

Interactive comment on “Stakeholder discourse and water management in a catchment in northern Italy” by P. S. Lupo Stanghellini and D. Collentine

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 29 August 2007

- 1) Does the paper address relevant scientific questions within the scope of HESS?
YES
- 2) Does the paper present novel concepts, ideas, tools, or data? A SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT OF THE CATHC MODEL, I.E. THE STAKEHOLDER ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY
- 3) Are substantial conclusions reached? YES
- 4) Are the scientific methods and assumptions valid and clearly outlined? YES
- 5) Are the results sufficient to support the interpretations and conclusions? YES
- 6) Is the description of experiments and calculations sufficiently complete and precise

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

to allow their reproduction by fellow scientists (traceability of results)? YES

7) Do the authors give proper credit to related work and clearly indicate their own new/original contribution? YES

8) Does the title clearly reflect the contents of the paper? PARTLY

9) Does the abstract provide a concise and complete summary? YES

10) Is the overall presentation well structured and clear? YES

11) Is the language fluent and precise? YES

12) Are mathematical formulae, symbols, abbreviations, and units correctly defined and used? NOT RELEVANT

13) Should any parts of the paper (text, formulae, figures, tables) be clarified, reduced, combined, or eliminated? NOT NECESSARY

14) Are the number and quality of references appropriate? YES

15) Is the amount and quality of supplementary material appropriate? YES

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 4, 1729, 2007.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper