007	SB2 O/F	276	110	106	3122	25	380	2539	2916	15	1	3	4731	27
11:35 6 Jul 2007	SB2 O/F	309	30	72	2353	20	259	4181	1901	13	1	3	2663	18
10:28 7 Jul 2007	SB2 O/F	237	67	87	3370	24	228	3028	2705	17	2	6	3173	26
10:29 9 Jul 2007	SB2 O/F	231	27	90	3421	25	205	2989	2659	17	2	6	3067	25
16:18 23 Jul 2007	SB2 O/F	435	56	109	4186	29	434	3073	3260	18	2	6	3379	35
9:41 2 Jul 2007	MC1 A/B	5707	249	71	7427	17	1858	2229	4164	53	3	9	45964	15
9:41 3 Jul 2007	MC1 A/B	1498	78	78	5992	16	747	2131	3020	47	3	9	40039	13
11:26 6 Jul 2007	MC1 A/B	3287	101	55	3631	13	1407	1921	2616	26	2	6	22071	8
11:26 8 Jul 2007	MC1 A/B	2461	100	44	2241	18	995	1952	2199	21	2	6	11891	6
10:41 9 Jul 2007	MC1 A/B	1654	100	34	1596	20	770	2161	2028	20	2	6	6919	6
9:30 10 Jul 2007	MC1 A/B	1749	258	37	1625	21	902	2210	2066	19	3	9	5168	11
14:19 12 Jul 2007	MC1 A/B	287	73	132	2602	72	125	7508	7479	34	4	12	4591	51
13:50 15 Jul 2007	MC1 A/B	375	135	168	2915	75	165	5487	9684	42	5	15	4429	58
11:59 27 Jun 2007	MC1 B/C	2117	102	83	3613	44	826	2381	4679	46	10	30	8493	27
9:51 2 Jul 2007	MC1 B/C	2285	61	85	2913	47	876	2212	4427	44	10	30	8923	26
10:24 4 Jul 2007	MC1 B/C	390	162	90	3036	50	217	2361	4086	47	13	39	7236	27
11:36 6 Jul 2007	MC1 B/C	3348	140	80	2465	38	1483	2675	3746	40	10	30	8981	26
10:20 10 Jul 2007	MC1 B/C	3302	107	70	1721	30	1652	2047	3387	37	10	30	0009	20
12:59 15 Jul 2007	MC1 B/C	7077	140	79	0170	20	15/4	20060	3303	33	10	30	00/3	24
11:50 07 Jun 2007	MC2 B/C	1911	152	109	17702	28	2029	2002	10600	107	5	15	45202	20
0.50.2 Jul 2007	MC2 B/C	4000	100	202	10070	30	3020	4000	14010	107	4	10	40000	60
9.39 2 Jul 2007	MC2 B/C	402	217	176	0677	00	025	4202	14010 E616	103	7	12	04407	20
10:34 4 Jul 2007	MC2 B/C	3763	150	101	7903	23	1991	2092	5536	45	6	18	20471	26
11:46.6 Jul 2007	MC2 B/C	2700	126	164	6241	15	1335	2230	3071	45	5	15	22240	20
11:46 8 Jul 2007	MC2 B/C	2073	108	153	3067	11	1122	1963	2821	30	5	15	11791	15
9:51 3 Jul 2007	MC2 0/F	27	112	7	487	4	31	223	371	2	0.5	15	311	6
10:34.5 Jul 2007	MC2 0/F	18	189	16	766	8	12	133	508	5	0.5	1.5	206	8
11:04 6 Jul 2007	MC2 0/F	10	67	8	220	3	12	127	265	3	0.5	1.5	90	2
9:45 7 Jul 2007	MC2 O/F	61	114	23	612	8	38	227	640	6	2	6	353	6
9:27 17 Jul 2007	MC2 O/F	27	38	< 5	223	2	16	63	134	2	0.5	1.5	131	1
17:07 10 Jul 2007	MC2 DW	329	173	196	2869	64	123	2938	7647	50	3	9	4133	42
16:05 11 Jul 2007	MC2 DW	475	158	238	2719	79	153	3698	6809	46	4	12	5246	53
13:34 13 Jul 2007	MC2 DW	342	107	222	2530	68	100	3142	6836	46	3	9	4690	45
10:26 17 Jul 2007	MC1 DW	432	272	238	3274	78	193	2640	8826	57	6	18	5430	49
14.05 00 1	P	53	6	15	1815	6	44	282	491	2	<1	1.5	125	9
14:25 29 Jun 2007														
12:34 5 Jul 2007	P	17	2	16	522	6	14	483	440	3	<1	1.5	41	4
12:34 5 Jul 2007 11:28 7 Jul 2007	P P	17 7	2 2	16 53	522 473	6 17	14 <10	483 440	440 1317	3 10	<1 2	1.5 6	41 <40	4 7

HESSD

6, 2599-2632, 2009

The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow





MS	DOC mg/L
9:11 2 Jul 2007	13.4
9:30 3 Jul 2007	17.6
9·54 4 Jul 2007	22.3
9.27 5 Jul 2007	25.6
10:46 6 Jul 2007	25.7
12:22 6 Jul 2007	25.7
9:10 9 Jul 2007	20
12:26 11 Jul 2007	15.5
10:50 12 Jul 2007	15.5
10:50 13 Jul 2007	10.2
9:39 15 Jul 2007	14.8
9:06 17 Jul 2007	24
SB2 OF	
11:13 4 Jul 2007	20.6
15:55 6 Jul 2007	18.1
13:03 9 Jul 2007	19.4
SB2 AB	
16:21 4 Jul 2007	31.2
15:55 6 Jul 2007	24.1
13:03 9 Jul 2007	22
15:58 11 Jul 2007	23.6
13:46 15 Jul 2007	14.2
SB2 BC	
11:01 4 Jul 2007	22.6
15:55 6 Jul 2007	16.7
13:03 9 Jul 2007	13.2
15:58 11 Jul 2007	13.1
13:46 15 Jul 2007	11.9
MC1 AB	
9:30 6 Jul 2007	23.1
16.00 11 Jul 2007	9.8
13.28 13 Jul 2007	10.2
13:50 15 Jul 2007	11.0
MC1 BC	11.5
10:00 15 Jul 2007	10.2
10.00 15 Jul 2007	19.2
10:21 4 101 2007	4 5
10.31 4 Jul 2007	4.0
11.04 C Jul 2007	2.0 1 7
11:04 6 JUI 2007	1.7
IVICZ DVV	11.0
16:05 11 Jul 2007	11.9
13:34 13 Jul 2007	11.3





Table 3. Stable isotopes of oxygen and hydrogen.

Site ID	Time/Date	Delta O SMOW	Delta D SMOW			
MS	11:59 19 Jun 2007	-5.04	-28.1			
MS	13:19 27 Jun 2007	-4.87	-27.3			
MS	9:11 2 Jul 2007	-5.16	-28.4			
MS	9:54 4 Jul 2007	-5.38	-25.7			
MS	10:46 6 Jul 2007	-5.08	-21.5			
MS	12:23 6 Jul 2007	-4.99	-21.3			
MS	9:30 7 Jul 2007	-4.45	-22.2			
MS	9:41 9 Jul 2007	-4.93	-25.1			
MS	12:36 11 Jul 2007	-5.15	-25.4			
MS	9:39 15 Jul 2007	-4.86	-23.7			
MS	9:06 17 Jul 2007	-5.02	-22.8			
SB2 A/B	14:16 3 Jul 2007	-3.79	-13.6			
SB2 A/B	15:55 6 Jul 2007	-4.09	-17.5			
SB2 A/B	10:10 7 Jul 2007	-3.59	-18.2			
SB2 A/B	13:03 9 Jul 2007	-4.64	-20.6			
SB2 A/B	13:46 15 Jul 2007	-4.37	-19.0			
SB2 B/C	14:25 27 Jun 2007	-4.23	-18.9			
SB2 B/C	9:54 2 Jul 2007	-4.18	-18.6			
SB2 B/C	10:15 5 Jul 2007	-4.41	-16.4			
SB2 B/C	11:29 6 Jul 2007	-3.87	-16.1			
SB2 B/C	10:19 7 Jul 2007	-3.52	-17.5			
SB2 B/C	10:02 10 Jul 2007	-4.42	-20.3			
SB2 B/C	13:29 13 Jul 2007	-4.70	-18.5			
SB2 B/C	9:52 17 Jul 2007	-4.16	-19.4			
SB2 O/F	10:01 2 Jul 2007	-4.71	-22.0			
SB2 O/F	11:13 4 Jul 2007	-4.55	-17.1			
SB2 O/F	11:35 6 Jul 2007	-4.03	-16.5			
SB2 O/F	9:20 8 Jul 2007	-4.12	-18.3			
MC1 A/B	9:41 3 Jul 2007	-4.20	-18.0			
MC1 A/B	11:26 6 Jul 2007	-4.22	-14.8			
MC1 A/B	11:26 8 Jul 2007	-3.72	-12.8			
MC1 A/B	10:41 9 Jul 2007	-4.03	-14.2			
MC1 A/B	14:19 12 Jul 2007	-4.74	-20.7			
MC1 A/B	13:50 15 Jul 2007	-5.03	-22.7			
MC1 B/C	11:59 27 Jun 2007	-5.32	-30.5			
MC1 B/C	9:41 2 Jul 2007	-5.35	-31.2			
MC1 B/C	10:24 4 Jul 2007	-5.87	-30.1			
MC1 B/C	11:36 06 Jul 2007	-5.75	-29.7			
MC1 B/C	11:36 8 Jul 2007	-5.60	-28.7			
MC1 B/C	10:30 10 Jul 2007	-5.26	-29.3			
MC1 B/C	13:58 15 Jul 2007	-5.21	-24.4			
MC2 B/C	11:59 27 Jun 2007	-4.04	-20.1			
MC2 B/C	9:51 3 Jul 2007	-4.36	-18.6			
MC2 B/C	11:46 6 Jul 2007	-4.09	-15.2			
MC2 B/C	11:46 8 Jul 2007	-4.19	-15.0			
MC2 O/F	9:51 03 Jul 2007	-2.72	-6.4			
MC2 O/F	11:04 6 Jul 2007	-3.54	-15.7			
MC2 O/F	9:45 7 Jul 2007	-5.27	-24.0			
MC2 DW	17:07 10 Jul 2007	-5.14	-27.1			
MC2 DW	13:34 13 Jul 2007	-5.69	-25.4			
MC1 DW	10:26 17 Jul 2007	-4.85	-23.7			
Р	14:25 29 Jun 2007	-3.13	-13.0			
Р	12:34 5 Jul 2007	-3.59	-8.3			
Р	11:28 7 Jul 2007	-4.98	-24.6			
-						

HESSD

6, 2599-2632, 2009

The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow







Conclusions References Tables **Figures** 14 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion



HESSD

6, 2599-2632, 2009

The significance and lag-time of deep

throughflow

E. Bestland et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Fig. 1. Location map and maps showing the geology and soils of the MacKreath Creek catchment. Also shown are the 0-order catchments that were instrumented with soil water collectors.



Fig. 2. Schematic cross-section of the Mackreath catchment showing sandstone and sandy soil system with high infiltration rates and clayey soil system over meta-shale with low infiltration rates. Lower in the catchment, the water table intersects the soil-regolith material as pictured.

HESSD

6, 2599-2632, 2009

The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow









HESSD

6, 2599-2632, 2009

The significance and lag-time of deep throughflow















Fig. 5. Time series elemental concentration of (A) [CI], (B) [Na], and (C) [Si] in stream waters, soil waters and overland flow during the precipitation event.



Interactive Discussion



















Fig. 8. Times series of oxygen isotopes from clayey soils **(A)** and sandy soils **(B)**. OF – overland flow, AB – collector at A-B horizon break, BC – collector from B-C horizon transition, DW – water sampled directly from macropore flow. Analyses of bulked monthly rainfall is also given.



Fig. 9. Averaged isotopic composition (δ D versus δ^{18} O) of bulked monthly precipitation (April, May, and June, 2006), clayey soil A horizon (SB2-AB; 5 samples), clayey soil B horizon (SB2-BC; 8 samples), clayey soil overland flow (SB2-OF; 4 samples), sandy soil A horizon (MC1-AB; 6 samples), sandy soil B horizon from downslope position labelled "Deep Sandy Throughflow" (MC1-BC; 7 samples), sandy soil B horizon from up-slope position (MC2-BC; 4 samples), sandy soil overland flow (MC2-OF; 3 samples), macropore flow from sandy soils (DW; 3 samples). Local meteoric water line from Kayaalp (1999).

