Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 4, S1620–S1621, 2007 www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci-discuss.net/4/S1620/2007/ © Author(s) 2007. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



**HESSD** 

4, S1620–S1621, 2007

Interactive Comment

## *Interactive comment on* "Has spring snowpack declined in the Washington Cascades?" *by* P. Mote et al.

## B. van den Hurk (Editor)

hurkvd@knmi.nl

Received and published: 5 December 2007

I appreciate the good reply to the reviewers and am very positive about the improvements in length, clarity and conciseness that has resulted from the review process. This paper is now acceptable for publication in HESS apart from a few remaining minor corrections:

The percentage (13%) in line 169 is not consistent with the numbers reported in figure 3.

In line 169 a comma must be added after "temperature"

The phrase "more positive" in line 198 is not consistent with the fact that no positive

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

EGU

trends are shown in figure 4

The number -35% is not consistent with the numbers displayed in figure 5

The second use of "USHCN" in line 277 is redundant.

A space must be added between "a\_p" and "and" in line 291.

A space must be added between "0" and "cm" in line 305

The acronymn "(NPI)" should be added in line 369, and "PDO" and "ENSO" should be spelled out in line 370. Also "PNW" in line 374 is not explained.

The phrase "in testing hypothesis 1" in line 391 should be replace by "above"

A reference to Zhang et al on precipitation attribution (see my previous editorial comments) could be added in line 402.

"SWE" should be added before "losses" in line 403

The meaning of "m" in Table 3 should be added to the caption.

The sentence "The pronounced ... warming trends" in the caption of Fig 4 should be moved to the main text, as it is part of the analysis, not a description of what is seen in the figure.

The vertical line in fig 4.b should be explained

The phrase "thousands of feet" in the caption of Fig 5 seems incorrect.

The reference to an appendix in the caption of fig 6 is incorrect.

The last sentence in the caption of fig 8 should likewise move to the main text.

Interactive comment on Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 4, 2073, 2007.

4, S1620–S1621, 2007

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper**